## Methyl and Phenyl Rearrangements in Acyclic Fell Alkylidenes

## Robert S. Bly\* and Ruta K. Bly

The Department of Chemistry, The University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, U.S.A. Dicarbonyl( $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)-(2,2-dimethylpropylidene)iron( $\iota\iota$ ) and -(2-methyl-2-phenylpropylidene)iron( $\iota\iota$ ) tetrafluoroborates, (6) and (10), prepared by protonation of the analogous Fe<sup> $\iota$ </sup>  $\alpha$ -ethoxyalkyls, rearrange to dicarbonyl( $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)-( $\eta^2$ -2-methylbut-2-ene)iron( $\iota\iota$ ) and -( $\eta^2$ -2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-ene)iron( $\iota\iota$ ) tetrafluoroborates, (7) and (11), by shift of a methyl and phenyl group, respectively, from the  $\beta$  to the  $\alpha$  carbon atom.

If they possess a β-hydrogen atom cationic Fe<sup>II</sup> alkylmethylidenes commonly shift this hydrogen atom to the methylidene carbon thereby forming the thermodynamically more stable  $\eta^2$ -alkenes, equation (1). Analogous methyl shifts have not been observed; the single, previously reported, Fe<sup>II</sup> neopentylidene, viz. (3), does not rearrange [equation (2)]. The only

$$[C_5H_5(CO)(L)Fe=\underset{\alpha}{CH_2Me}]^+ \longrightarrow (1)$$

$$[C_5H_5(CO)(L)Fe(\eta^2-CH_2=CHMe)]^+ (1)$$

$$(2)$$

$$L = CO, PPh_3$$

$$\{C_5H_5[(Ph_2PCH_2)_2]Fe=CHCMe_3\}^+ \longrightarrow (3)$$

$${C_5H_5[(Ph_2PCH_2)_2]Fe(\eta^2-MeCH=CMe_2)}^+$$
 (2

 $Fp = (\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)(CO)_2 Fe$ ,  $\sim C = carbon atom shift.$ 

reported examples of a  $\beta$ -to- $\alpha$  carbon atom shift in any transition metal alkylidene is the rearrangement of 1-bi-cycloalkyl-substituted Fe<sup>II</sup> methylidenes to  $\eta^2$ -homobicycloalkenes,  $\beta$  viz., equations (3).

That the bicyclic methylidenes rearrange whereas the acyclic neopentylidene does not raises the question of whether this difference in reactivity is caused by increased strain relief

RCMe<sub>2</sub>COCl 
$$\xrightarrow{i}$$
 RCMe<sub>2</sub>COFp  $\xrightarrow{ii}$  RCMe<sub>2</sub>C(OEt)=Fp<sup>†</sup>

R = Me, 55% R = Me, 73% R = Ph, 68% R = P

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i, KFp, tetrahydrofuran, 25 °C, 18—20 h (ref. 9); ii, Et<sub>3</sub>O+BF<sub>4</sub>-, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 3—4 days; iii, LiBHEt<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C, 1 h; iv, excess of HBF<sub>4</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C, 30 min; v, KI, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO or CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0—25 °C, ca. 5 min.

in the bicyclic cases,<sup>4</sup> or by decreased electrophilicity at the methylidene carbon of the diphosphine ligated<sup>5</sup> acyclic case. To investigate this we have prepared the dicarbonyl(η<sup>5</sup>-cyclopentadienyl)alkylmethylideneiron(II) species (6) and (10) (Scheme 1) and examined their reactivity.

The alkylidenes were generated by the well-precedented method of protonating neutral Fe<sup>II</sup>  $\alpha$ -alkoxyalkyls<sup>2,5,6</sup> which had in turn been prepared from acyl halides (Scheme 1).†‡ Though some cationic mono(alkyl)methylidenes, including (6) and (10) are too reactive to be observed directly<sup>1b,d</sup> their initial formation is not doubted since others including (4),<sup>7</sup> prepared in a similar manner, have been characterized spectroscopically. <sup>1b,d,c,5b,6b</sup>

Protonation of (5) in a 5-mm n.m.r. tube in the cooled probe of an n.m.r. spectrometer (Scheme 1) yields (7) as the only discernible organometallic species by <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectroscopy.‡ When carried out on a larger scale under similar conditions (7) can be isolated as the tetrafluoroborate in 48% yield. Decomplexation with KI in (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO (Scheme 1) provides 2-methylbut-2-ene (8) as the only alkene.<sup>8</sup>‡ A similar small-scale protonation of (9) yields (11) as the only detectable organometallic species. Warming to 25 °C or adding KI to the cold CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution releases (12) as the only observable alkene.

Our results provide the first examples of a  $\beta$ -to- $\alpha$  carbon shift in an unstrained, acyclic organotransition metal alkylidene. The shift of a methyl group in (6) and a phenyl group in (10) demonstrates that when the methylidene carbon of an Fe<sup>II</sup> alkylidene is sufficiently electrophilic, as it apparently is in these dicarbonyl( $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)iron(II) cases, substantial strain relief is not required to induce a carbon shift. The migratory aptitude of  $\beta$ -substituents in cationic Fp alkylidenes is evidently H > Ph > Me.

Received, 17th March 1986; Com. 346

## References

- (a) A. Cutler, R. W. Fish, W. P. Giering, and M. Rosenblum, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 4354; (b) T. Bodnar and A. R. Cutler, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 213, C31; (c) C. P. Casey, W. H. Miles, H. Tukada, and J. M. O'Connor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 3761; (d) M. Brookhart, J. R. Tucker, and G. R. Husk, ibid., 1983, 105, 258; (e) C. P. Casey, W. H. Miles, and H. Tukada, ibid., 1985, 107, 2924.
- 2 A. Davison and J. P. Selegue, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 2455.
- 3 R. S. Bly and G. S. Silverman, *Organometallics*, 1984, 3, 1765; R. S. Bly, M. M. Hossain, and L. Lebioda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, 107, 5549.
- 4 W. F. Maier and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 1891.
- 5 (a) C. M. Lukehart, 'Fundamental Transition Metal Organometal-lic Chemistry,' Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, Monterey, 1985, p. 311; (b) M. Brookhart, J. R. Tucker, T. C. Flood, and J. Jensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 1203.
- (a) P. W. Jolly and R. Pettit, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 5044; (b)
   M. Brookhart and G. O. Nelson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 6099.
- 7 R. K. Bly, unpublished work.
- 8 A. Cutler, D. Ehnthold, W. P. Giering, P. Lennon, A. Raghu, A. Rosan, M. Rosenblum, S. Tancrede, and D. Wells, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, 98, 3495.
- 9 R. S. Bly, R. K. Bly, M. M. Hossain, G. S. Silverman, and E. Wallace, *Tetrahedron*, 1986, 42, 1093.

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$  Dry and oxygen-free atmospheres (N<sub>2</sub>) and solvents were used at all times.

<sup>‡</sup> Satisfactory analytical data were obtained for the new compounds. (7), I.r. (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 2060, 2025 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C $\equiv$ O); <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$  5.57, s (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>); 5.16, q (=CHMe); 1.97, d (=CHMe); 1.92, s [CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)C=]; 1.74, s [CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)C=]; <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$  111.6 (>C=), 89.0 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 75.4 (=CH-), 31.1, 23.2, 19.8 (3-CH<sub>3</sub>). (11), I.r. (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 2069, 2020 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C $\equiv$ O); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$  213.1, 205.3 (2 × C $\equiv$ O), 136.7, 129.4 (2C), 129.0 (3C), Ph, 106.1 (>C=), 89.2 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 77.3 (=CHPh), 32.9, 26.4 [=CMe<sub>2</sub>]. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. spectra of (8) and (12) are identical to those of authentic samples.