www.rsc.org/obc

BC

# Simple, versatile and highly diastereoselective synthesis of 1,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazine-containing peptidomimetic precursors

Nicolas Franceschini, Pascal Sonnet and Dominique Guillaume\*

Laboratoire de Chimie Thérapeutique, EA 2629, 1 Rue des Louvels, 80000, Amiens, France. E-mail: dominique.guillaume@sa.u-picardie.fr

Received 22nd October 2004, Accepted 9th December 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 21st January 2005

The selective *O*-deprotection of (1'S)-4-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]ethyl]-2-oxopiperazine furnished an enantiomerically pure alcohol whose regio- and diastereoselective C<sub>3</sub>-alkylation yielded either (3*R*)- or (3*S*)-1,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines in high diastereomeric purity. These derivatives were efficiently transformed into (1'*R*)- or (1'*S*)-peptide templates utilizable to prepare peptidomimetics. This method provides easy access to each 1,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazine diastereomer and facilitates, through the large choice of substituents at the 3-position together with the chemistry that can be performed on the N1 substituent, the preparation of a large number of diastereomerically pure constrained peptidomimetics from a single precursor.

## Introduction

(Aza)-lactams still receive much synthetic interest<sup>1</sup> because medicinal chemists used them to prepare peptidomimetics that provide prized structure–activity relationships.<sup>2</sup> Indeed, by allowing a better understanding of the peptide mechanism of action at a molecular level, peptidomimetics can permit the design of new, and more pharmacologically potent, molecules. At the same time, peptidomimetics can also be of higher stability towards physiological hydrolysis or enzymatic degradation than the parent peptide. Such improvement is of extreme importance from a therapeutic standpoint. Among all the useful azalactams, 1,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines whose 1-position is bonded to a chiral carbon atom (1\*,3,4-trisubstituted-2oxopiperazines) (general structure 1) are of particular interest.



Such scaffolds, known to stabilize inverse  $\gamma$ -turns in small peptides,<sup>3,4</sup> result from the introduction of only two extra carbon atoms, compared to the parent peptide, linking N<sub>i</sub> and N<sub>i+1</sub>. This ethylene bridge not only reduces the chances of "false negative" pharmacological responses consecutive to the introduction of excessive steric hindrance, although a correct conformational modification has been achieved, but also suppresses two NHs that could be part of a hydrogen bond network possibly stabilizing a undesirable conformer. Additionally, the pharmacologically relevant orientation and/or function of the *i*-amino-acid side chain ( $\mathbf{R}_i$ ) can possibly be probed from structure–activity data obtained by varying the 2-oxopiperazine 3-position substituent.

If the peptidomimetic 1 has a glycine residue at its i + 1 position (R<sub>i+1</sub> = H), its synthesis can be envisaged by direct N<sub>1</sub>-alkylation of the readily available 3,4-disubstituted-2-oxopiperazine.<sup>5</sup> However, most 1,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines targetted by medicinal chemists have two stereogenic centers, one intracyclic (C<sub>3</sub>) and one extracyclic (C<sub>1</sub>'). This makes the N<sub>1</sub>alkylating method a poor choice. Four general methods have been reported for the synthesis of diastereomerically pure 1\*,3,4trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines (Fig. 1). Lactamization of linear N, N'-bispeptides, prepared by reacting two optically pure amino acids and 1,2-dibromoethane, has yielded 1\*,3-disubstituted-2oxopiperazines whose 4-position could be easily substituted.6 The best results were obtained with symmetrical bispeptides, meaning that the 2-oxopiperazine derivatives had necessarily similar substituents at their 3- and 1'-positions.<sup>7</sup> When two different amino acids were used to prepare the linear peptide dimer a mixture of 2-oxopiperazines is obtained, necessitating a subsequent inelegant resolution step.8 1\*,3,4-Trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines have been also synthesized by N<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> bond formation. For this, linear dipeptide analogues in which the nitrogen atom of the i + 1 residue was substituted with an α-aldehyde precursor have been prepared.<sup>9</sup> Reduction of the imine intermediate obtained by condensation of the unmasked aldehyde function and N<sub>i</sub> (the nascent 2-oxopiperazine N<sub>4</sub> atom) furnished the expected products. However, this method requires the preliminary preparation of a dipeptide whose accessibility can be limited if unusual side chains are desired at the 2oxopiperazine 3-position. Furthermore, the whole synthesis has to be repeated if access to peptidomimetic diastereomers is desired. 1\*,3,4-Trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines have been also prepared by N1-C6 bond formation.10 In this case, the ring formation was achieved by the alkylation of  $N_1$  by a bromoethyl substituent at the nascent N4 atom. This approach is thwarted by drawbacks similar to those depicted for the C5-N4 bond formation strategy.

Recently, we have reported the synthesis of 1\*,3,4trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines by regio- and stereoselective C3alkylation of 1,4-disubstituted-2-oxopiperazines using various electrophiles.11 Very high chiral induction (>95%) was achieved when simple electrophiles were used. A rigid intermediate that mandates approach of the electrophile from the face opposite to a lithium chelate involving N1 and an alcohol appended to the 1'-substituent was proposed to be at the origin of the diastereoselectivity.<sup>11-12</sup> L-Leucinol<sup>11</sup> or D-phenylglycinol<sup>13</sup> have already been reported as chiral inductors, though almost any  $\alpha$ monosubstituted-β-amino alcohol could in principle be used.<sup>14</sup> In addition to its high diastereoselectivity, the C<sub>3</sub>-alkylation method offers the enormous advantage of easy incorporation of a large diversity of substituents at the 2-oxopiperazine 3position. However, as it is, two important drawbacks reduce its scope: 1) as the configuration of the 2-oxopiperazine 3-position



Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the current methods leading 1 and their main drawbacks.

is totally governed by the configuration of the chiral inductor, only one diastereomer is accessible directly; and 2) since the N<sub>1</sub>-substituting appendage must be chiral, peptidomimetics having a glycine residue at their i + 1 position are difficult to obtain.

Therefore, of all the methods reported so far to prepare 1\*,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines, none is really satisfactory. General methods affording diastereomerically pure 1\*,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines are still required.

Herein, we report an original and versatile method allowing, from a single precursor, the diastereoselective synthesis of each isomer of **1** and in which the choice of the C<sub>3</sub>-substituent is not limited by the availability of the corresponding amino acid. This method also provides access to enantiomerically pure peptidomimetics having a glycine residue at the i + 1 position.

## **Results and discusion**

In our view, because of the large access to commercially available simple electrophiles the preparation of 1\*,3,4-trisubstituted-2oxopiperazines by the C<sub>3</sub>-alkylation strategy is by far superior to the other reported methods. This strategy chosen, we had to design a 2-oxopiperazine substituted at N<sub>1</sub> with a chirality inductor whose 1'-configuration could be unambiguously achieved as desired. Thus, we considered serinol-based derivative **2**, in which  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  would be two orthogonal protective groups, as the best chemical entity to achieve our goal. We selected the benzyl ( $P_1$ ) and *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ( $P_2$ ) groups as protective groups.



#### Synthesis of 2-oxopiperazine 2a

Synthesis of **2a** could be readily achieved from dipeptide **3**. Concomitant amide and ester reduction of **3** (LAH in THF) afforded the expected amino alcohol **4** [80% yield,  $[\alpha]_D^{17} + 6 (c \ 0.1; EtOH)]$ , whose hydroxyl function was protected by use of *tert*-butyl-dimethylsilyl chloride (*tert*-BDMSCl) in the presence of imidazole. The di-*O*-protected derivative was then reacted with bromoacetic acid in the presence of DCC to afford a linear intermediate whose cyclization (NaH, THF) afforded **2a** ( $[\alpha]_D^{17} - 1 (c \ 0.1; EtOH)$ ) (70% yield from **3**) (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Reagents and yields: i) LAH, THF (80%) ii) tert-BDMSCl, imidazole; iii) BrCH<sub>2</sub>COOH, DCC, *N*-methylmporpholine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; iv) NaH, THF (88%, 3 steps).

#### Synthesis of the 3*R*,1'S and 3*R*,1'R diastereomers

3R-Diastereomers should then be prepared from (1'S)-2b. Hydrogenolysis (H<sub>2</sub>, Pd-C) of 2a afforded (1'S)-2b in 90% yield. Alkylation of its enolate (LDA, THF, HMPA, -78 °C then -50 °C) with methyl iodide, benzyl bromide, allyl bromide and propyl bromide afforded 5a-d (75%, 82%, 72% and 77% yield, respectively). <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis (500 MHz) of each compound confirmed the anticipated high diasteroselectivity (>95%) of the alkylation step, since only one diastereomer was observed in each case. The 1'S, 3R configuration of 5ad was assigned by mechanistic analogy with our previous studies in the piperazine,11-13 diazepinone15 or thiomorpholine16 fields. To obtain peptidomimetic precursors we then needed to oxidize the primary alcohol function of 5 and chose 5b as an example. Unfortunately, the silvl protective group was found to be too unstable under oxidative conditions, precluding the regioselective oxidation of 5b in good yields. Thus, we protected the alcohol function of 5b as a benzyl ether (BnCl, NaH) and removed the *tert*-BDMS protective group (Bu<sub>4</sub>NF) to obtain 6 (quantitative yield, two steps). Oxidation of the alcohol function of 6 (Jones' reagent) afforded the corresponding acid, whose benzyl group hydrogenolysis furnished (1'S, 3R)-7 in 70% yield (2 steps) ( $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  -10 (c 0.1; EtOH)). To obtain the (1'R,3R)derivative 8, the alcohol function of 6 was esterified (BzClpyridine, quantitative) then, after hydrogenolysis (H<sub>2</sub>, Pd-C 10%, 98% yield) the resulting ester could be oxidized (Jones' reagent) then saponified (2 N NaOH) to yield (1'R,3R)-8 in 81% yield ( $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  +4 (*c* 0.1; EtOH)) (Scheme 2).

#### Synthesis of the 3S,1'R and 3S,1'S diastereomers

For the synthesis of the 3*S* diastereomers, the preparation of (1'R)-2c was necessary. The latter was prepared quantitatively by reaction of 2a with tetrabutylammonium fluoride. Diastereose-lective C<sub>3</sub>-alkylation of (1'R)-2c as described for the alkylation of 2b afforded 9a–d (89, 80, 84 and 85% yield, respectively). Oxidation of 9b (Jones' reagent) afforded the corresponding





Scheme 2 Reagents: i) H<sub>2</sub>, Pd–C MeOH; ii) LDA, HMPA, THF, -50 °C, then CH<sub>3</sub>I or PhCH<sub>2</sub>Br or CH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>Br; iii) PhCH<sub>2</sub>Cl, NaH; iv) *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NF; v) Jones' reagent; vi) PhCOCl, pyridine; vii) 2 N NaOH; viii) Jones' reagent, then 90 °C.

acid, which was transformed into the peptidomimetic precursor (3S,1'S)-10 after hydrogenolysis (H<sub>2</sub>, MeOH, quantitative) ( $[a]_D^{1/2}$  -4 (*c* 0.1; EtOH)). To obtain (3S,1'R)-11, the primary hydroxyl group of **9b** was quantitatively esterified (BzCl, pyridine) and after hydrogenolysis and oxidation (Jones reagent, 62%), the resulting adduct was saponified (2 N NaOH), furnishing (3S,1'R)-11 ( $[a]_D^{1/2}$  +10 (*c* 0.1; EtOH)) in 97% yield (Scheme 2).

#### Synthesis of 1'-unsubstituted peptidomimetics

In addition to the four 1\*,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazine diastereomers, **2a** also provided an efficient entry to enantiomerically pure peptidomimetics having a glycine residue at their i + 1 position. We decided to illustrate this ability from **5d** and **9d**, whose 3-position is substituted with a nonproteogenic side-chain. Treatment of **5d** with Bu<sub>4</sub>NF afforded the corresponding diol in quantitative yield. Subsequent oxidation (Jones' reagent) and decarboxylation (heating at 90 °C) yielded (3*R*)-**12** ( $[a]_D^{17}$  -119 (*c* 0.1; EtOH)), in 62% overall yield (Scheme 2). Hydrogenolysis of **9d** afforded the corresponding diol (not isolated), whose subsequent oxidation (Jones' reagent) and decarboxylation (Lones' reagent) and decarboxylation (Lones' reagent) and decarboxylation (Jones' reagent) and decarboxylation (Jones' reagent) and decarboxylation (Jones' reagent) and decarboxylation (Lones' reagent) and Lones' Lones'

## Conclusion

The four diastereomers of  $1^*,3,4$ -trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines are accessible in a concise, versatile and diastereoselective manner from **2a**. Our method provides also an entry to enantiomerically pure 1,3,4-trisubstituted-2-oxopiperazines. Because of the synthetic flexibility of the 1'-group hydroxymethyl substituent, particularly its transformation into other proteogenic or non-proteogenic amino acid side chains, and also of the chemistry that can be performed at C<sub>3</sub> (the allyl substituent provides an entry to a large diversity of other structures), a huge number of peptidomimetics can be easily prepared from the key intermediate 2a. Since the preparation of the latter can be routinely performed on a multigram scale, our method should rapidly find its place in the peptidomimetic synthesis field. In addition, our strategy can easily be extended to the preparation of optically pure therapeutic agents.<sup>17</sup>

## Experimental

THF was dried by distillation from sodium-benzophenone. Diisopropylamine was dired by distillation from calcium hydride. Thin-layer and column chromatography were carried out on silica gel  $60F_{254}$  60–15 µm and silica gel 6–35 µm, respectively, from SDS (Peypin, France). IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 210 spectrometer using KBr pellets. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter; values are given in  $10^{-1}$ deg cm<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. Melting points were determined on a Kofler plate and are given uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 instrument. <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm relative to residual solvent peak (CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  7.27). <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm relative to residual solvent peak (CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  77.7). J values are given in Hertz. Mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass-Waters Q-TOF Ultima spectrometer. HPLC analyses were performed on a Shimadzu instrument using a Chirobiotic (18 mm) column.

(2*R*)-6-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)-2-phenylmethyloxymethyl-3,6diazahexan-1-ol 4. To a solution of 3 (15 g) in dry THF at 0 °C, LAH (41 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred for 1 h at rt then 123 mmoles of LAH were added to the solution. After 30 h of stirring, 2 mL of a 15% aqueous solution of NaOH were slowly added. The suspension was stirred overnight at rt, then filtered and the cake washed with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The organic phase was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo* to afford 10.6 g of a white solid (yield 80%).  $[a]_D^{17} + 6$  (*c* 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3398 and 3333 (OH, N*H*), 2962, 1711 (CO), 1173; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45 (5H, m, Ar), 5.43 (1H, m, NH-Boc), 4.68 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.85 (1H, m, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.72 (2H, m, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.71 (1H, m, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.52 (1H, br s, OH), 3.39 (2H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.08 (1H, m, CH), 3.00 (1H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.95 (1H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.74 (1H, m, NH), 1.60 (9H, s, Boc). MS *m*/*z* 347 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 325 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, 269, 247.

(1'S)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]ethyl]-2-oxopiperazine 2a. To a solution of 4 (10 g) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL), imidazole (46 mmol) then *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (46 mmol) were added. The solution was stirred overnight then a saturated aqueous solution of sodium carbonate (200 mL) was added. The organic phase was collected, the aqueous phase washed twice with Et<sub>2</sub>O and the combined organic phases dried over sodium sulfate. Evaporation of the solvent furnished an oil sufficiently pure to be directly used for the next step and that was dissolved in a solution of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (200 mL) and N-methylmorpholine (100 mL), then slowly poured into a  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution of bromoacetic anhydride prepared by mixing bromoacetic acid (91 mmol) and DCC (45 mmol). The reaction was stirred overnight then extracted twice with a saturated solution of sodium carbonate (500 mL) then twice with a 1 N HCl aqueous solution (500 mL) and finally with brine (500 mL). The organic phase was dried over magnesium sulfate concentrated in vacuo to afford a yellow oil whose <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum displayed signals for an equimolar population of rotamers (MS m/z 581  $(M + Na)^+, 559).$ 

To a solution of the previously obtained yellow oil in dry THF (300 mL), at 0 °C, a 80% oily suspension of NaH (3 eq.) was added. After 5 h of stirring, the reaction was quenched by careful addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (300 mL) and the solution was extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 400 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic phases were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O then dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated to afford a white powder, in 70% yield, that generally did not need the use of further purification procedures.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  –1 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> 3125, 1729 (CO), 1651 (CO), 1248, 1159; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 7.35 (5H, m, Ar), 4.84 (1H, m, CH), 4.70  $(1H, d, J = 11.9, CH_2CO), 4.64 (1H, d, J = 11.9, CH_2CO),$ 4.25 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.98 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.90 (1H, dd, J = $10.2, 7.2, CH_2O$ , 3.81 (1H, dd,  $J = 10.2, 5.0, CH_2O$ ), 3.73 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.62 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 1.64 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.04 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.21 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 162.8, 153.2 (CO), 138.6, 128.9, 128.3, 128.1 (Ar), 81.3 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 73.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 68.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 61.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 55.4 (CH), 49.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 44.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 43.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 28.7 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.2 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 18.0 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.3 (Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); MS *m*/*z* 501 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 393, 301, 236, 220; HRMS (ES) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calc. for  $C_{25}H_{42}N_2O_5SiNa$  501.2761, found 501.2762.

(1'S)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-hydroxymethyl-2'-[(tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy]ethyl]-2-oxopiperazine 2b. To a solution of 2a (5 g) in MeOH (100 mL) placed under a nitrogen atmosphere, 0.1 g of 10% Pd-C was added. Nitrogen was slowly replaced by hydrogen and the suspension was stirred for 48 h then filtered over celite and concentrated in vacuo, affording 2b as a white solid (3.7 g, 90% yield).  $[\alpha]_D^{17} - 3$  (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3410, 2945, 1707 (CO), 1641 (CO), 1259, 1172; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 4.30 (1H, m, CH), 4.02 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.82 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.75 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.55 (4H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.41 (1H, m, OH), 1.41 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.89 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.00 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 167.8, 154.2 (CO), 81.3 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.7 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 61.2 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 60.6 (HOCH<sub>2</sub>), 59.8 (CH), 45.3 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 34.2 (COCH<sub>2</sub>), 28.7 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 26.1 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 18.4 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.1 (Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); MS m/z 411 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 355, 297, 236, 179; HRMS calc. for  $(M + Na)^+$  411.2291, found 411.2294.

(1'R)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-(1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-hydroxyethyl)-2-oxopiperazine 2c. To a solution of 2a (5 g) in THF (130 mL), a 1M solution of Bu<sub>4</sub>NF in THF (20 mL) was added. The solution was stirred overnight then 200 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O were added. The solution was extracted twice with EtOAc (250 mL) and the combined organic phases washed with H<sub>2</sub>O. The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*, affording **2c** in quantitative yield.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$ +5 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> 3114, 2982, 2928, 1695 (CO), 1653 (CO), 1417, 1172; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.3 (5H, m, Ar), 4.53 (1H, d, J = 12.7, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 4.49 (1H, d, J = 12.7, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 4.37 (1H, m, CH), 4.08 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.85 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.79 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.69 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.58 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.50 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.45 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.02 (1H, m, OH), 1.48 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 171.5, 153.3 (CO), 138.0, 128.9, 128.3, 128.1 (Ar), 81.4 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 73.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 68.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 62.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 60.8 (CH), 49.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 47.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 40.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 28.7  $(OC(CH_3)_3)$ ; MS m/z 387 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 242, 186; HRMS calc. for  $(M + Na)^+$  387.1896, found 387.1898.

(1'S,3R)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-hydroxymethyl-2'-[(tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy] ethyl]-3-substituted-2-oxopiperazine (5). A solution of diisopropylamine (1 mL) in THF (20 mL) was cooled at -70 °C and kept under nitrogen atmosphere. A 1.6 M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (4 mL) was slowly added to the cold solution and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. A solution of 2b (2.1 mmol) and HMPA (6.3 mmol) in THF was slowly poured into the cold solution and stirred for 15 min. A solution of electrophile (6.3 mmol) in THF was added and the mixture was stirred for 5 h at -50 °C, then for 30 min at -15 °C. The reaction was quenched by addition of an aqueous saturated solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (80 mL) then extracted three times with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The combined organic phases were washed with  $H_2O_1$ , dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Alkylation products were finally purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane-EtOAc (1:20) as an eluent.

(1'S,3R)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-hydroxymethyl-2'-[(tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy] ethyl]-3-methyl-2-oxopiperazine Obtained in 75% yield using iodomethane as electrophile.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$ -53 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> 3334 (OH), 1752, 1640 (CO), 1250, 1172; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.49 (1H, q, J = 6.9, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.11 (1H, m, CH), 3.89 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.79 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.77 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.53 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.36 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.19 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.27 (1H, m, OH), 1.43 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.39 (3H, d, J = 6.9, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 0.84 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.01 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 167.8, 155.1 (CO), 80.9 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 61.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 60.7 (CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 46.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 45.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 44.2(CHCO), 28.7 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 26.2 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 18.4 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 18.1 (CHCH<sub>3</sub>), -4.2 (Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); MS m/z 425  $(M + Na)^+$ , 369, 325; HRMS calc. for  $(M + Na)^+$  425.2448, found 425.2461.

(1'S,3R)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-hydroxymethyl-2'-](tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy] ethyl]-3-phenylmethyl-2-oxopiperazine (5b). Obtained in 82% yield using benzyl bromide as electrophile.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  –18 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3402 (OH), 1712, 1653 (CO), 1421, 1172; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.4-7.1 (5H, m, Ar), 4.57 (1H, m, CHCO), 3.95 (1H, m, CH), 3.90 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.79 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.72 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.45 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.41 (1H, m, OH), 3.16 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.08 (2H, m, N), 2.71 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 1.40 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.79 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.00 (6H, s, 6H, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  169.5, 153.9 (CO), 137.9, 130.2, 128.8, 127.1 (Ar), 80.9 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 62.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 61.8 (CH), 60.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 59.4 (CHCO), 47.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 38.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 30.5 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 26.1 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 18.5 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), -1.1  $(Si(CH_3)_2); MS m/z 501 (M + Na)^+, 475, 445, 411, 255; HRMS$ calc. for  $(M + Na)^+$  501.2761, found 501.2737.

View Article Online

(1'S,3R)-3-Allyl-4-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-hydroxymethyl-2'-[(tert-butyldimethyl silyl)oxy] ethyl]-2-oxopiperazine (5c). Obtained in 72% yield using allyl bromide as electrophile.  $[\alpha]_{r}^{1}$ -42 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3422 (OH), 3086, 1707, 1637 (CO), 1255, 1172; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)δ 5.77 (1H, ddd, J = 17.1, 10.1, 4.4, CH olef), 5.06 (1H, d, J = 17.1, CH<sub>2</sub> olef), $5.03 (1H, d, J = 10.1 CH_2 olef), 4.51 (1H, m, CHCO), 4.18 (1H, m, CHCO), 4.18 (1H, m, CHCO))$ m, CH), 4.05 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.90 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.81 (1H, br m, OH), 3.75 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.73 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.71 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.50 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.37 (1H, m CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.18 (1H, m,  $CH_2N$ ), 2.64 (1H, ddd,  $J = 10.7, 7.7, 4.4, CH_2$ ), 2.54 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.41 (9H, s OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.83 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.00 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 169.5, 154.0 (CO), 134.4 (CH olef), 118.3 (CH<sub>2</sub> olef), 80.9 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 61.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 60.5 (CHCO), 57.4 (CH), 45.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 37.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.6 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 27.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 26.1 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 18.4 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), -5.3 (Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); MS m/z 451 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 395, 301; HRMS calc. for  $(M + Na)^+$  451.2604, found 451.2602.

(1'S,3R)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-hydroxymethyl-2'-[(tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy] ethyl]-3-propyl-2-oxopiperazine (5d). Obtained in 77% yield using 1-bromopropane as electrophile.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  +43 (*c* 0.1; EtOH); IR  $\nu_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3438, 1760, 1632 (CO), 1259, 1172; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)δ 4.55 (1H, m, CHPr), 4.21 (1H, m, CH), 3.99 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.80 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.70 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.61 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.38 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.28 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.12 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.01 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>C), 1.61 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>C), 1.41 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (2H, m,  $CH_2CH_3$ , 0.88 (3H, t, J = 6,  $CH_3$ ), 0.86 (9H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3$ ), 0.00 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 171.6, 154.7 (CO), 81.4 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 61.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 60.0 (CHCO), 57.1 (CH), 45.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 33.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.9 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 26.0 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 20.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19.4 (SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 14.7 (CHCH<sub>3</sub>), -4.2 (Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); MS m/z 453 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 397 369, 353; HRMS calc. for  $(M + Na)^+$  453.2761, found 453.2782.

(1'R,3R)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-hydroxyethyl]-3-phenylmethyl-2-oxopiperazine 6. To solution of 5b (1 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL), NaH (3 mmol) was slowly added at 0 °C. The solution was stirred for 15 min then benzyl bromide (1.1 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred for 6 h then H<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL) was added. The solution was extracted twice with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (15 mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. A slightly orange oil of diprotected derivative was quantitatively obtained. This was dissolved in dry THF (1.3 mL) and 2 mL of a 1 M solution of n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NF in THF added. The solution was stirred at rt overnight, then 20 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O was poured into the solution. After extraction (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 30mL) of the aqueous phase, the dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo organic phases furnish 6 as an orange oil in quantitative yield.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17} - 7 (c \ 0.1; \text{ EtOH}); \text{ IR } v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1} 3360$ (OH), 2979, 2866, 1687 (CO), 1642, 1172; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.5–7.2 (10H, m, Ar), 4.71 (1H, m, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 4.40  $(1H, d, J = 12, OCH_2Ar), 4.36 (1H, d, J = 12, OCH_2Ar), 4.31$ (1H, t, J = 6.5, CHCO),4.10 (1H, br t, J = 6.8, OH), 3.70 (2H, d, J = 6.5, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.55 (2H, m, J = 6.5, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.34 (2H, m, CH2O), 3.32 (2H, m, CH2N), 3.09 (2H, m, CH2N), 2.96 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 1.2 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 169.8, 154.6 (CO), 138.4, 137.5, 130.8, 129.8, 129.4, 128.9, 128.8, 128.1 (Ar), 81.7 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 71.6 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 67.1 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 62.6 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 62.4 (CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 56.3 (CH), 55.3 (CHCO), 45.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 38.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 27.5 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); MS m/z 477 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 417, 381, 367, 342, 239, 234, 121.

(2S)-((3'R)-4'-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)-3'-phenylmethyl-2'-oxopiperazin-1'-yl)-3-hydroxypropanoic acid 7. To a solution of 6 (0.3 mmol) in acetone (1.5 mL) at 0 °C, Jones' reagent (0.45 mL) was slowly added. The solution was stirred for 30 min then *i*-propanol (6 mL) was added and the solution stirred for 30 min. The solution was extracted three times with EtOAc (8 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated affording an orange oil that was rapidly and directly used in the next step without purification. The previously obtained orange oil was dissolved in MeOH under a nitrogen atmosphere and Pd-C 10% was carefully added. The nitrogen atmosphere was replaced by a hydrogen atmosphere and the suspension was stirred overnight. The suspension was filtered over celite and the solution was concentrated in vacuo. Final purification was achieved by means of SiO<sub>2</sub> chromatography using cyclohexane-EtOAc (1:2) as an eluent.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  -10 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> 3352 (OH), 1758, 1684 (CO), 1156; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 10.3 (2H, s, OH), 7.0-7.3 (5H, m, Ar), 4.84 (1H, m, CHCOOH), 4.74 (1H, m, CHCO), 4.12 (2H, m, CH2O), 3.99 (1H, m, CH2N), 3.53 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.18 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 2.92 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.78 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 1.3 (9H, br s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 172.0, 170.8, 154.2 (CO), 137.1, 133.7, 131.5, 127.4 (Ar), 81.4 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 61.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 59.0 (CHCOOH), 53.5 (CHCO), 46.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 41.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 38.2  $(OC(CH_3)_3); MS m/z 378 (M + H)^+, 377, 349, 257, 171; HRMS$ calc. for M<sup>+</sup> 377.1713, found 377.1717.

(2*R*)-((3'*R*)-4'-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)-3'-phenylmethyl-2'-oxopiperazin-1'-yl)-3-hydroxypropanoic acid 8. To a solution of 6 (0.9 mmol) in pyridine (10 mL) at 0 °C, benzoyl chloride (0.1 mL) was slowly added. The solution was stirred for 3 h at rt. Then 20 mL of 2 N aqueous HCl was added into the solution that was extracted with  $Et_2OAc$  (3 × 20 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. The resulting oil was oxidized then hydrogenolyzed using the conditions depicted for the preparation of 7. Final saponification was carried out in a 8% aqueous solution of NaOH. The solution was stirred for 2 h then concentrated *in vacuo*. The obtained residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and the solution was extracted twice with EtOAc (20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried and concentrated, affording 8 as an oil (90% yield from 6).

[a]<sub>D</sub><sup>17</sup> –4 (*c* 0.1; EtOH); IR  $\nu_{max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> 3354, 2952, 1758, 1714, 1684, 1156; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 10.3 (2H, br s, OH), 7.0–7.3 (5H, m, Ar), 4.70 (1H, m, CHCOOH), 4.58 (1H, m, CH2O), 4.05 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.93 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.33 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.90 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 2.79 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.57 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 1.3 (9H, br s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 172.9, 171.2, 154.2 (CO), 137.5, 134.0, 130.6, 128.8 (Ar), 81.2 ((OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 61.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 59.3 (CHCOOH), 52.6 (CHCO), 46.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 41.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 38.3 ((OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); MS *m*/*z* 377 (M)<sup>+</sup>, 283, 277; HRMS calc. for M<sup>+</sup> 377.1713, found 377.1701.

(1'R,3S)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-hydroxy ethyl]-3-substituted-2-oxopiperazine 9. Compound9 was prepared from 2c using the procedure reported for thepreparation of 5.

(1'R,3S)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-hydroxyethyl]-3-methyl-2-oxopiperazine 9a. Obtained in 89% yield using iodomethane as electrophile.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  +48 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR v<sub>max</sub>/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3220 (OH), 2964, 1704, 1655 (CO), 1183; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.2 (5H, m, Ar), 4.44 (1H, d, 1H, J = 11.9,  $CH_2Ar$ ), 4.40 (1H, q, J = 7.0, CHCO), 4.38  $(1H, d, J = 11.9, CH_2Ar), 3.85 (2H, m, CH_2O), 3.70 (2H, m, m)$ CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.65 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.55 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.49 (1H, m, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.43 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.26 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.10 (1H, br m, OH), 1.37 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.32 (3H, d, J = 7.0, CHCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.9, 153.9 (CO), 138.2, 128.8, 128.2, 127.4 (Ar), 80.9 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 73.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 68.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 61.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 57.5 (CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 53.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 45.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 45.0 (CHCO), 28.7 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 18.1 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); MS m/z 401 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 345, 301, 184; HRMS calc. for (M + Na)+ 401.2052, found 401.2041.

791

(1'R,3S)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-[hydroxyethyl]-3-phenylmethyl-2-oxopiperazine 9b. Obtained in 80% yield using benzyl bromide as electrophile.  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{\rm 17}$  +16 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3364 (OH), 2974, 1687, 1641 (CO), 1172 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.0–7.3 (10H, m, Ar), 4.60 (1H, m,  $CH(CH_2)_2$ ), 4.44 (1H, d, J = 11.6,  $ArCH_2O$ ), 4.40 (1H, d, J = 11.6, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.37 (1H, t, J = 8.3, CHCO)), 3.89 (1H, br m, OH), 3.71 (2H, d, J = 8.3, CHC $H_2$ Ar), 3.62 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.51 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.32 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.16 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.10 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.00 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 1.20 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 169.5, 154.0 (CO), 138.2, 130.3, 129.4, 128.8, 128.2, 128.0, 127.1 (Ar), 80.9 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3)</sub>, 73.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 68.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 61.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 61.5 (CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 59.3 (CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 58.1 (CHCO), 45.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 38.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 28.5 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>); MS m/z 477 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 421, 377, 345; HRMS calc. for  $(M + Na)^+ 477.2349$ , found 477.2343.

(1'R,3S)-3-Allyl-4(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-hydroxyethyl]-2-oxopiperazine 9c. Obtained in 84% yield using allyl bromide as electrophile.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  +38 (*c* 0.1; EtOH); IR v<sub>max</sub>/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3114 (OH), 2972, 1721, 1655 (CO), 1172; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.2 (5H, m, Ar), 5.74 (1H, m, CH olef), 4.98 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 0.5,  $CH_2$  olef), 4.93 (1H, dd,  $J = 10.0, 0.5, CH_2$  olef), 4.63 (2H, m, CHCO), 4.09 (1H, m, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.95 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.79 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.75 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.70 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.51 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.48 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.04 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.59 (1H, m, CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH), 2.48 (1H, m, CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH), 1.39 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 169.7, 153.8 (CO), 134.2 (CH = CH<sub>2</sub>), 138.3, 129.3, 128.2, 127.5 (Ar), 118.2 (CH = CH<sub>2</sub>), 81.3 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.8 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 60.2 (HOCH<sub>2</sub>), 57.4 (CHCO), 56.3 (CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 45.6 (NCH<sub>2</sub>), 38.4 (CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH), 29.2 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 27.6 (NCH<sub>2</sub>); MS m/z 427 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 345, 301, 154; HRMS calc. for  $(M + Na)^+$  404.2311, found 404.2297.

(1'R,3S)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1-[1'-phenylmethyloxymethyl-2'-hydroxyethyl]-3-propyl-2-oxopiperazine 9d. Obtained in 80% yield using 1-bromopropane as electrophile.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  +45 (c 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3114 (OH), 1650 (CO), 1164 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 7.1-7.4 (5H, m, Ar), 4.67 (2H, m, CHCO), 4.11 (1H,m, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 4.01 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.87 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.71 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.58 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 3.50 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.24 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.02 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 1.87 (1H, m, CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.48 (2H, m, CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.42  $(9H, s, OC(CH_3)_3), 1.24 (2H, m, CH_2CH_3), 0.78 (3H, t, J = 6,$ CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 170.2, 154.5 (CO), 139.0, 129.6, 129.1, 128.2 (Ar), 82.4 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 60.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 56.9 (CHCO), 55.8 (CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 45.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 33.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.1 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 28.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 21.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 14.5  $(CH_3)$ ; MS m/z 429  $(M + Na)^+$ , 397, 345, 154; HRMS calc. for  $(M + Na)^+$  429.2365, found 429.2339.

(2*S*)-((3'*S*)-4'-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)-3'-phenylmethyl-2'-oxopiperazin-1'-yl)-3-hydroxypropanoic acid 10. Oxidation of 9 performed in the conditions depicted for the preparation of 7 followed by hydrogenolysis afforded 10 as a white solid in 74% yield (2 steps).

 $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{17}$  +5 (c 0.1; EtOH). For other characteristics see 8.

(2*R*)-((3'S)-4'-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)-3'-phenylmethyl-2'-oxopiperazin-1'-yl)-3-hydroxypropanoic acid 11. The procedure reported for the preparation of 8 was repeated using 9 as starting material. Compound 11 was obtained in 62% yield.  $[\alpha]_{17}^{17}$  +10 (*c* 0.1; EtOH). For other characteristics see 7.

((3'R)-4'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-3'-propyl-2'-oxopiperazin-1'-yl)-3-acetic acid 12. Deprotection of 5d was carried out using the procedure depicted for the preparation of 2c. Oxidation/decarboxylation was achieved using Jones' reagent and according to the procedure depicted for the preparation of 7, followed by heating at 90 °C for 2 h. Reaction work up was as

indicated for the preparation of **7**. Compound **12** was obtained in 62% yield from **5d**.

[α]<sub>D</sub><sup>17</sup> -119 (*c* 0.1; EtOH); IR  $v_{max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> 1855, 1680, 1637, 1157; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.14 (1H, br s, OH), 5.23 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.55 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.48 (1H, m, CHCO), 3.38 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.61 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.35 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 1.93 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 1.53 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH), 1.36 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.26 (9H, s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.89 (3H, t, *J* = 6, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 177.8, 168.4, 155.1 (CO), 79.2 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 68.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>COOH), 59.1 (CHCO), 46.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 33.4 (CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 28.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 25.4 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 21.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 14.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); MS *m*/*z* 323 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 263, 215; HRMS calc. for (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 323.1583, found 323.1587.

((3'S)-4'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-3'-propyl-2'-oxopiperazin-1'-yl)-3-acetic acid 13. Hydrogenolysis of 9d was carried out using the conditions used for the preparation of 2b. Oxidation/decarboxylation was achieved using Jones' reagent and according to the procedure depicted for the preparation of 7, followed by heating at 90 °C for 2 h. Reaction work up was as indicated for the preparation of 7. Compound 13 was obtained in 53% yield (from 9d).

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{17}$  +113 (*c* 0.1; EtOH). For other characteristics see **12**.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to S. Pilard and S. Da Nascimento for obtaining the mass spectra. We thank Region-Picardie and "Réseau de Régulation de la Matrice Extra-cellulaire et Pathologie" for supporting part of this work.

### References

- For two recent reviews see: (a) A. R. Pohlmann, J.-C. Quirion, D. Guillaume and H.-P. Husson, *Quim. Nova*, 1999, 22, 828–837; (b) S. Hanessian, G. McNaughton-Smith, H.-G. Lombart and W. D. Lubell, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 12789–12854.
- 2 For an outstanding illustration of this concept see: R. M. Freidinger, J. Med. Chem., 2003, 46, 5553–5566.
- 3 For a definition of  $\gamma$ -turns see: (a) E. J. Milner-White, B. Ross, R. Ismail, K. Belhadj-Mostefa and R. Poet, J. Mol. Biol., 1988, **204**, 777–782; (b) K. Rommel-Möhle and H.-J. Hofmann, J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM.), 1993, **285**, 211–219.
- 4 A. R. Pohlmann, D. Guillaume, J.-C. Quirion and H.-P. Husson, *J. Pept. Res.*, 1997, **51**, 116–120.
- 5 For a recent enantioselective of 3,4-disubstituted-2-oxopiperazines presenting a pharmacological potential see:J. Seibel, D. Brown, A. Amour, S. J. MacDonald, N. J. Oldham and C. J. Schofield, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, **13**, 387–389.
- 6 L. N. Schoenberg, D. W. Cooke and C. F. Liu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, 7, 2386–2393.
- 7 (a) T. Yamashita, Y. Kojima, K. Hirotsu and A. Ohsuka, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res., 1989, 33, 110–114; (b) B. Lammek, M. Czaja, I. Derdowska, E. Lempicka, P. Sikora, W. Szkrobka and H. I. Trzeciak, J. Pept. Res., 1998, 51, 149–154; (c) E. Lempicka, I. Derdowska, B. Jastrzebska, P. Kuncarova, J. Slaninova and B. Lammek, J. Pept. Res., 2001, 57, 162–167; (d) T. Yamashita, E. Hatamoto, H. Takenada, Y. Kojima, Y. Inoue, M. Gemba and M. Yasuda, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1996, 44, 856–859.
- 8 T. Yamashita, E. Tsuru, D. Banjyo, K. Shibata, M. Yasuda and M. Gemba, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1997, 45, 1940–1944.
- 9 (a) U. Bhatt, N. Mohamed and G. Just, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 3679–3682; (b) Y. Tong, Y. M. Fobian, M. Wu, N. D. Boyd and K. D. Moeller, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1998, 8, 1679–1682; (c) Y. Tong, Y. M. Fobian, M. Wu, N. D. Boyd and K. D. Moeller, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 65, 2484–2493; (d) J. DiMaio and B. Belleau, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1*, 1989, 1687–1689; (e) H. Sugihara, H. Fukushi, T. Miyawaki, Y. Imai, Z. i. Terashita, M. Kawamura, Y. Fujisawa and S. Kita, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1998, 41, 489–502; (f) S. Kitamura, H. Fukushi, T. Miyawaki, M. Kawamura, N. Konishi, Z. i. Terashita and T. Naka, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2001, 44, 2438–2450; (g) T. Su, H. Yang, D. Volkots, J. Woolfrey, S. Dam, P. Wong, U. Sinha, R. M. Scarborough and B.-Y. Zhu, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, 13, 729–732.
- 10 N. Mohamed, U. Bhatt and G. Just, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 8213–8216.

- 11 A. R. Pohlmann, V. Schanen, D. Guillaume, J.-C. Quirion and H.-P. Husson, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 1016–1022.
- 12 (a) L. Micouin, V. Jullian, J.-C. Quirion and H.-P. Husson, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1996, 7, 2839–2846; (b) L. Micouin, T. Varea, C. Riche, A. Chiaroni, J.-C. Quirion and H.-P. Husson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 2529–2532.
- 13 V. Schanen, C. Riche, A. Chiaroni, J.-C. Quirion and H.-P. Husson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, 35, 2533–2536.
- 14 Unpublished observations from our laboratory.

- 15 A. Pohlmann, D. Guillaume, J.-C. Quirion and H.-P. Husson, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, **38**, 5809–5810.
- 16 N. Franceschini, S. Da Nascimento, P. Sonnet and D. Guillaume, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2003, 14, 3401–3405.
- 17 For an example of an easily accessible pharmacophore see:D.-S. Su, M. K. Markowitz, R. M. DiPardo, K. L. Murphy, C. M. Harrell, S. S. O'Malley, R. W. Ransom, R. S. L. Chang, S. Ha, F. J. Hess, D. J. Pettibone, G. S. Mason, S. Boyce, R. M. Freidinger and M. G. Bock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 7516–7517.