ChemComm

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 12774–12776

COMMUNICATION

Solid-phase synthesis of arginine-based double-tailed cationic lipopeptides: potent nucleic acid carriers[†]

Aleksandra Liberska,^a Annamaria Lilienkampf,^a Asier Unciti-Broceta^{*bc} and Mark Bradley^{*a}

Received 19th September 2011, Accepted 14th October 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1cc15805h

Herein we report a highly-efficient solid-phase strategy for the modular synthesis of 63 double-tailed lipid-peptide conjugates and their application in DNA delivery.

Solid-phase organic synthesis is a versatile technique for the preparation of oligomeric compounds such as peptides¹ and peptidomimetics,² nucleic acids^{3,4} and analogues,⁵ oligo-saccharides,⁶ dendrimers⁷ and small molecules.⁸ Apart from the well-known advantages of solid-phase chemistry (*e.g.* forcing reactions to completion by mass action, simplified purification, compatibility with a broad range of solvents),⁹ it enables splitting (and mixing when appropriately encoded)^{5,10} during the stepwise incorporation of different building blocks.

Introducing DNA into cells, commonly termed transfection, has become an essential technique for countless biochemical studies and, in principle, can be used to treat both inherited and acquired diseases.¹¹ To facilitate DNA transfection, cell delivery vehicles have been developed, often based on liposomal formulations formed by self-assembly of cationic lipids.¹¹ To date, a wide range of cationic lipids has been generated and used as transfection reagents.^{11–13} However, the determination of structure/transfection-activity relationships (STARs) remains elusive since the families of cationic lipids evaluated do not typically possess related structural features. In addition, transfection efficiency is often dependent on the targeted cell type and DNA size, while variations in media and DNA to transfection reagent ratios add additional complications. This makes prospective correlation efforts a rather erratic exercise.^{11f-h} In the absence of defined structural criteria, a parallel approach can be beneficial to assist in the rapid determination of frameworkspecific STARs. To this end, parallel solid-phase synthesis is an optimal strategy for the systematic modification of the modular cationic lipid structure: $^{11f-h,12}$ (i) the cationic headgroup/s, (ii) the linking moiety, and (iii) the hydrophobic tail/s.

Herein we report a solid-phase strategy for the synthesis of 63 double-tailed lipid-peptide conjugates using a modular

^b Edinburgh Cancer Research Centre, MRC IGMM, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH4 2XR, UK. E-mail: asier.ub@ed.ac.uk

^c Deliverics Ltd, NB1, Joseph Black Building, Edinburgh, EH9 3JJ, UK † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis and compound characterization, transfection and cytotoxicity studies. See DOI: 10.1039/c1cc15805h approach and their evaluation as DNA carriers (Fig. 1). Double-tailed cationic lipids were synthesised on a Rink amide-functionalised polystyrene resin,¹⁴ which generates an amide group at the C-terminus upon resin cleavage, using the building blocks shown in Fig. 1. Encouraged by the remarkable aggregation properties displayed by gemini and gemini-like surfactants,¹³ four trifunctional spacers containing symmetrical polyamines were used (Fig. 1, **SP1-4**). These were synthesised from diethylenetriamine and norspermidine using DdeOH to allow the selective blocking of the primary amines in the presence of the secondary amine, which could then be selectively coupled to either succinic or maleic anhydride (Scheme 1). These spacers were employed to investigate the optimal separation distance between the lipid tails and the role of spacer flexibility on the transfection abilities of the compounds.



Fig. 1 General structure of the cationic lipopeptide library and the building blocks used in its synthesis (M1: the cationic headgroup; M2: the spacers and M3: the lipid tails).

^a School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JJ, UK. E-mail: mark.bradley@ed.ac.uk



Scheme 1 Synthesis of Dde-protected spacers SP1-4. Reagents and conditions: (i) DdeOH (2.1 equiv.), DCM, 6 h; (ii) succinic or maleic anhydride (1 equiv.), DCM, 8 h, overall yield 91–94% (two steps).

The synthesis of 60 arginine-based lipopeptides was performed in parallel as described in Scheme 2 (for full description see ESI†). Microwave-assisted coupling of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH using DIC/HOBt was followed by Fmoc deprotection to give



Scheme 2 Solid-phase synthesis of arginine-containing double-tailed cationic lipids. Reagents and conditions: (i) Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH (3 equiv.), DIC (3 equiv.), HOBt (3 equiv.), DMF/DCM (2:1), μ W, 60 °C, 20 min; (ii) 20% piperidine in DMF, 10 min (×2); (iii) spacer (SP1, SP2, SP3 or SP4, 3 equiv.), DIC (3 equiv.), HOBt (3 equiv.), DMF/DCM (2:1), μ W, 60 °C, 20 min; (iv) 5% hydrazine in DMF, 2 h; (v) fatty acid (3 equiv.), DIC (3 equiv.), HOBt (3 equiv.), DMF/DCM (2:1), μ W, 60 °C, 20 min (×2); (vi) TFA/TIS/H₂O (95:2.5:2.5), 2 h.

mono-arginine resin (**R1**). This protocol was repeated to give diand tri-arginine scaffold resins (**R2** and **R3**). Resins **R1-3** were subsequently divided into four, independently coupled to the four Dde-protected spacers **SP1-SP4** and treated with 5% hydrazine in DMF to cleave the Dde groups.¹⁴ The resins were further split into 5 and coupled to a set of fatty acids (palmitic (**P**), stearic (**S**), oleic (**O**), arachidic (**A**) and lignoceric (**L**) acid) using DIC/HOBt. Removal of the Pbf protecting groups and cleavage from the resin was achieved using a mixture of TFA/TIS/H₂O (95:2.5:2.5) to give the lipopeptides as their TFA salts.

The DNA transfection abilities of the compounds were evaluated with HeLa cells employing pEGFP-C1 (4.7 kilobases) as a reporter. As shown in Fig. 2, EGFP expression results were strongly dependent on the number of arginine residues, with little transfection detected with the mono-arginine compounds. Overall, the presence or absence of unsaturation in the spacer moiety had a minor impact on transfection. Di-arginine and tri-arginine compounds with diethylenetriamine-based spacers (SP1, SP2) gave the highest transfection rates. In particular cationic lipids containing palmitoyl tails (P), the shortest fatty acid used in the library, and spacers SP1 and SP2 led to transfection rates comparable or even higher than LipofectamineTM 2000. Flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 2b,d) confirmed that di-arginine compounds P^2 -SP1-R2 and P^2 -SP2-R2 gave the highest levels



Fig. 2 Transfection screening of HeLa cells with arginine-based lipopeptides. Lipoplexes were formulated using DOPE as co-lipid (1:1 molar ratio) and pEGFP-C1 at N/P ratios of 5:1 and 10:1 and tested in triplicate. LipofectamineTM 2000 (L2000) was used as the positive control and untreated cells as a negative control. (a) Mean fluorescence (a.u. = arbitrary units) of cell population 48 h after transfection (measured using a BioTek FLx800microplate reader: 485/20 excitation, 530/25 emission). (b) Percentage of transfected HeLa cells as measured by flow cytometry (FACSaria). (c) Merge image (brightfield + fluorescent channels) of HeLa cells transfected with P²-SP1-R2. Scale bar: 50 μ m. (d) Flow cytometry histogram of untransfected HeLa cells (upper) and cells transfected with P²-SP1-R2 (lower).

of transfection and MTT cell viability assays showed no cytotoxicity at the concentrations used.

Since P^2 -SP1-R2 (bearing C16 tails), showed superior transfection effiency compared to conjugates with longer fatty tails and the presence of double bond did not appear to be required, SP1-R2 compounds with C14, C15 and C17 saturated fatty tails were synthesised as described above to investigate optimal lipid length. These compounds, along with the resynthesised hit P²-SP1-R2, were evaluated against HeLa and HEK293T cells. Results showed that compound P²-SP1-R2 (C16) yielded the highest transfection levels (see ESI†), indicating that 2 arginines, a diethylenetriamine spacer and palmitoyl tails were optimal for DNA transfection with this class of cationic conjugates.

In conclusion, a straightforward and highly-efficient solidphase strategy has been developed for the parallel synthesis of double-tailed lipid-peptide conjugates using a modular approach to rapid generation of comprehensive STARs as DNA carriers. This method was used to generate 63 lipo-arginines and allowed the identification of several highly efficient, non-toxic transfection reagents.

This work was supported by the MRC, SE and the European Regional Development Fund (PoC 10-CHM-001).

Notes and references

- (a) R. B. Merrifield, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 2149–2154;
 (b) I. Coin, M. Beyermann and M. Bienert, Nat. Protoc., 2007, 2, 3247–3256.
- (a) R. N. Zuckermann, J. M. Kerr, S. B. H. Kent and W. H. Moos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 10646–10647; (b) M. A. Fara, J. J. Diaz-Mochon and M. Bradley, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, 47, 1011–1014; (c) A. Unciti-Broceta, F. Diezmann, C. Y. Ou-Yang, M. A. Fara and M. Bradley, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 17, 959; (d) A. S. Culf and R. J. Ouellette, *Molecules*, 2010, 15, 5282–5335; (e) H. K. Murnen, A. M. Rosales, J. N. Jaworski, R. A. Segalman and R. N. Zuckermann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 16112–16119.
- 3 (a) M. H. Caruthers, Science, 1985, 230, 281–285; (b) S. L. Beaucage and R. P. Iyer, Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 2223–2311.
- 4 W. S. Marshall and R. J. Kaiser, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2004, 8, 222–229.
- 5 (a) J. J. Diaz-Mochon, L. Bialy, L. Keinicke and M. Bradley, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 1384–1386; (b) D. Pouchain, J. J. Diaz-Mochon, L. Bialy and M. Bradley, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2007, 2, 810–818.
- 6 P. H. Seeberger, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 19-28.
- 7 (a) V. Swali, N. J. Wells, G. J. Langley and M. Bradley, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 4902-4903; (b) N. J. Wells, M. Davies and M. Bradley, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 6430-6431; (c) A. Basso, B. Evans, N. Pegg and M. Bradley, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, 41, 3763-3767; (d) C. Fromont and M. Bradley, Chem. Commun., 2000, 283-284; (e) M. L. Ternon, J. J. Diaz-Mochon, A. Belsom and M. Bradley, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 8721-8728; (f) S. E. How, A. Unciti-Broceta, R. M. Sanchez-Martin and M. Bradley, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 2266-2269; (g) C. Villalonga-Barber,

M. Micha-Screttas, B. R. Steele, A. Georgopolous and C. Demetzos, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **8**, 1294–1309.

- 8 (a) J. Nielsen and F. R. Jensen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 2011–2014; (b) S. L. Schreiber, *Science*, 2000, 287, 1964–1969; (c) P. Roussel, I. Mathews, P. Kane and M. Bradley, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 4861–4864; (d) I. R. Marsh and M. Bradley, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 17317–17334; (e) P. Roussel, M. Bradley, P. Kane, C. Bailey, R. Arnold and A. Cross, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, 55, 6219–6230; (f) P. Page, M. Bradley, I. Walters and S. Teague, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, 64, 794–799; (g) S. C. McKeown, D. F. Corbett, A. S. Goetz, T. R. Littleton, E. Bigham, C. P. Brisce, A. J. Peat, S. P. Watson and D. M. B. Hickey, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2007, 17, 1584–1589.
- 9 (a) V. Swali, G. J. Langley and M. Bradley, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 1999, **3**, 337–341; (b) B. Atrash, M. Bradley, R. Kobylecki, D. Cowell and J. Reader, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 938–941.
- 10 (a) B. J. Egner, S. Rana, H. K. Smith, N. Bouloc, J. Frey, W. S. Brocklesby and M. Bradley, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 735–736; (b) B. J. Egner and M. Bradley, *Drug Discovery Today*, 1997, **2**, 102–109.
- (a) P. L. Felgner, T. R. Gadek, M. Holm, R. Roman, H. W. Chan, M. Wenz, J. P. Northrop, G. M. Ringold and M. Danielsen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1987, 84, 7413–7417; (b) J. P. Behr, B. Demeneix, J. P. Loeffler and J. Perez-Mutul, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1989, 86, 6982–6986; (c) A. Unciti-Broceta, J. J. Diaz-Mochon, H. Mizomoto and M. Bradley, J. Comb. Chem., 2008, 10, 179–184; (d) A. Unciti-Broceta, E. Holder, L. J. Jones, B. Stevenson, A. R. Turner, D. J. Porteous, A. C. Boyd and M. Bradley, J. Med. Chem., 2008, 51, 4076–4084; (e) A. Unciti-Broceta, L. Moggio, K. Dhaliwal, L. Pidgeon, K. Finlayson, C. Haslett and M. Bradley, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 2154–2158. For reviews see; (f) B. Martin, M. Sainlos, A. Aissaoui, N. Oudrhiri, M. Hauchecorne, J.-P. Vigneron, J.-M. Lehn and P. Lehn, Curr. Pharm. Des., 2005, 11, 375–394; (g) S. Bhattacharya and A. Bajaj, Chem. Commun., 2009, 4632–4656; (h) A. Unciti-Broceta, M. Bacon and M. Bradley, Top. Curr. Chem., 2010, 296, 15–49.
- 12 (a) J. E. Murphy, T. Uno, J. D. Hamer, F. E. Cohen, V. Dwarki and R. N. Zuckermann, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1998, 95, 1517-1522; (b) C.-Y. Huang, T. Uno, J. E. Murphy, S. Lee, J. D. Hamer, J. A. Escobedo, F. E. Cohen, R. Radhakrishnan, V. Dwarki and R. N. Zuckermann, Chem. Biol., 1998, 5, 345-354; (c) K. Lenssen, P. Jantscheff and U. Massing, ChemBioChem, 2002, 3, 852-858; (d) M. Oliver, M. R. Jorgensen and A. Miller, Tetrahedron Lett., 2004, 45, 3105-3107; (e) B. E. Yingyongnarongkul, M. Howarth, T. Elliott and M. Bradley, Chem.-Eur. J., 2004, 10, 463-473; (f) B. E. Yingyongnarongkul, M. Howarth, T. Elliott and M. Bradley, J. Comb. Chem., 2004, 6, 753-760; (g) A. Liberska, A. Unciti-Broceta and M. Bradley, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 61-68; (h) M. Damen, J. Aarbiou, S. F. M. van Dongen, R. M. Buijs-Offerman, P. P. Spijkers, M. van den Heuvel, K. Kvashnina, R. J. M. Nolte, B. J. Scholte and M. C. Feiters, J. Controlled Release, 2010, 145, 33-39; (i) B. E. Yingyongnarongkul, W. Radchatawedchakoon, A. Krajarng, R. Watanapokasin and A. Suksamrarn, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2009, 17, 176-188.
- 13 (a) A. J. Kirby, P. Camilleri, J. B. Engberts, M. C. Feiters, R. J. Nolte, O. Söderman, M. Bergsma, M. L. Fielden, C. L. Garcia Rodriguez, P. Guedat, A. Kremer, C. McGregor, C. Perrin, G. Ronsin and M. C. Van Eijk, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, 42, 1448–1457; (b) C. Bombelli, L. Giansanti, P. Luciani and G. Mancini, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 16, 171–183.
- 14 (a) D. Orain, J. Ellard and M. Bradley, J. Comb. Chem., 2002, 4, 1–16; (b) J. J. Diaz-Mochon, L. Bialy and M. Bradley, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 1127–1129.