Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: S. Jiang, Z. Yang, Z. Guo, Y. Li, L. Chen, Z. Zhu and X. Chen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C9OB01490J.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.







Journal Name

PAPER



Het Het DCM. TFA PdCl₂(MeCN)₂ (n-Bu)₄NOAc, ZnO/Bu₃N $\label{eq:scheme1} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Scheme 1} \\ \mbox{Classical and developed synthetic methods for the ortho-methylation} \\ \mbox{of N-heterocycles} \end{array}$ In recent years, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) has been used as solvent or substrate in organic synthesis owing to its low cost and low toxicity. ⁷ DMSO has been widely used in organic synthesis as a source of -Me,⁸ -SMe,⁹ -SOMe,¹⁰ -SO₂Me,¹¹ -CH₂SMe,¹² and -CHO¹³ groups in recent years. Although using DMSO as a carbon source has been well studied, to our knowledge, using DMSO as a methyl source has been less successful. For example, Yao et al. reported an excellent palladium-catalyzed alkylation of isoquinoline N-oxides using DMSO as a methyl surrogate (Scheme 1c), and Russell presented the methylation of aromatic hydrocarbons by

Transition Metal-Free α -Methylation of 1,8-Naphthyridine **Derivatives Using DMSO as Methylation Reagent**

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

Shaohua Jiang, Zhihai Yang, Ziyin Guo, Yibiao Li, Lu Chen, Zhongzhi Zhu,* and Xiuwen Chen*

Het

Het

N

This work

 R^{1}

R

c) Yao (2012)

a) Classical approaches

b) MacMillan and Li

"methylation source

dimethyl sulfoxide.¹⁴ However, using palladium salt reduced

the practicality of this method, and 1,8-naphthyridine

substrates could not be accessed in this transformation. The

1,8-naphthyridine ring system is an attractive structural motif owing to its wide distribution in bioactive molecules and pharmaceuticals, ^2a, 15 which include a potent $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$ receptor antagonist A,¹⁶ antibacterial agents B,¹⁷ and CETP inhibitor C.¹⁸

As part of our continuing research interest in the ortho-

functionalization of N-heterocycles,19 we aimed to design a

mild ortho-methylation method for the laboratory methylation

HO Me

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A practical approach to the direct α -methylation of 1,8naphthyridines under mild reaction conditions has been developed using simple and readily available DMSO as a convenient and environmentally friendly carbon source. This method is transition metal-free and highly chemoselective, shows good functional group tolerance, and uses DMSO as a methyl source, providing efficient and rapid access to an important compound class, 2-methyl-1,8-naphthyridines.

Introduction

Published on 25 July 2019. Downloaded by KEAN UNIVERSITY on 7/25/2019 11:33:57 AM

The methyl group is among the most important inert functional groups in organic chemistry.¹ The introduction of a methyl group into an aromatic molecule (namely methylation) is an important methodology in organic synthesis. In recent years, chemists have devoted much attention to the development of new methylation reagents that have successfully been applied to the methylation of heteroarenes.² Usually, the classic tool for aromatic methylation is directed orthometalation. which requires transition metals. stoichiometric amounts of oxidants, or sensitive methylation reagents (Scheme 1a).³ Recently, MacMillan⁴ and Li⁵ developed some simple and mild protocols for the photoinduced methylation of heteroarenes using MeOH as the methylation reagent under an ambient atmosphere (Scheme 1b). In 2014, Gui et al. presented a two-step C-H functionalization method for methylation the of heteroarenes.⁶ Despite significant progress in this field, the development of new synthetic methods for the orthomethylation of N-heterocycles in a green, efficient, and metalfree manner remains highly desirable.

School of Biotechnology and Health Sciences, Wuyi University, Jiangmen 529020, China

E-mail: chenxiuwen2010@126.com; wyuchemzzz@126.com.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any

supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

of 1,8-naphthyridines using a cheap and safe methylation source.



Figure 1 Representative biologically active compounds.

Results and discussion

Published on 25 July 2019. Downloaded by KEAN UNIVERSITY on 7/25/2019 11:33:57 AM.

Initially, 2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine 1a was selected as the model substrate and DMSO as solvent to investigate different reaction conditions, and a range of conventional bases and hydrogen donors (HDs) were evaluated, with the results summarized in Table 1. First, desired product 2a was detected in 33% yield when using t-BuOK as base and isopropanol as HD in DMSO at 120 °C for 8h (entry 1). Conventional bases, such as NaOMe, HCO₂Na, NaOH, KOH, and t-BuONa were tested in the reaction, with t-BuONa found to be the most effective, affording product 2a in 38% yield (Table 1, entries 2-6). The control experiment demonstrated that base and HD were indispensable, because no product was detected in their absence (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). Performing the reaction under visible light irradiation (blue LEDs, 3W) led to an increased yield (entry 9). Several HDs were screened (entries 10-12), with 1-phenylethanol found to be more effective than other alcohols. Finally, the reaction temperature was investigated, showing that 100 °C was optimal for this transformation, affording the desired product in 73% yield (entry 13).

Table 1 Optimization of Reaction Conditions ^a

Ph	N N H	+ н ₃ с ^{-S} -Сн	bases, alcohol 3 hv	S Ph N N C
	1a			2a
	Entry	Base	Alcohol	Yield (%) ^[b]
	1	t-BuOK	isopropanol	38
	2	NaOMe	isopropanol	19
	3	HCO_2Na	isopropanol	17
	4	NaOH	isopropanol	11
	5	<i>t</i> -BuONa	isopropanol	41
	6	кон	isopropanol	12
	7	t-BuONa	-	-

8	-	isopropanol	- View Article Online
9 [c]	<i>t</i> -BuONa	isopropanol	DOI: 10.1039/C9OB01490J
10	t-BuONa	methanol	28
11	<i>t</i> -BuONa	ethanol	36
12	t-BuONa	1-phenylethanol	68
13 ^[d]	t-BuONa	1-phenylethanol	(64, 73, 71)

^a Reaction conditions: Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were performed with **1a** (0.2 mmol), base (0.4 mmol), alcohol (0.2 mmol), and DMSO (1.5 mL) at 120 °C under N₂ protection for 8 h. ^b Isolated yield. ^c Under visible light irradiation (blue LEDs, 3W). ^d Yields from reaction temperatures of 90, 100, and 110 °C, respectively.



Scheme 2 Substrate scope of 1,8-naphthyridines.^a Standard conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), *t*-BuONa (0.4 mmol), 1-phenylethanol (0.2 mmol), and DMSO (1.5 mL) at 100 °C under visible light irradiation. Isolated yields.

With optimized conditions in hand, the 1,8-naphthyridine substrate scope was explored. Various 1,8-naphthyridines bearing electron-withdrawing and/or electron-donating groups were effective for the transformation, with the results summarized in Scheme 2. Generally, the reactions proceeded smoothly to afford the desired 2-methylated products in reasonable to good isolated yields (2a-2w), and the electronic

Journal Name

Published on 25 July 2019. Downloaded by KEAN UNIVERSITY on 7/25/2019 11:33:57 AM

Journal Name

properties of the aryl ring substituents in the reactants had little effect on product formation. First, different 2-aryl-1,8naphthyridines were employed in the transformation, affording the desired product in good yields of 52%-76% (2a-2k). Pleasingly, heteroaryl-substituted 1,8-naphthyridines also efficiently afforded the corresponding products (2I-2q), which are potential multidentate ligands for organometallic chemistry and catalysis applications.²⁰ The structure of **2q** was confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis. Similarly, 2,3disubstituted 1,8-naphthyridines (1r-1v) underwent effective reaction to generate 2-methylated products (2r-2v). Interestingly, when 1,8-naphthyridine 1w, bearing two reactive α -sites, was used as substrate, the coupling reaction afforded one methyl substituent product (2w). Other N-heterocycles such as pyridine, isoquinoline and indole have been employed for the coupling reaction with DMSO under standard reaction conditions, however the formation of the desired coupling product was not observed, only quinoxaline and quinoline were able to afford the desired product in 12% and 7%, respectively.

To gain insight into the reaction pathway, we conducted several verification experiments (Scheme 3). First, the reaction was conducted in the presence of radical inhibitors 2,2,6,6tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO) and 2,6-ditert-butyl-4methylphenol (BHT), with only a trace amount of 2a detected by NMR analysis, showing that radical generation was involved in this transformation (eq. 1). However, when the reaction was run under conditions reported by the Li group (CH₃OH, hv, CH₂Cl₂ and TFA),⁵ no product was detected, indicating that the reaction pathway was different to that reported in the literature. The absence of 1-phenylethanol resulted in no product generation (eq. 3), indicating that 1-phenylethanol played a crucial role in product formation, perhaps by acting as a hydrogen donor. An isotope-labeling experiment confirmed that the methyl group was obtained from DMSO, while different deuterium ratios were observed on the newly formed naphthyridyl unit when isopropanol-d₈ was used instead of 1phenylethanol. This observation indicates that hydrogen was transferred from isopropanol- d_8 to 1,8-naphthyridine **1a** (eq. 4-5).

When the reaction between 2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine and 1-phenylethanol was performed with toluene instead of DMSO, product **1a'** (25% yield) and acetophenone (33% yield) were formed. The subsequent reaction of **1a'** with DMSO under standard conditions failed to give product **2a** (eq. 7), further supporting the effective suppression of overhydrogenated tetrahydronaphthyridine formation.



COMMUNICATION



Scheme 3 Control experiments

On the basis of recent literature reports,¹³ a plausible mechanistic pathway is depicted in path I. The reaction starts from a single electron transfer (SET) give radical intermediate 1a-A and methyl radical, respectively from 1a and DMSO. And then product 2a is formed via radical coupling of radical intermediate **1a-A** and methyl radical (path I). However, on the basis of above control experiments and recent literature reports,²¹ we believe that the reaction plausible mechanism is path II. The MPV-O reduction of 1a by 1-phenylethanol under basic conditions, which gives a sodium alkoxide that interacts with the imine unit in 1a to form transition state A, was the key reaction initiation step, and is known in the literature.^{21b} Through a reversible MPV-O-type hydrogen transfer,²² the subsequent protonation of A followed by thermodynamically favorable tautomerization of **B** liberates acetophenone, allylic amine B, and tautomer imine C. The reaction is initiated by a single-electron-transfer caused by t-BuONa and light, which causes dimethyl sulfoxide to decompose, forming a methyl radical. Addition of the generated methyl radical to imine C gives corresponding adduct D, which then interconverts to imine intermediate E. The dehydroaromatization of E then affords product 2a.



Scheme 4 Plausible reaction pathways

Finally, we were interested in demonstrating the application of obtained compound 2w. The 2-methyl group of N-heterocycles is a good active site, and acts as a one-carbon bridge. Under Lewis acid conditions,²³ various aldehydes reacted well to afford a variety of (E)-2-alkenylazaarenes in moderate to good yields. Using 2-methyl-1,8-naphthyridines bearing different benzylamine derivatives under different conditions (A and B) ²⁴ gave imidazo-fused N-heterocycles in good yields (Scheme 5).



Scheme 4 Functionalization of methyl substituent in 2w

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have described a direct α -methylenation of 1,8-naphthyridines using DMSO as the methyl source that is achieved under radical reaction conditions. DMSO acts as both solvent and a one-carbon source in this reaction, providing a highly atom-economical and environmentally benign approach to the synthesis of 2-methyl-1,8-naphthyridines. Based on control experiments, a plausible hydrogen transfer reaction path was proposed.

Experimental

General Information

All experiments were carried out under the standard conditions. Flash column chromatography was performed over silica gel (200-300 mesh). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a BrukerAV500/400 instrument internally referenced to TMS, chloroform and DMSO signals. MS analyses were performed on an Agilent 5975 GC-MS instrument (EI). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded using electrospray ionization (ESI) and timeof-flight (TOF) mass analysis. Melting points were uncorrected. The new compounds were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and HRMS.

Substrates preparation

1,8-Naphthyridines 1a-1g, 1i-1n, 1q-1s and 1u-1v were known compounds and prepared via the literature procedures.^{19a, 25} Other substrates (1h, 1o, 1t, 1w) were commercially available, and purchased at J&K Chemic and Energy Chemical. 2-Amino-3pyridinecarboxaldehyde (5 mmol), ketones (6 mmol), t-BuOK (20 mol %), and ethanol (10 mL) were introduced in a flask (50 mL). Then, it was stirred at 50 °C under atmosphere for 5 hours. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated by removing the solvent under vacuum and the residue was purified by column chromatographyotoogNet42Ad substrates 1.

2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine (1a). ^{19a} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.27 (s, 1H), 8.75 (s, 1H), 8.30 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (s, 2H), 7.68 – 7.50 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.3, 156.9, 155.9, 145.6, 137.0, 136.8, 130.8, 129.5, 128.7, 123.5, 119.9, 117.3, 106.7.

2-(p-tolyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1b). ^{19a} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.17 – 9.07 (m, 1H), 8.27 – 8.14 (m, 4H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49 – 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.3, 156.2, 153.7, 140.4, 137.6, 136.7, 135.7, 129.6, 127.8, 121.6, 121.6, 119.5, 21.4.

2-(3,4-dimethylphenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1c). ^{19a} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.10 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, J = 14.5, 8.3 Hz, 3H), 7.98 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (dd, J = 7.7, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.4, 156.2, 153.6, 139.1, 137.5, 137.2, 136.7, 136.0, 130.1, 129.1, 125.2, 121.5, 119.6, 19.8, 19.7.

19a 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1d). Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.07 – 8.95 (m, 1H), 8.06 (dd, J = 16.0, 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.39 - 7.25 (m, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.2, 160.0, 155.9, 153.7, 139.9, 137.7, 136.8, 129.7, 121.8, 120.2, 119.8, 116.7, 112.5, 55.5.

2-(2-fluorophenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1e). 19a Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.14 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.14 - 8.01 (m, 2H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.58 - 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.18 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.3 (d, J = 245.8 Hz), 158.9, 155.9, 154.0, 140.8 (d, J = 7.4 Hz), 138.1, 136.9, 130.3 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), 123.4, 122.1, 121.9, 119.5, 116.9 (d, J = 21.4 Hz), 114.8 (d, J = 23.1 Hz).

25e 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1f). Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.14 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 3H), 8.20 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0, 156.0, 154.0, 138.0, 136.9, 136.8, 136.4, 129.2, 129.0, 121.9, 121.8, 119.4.

2-(4-bromophenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1g). 25e Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.13 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 3H), 7.96 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 156.2, 154.1, 138.0, 137.4, 136.8, 132.0, 129.4, 124.9, 121.9, 121.8, 119.3.

2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1i). ^{25e} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.15 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.5, 155.9, 154.2, 141.7, 138.2, 136.8, 131.7 (d, J = 32.6 Hz), 128.1, 125.7 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 122.7, 122.2, 122.0, 119.6.

2-(4-nitrophenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1j). ^{25e} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.21 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 8.44 – 8.34 (m, 3H), 8.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.7, 155.9, 154.6 148.8, 144.3, 138.5, 136.9, 128.8, 124.0, 122.7, 122.3, 119.7.

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Journal Name

2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1k). ^{19a} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.13 (s, 1H), 8.79 (s, 1H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.28 – 8.07 (m, 3H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.48 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.1, 156.2, 153.8, 137.7, 136.7, 135.7, 134.3, 133.4, 130.8, 129.0, 128.5, 127.9, 127.7, 127.1, 126.4, 125.0, 121.8, 119.8.

2-(furan-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (11). ^{25e} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.03 (s, 1H), 8.12 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 6.63 – 6.52 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.0, 153.8, 153.4, 152.1, 144.4, 137.7, 136.7, 121.6, 121.5, 118.2, 112.7, 111.8.

2-(1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1m). ^{25b} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.94 (s, 1H), 7.96 (m, 2H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (m, 2H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 4.23 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.9, 155.3, 153.2, 136.7, 136.5, 131.2, 129.0, 120.9, 120.5, 113.9, 108.1, 38.5.

2-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1n). ^{19a} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.06 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 156.0, 155.5, 153.8, 144.6, 137.6, 136.7, 130.0, 128.2, 127.1, 121.7, 121.5, 118.7.

2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (1q). ^{25b} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.10-9.20 (m, 1H), 8.86 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.68-8.78 (m, 2H), 8.28 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80-7.90 (m, 1H), 7.46 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.41 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3, 155.8, 155.4, 153.8, 149.1, 137.8, 137.0, 136.9, 124.6, 122.9, 122.5, 122.1, 120.0.

3-methyl-2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine (1r). ^{25b} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.04 (s, 1H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.53 – 7.37 (m, 4H), 2.50 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.4, 154.8, 152.9, 140.1, 137.7, 135.9, 130.6, 129.3, 128.6, 128.1, 121.9, 121.8, 20.6.

3-ethyl-2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine (1s). ^{25b} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.98-9.10 (m, 1H), 7.95-8.25 (m, 2H), 7.49-7.68 (m, 2H), 7.32-7.53 (m, 4H), 2.73-2.85 (m, 2H), 1.14 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.5, 154.5, 152.9, 140.2, 136.6, 136.2, 135.9, 129.0, 128.4, 128.0, 121.9, 121.9, 25.9, 14.6.

2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carbonitrile (1u). ^{25c} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.27 (s, 1H), 8.75 (s, 1H), 8.30 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (s, 2H), 7.68 – 7.50 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 161.3, 157.0, 155.9, 145.6, 137.0, 136.8, 130.8, 129.5, 128.7, 123.5, 119.9, 117.3, 106.7.

5,6-dihydronaphtho[**1,2-b**][**1,8**]**naphthyridine** (**1v**). ^{19a} Known compound, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.95-9.12 (m, 1H), 8.74 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.28-7.48 (m, 3H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.02 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.92 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.3, 155.6, 152.6, 139.5, 136.1, 134.5, 133.9, 131.7, 130.4, 127.8, 127.2, 126.9, 122.2, 121.4, 28.4, 28.0.

Typical procedure for the synthesis of 2.

In a Schlenk tube of 25mL, 1,8-naphthyridines 1 (0.2 mmol), t-BuONa (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and 1-phenylethanol (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in DMSO (2 mL) under visible light irradiation (blue LEDs, 3W) and stirred at 100 °C for 8 h, irradiation was

conducted in a photochemical reactor equipped with visible dight irradiation (420 nm< λ <780 nm). After completion of the resulting solution was cooled to room temperature; the solution was diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL), washed with water (5 mL), extracted with ethyl acetate (3×5 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by preparative TLC on silica gel to give the desired product (2).

Spectral data of compounds

2-methyl-7-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2a). Known compound ^{2a}; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.35 – 8.30 (m, 2H), 8.17 (dd, J = 8.4, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.56 – 7.46 (m, 3H), 7.33 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.3, 160.0, 155.9, 138.7, 137.4, 136.6, 129.9, 128.7, 127.9, 122.6, 119.7, 118.8, 25.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₃N₂ [M+H]⁺: 221.1073; found: 221.1064.

2-methyl-7-(p-tolyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (2b). Yellow solid (52.7 mg, 75% yield), known compound^{2a}; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.16 – 8.08 (m, 1H), 8.01 (dd, J = 11.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (dd, J = 11.7, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 3H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.2, 159.9, 155.9, 140.1, 137.2, 136.5, 135.9, 129.5, 127.8, 122.4, 119.5, 118.6, 25.7, 21.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₅N₂ [M+H]⁺: 235.1230; found: 235.1222.

2-(3,4-dimethylphenyl)-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2c). Yellow oil (54.4 mg, 73% yield); $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20 – 7.14 (m, 2H), 2.71 (s, 3H), 2.24 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.1, 160.0, 155.9, 138.9, 137.1, 137.0, 136.5, 136.1, 130.0, 129.1, 125.1, 122.3, 119.5, 118.6, 25.7, 19.8, 19.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₇N₂ [M+H]⁺: 249.1386; found: 249.1377.

2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2d). White solid (51.0 mg, 68% yield), m.p: 136.2-137.9 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.96 – 7.91 (m, 1H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.81 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.3, 160.1, 159.8, 155.7, 140.1, 137.4, 136.7, 129.6, 122.7, 120.3, 119.8, 119.1, 116.5, 112.6, 55.6, 25.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M+H]⁺ Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₅N₂O 251.1179; found: 251.1169.

2-(2-fluorophenyl)-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2e). Yellow solid (52.5 mg, 69% yield), m.p: 99.9-101.1 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 8.05 – 8.01 (m, 2H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (td, J = 8.0, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.20 – 7.09 (m, 1H), 2.82 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.3, 163.6, 162.3, 157.1 (d, J = 356.1 Hz), 140.9 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), 137.7, 136.6 (s), 130.2 (d, J = 7.8 Hz), 123.3 (d, J = 2.7 Hz), 122.9, 119.9, 118.7, 116.8 (d, J = 21.2 Hz), 114.8 (d, J = 23.0 Hz), 25.7.¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -112.9. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₂FN₂ [M+H]⁺: 239.0979; found: 239.0968.

2-(4-chlorophenyl)-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2f). White solid (57.9 mg, 76% yield), m.p: 189.0-191.1 $^{\circ}$ C ; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum

COMMUNICATION

Published on 25 July 2019. Downloaded by KEAN UNIVERSITY on 7/25/2019 11:33:57 AM

ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.31 – 8.24 (m, 2H), 8.20 (dd, J = 8.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (dd, J = 8.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52 – 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.6, 158.7, 155.8, 137.7, 137.0, 136.6, 136.2, 129.2, 128.9, 122.9, 119.8, 118.5, 25.8. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₂ClN₂ [M+H]⁺: 255.0684; found: 255.0672.

3-(4-bromophenyl)-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2g). Grey solid (63.5 mg, 71% yield), known compound ^{2a}; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.1 Hz, 3H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.68 – 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.6, 158.8, 155.7, 137.7, 137.4, 136.7, 131.9, 129.4, 124.7, 122.9, 119.8, 118.5, 25.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₂BrN₂ [M+H]⁺: 299.0178; found: 299.0168.

3-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2h). Yellow solid (46.2 mg, 52% yield), m.p: 208.0-210.1 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 20.6, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.86 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.4, 159.5, 155.9, 142.7, 140.4, 137.4, 136.7, 128.9, 128.3, 127.7, 127.4, 127.2, 122.7, 119.7, 118.8, 25.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₁H₁₇N₂ [M+H]⁺: 297.1386; found: 297.1374.

2-methyl-7-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (2i). Grey solid (57.9 mg, 67% yield), known compound^{2a}; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.40 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.8, 158.3, 155.6, 141.8, 137.9, 136.7, 131.52 (d, *J* = 32.4 Hz), 128.1, 125.63 (q, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 125.2, 123.2, 120.1, 118.8, 25.7.¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -62.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₂F₃N₂ [M+H]⁺: 289.0947; found: 289.0935.

2-methyl-7-(4-nitrophenyl)-1,8-naphthyridine (2j). Brown solid (50.1 mg, 63% yield), m.p: 224.0-225.1 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 8.38 – 8.26 (m, 3H), 8.12 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.3, 157.3, 155.7, 148.6, 144.5, 138.2, 136.7, 128.7, 123.9, 123.7, 120.3, 118.9, 25.8. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for $C_{15}H_{12}N_3O_2$ [M+H]⁺: 266.0924; found: 266.0914.

2-methyl-7-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (2k). Yellow solid (60.8 mg, 75% yield), m.p: 194.4-196.0 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, J = 11.1, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.93 – 7.84 (m, 1H), 7.62 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.4, 159.8, 155.8, 137.4, 136.8, 135.8, 134.2, 133.4, 129.0, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 127.0, 126.4, 125.1, 122.7, 119.8, 119.0, 25.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₉H₁₅N₂ [M+H]⁺: 271.1230; found: 271.1219.

2-(furan-2-yl)-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2l). Yellow solid (46.6 mg, 74% yield), m.p: 123.4-125.1 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.1

6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.69 – 7.57 (m, 1H), 7.51 (dd, J = 3.1, 2.6, 12.4, 14), 7.27 (dd, J = 7.5, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (td, J = 3.3, 1.7, 12.3, 14), 2.78, 3.9, 3.17, 12.9, 14, 2.78, 3.9, 3.17, 12.6, 12.6, 14.2, 137.4, 136.6, 122.4, 119.6, 117.3, 112.8, 111.5, 25.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for $C_{13}H_{11}N_2O$ [M+H]⁺: 211.0866; found: 211.0859.

2-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (2m). Yellow oil (36.8 mg, 55 % yield); $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.01 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (dd, J = 11.1, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 6.31 – 6.18 (m, 1H), 4.33 (s, 3H), 2.82 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8, 155.6, 155.2, 136.7, 136.3, 131.5, 128.6, 121.8, 120.3, 118.5, 113.6, 108.1, 38.3, 25.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₄N₃ [M+H]⁺: 224.1182; found: 224.1173.

2-methyl-7-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (2n). White solid (42.7 mg, 63% yield), known compound^{2a}; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dd, J = 5.0, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 – 7.07 (m, 1H), 2.77 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.4, 155.6, 155.2, 144.7, 137.3, 136.6, 129.7, 128.1, 126.9, 122.4, 119.6, 117.8, 25.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₁N₂S [M+H]⁺: 227.0637; found: 227.0630.

2-(benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl)-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (20). Yellow solid (53.8 mg, 65% yield), m.p: 210.6-212.7 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (s, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.86 – 7.78 (m, 1H), 7.40 – 7.34 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.7, 155.7, 155.3, 144.7, 141.6, 140.3, 137.3, 136.6, 125.6, 124.5, 124.5, 123.7, 122.8, 122.8, 120.1, 118.2, 77.3, 77.1, 76.8, 25.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for $C_{17}H_{13}N_2S$ [M+H]⁺: 277.0794; found: 277.0788.

2-(7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridin-2-yl) thiazole (2p). Brown solid (44.3 mg, 65% yield), m.p: 123.4-125.1 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.41 – 8.33 (m, 1H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 8.10 – 8.03 (m, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38 – 7.29 (m, 1H), 2.81 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.0, 163.9, 155.4, 154.0, 144.2, 137.9, 136.8, 123.4, 123.2, 121.4, 118.1, 25.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₂H₁₀N₃S [M+H]⁺: 228.0590; found: 228.0582.

2-methyl-7-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,8-naphthyridine (2q). Yellow solid (30.0 mg, 61% yield), m.p: 115.2-117.5 °C; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.92 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.76 (dd, J = 3.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.71 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.30 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.50 – 7.39 (m, 2H), 2.88 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.40, 159.04, 155.59, 155.56, 149.04, 137.54, 137.03, 136.81, 124.58, 123.12, 122.61, 120.92, 119.22, 25.75. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₂N₃ [M+H]⁺: 222.1026; found: 222.1018.

3,7-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2r). Black solid (49.9 mg, 71% yield), m.p: 118.1-119.8 °C ; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.50 – 7.41 (m, 3H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (s, 3H), 2.53 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.03, 162.31, 154.45, 140.25, 137.49, 135.82, 129.61, 129.32, 128.43, 127.96, 122.81, 119.74, 25.56, 20.54. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₅N₂ [M+H]⁺: 235.1230; found: 235.1221.

Journal Name

2-ethyl-7-methyl-2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2s). Yellow solid (50.6 mg, 68% yield), m.p: 117.4-119.6 °C; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 (dd, J = 8.2, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.71 – 7.59 (m, 2H), 7.51 – 7.41 (m, 3H), 7.34 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.79 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.2, 162.3, 154.0, 140.3, 136.2, 135.7, 135.6, 129.1, 128.3, 127.9, 122.8, 119.9, 25.8, 25.5, 14.8. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for $C_{17}H_{17}N_2$ [M+H]⁺: 249.1386; found: 249.1376.

2-(4-bromophenyl)-3,7-dimethyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2t). Grey solid (67.4 mg, 72% yield), m.p: 158.0-160.7 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v);¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.99 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 4H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (s, 3H), 2.48 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.6, 161.6, 154.3, 139.0, 137.8, 135.9, 131.2, 131.1, 129.4, 123.1, 122.9, 119.9, 25.6, 20.5. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₄BrN₂ [M+H]⁺: 313.0335; found: 313.0335.

7-methyl-2-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carbonitrile(2u).White solid (38.2 mg, 52% yield), m.p: 150.4-152.1 °C ; R_f = 0.2(petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v);¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃)δ 8.71 – 8.62 (m, 1H), 8.18 – 8.09 (m, 3H), 7.55 (dd, J = 3.4, 2.4 Hz,3H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.90 – 2.85 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz,CDCl₃) δ 167.4, 161.2, 155.7, 145.1, 136.9, 136.7, 130.6, 129.5,128.6, 124.5, 118.0, 117.6, 105.6, 26.1. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. forC₁₆H₁₂N₃ [M+H]⁺: 246.1026; found: 246.1016.

10-methyl-5,6-dihydronaphtho[**1**,**2**-**b**][**1**,**8**]**naphthyridine** (**2v**). Brown solid (49.5 mg, 67% yield), m.p: 140.4-141.7 °C ; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.30 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 3.09 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 2.98 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 2.80 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.1, 156.1, 155.3, 139.4, 136.1, 134.4, 134.2, 130.9, 130.3, 127.9, 127.3, 127.1, 122.4, 120.2, 28.4, 28.2), 25.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₅N₂ [M+H]⁺: 247.1230; found: 247.1219.

2-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine (2w). White solid (13.8 mg, 32% yield), m.p: 95.9-97.7 °C; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.06 – 8.95 (m, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40 – 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.02, 155.79, 153.25, 136.94, 136.75, 123.06, 121.39, 120.75, 25.67. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₉H₉N₂ [M+H]⁺: 145.0760; found: 145.0769.

2-methylquinoxaline (2x). Grey oid (12% yield), known compound ²⁶; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 6/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (s, 1H), 8.04 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76-7.68 (m, 2H), 2.75 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.0, 148.3, 144.3, 143.3, 132.4, 131.5, 131.3, 131.0, 25.0.

2-methylquinoline (2z). Yellow oil (7% yield); known compound ²⁶; R_f = 0.4 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 6/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.73 – 7.69 (m, 1H), 7.54 – 7.48 (m, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0, 147.7, 136.3, 129.5, 128.5, 127.5, 126.5, 125.8, 122.1, 25.3.

(E)-2-styryl-1,8-naphthyridine (3a). Yellow oil (39.5 mg, 85% yield); $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.10 (s, 1H), 8.18 – 8.05 (m, 2H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 3H), 7.45 – 7.37 (m, 4H), 7.36 – 7.30 (m,

COMMUNICATION

1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 156.0, 153.8_V[237,4₂₁]266i8, 136.4, 136.2, 129.1, 128.9, 127.8, 127.6, 12 \hat{P} .9]: $\hat{121}$.9 \hat

(E)-2-(3-methylstyryl)-1,8-naphthyridine (3b). Yellow oil (39.9 mg, 81% yield); $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.09 (dd, *J* = 4.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.13 – 8.08 (m, 2H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.42 – 7.36 (m, 2H), 7.30 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 156.1, 153.8, 138.4, 137.3, 136.7, 136.5, 136.2, 129.9, 128.8, 128.2, 127.7, 124.8, 121.9, 121.5, 121.2, 21.5. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₅N₂ [M+H]⁺: 247.1230; found: 247.1224.

(E)-2-(4-chlorostyryl)-1,8-naphthyridine (3c). Yellow oil (40.4 mg, 76% yield); R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 1/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz,) δ 9.10 (dd, *J* = 4.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39 – 7.31 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz,) δ 158.6, 156.0, 153.9, 137.5, 136.8, 135.0, 134.7, 134.7, 129.1, 128.7, 128.2, 122.0, 121.6, 121.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{12}CIN_2$ [M+H]⁺: 267.0684; found: 267.0675.

Ethyl (E)-3-(1,8-naphthyridin-2-yl)acrylate (3d). White solid (32.8 mg, 72% yield), m.p: 87.4-90.1°C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.19 (s, 1H), 8.26 (dd, J = 14.2, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.92 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.37 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.4, 156.6, 154.3, 142.9, 138.1, 137.2, 125.7, 122.9, 122.6, 122.1, 61.0, 14.3. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₃N₂O₂ [M+H]⁺: 229.0972; found: 229.0960.

9-phenylimidazo[1,5-a][1,8]naphthyridine (4a). Brown solid (40.7 mg, 83% yield), m.p: 89.0-90.4 °C ; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.32 – 8.25 (m, 1H), 7.90 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.83 – 7.79 (m, 2H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.50 – 7.44 (m, 3H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 11.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.31 – 7.28 (m, 1H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.3, 144.3, 143.9, 136.0, 133.7, 131.4, 130.3, 128.4, 127.3, 123.6, 121.4, 120.2, 119.6, 118.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₂N₃ [M+H]⁺: 246.1026; found: 246.1035.

9-(p-tolyl)imidazo[1,5-a][1,8]naphthyridine (4b). Brown oil (43.5 mg, 85% yield); $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.31 (dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.28, 144.42, 144.09, 138.28, 135.95, 131.30, 130.69, 130.15, 128.02, 123.49, 121.36, 120.27, 119.39, 118.65, 21.56. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₄N₃ [M+H]⁺: 260.1182; found: 260.1176.

9-(4-methoxyphenyl)imidazo[1,5-a][1,8]naphthyridine (4c). Yellow oil (42.9 mg, 78% yield); $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.31 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, J = 7.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.77, 146.24, 144.47, 143.88, 135.96, 131.70, 131.22, 126.06, 123.37, 121.36, 120.32, 119.31, 118.68, 112.68, 55.32. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₄N₃O [M+H]⁺: 276.1131; found: 276.1124.

Accepted Manus

iomolecular

COMMUNICATION

9-(4-bromophenyl)imidazo[1,5-a][1,8]naphthyridine (4d). Yellow solid (49.1 mg, 76% yield), m.p: 117.0-119.1 °C; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.32 (dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.64 – 7.57 (m, 3H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.3, 144.2, 142.7, 136.2, 132.5, 132.0, 131.5, 130.4, 123.8, 122.7, 121.6, 120.2, 119.7, 118.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₁BrN₃ [M+H]⁺: 324.0131; found: 324.0125.

7-bromo-9-(p-tolyl)imidazo[1,5-a][1,8]naphthyridine (5a). Yellow oil (52.6 mg, 78% yield); R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.32 (dd, *J* = 4.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.40 – 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.6, 144.1, 143.4, 138.8, 136.3, 130.2, 129.5, 128.6, 128.1, 121.9, 120.3, 120.2, 117.5, 110.6, 21.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₃BrN₃ [M+H]⁺: 338.0287; found: 338.0276.

7-bromo-9-(4-methoxyphenyl)imidazo[1,5-a][1,8]-

naphthyridine (5b). Yellow oil (52.9 mg, 75% yield); $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 1/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.32 (dd, J = 4.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.38 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.1, 146.5, 144.2, 143.2, 136.3, 131.8, 128.5, 124.9, 121.9, 120.3, 120.1, 117.6, 112.7, 110.5, 55.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for $C_{17}H_{13}BrN_3O$ [M+H]⁺: 354.0237; found: 354.0228.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Foundation of the Department of Education of Guangdong Province (2018KZDXM070, 2017KZDXM085, 2017KQNCX204), the Foundation for Young Talents (2018KQNCX272, 2018KQNCX273), College Students Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program of Wuyi University and the "Climbing Program" (pdjh2019b0497) Special Funds for financial support.

Notes and references

Notes and references

- 1 X.-F. Wu and K. Natte, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2016, 358, 336.
- 2 (a) W. Ma, F. Chen, Y. Liu, Y. M. He and Q. H. Fan, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 2730; (b) D. A. Dirocco, K. Dykstra, S. Krska, P. Vachal, D. V. Conway and M. Tudge, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 4802.
- (a) R. Caporaso, S. Manna, S. Zinken, A. R. Kochnev, E. R. Lukyanenko, A. V. Kurkin and A. P. Antonchick, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 12486; (b) S. Akira, Y. Tetsuo, I. Hiroaki, N. Masayuki, T. Keiko and O. Noriko, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1986, **59**, 3905; (c) D. A. Peake, A. R. Oyler, K. E. Heikkila, R. J.

Liukkonen, E. C. Engroff and R. M. Carlson, Synthetic Commun., 2006, **13**, 21; (d) R. A. GarzacSanchez, Collar on the Tlahuext-Aca, F. Strieth-Kalthoff and F. Glorius, Chem. Eur. J., 2018, **24**, 10064.

- 4 J. Jin and D. W. MacMillan, Nature, 2015, 525, 87.
- 5 W. Liu, X. Yang, Z.-Z. Zhou and C.-J. Li, *Chem*, 2017, **2**, 688.
- 6 J. Gui, Q. Zhou, C. M. Pan, Y. Yabe, A. C. Burns, M. R. Collins, M. A. Ornelas, Y. Ishihara and P. S. Baran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 4853.
- 7 Y. F. Liu, P. Y. Ji, J. W. Xu, Y. Q. Hu, Q. Liu, W. P. Luo and C. C. Guo, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 7159.
- (a) J. Jia, Q. Jiang, A. Zhao, B. Xu, Q. Liu, W.-P. Luo and C.-C. Guo, *Synthesis*, 2016, **48**, 421; (b) K.-S. Du and J.-M. Huang, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 1405; (c) X. Ren, J. Chen, F. Chen and J. Cheng, *Chem. commun.*, 2011, **47**, 6725.
- 9 (a) F. L. Liu, J. R. Chen, Y. Q. Zou, Q. Wei and W. J. Xiao, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3768; (b) Q. Gao, X. Wu, Y. Li, S. Liu, X. Meng and A. Wu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2924; (c) K. Mal, A. Sharma, P. R. Maulik and I. Das, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 662.
- (a) X. Gao, X. Pan, J. Gao, H. Huang, G. Yuan and Y. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 210–212; (b) M. M. Pramanik and N. Rastogi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 8557.
- (a) Y. Jiang and T. Loh, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4939; (b) G. Yuan, J. Zheng, X. Gao, X. Li, L. Huang, H. Chen and H. Jiang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 7513.
- 12 (a) G. Hu, J. Xu and P. Li, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 6036; (b) T. Shen, X. Huang, Y. F. Liang and N. Jiao, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 6186.
- (a) H. Cao, S. Lei, N. Li, L. Chen, J. Liu, H. Cai, S. Qiu and J. Tan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 1823; (b) Z. Zhang, Q. Tian, J. Qian, Q. Liu, T. Liu, L. Shi and G. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 79, 8182.
- 14 (a) B. Yao, R.-J. Song, Y. Liu, Y.-X. Xie, J.-H. Li, M.-K. Wang, R.-Y. Tang, X.-G. Zhang and C.-L. Deng, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, 354, 1890; (b) G. A. Russell and S. A. Weiner, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1966, 31, 1, 248.
- 15 E. C. Anderson, H. F. Sneddonb and C. J. Hayes, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 3050.
- M. E. Duggan, L. T. Duong, J. E. Fisher, T. G. Hamill, W. F. Hoffman, J. R. Huff, N. C. Ihle, C. Leu, R. M. Nagy, J. J. Perkins, S. B. Rodan, G. Wesolowski, D. B. Whitman, A. E. Zartman, G. A. Rodan and G. D. Hartman, J. Med. Chem., 2000, 43, 3736.
- 17 J. I. Oh, K. S. Paek, M. J. Ahn, M. Y. Kim, C. Y. Hong, I. C. Kim and J. H. Kwak, *Antimicrob. Agents Ch.*, 1996, **40**, 1564.
- M. C. Fernandez, A. Escribano, A. I. Mateo, S. Parthasarathy, E. M. Martin de la Nava, X. Wang, S. L. Cockerham, T. P. Beyer, R. J. Schmidt, G. Cao, Y. Zhang, T. M. Jones, A. Borel, S. A. Sweetana, E. A. Cannady, G. Stephenson, S. Frank and N. B. Mantlo, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 3056.
- (a) X. Chen, H. Zhao, C. Chen, H. Jiang and M. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 14232; (b) X. Chen, Y. Li, L. Chen, Z. Zhu, B. Li, Y. Huang and M. Zhang, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 3559; (c) X. Chen, H. Zhao, C. Chen, H. Jiang and M. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 1171; (d) X. Chen, H. Zhao, C. Chen, H. Jiang and M. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 9087.
- 20 K. T. Prasad, B. Therrien and K. M. Rao, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 693, 3049.
- (a) M. E. Budén, J. F. Guastavino and R. A. Rossi, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 1174; (b) B. Xiong, S. Zhang, H. Jiang and M. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 724; (c) M. Fernández and R. Alonso, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 11; (d) L. M. Jaramillo-Gómez, A. E. Loaiza, J. Martin, L. A. Ríos and P. G. Wang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 3909; (e) K. Yamada, Y. Yamamoto, M. Maekawa, T. Akindele, H. Umeki and K. Tomioka, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 87.
- 22 Q. Xu, J. Chen, H. Tian, X. Yuan, S. Li, C. Zhou and J. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 225.
- 23 Y. Yan, K. Xu, Y. Fang and Z. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 2011, **76**, 6849.

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9OB01490J

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

Journal Name

- 24 (a) P. Qian, Z. Yan, Z. Zhou, K. Hu, J. Wang, Z. Li, Z. Zha and Z. Wang, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 6359; (b) Z. Tan, H. Zhao, C. Zhou, H. Jiang and M. Zhang, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 9939.
- 25 (a) C. Aubry, A. Patel, S. Mahale, B. Chaudhuri, J.-D. Maréchal, M. J. Sutcliffe and P. R. Jenkins, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, **46**, 1423; (b) B. Xiong, S. Zhang, H. Jiang and M. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2016, **18**, 724; (c) E. M. Hawes, D. K. J. Gorecki and R. G. Gedir, J. Med. Chem., 1977, **20**, 838; (d) P. Galatsis, K. Yamagata, J. A. Wendt, C. J. Connolly, J. W. Mickelson, J. B. Milbank, S. E. Bove, C. S. Knauer, R. M. Brooker, C. E. Augelli-Szafran, R. D. Schwarz, J. J. Kinsora and K. S. Kilgore, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007, **17**, 6525; (e) B. Sreenivasulu and K. V. Reddy, *Current Science*, 1977, **46**, 597.
- 26 J. Wu, D. Talwar, S. Johnston, M. Yan and J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, **52**, 6983.