OXIDATIVE COUPLING OF 4,5,6-TRICHLOROGUAIACOL WITH IRON(III) HEXACYANOFERRATE(III)

Kristina C. Arnoldsson and Carl Axel Wachtmeister.

Environmental Chemistry, Wallenberg Laboratory, Stockholm University, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden.

Iron(III) hexacyanoferrate(III) is shown to be a useful reagent in oxidative coupling of 4,5,6-trichloroguaiacol (4,5,6-TCG). Dimerisation proceeds via oxidative demethylation and loss of chloride to give an *ortho*-quinonoid dimer in high yield. The proposed structure 1 is based largely on mass-spectra of methyl derivatives of the corresponding diol.

Iron(III) hexacyanoferrate(III) (1) has been used as a one-electron reagent to effect intramolecular carbon-carbon and carbon-oxygen coupling of bifunctional β -dicarbonyl compounds (2). The present study was initiated to investigate the usefulness of this complex for dimerisation of chlorinated guaiacols, not reactive to iron(III)-ions or potassium hexacyanoferrate(III) alone. 4,5,6-TCG, of environmental importance through its occurrence in bleached kraft mill effluents (3), was chosen as a model compound.



SYNTHESIS

To an aqueous solution of 4,5,6-TCG (4), the oxidation agent iron(III) hexacyanoferrate(III) is added as a freshly made 0.18 M solution (5) in a 5-fold molar excess. After stirring for 15 min at room temperature the oxidation is stopped by adding potassium fluoride (6). This prevents the potassium iron(III) hexacyanoferrat(II), also known as Prussian Blue, from precipitating and thus interfering with the isolation of the main product which precipitated from the solution as a yellow microcrystallisate. It was conveniently isolated by extraction with dichloromethane. The oxidation

gives >90% yield of 3,4-dichloro-5-[2',3',4'-trichloro-6'-methoxy-phenoxy]-cyclohexa-3,5-dien-1,2dione 1 (7) and traces of a hitherto unidentified by-product, so far only characterised on TLC (8). Reduction of 1 with ascorbic acid (9) gives 2,3,4,2',3'-pentachloro-4',5'-dihydroxy-6-methoxydiphenyl ether 2 (10) which upon subsequent methylation with diazomethane gives 2,3,4,2',3'pentachloro-4',5',6-trimethoxydiphenyl ether 3 (11), characterized by GC-MS (Table 1). No isomers of 3 could be detected on GC-ECD (12) after methylation of crude 2 obtained from unpurified 1.

Two partially methylated products 4 and 5 were obtained through short methylation of 2 with diazomethane, and characterized by GC-MS.(Table 1)

 Table 1. GC retention times and selected fragments of mono- and dimethylderivatives of 2 on GC-EIMS*. Calculations based upon fragments containing ³⁵Cl only.

_	ret.time (min)	m/ə	<u> </u>	<u>[M-15]</u> ⁺	[M-50] ⁺	[M-70]*
3	27.1	430	100	78	<5	<5
4	28.5	416	100	11	12	38
5	28.1	416	85	100	<5	5

* GC-MS analyses were performed on a Finnigan 4021 instrument, ion source temperature 140°C, electron energy 70eV: GC separations were performed on a fused silica capillary column DB-5 30m x 0.25mm, film thickness 0.025mm (J&W Scientific, Inc., CA, USA). Temperature program 80°C/2min-10°C/min-280°C/20min.

DISCUSSION

The proposed structures of 1-5 which presume coupling in position 5 (scheme 1) of the quinonoid part of the dimer are based on fragmentation patterns on GC-MS (electron impact) of 3, 4 and 5. According to Tulp and Hutzinger and Humppi *et al. (13)* the position of the methoxy-group of a methoxy-polychlorodiphenyl ether can be deduced from its mass-spectrum comparing the abundances for ions [M-15]⁺, [M-50]⁺ and [M-70]⁺. A methoxyl *ortho* to the diphenyl ether bridge give rise to a large and often dominating fragment [M-50]⁺ ([M-CH₃Cl]⁺) interpreted as a dioxin type fragment, whereas in the *para*-position the loss of a methyl radical from the molecular ion results in a stable oxonium ion, [M-15]⁺. In contrast, a methoxyl in the *meta*-position does not favour formation of these ions, instead the dominating way of fragmentation is often loss of chlorine to [M-70]⁺.

The methyl derivatives 3-5, although all containing one TCG-derived methoxy group *ortho* to the diphenyl ether bridge, nevertheless give no dominating fragment [M-50]⁺. The observed fragmentations (Table 1) are instead compatible with a methoxy group *para* (3,5) or *meta* (4) to the ether bridge. These results point strongly against carbon-oxygen coupling in position 3 or 6 of 1 but

favour coupling - with loss of chloride - in position 4 or 5. For sterical reasons, coupling in position 4 would appear less probable. The proposed structure 3 was eventually fully confirmed by X-ray crystallography (14).

The formation from 4,5,6-TCG of a single dimerised isomer 1 in high yield points to an initial formation of a 3,4,5-trichloro-*o*-quinone (3,4,5-TCQ) via oxidative demethylation of 4,5,6-TCG (scheme 2.) Nucleophilic addition of unchanged 4,5,6-TCG to the least hindered position 5 of 3,4,5-TCQ followed by loss of chloride should give 1. The apparently very high reactivity of the postulated but so far not described 3,4,5-TCQ has bearings on Michael type additions of S- and N-type nucleophiles to nascent *o*-quinones (15).



Scheme 2.

3,4,5-TCQ

Oxidation of 4,5,6-TCG along scheme 2 is postulated to proceed via two one-electron steps to an intermediate phenoxonium ion. The mechanism of the final demethylation step most probably resembles that of similar reactions, e.g. periodate mediated oxidative demethylation of guaiacol (16) found to proceed via aryl-oxygen fission (16,17).

Financial support from the National Swedish Environmental Protection Board is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. J.F.de Wet, R.Rolle, Zanorg.allg.Chemie, 1965, 336, 96.
- O.Mattson, C.A.Wachtmeister, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u>, 1967, 20, 1855; <u>Acta Chem.Scand.</u>, 1968, 22, 79.
- 3. L.R.Suntio, W.Y.Shiu, D.Mackay, Chemosphere, 1988, 17, 1249.
- 4. A coupling was typically performed on 250 mg of 4,5,6-TCG dissolved in 5 L water.
- 5. made from equal volumes of 0.36 M potassium hexacyanoferrate(III) and 0.18 M iron(III) sulphate.
- 6. Added as a saturated solution to 20 times the molar amount of iron(III) hexacyanoferrate(III).
- m.p. 183-187°C. Anal. caicd. for C₁₃H₅O₄Cl₅ (Mw 402.4): C,38.8; H,1.2; Ci,44.1. Found C,39.4; H,1.5; Ci,44.2. IR(CDCl₃): 2940(broad), 2850, 1670, 1605 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR:(δ ppm) 7.12(s,1H), 5.45(s,1H), 3.88(s,3H). ¹³C-NMR:(δ ppm) 175.7(C=O), 170.4(C=O), 167.0(C-O), 160.9(C-O), 150.0(C-O), 141.1(C-Cl), 136.6(C-Cl), 134.1(C-Cl), 132.9(C-Cl), 128.3 (C-Cl), 112.8(C-H), 104.1(C-H), 56.8(C-H₃). EIMS(70eV):m/z(%), 400(M⁺,<5), 372(6), 337(11), 322(9), 211(33), 162(100). Calculations based upon fragments containing ³⁵Cl only.

- Attempts to separate 1 from the by-product by recrystallisation were unsuccessful. Instead 1
 was made to precipitate from a large volume of water. After this treatment no traces of the
 by-product in 1 could be detected on TLC. TLC-system: MeOH:H₂O 9:1 on reversed phase
 C-18 pre-coated plates (Merck, Darmstadt, FRG). R_i-values for 1, 4,5,6-TCG and by-product
 are 0.29, 0.45, 0.61 respectively.
- The crude coupling product 1 was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.6M). Ascorbic acid was added as a 15 M aqueous solution to 25 times the molar amount of 1. After stirring for 2-3h at room temperature, the organic phase was withdrawn. The product was crystallised from CH₂Cl₂.
- 10. mp 172-173°C. Anal. calcd. for C₁₃H₇O₄Cl₅ (Mw 404.5): C,38.6; H,1.7; Cl,43.8. Found C,38.0; H,1.6; Cl,43.8. ¹H-NMR:(δ ppm) 8.26(s,1H), 7.58(s,1H), 7.14 (s,1H), 6.04(s,1H), 3,81(s,3H).
 ¹³C-NMR:(δ ppm) 151.3(C-O), 145.4(C-O), 144.1(C-O), 139.3(C-O), 137.6(C-O), 129.5(C-Cl), 128.4(C-Cl), 122.5(C-Cl), 120.3(C-Cl), 112.2(C-H), 110.4(C-Cl), 100.1(C-H), 56.1(C-H₃). EIMS(20eV):m/z(%), 402(M⁺,16), 226(100), 211(27). Calculations based upon fragments containing ³⁵Cl only.
- 11. mp. 153-155°C. Anal. calcd. for $C_{15}H_{11}O_4Cl_5$ (Mw 432.5): C,41.7; H,2.5; Cl,41.0. Found C,41.0; H,2.7; Cl,41.4. ¹H-NMR:(δ ppm) 7.01(s,1H), 5.94(s,1H), 3.77(s,3H), 3.74(s,3H), 3.62(s,3H). EIMS (cf Table 1).
- 12. GC analysis was performed on a DB-5 30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.025 mm film thickness with EC detector. Temperature progr. 80°C/2min-5°C/min-280°C/30min.
- M.T.M.Tulp, O.Hutzinger, <u>Biomed.Mass Spectrom.</u>, 1978, 5, 224; T.Humppi, R.Laitinen, E.Kantolahti, J.Knuutinen, J.Paasivirta, J.Tarhanen, M.Lahtiperä, L.Virkki, <u>J.Chromatogr.</u>, 1984, **291**, 135.
- 14. We thank Dr. Lars Eriksson, Stockholm University for performing the X-ray analysis, to be published in an other context.
- cf e.g. O.Hinsberg, A.Himmelschein, <u>Ber.dtsch.Chem.Ges.</u>, 1896, **29**, 2023; J.Daneke, U.Jahnke, B.Pankow, H.-W.Wanzlick, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1970, **15**, 1271; P.Adrian, E.S.Lahaniatis, F.Andreux, M.Mansour, I.Scheunert, F.Korte, <u>Chemosphere</u>, 1989, **18**, 1599.
- 16. E.Adler, I.Falkehag, B.Smith, Acta Chem.Scand., 1962, 16, 529.
- 17. P.Jacob, III, P.S.Callery, A.T.Shulgin, N.Castagnoli, Jr., J.Org.Chem., 1976, 41, 3627.

(Received in UK 28 November 1990)