Reactions of Cationic Bridging Carbyne Complexes of Bis(η -cyclopentadienyl)diiron Tricarbonyl with **Nucleophiles. A Route to Diiron Bridging Carbene Complexes**

Yajun Liu, Ruitao Wang,* Jie Sun, and Jiabi Chen*

Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai 200032, China

Received February 28, 2000

The reactions of the cationic carbyne complex of diiron, $[Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-CPh)(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ BBr₄ (3), with NaSMe, NaSEt, NaSPh, NaSC₆H₄Me-p, and NaSC₆H₄NO₂-p in THF at low temperature afforded diiron bridging thiocarbene complexes $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(SR)Ph}(CO)_2 (\eta - C_5 H_5)_2$] (5, R = Me; 6, R = Et; 7, R = Ph; 8, R = p-MeC_6H_4; 9, R = p-NO_2C_6H_4). Complex $[Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-CC_6H_4Me-p)(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]BBr_4$ (4) reacted similarly with NaSPh and NaSC₆H₄-Me-p to give corresponding bridging carbene complexes $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(SR)C_6H_4Me-p}(CO)_2 (\eta$ -C₅H₅)₂] (10, R = Ph; 11, R = p-MeC₆H₄). Complex 3 also reacted with NaOPh and NaN(SiMe₃)₂ to yield bridging carbene complexes $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(OPh)Ph}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (12) and $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(N(SiMe_3)_2)Ph}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (13), respectively. Complexes 3 and **4** reacted with reactive salt $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SBu^n)(CO)_6]$ to yield the bridging mercaptocarbene complex $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(SH)Ph}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (14) and the bridging butylthiocarbene complex $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(SBu^n)C_6H_4Me-p}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (15), respectively. The analogous reactions of $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SPh)(CO)_6]$ with **3** and **4** afforded the thiolatobridged iron carbonyl compound $[Fe_2(\mu-SPh)_2(CO)_6]$ (16) and the bridging arylcarbene complexes $[Fe_2(\mu-CO)\{\mu-C(H)Ar\}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (17, Ar = Ph; 18, Ar = p-MeC_6H_4), while $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SC_6H_4Me-p)(CO)_6]$ reacted with **3** to yield **17** and $[Fe_2(\mu-SC_6H_4Me-p)_2 (CO)_6$] (19). The reaction of metal carbonyl anion compounds Na₂W(CO)₅ and Na₂Fe(CO)₄ with 3 gave the same product, 17. The structures of 6, 7, 15, and 17 have been established by X-ray diffraction studies.

Introduction

The considerable interest in the synthesis and structure of a variety of metal-metal bonded cluster complexes is largely due to their important role in many catalytic reactions.^{1–3} Since many dinuclear and polynuclear metal bridging carbene and carbyne complexes are themselves metal clusters or are the precursors of metal cluster complexes, the chemistry of transition metal complexes that contain bridging carbene and carbyne ligands is an area of current interest. In this regard, we are interested in developing the methodologies for the synthesis of such complexes. Metal complexes that contain bridging carbene and carbyne ligands have been examined extensively by Stone and co-workers. Many dimetal bridging carbene and carbyne complexes have been synthesized by reactions 4^{-6} of carbene and carbyne complexes with low-valent metal

species, by reactions⁷ of neutral and anionic carbyne complexes with metal hydrides and cationic metal compounds, or by reactions^{8,9} of cationic carbyne complexes with monoanionic carbonylmetal compounds such as Na[Re(CO)₅], [(Ph₃P)₂N][Mn(CO)₅], and [(Ph₃P)₂N]-[Fe(CO)₃NO]. In our laboratory, one of the methods for the preparation of bridging carbene and carbyne complexes has used the reactions of the highly electro-

⁽¹⁾ Suess-Fink, G.; Meister, G. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 35, 41.

^{(2) (}a) Gladfelter, W. L.; Roesselet, K. J. In The Chemistry of Metal Cluster Complexes; Shriver, D. F., Kaesz, H. D., Adams, R. D., Eds.; Cluster Complexes; Shriver, D. F., Kaesz, H. D., Adams, R. D., Eds.;
VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1990; p 392. (b) Maire, G. In*Metal Clusters in Catalysis*, Gates, B. C., Guczi, L., Knoezinger, H., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1986; p 509.
(3) (a) Poilblanc, R. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1982, *62*, 75. (b) Green, M. L. H.; McGowan, C.; Morise, X. *Polyhedron* 1994, *13*, 2971. (c) Dickson, R. S.; Greaves, B. C. *Organometallics* 1993, *12*, 3249.

⁽⁴⁾ Stone, F. G. A. In *Advances in Metal Carbene Chemistry*; Schubert, U., Ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1989; p 11.

^{(5) (}a) Ashworth, T. V.; Howard, J. A. K.; Laguna, M.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 1593. (b) Berry, M.; Howard, J.
 A. K.; Stone, F. G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 1601. (c) Carriedo, G. A.; Howard, J. A. K.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1555.

^{(6) (}a) Garcia, M. E.; Jeffery, J. C.; Sherwood, P.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 1209. (b) Evans, D. G.; Howard, J. A., K.; Jeffery, J. C.; Lewis, D. B.; Lewis, G. E.; Grosse-Ophoff, M. J.; Parrott, M. J.; Stone, F. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1986**, 1723. (c) Busetto, L.; Jeffery, J. C.; Mills, R. M.; Stone, F. G. A.; Went, M. J.; Woodward, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 101.

^{(7) (}a) Hodgson, D.; Howard, J. A. K.; Stone, F. G. A.; Went, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., **1985**, 331. (b) Pilotti, M. U.; Stone, F. G. A.; Topaloglu, L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1991, 1621. (c)
Howard, J. A. K.; Mead, K. A.; Moss, J. R.; Navarro, R.; Stone, F. G.
A.; Woodward, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1981, 743.
(8) Orama, O.; Schubert, U.; Kreissl, F. R.; Fischer, E. O. Z.

Naturforsch. 1980, 35b, 82.

^{(9) (}a) Martin-Gil, J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Navarro, R.; Stone, F. G. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1979**, 1168. (b) Miguel, D.; Steffan, U.; Stone, F. G. A. *Polyhedron* **1988**, *7*, 443.

philic cationic carbyne complexes of manganese and rhenium, $[\eta$ -C₅H₅(CO)₂M=CPh]BBr₄ (M = Mn or Re), with anionic carbonylmetal compounds. Both of these cationic carbyne complexes reacted not only with monoanionic carbonylmetal compounds such as (Me₄N)-[FeH(CO)₄], Li[Co(CO)₄], Na[η -C₅H₅M(CO)₃] (M = Mo or W), Na[Co(CO)₃PPh₃], and Na[η -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂] but also with dianionic carbonylmetal compounds such as $Na_{2}[Fe(CO)_{4}], (Et_{4}N)_{2}[Fe_{2}(CO)_{8}], Na_{2}[Fe_{3}(CO)_{11}], and$ $Na_{2}[W(CO)_{5}]$, and mixed-dimetal carbonyl anion compounds such as (Ph₃P)₂N[FeCo(CO)₈] and (Ph₃P)₂N-[WCo(CO)₉] to form heteronuclear dimetal bridging carbene and/or bridging carbyne complexes.¹⁰ The synthesis of these new dimetal bridging carbene and/or bridging carbyne complexes is based on preparative methods developed earlier.^{8,9} Recently, we found another method for the preparation of bridging carbene and carbyne complexes: the reactions of olefin-ligated dimetal carbonyl compounds with nucleophiles, followed by alkylation with [Et₃O]BF₄. For instance, the reactions of pentacarbonyl(cyclooctatetraene)diiron and $bis(\eta$ -cyclopentadienyl)diiron tetracarbonyl with aryllithium reagents followed by alkylation with [Et₃O]BF₄ gave olefin-coordinated dimetal bridging alkoxycarbene complexes, $[Fe_2\{\mu - C(OEt)Ar\}(CO)_4(C_8H_8)]^{11}$ (eq 1) and $[Fe_2(\mu-CO)\{\mu-C(OEt)Ar\}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]^{12}$ (eq 2), respectively.



To examine the scope of this new synthetic method for dimetal complexes containing bridging carbene and carbyne ligands, we chose $bis(\eta$ -cyclopentadienyl)diiron complexes containing bridging alkoxycarbene ligands $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(OEt)Ar}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (**1**, Ar = Ph; **2**, $Ar = p-MeC_6H_4$) as starting material for use in reactions with Lewis acids such as BBr₃ to form the respective diiron cationic bridging carbyne complexes [Fe₂(µ-CO)- $(\mu$ -CAr)(CO)₂ $(\eta$ -C₅H₅)₂]BBr₄ (**3**, Ar = Ph; **4**, Ar = p-MeC₆H₄). The analogous conversion of dimetal μ -CR(OR') complexes to cationic μ -CR species using

(11) Chen, J.-B.; Li, D.-S.; Yu. Y.; Jin, Z.-S.; Zhou, Q.-L.; Wei, G.-C. Organometallics 1993, 12, 3885.

[Me₃O]BF₄ or HBF₄·OEt₂ is known.¹³ Cationic carbyne complexes 3 and 4 react with nucleophilic carbonylmetal anions to produce a series of new dimetal bridging carbene complexes. Herein we describe these reactions and the structural characterizations of the resulting products.

Experimental Section

All procedures were performed under an oxygen-free N2 atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents employed were reagent grade and dried by refluxing over appropriate drying agents and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves under a N₂ atmosphere. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether (Et₂O) were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl, while petroleum ether (30-60 °C) and CH₂Cl₂ were distilled from CaH₂. Neutral alumina (Al₂O₃) used for chromatography was deoxygenated at room temperature under high vacuum for 16 h, deactivated with 5% w/w N2-saturatd water, and stored under N₂. Compounds NaN(SiMe₃)₂ (~2 M in THF), NaSMe, and NaSPh were purchased from Fluka Chemical Co. and Aldrich Chemical Co., respectively. Compounds 112 and **2**,¹² and NaSEt,¹⁴ NaSC₆H₄Me-p,¹⁴ NaSC₆H₄NO₂-p,¹⁵ NaOPh,¹⁶ [Et₃NH][Fe₂(μ -CO)(μ -RS)(CO)₆]¹⁷ (R = Buⁿ, Ph, p-MeC₆H₄), $Na_2W(CO)_{5,18}$ and $Na_2Fe(CO)_{419}$ were prepared by literature methods.

The IR spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 983G spectrophotometer. All ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature in acetone- d_6 solution with TMS as the internal reference using a Bruker AM-300 spectrometer. Electron ionization mass spectra (EIMS) were run on a Hewlett-Packard 5989A spectrometer. Melting points of samples in sealed nitrogen-filled capillaries are uncorrected.

Preparation of $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-CPh}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]BBr_4$ (3). Following the known preparations of the analogous cationic carbyne complexes $[\eta$ -C₅H₅(CO)₂-M=CPh]BBr₄ (M = Mn, Re),^{20,21} to a solution of $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(OEt)Ph}(CO)_2(\eta-CO)]$ $C_5H_5_2$ (1) (0.30 g, 0.652 mmol) in 150 mL of ether was added 0.30 mL (3.20 mmol) of BBr₃ at -65 °C with vigorous stirring. Immediately a brown-red solid precipitated from the reaction solution. After stirring for 10 min at -65 °C, the resulting mixture was filtered, and the solids were washed with ether $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ at -65 °C and then dried under high vacuum at -40 °C to give 0.37 g (76%) of 3 as a brown-red solid: mp 84-85 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) v(CO) 2095 (m), 2030 (s), 1851 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 8.51 (m, 2H, C₆H₅), 8.06–7.92 (m, 3H, C₆H₅), 5.86 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 3.42 (q, 6H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O), 1.12 (t, 9H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₁₅O₃BBr₄Fe₂· 1.5(C₂H₅)₂O: C, 36.45; H, 3.53. Found: C, 36.82; H, 3.27.

Preparation of $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-Cc_6H_4Me-p}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ -BBr₄ (4). This complex was prepared in manner similar to 3 using $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(OEt)C_6H_4Me-p}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (2) (0.30

(15) Kuihara, T.; Niwa, H.; Tanaka, F. J. Pharm. Soc. Japan 1952, 72, 1541.

(16) *Chem. Abstr.* **1973**, *79*, 31614p. (17) (a) Seyferth, D.; Womack, G. B.; Dewan, J. C. *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 398. (b) Whitmire, K. H. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E. W., Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1995; Vol. 7 (Shriver, D. F., Bruce, M. I., Eds.), Chapter 1, p 62.

(18) Ellis, J. E.; Hagen, G. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1977**, *16*, 1357.
(19) (a) de Mayo, P.; Verdum, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1970**, *92*, 6080. (b) Hieber, W.; Braun, G. Z. Naturforsch. **1959**, *146*, 132.

(20) Fischer, E. O.; Meineke, E. W.; Kreissl, F. R. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110. 1140.

(21) Fischer, E. O.; Chen, J.-B.; Scherzer, K. J. Organomet. Chem. **1983**, 253, 231.

^{(10) (}a) Chen, J.-B.; Yu, Y.; Liu, K.; Wu, G.; Zheng, P.-J. Organometallics 1993, 12, 1213. (b) Yu, Y.; Chen, J.-B.; Chen, J.; Zheng, P.-J.; J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1996**, 1443. (c) Tang, Y.-J.; Sun, J.; Chen, J.-B. Organometallics **1999**, *18*, 4337. (d) Tang, Y.-J.; Sun, J.; Chen, J.-B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 931. (e) Tang, Y.-J.; Sun, J.; Chen, J.-B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 4003. (f) Tang, Y.-J.; Sun, J.; Chen, J.-B. Organometallics 1998, 17, 2945. (g) Tang, Y.-J.; Sun, J.; Chen, J.-B. Organometallics 2000, 19, 72.

^{(13) (}a) Howard, J. A. K.; Jeffery, J. C.; Laguna, M.; Navarro, R.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1981, 751. (b) Awang, M. R.; Jeffery, J. C.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 2091.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Reid, E. E. Organic Chemistry of Bivalent Sulfur; Chemical Publishing Co. Inc.: New York, NY, 1958; Vol. 1, p 127.

g, 0.632 mmol) and BBr₃ (0.30 mL, 3.20 mmol). A brown-red solid product, **4**, was obtained, yield 0.36 g (75%), mp 76–78 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 2035 (vs), 2005 (m), 1844(s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 8.40 (m, 2H, C₆H₅), 7.73 (m, 1H, C₆H₅), 7.36 (m, 1H, C₆H₅), 5.82 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 3.48 (q, 2H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O), 2.85 (s, 3H, C₆H₄CH₃), 1.14 (t, 3H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₂O₃BBr₄Fe₂·0.5(C₂H₅)₂O: C, 34.68; H, 2.78. Found: C, 35.01; H, 2.96.

Reaction of 3 with NaSMe To Give $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-$ C(SMe)Ph}(CO)₂(η-C₅H₅)₂] (5). To 0.250 g (0.335 mmol) of freshly prepared (in situ) 3 dissolved in 50 mL of THF at -80 °C was added 0.024 g (0.342 mmol) of NaSMe. The reaction mixture was stirred at -80 to -60 °C for 1 h, during which time the turbid solution turned clear deep red gradually. After stirring at -60 to -20 °C for an additional 3 h, the resulting solution was evaporated under high vacuum at -35 °C to dryness and the dark red residue was chromatographed on an alumina column (1.6 \times 15-20 cm) at -25 °C with petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ (5:1) as the eluant. The purple-red band was eluted and collected. After vacuum removal of the solvent, the residue was recrystallized from petroleum ether/ CH₂Cl₂ (15:1) solution at -80 °C to give 0.087 g (56%, based on 3) of purple-red crystals of 5: mp 147-148 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) v(CO) 1983 (vs), 1939 (vs), 1756(s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) & 7.62-6.95 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.13 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 2.28 (s, 3H, CH₃); MS m/e 254 (M⁺ - 3CO - Ph - SMe), 168 [C₅H₅FeSMe]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₁₈O₃SFe₂: C, 54.59; H, 3.93. Found: C, 54.44; H, 3.77.

Reaction of 3 with NaSEt To Give $[Fe_2(\mu$ -CO){ μ -C(SEt)Ph}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (6). Similar to the procedures for the reaction of **3** with NaSMe, compound **3** (0.250 g, 0.335 mmol) was treated with NaSEt (0.029 g, 0.344 mmol) at -80 to -20 °C for 4 h, during which time the turbid solution turned clear deep red gradually. Further treatment of the resulting mixture as described above gave 0.099 g (62%, based on **3**) of dark reddish red crystals of **6**: mp 138–140 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 1983 (vs), 1943 (m), 1774(s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 7.65–6.94 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.28 (m, 1H, CH₂Cl₂), 5.12 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 2.47 (q, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 0.94 (t, 3H, CH₂CH₃); MS *m/e* 254 (M⁺ – 3CO – Ph – SEt), 182 [C₅H₅FeSEt]⁺, 84 (CH₂Cl₂). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₀O₃SFe₂· 0.5CH₂Cl₂: C, 52.07; H, 4.08. Found: C, 52.26; H, 3.99.

Reaction of 3 with NaSPh To Give [Fe₂(μ -CO){ μ -C(SPh)Ph}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (7). Compound 3 (0.250 g, 0.335 mmol) was treated, in a manner similar to that in the reaction of **3** with NaSMe, with 0.045 g (0.339 mmol) of NaSPh at -80 to -20 °C for 4-5 h. Further treatment of the resulting dark red solution as described for the reaction of **3** with NaSMe afforded 0.123 g (70%, based on **3**) of **7** as red crystals: mp 107–108 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 1985 (vs), 1946 (s), 1780(s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 8.06–6.76 (m, 10H, C₆H₅), 5.26 (s, 10H, C₅H₅); MS *m*/*e* 254 (M⁺ – 3CO – Ph – SPh), 230 [C₅H₅FeSPh]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₀O₃SFe₂: C, 59.57; H, 3.85. Found: C, 59.46; H, 3.74.

Reaction of 3 with NaSC₆H₄Me-p To Give [Fe₂(μ -CO)-{ μ -C(SC₆H₄Me-p)Ph}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (8). Similar to that for the reaction of **3** with NaSMe, **3** (0.250 g, 0.335 mmol) was treated with 0.050 g (0.340 mmol) of NaSC₆H₄Me-p at -80 to -20 °C for 4-5 h. Further treatment of the resulting mixture as described for the preparation of **5** yielded 0.134 g (74%, based on **3**) of purple-red crystalline **8**: mp 100–101 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 1984 (vs), 1945 (s), 1772 (vs) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 7.48–6.75 (m, 9H, C₆H₅ +C₆H₄CH₃), 5.22 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 2.21 (s, 3H, C₆H₄CH₃); MS *m*/*e* 254 (M⁺ - 3CO -Ph - SC₆H₄Me), 244 [C₅H₅FeSC₆H₄Me]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₂₂O₃SFe₂: C, 60.22; H, 4.12. Found: C, 59.86; H, 4.02.

Reaction of 3 with NaSC₆H₄NO₂-**p To Give [Fe**₂(μ -CO)-{ μ -C(SC₆H₄NO₂-**p**)-Ph}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (9). The reaction of **3** (0.250 g, 0.335 mmol) with NaSC₆H₄NO₂-**p** (0.060 g, 0.338 mmol) was as described in the reaction of **3** with NaSMe at -80 to -20 °C for 5 h. Further treatment as described for the preparation of **5** gave 0.097 g (51%, based on **3**) of blackish red crystals of **9**: mp 70–72 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 1994 (vs), 1898 (m), 1781 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 8.30–6.94 (m, 9H, C₆H₅ + C₆H₄NO₂), 5.43 (s, 10H, C₅H₅); MS *m/e* 254 (M⁺ – 3CO – Ph – SC₆H₄NO₂), 275 [C₅H₅FeSC₆H₄NO₂]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₁₉O₅NSFe₂: C, 54.86; H, 3.36; N, 2.46. Found: C, 55.01; H, 3.51; N, 2.11.

Reaction of 4 with NaSPh To Give $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(SPh)C_6H_4Me-p}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (10). To 0.240 g (0.316 mmol) of freshly prepared 4 dissolved in 50 mL of THF at -80 °C was added 0.043 g (0.324 mmol) of NaSPh. The reaction mixture was stirred at -80 to -40 °C for 4–5 h, during which time the turbid solution turned clear purple-red gradually. Further treatment as described in the reaction of **3** with NaSMe afforded 0.122 g (72%, based on **4**) of purple-red crystals of **10**: mp 92–93 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 1983 (vs), 1945 (m), 1780 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 7.42–6.34 (m, 9H, C₆H₅ + C₆H₄CH₃), 5.22 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 2.11 (m, 3H, CH₃C₆H₄); MS *m*/e 254 (M⁺ – 3CO – MeC₆H₄ – SPh), 230 [C₅H₅FeSPh]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₂₂O₃SFe₂: C, 60.26; H, 4.12. Found: C, 60.10; H, 4.03.

Reaction of 4 with NaSC₆H₄Me-p To Give [Fe₂(\mu-CO)-{\mu-C(SC₆H₄Me-p)C₆H₄Me-p}(CO)₂(\eta-C₅H₅)₂] (11). Similar to the reaction of 4 with NaSPh, 0.240 g (0.316 mmol) of 4 reacted with 0.048 g (0.327 mmol) of NaSC₆H₄Me-p at -80 to -40 °C for 4-5 h. Further treatment as described for the preparation of 10 yielded 0.131 g (75%, based on 4) of 11 as purple-red crystals: mp 116–118 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) \nu(CO) 1984 (s), 1942 (m), 1786 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) \delta 7.38–6.34 (m, 2H, 2C₆H₄CH₃), 7.02 (m, 4H, 2C₆H₄CH₃), 6.71 (m, 2H, 2C₆H₄CH₃), 5.17 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 2.24 (s, 3H, CH₃C₆H₄S), 2.14 (s, 3H, CH₃C₆H₄); MS *m***/***e* **254 (M⁺ – 3CO – MeC₆H₄ – SC₆H₄Me), 244 [C₅H₅FeSC₆H₄Me]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₂₄O₃SFe₂: C, 60.90; H, 4.38. Found: C, 60.83; H, 4.40.**

Reaction of 3 with NaOPh To Give $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(OPh)Ph}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (12). Compound 3 (0.250 g, 0.335 mmol) was treated, in a manner similar to that for the reaction of **3** with NaSMe, with NaOPh (0.040 g, 0.343 mmol) at -80 to -20 °C for 4 h, during which time the turbid solution turned dark brown-red gradually. Further treatment of the resulting mixture as described in the reaction of **3** with NaSMe afforded 0.065 g (38%, based on **3**) of brown-red crystalline **12**: mp 62-64 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 2010 (vs), 1977 (s), 1813 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 8.43 (m, 3H, C₆H₅), 7.74 (m, 4H, C₆H₅), 7.40 (m, 3H, C₆H₅), 5.26 (s, 10H, C₅H₅); MS *m/e* 254 (M⁺ - 3CO - Ph - OPh), 186 [(C₅H₅)₂Fe]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₀O₄Fe₂: C, 57.80; H, 3.84. Found: C, 57.66; H, 3.53.

Reaction of 3 with NaN(SiMe₃)₂ To Give [Fe₂(\mu-CO)-{\mu-C(N(SiMe₃)₂)Ph}(CO)₂(\eta-C₅H₅)₂] (13). As described for the reaction of 3 with NaSMe, compound **3** (0.250 g, 0.335 mmol) was treated with NaN(SiMe₃)₂ (0.062 g, 0.338 mmol) at -80 to -20 °C for 5 h, during which time the turbid solution turned blackish red gradually. Further treatment of the resulting mixture as described for the preparation of **5** gave 0.063 g (31%, based on **3**) of blackish red crystals of **13**: mp 50 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 1996(s), 1969 (s), 1786 (w) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 7.80–6.97 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.11 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 0.89 (s, 18H, CH₃); MS *m/e* 254 [M⁺ - 3CO - Ph - N(SiMe₃)₂], 186 [(C₅H₅)₂Fe]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₃₃O₃NSi₂Fe₂: C, 54.27; H, 5.78; N, 2.44. Found: C, 54.13; H, 5.92; N, 2.59.

Reaction of 3 with [Et₃NH][Fe₂(\mu-CO)(\mu-SBuⁿ)(CO)₆] To Give [Fe₂(\mu-CO){\mu-C(SH)Ph}(CO)₂(\eta-C₅H₅)₂] (14). To a solution of 0.338 g (0.671 mmol) of Fe₃(CO)₁₂ in 50 mL of THF was added 0.072 mL (0.676 mmol) of n-BuSH and 0.094 mL (0.672 mmol) of Et₃N with stirring. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 40 min. The resulting brown-red solution of [Et₃NH][Fe₂(\mu-CO)(\mu-SBuⁿ)(CO)₆]¹⁷ was cooled to -80 °C and then was poured rapidly into 0.50 g (0.671 mmol) of freshly prepared 3 previously cooled to a -80 °C. The mixture was stirred at -80 to -40 °C for 6 h, during which

time the brown-red solution gradually turned deep red and finally dark red. The resulting mixture was evaporated to dryness under high vacuum at -50 to -40 °C. The dark red residue was chromatographed on Al_2O_3 at -25 °C with petroleum ether/ CH_2Cl_2 (20:1) as the eluant. After elution of a small red band which contains unidentified compound from the column, the brown band was eluted with petroleum ether/ CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O (10:1:1) and collected. After vacuum removal of the solvent, the residue was recrystallized from petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ (10:1) at -80 °C to give 0.18 g (56%, based on 3) of reddish crystals of 14: mp 180-182 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν(CO) 1972 (vs), 1932 (m), 1772(s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 8.01-7.60 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.68 (s, 1H, SH), 5.39 (s, 10H, C_5H_5); MS m/e 448 (M⁺), 420 (M⁺ - CO), 254 (M⁺ - 3CO -Ph – SH), 154 $[C_5H_5FeSH]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{16}O_3SFe_2$: C, 53.61; H, 3.60. Found: C, 53.89; H, 3.46.

Reaction of 4 with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SBu^n)(CO)_6]$ To Give $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(SBu^n)C_6H_4Me-p}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (15). Similar to that in the reaction of **3** with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu CO(\mu$ -SBuⁿ)(CO)₆], compound **4** (0.51 g, 0.672 mmol) was treated with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SBu^n)(CO)_6]$, prepared (in situ) by the reaction of $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ (0.338 g, 0.671 mmol) with 0.094 mL (0.672 mmol) of n-BuSH and 0.072 mL (0.676 mmol) of Et₃N, at -80 to -40 °C for 5 h, during which time the brownred solution gradually turned dark red. Further treatment as that for the preparation of 14 yielded 0.23 g (66%, based on 4) of 15 as purple-red crystals: mp 109-110 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν (CO) 1981 (s), 1940 (w), 1771 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 7.53-6.9 (dd, 4H, C₆H₄CH₃), 5.10 (s, 10H, C₅H₅), 2.53 (t, 2H, SCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃),2.27 (s, 3H, CH₃C₆H₄), 1.30 (m, 4H, SCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.80 (t, 3H, SCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃); MS m/e $254 \ (M^+ \ - \ 3CO \ - \ MeC_6H_4 - \ BuS), \ 210 \ [C_5H_5FeBuS]^+.$ Anal. Calcd for C25H26O3SFe2: C, 57.94; H, 5.06. Found: C, 58.08; H, 5.01.

Reaction of 3 with [Et₃NH][Fe₂(µ-CO)(µ-SPh)(CO)₆] To Give [Fe₂(µ-SPh)₂(CO)₆] (16) and [Fe₂(µ-CO){µ-C(H)Ph}- $(CO)_2(\eta - C_5H_5)_2$] (17). To a solution of 0.338 g (0.671 mmol) of Fe₃(CO)₁₂ in 50 mL of THF was added 0.047 mL (0.680 mmol) of PhSH and 0.094 mL (0.672 mmol) of Et₃N with stirring. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 40 min. The resulting brown-red solution of [Et₃NH][Fe₂(µ-CO)(µ-SPh)- $(CO)_6$ ¹⁷ was cooled to -80 °C and then treated, as that in the reaction of 3 with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SBu^n)(CO)_6]$, with 0.50 g (0.671 mmol) of 3 at -80 to -40 °C for 5 h, during which time the brown-red solution gradually turned dark red. The solvent was removed at -40 °C in vacuo, and the dark red residue was chromatographed on Al₂O₃ at -25 °C with petroleum ether followed by petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ (20:1) as the eluant. The red band which eluted first was collected, and then the brown-red band was eluted with petroleum ether/ CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O (10:1:1). The solvents were removed from the above two eluates under vacuum, and the residues were recrystallized from petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ (10:1) solution at -80 °C. From the first fraction, 0.038 g (11%, based on 3) of orange-red crystals of 16²² were obtained: mp 150 °C dec (lit.²² 153 °C); IR (CH₂Cl₂) v(CO) 2074 (s), 2038 (vs), 2001 (vs) cm⁻¹ (lit.²² (CCl₄) 2073 (s), 2038 (vs), 2003 (vs), 1957 (w) cm⁻¹); ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 7.86 (m, 2H, C₆H₅), 7.68 (m, 3H, C₆H₅); MS m/e 498 (M⁺), 470 (M⁺ - 2CO), 442 (M⁺ - 3CO), 414 $(M^+ - 4CO)$, 386 $(M^+ - 5CO)$, 358 $(M^+ - 6CO)$. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₀O₆S₂Fe₂: C, 43.40; H, 2.02. Found: C, 43.31; H, 2.10. From the second fraction, 0.19 g (68%, based on 3) of purplered crystalline 17 was obtained: mp 79 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν(CO) 1973 (vs), 1934 (m), 1772 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 12.38 (s, 1H, μ-CH), 7.56 (m, 2H, C₆H₅), 7.19 (m, 2H, C₆H₅), 7.07 (m, 1H, C₆H₅), 4.96 (s, 10H, C₅H₅); MS m/e 388 (M⁺ -CO), 360 (M^+ - 2CO), 332 (M^+ - 3CO), 254 (M^+ - 3CO - $C_6H_5 - H$), 211 (M⁺ - 3CO - C_5H_5), 186 [(C_5H_5)₂Fe]⁺. Anal.

Calcd for $C_{20}H_{16}O_{3}Fe_{2}:$ C, 57.74; H, 3.88. Found: C, 57.27; H, 3.86.

Reaction of 4 with [Et₃NH][Fe₂(µ-CO)(µ-SPh)(CO)₆] To Give 16 and $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(H)C_6H_4Me-p}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (18). As in the reaction of 3 with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SPh) (CO)_6$], compound **4** (0.51 g, 0.672 mmol) was treated with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SPh)(CO)_6]$, prepared (in situ) by the reaction of 0.338 g (0.0.671 mmol) of Fe₃(CO)₁₂ with 0.047 mL (0.680 mmol) of PhSH and 0.094 mL (0.672 mmol) of Et_3N , at -80 to -40 °C for 5 h. Further treatment as that in the reaction of 3 yielded 0.027 g (8%, based on 4) of orange-red crystals of 16 and 0.162 g (56%, based on 4) of purple-red crystals of 18. 16 was identified by its mp and IR and ¹H NMR spectra. **18**: mp 140 °C dec; IR (CH₂Cl₂) v(CO) 1969 (vs), 1933 (m), 1775 (vs) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 12.40 (s, 1H, µ-CH), 7.47-7.36 (m, 2H, C₆H₅), 7.00 (d, 2H, C₆H₅), 4.94 (s, 10H, C₅H₅); MS *m*/*e* 430 (M⁺), 402 (M⁺ - CO), 374 (M⁺ - 2CO), 346 (M⁺ - 3CO), 254 (M⁺ - 3CO - MeC₆H₄ - H), 225 (M⁺ $3CO - C_5H_5Fe$). Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{18}O_3Fe_2$: C, 58.65; H, 4.22. Found: C, 58.37; H, 4.49.

Reaction of 3 with [Et₃NH][Fe₂(µ-CO)(µ-SC₆H₄Me-p)- $(CO)_6$] To Give 17 and $[Fe_2(\mu - SC_6H_4Me - p)_2(CO)_6]$ (19). Compound 3 (0.50 g, 0.671 mmol) was treated, similar to that in the reaction of **3** with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SPh)(CO)_6]$, with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SC_6H_4Me-p)(CO)_6]^{17}$ prepared (in situ) by reaction of 0.338 g (0.671 mmol) of Fe₃(CO)₁₂ in 50 mL of THF with 0.084 g (0.676 mmol) of p-MeC₆H₄SH and 0.094 mL (0.672 mmol) of Et₃N at -80 to -40 °C for 4-5 h. Further treatment as described above gave 0.034 g (10%, based on 3) of orangered crystals of 1923 and 0.143 g (51%, based on 3) of purplered crystalline 17. Compound 17 was identified by its melting point and IR and ¹H NMR spectra. 19: mp 103-104 °C dec (lit.²³ 105 °C); IR (CH₂Cl₂) v(CO) 2072 (s), 2037 (vs), 1997 (vs) cm⁻¹ (lit.²³ (CH₂Cl₂) 2065 (s), 2030 (s), 1995 (s) cm⁻¹); ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) δ 7.30 (m, 4H, C₆H₄CH₃), 7.13 (m, 4H, C₆H₄CH₃), 2.27 (s, 3H, C₆H₄CH₃), 2.23 (s, 3H, C₆H₄CH₃) (lit.²⁷ (CDCl₃) δ 7.16 (d, 2H), 7.14 (d, 2H), 6.96 (d, 4H), 2.21 (s, 6H)); MS m/e 526 (M⁺), 470 (M⁺ - 2CO), 442 (M⁺ - 3CO), 414 (M⁺ - 4CO), 386 (M^+ - 5CO), 358 (M^+ - 6CO). Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{14}O_6S_{2^-}$ Fe2: C, 45.66; H, 2.68. Found: C, 45.31; H, 2.90.

Reaction of 3 with Na₂W(CO)₅ To Give 17. W(CO)₆ (0.175 g, 0.497 mmol) and sodium amalgam (1.13 mL of 0.8% Na in Hg) were stirred at room temperature in 20 mL of DME. After 2 h, the solution was a deep red, and the IR spectrum in the $\nu(CO)$ region indicated almost complete reduction to Na₂W(CO)₅.¹⁸ The red solution was evaporated in vacuo to about 5 mL, 50 mL of THF were added, and the reaction mixture was then cooled to -80 °C. This solution was poured rapidly onto a solution of freshly prepared 3 (0.370 g, 0.496 mmol) in THF previously cooled to -90 °C. The solution rapidly turned dark purple-red. The mixture was stirred at -90 to -40 °C for 3-4 h then evaporated to dryness in vacuo at -40 °C and the residue chromatographed on Al₂O₃ at -25 °C with petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ (10:1) as the eluant. The brown-red band was collected. The solvent was removed and the crude product was recrystallized from petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ (10:1) at -80 °C to give 0.162 g (85%, based on 3) of 17 as purple-red crystals, which were identified by their mp and IR and ¹H NMR spectra.

Reaction of 3 with Na₂Fe(CO)₄ To Give 17. To 0.212 g (0.280 mmol) of **3** dissolved in 50 mL of THF at -90 °C was

⁽²³⁾ Treichel, P. M.; Crane, R. A.; Mathews, R.; Bonnin, K. R.;
Powell, D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *402*, 233.
(24) Davies, D. L.; Dyke, A. F.; Knox, S. A. R.; Morris, M. J. J.

⁽²⁴⁾ Davies, D. L.; Dyke, A. F.; Knox, S. A. R.; Morris, M. J. J. Organomet. Chem. **1981**, 215, C30.

⁽²⁵⁾ Dyke, A. F.; Knox, S. A. R.; Morris, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1983**, 1417.

⁽²⁶⁾ Albano, V. G.; Bordoni, S.; Braga, D.; Busetto, L.; Palazzi, A.;
Zanotti, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 847.
(27) (a) Rozsondai, B.; Schultz, G.; Hargittai, I. J. Mol. Struct. 1981.

^{(27) (}a) Rozsondai, B.; Schultz, G.; Hargittai, I. J. Mol. Struct. 1981,
70, 309. (b) Samdal, S.; Seip, H. M.; Torgrimsen, T. J. Mol. Struct.
1979, 57, 105.

Table 1.	Crystal Data and	Experimental D	Details for	Complexes 6	, 7,	15, and 17
					, .,	

	5		1 7 7 7	
	$6 \cdot 1/2 CH_2 Cl_2$	7	15	17
formula	C22.5H21O3ClSFe2	C ₂₆ H ₂₀ O ₃ SFe ₂	$C_{25}H_{26}O_3SFe_2$	$C_{20}H_{16}O_3Fe_2$
fw	518.62	524.20	518.23	416.04
space group	I-4 (No. 82)	P1 (No. 2)	P2 ₁ /c (No. 14)	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i> (No. 14)
a (Å)	17.640(5)	11.840(3)	8.797(7)	7.068(1)
b (Å)	17.640(5)	12.170(3)	14.906(7)	15.988(3)
<i>c</i> (Å)	13.979(3)	8.117(3)	17.428(3)	15.671(2)
α (deg)	90	103.60(2)		
β (deg)	90	102.34(3)	92.59(3)	90.47(1)
γ (deg)	90	79.02(2)		
$V(Å^3)$	4348(1)	1098.4(6)	2282(2)	1770.7(5)
Ζ	8	2	4	4
$d_{\rm calcd}$ (g/cm ³)	1.584	1.585	1.508	1.561
F(000)	2120.00	536.00	1072.00	848.00
μ (Mo K α) (cm ⁻¹)	15.73	14.41	13.85	16.51
radiation (monochromated in incident beam)	Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å)	Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å)	Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å)	Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å)
diffractometer	Rigaku AFC7R	Rigaku AFC7R	Rigaku AFC7R	Rigaku AFC7R
temperature (°C)	20	20	20	20
orientation reflns: no.; range (2θ) (deg)	23; 18.7–23.8	20; 13.7–24.4	23; 14.3–21.6	20; 14.1–24.3
scan method	$\omega - 2\theta$	$\omega - 2\theta$	$\omega - 2\theta$	$\omega - 2\theta$
data coll range, 2θ (deg)	5 - 50	5 - 50	5-50	5 - 49.5
no. of unique data, total	4198	3406	4204	2458
with $I > 2.00\sigma(I)$	1319	2038	1427 ($I > 2.80\sigma(I)$)	1369
no. of params refined	267	290	280	226
corr factors, max. min.	$0.9530 {-} 1.0000$	0.8497 - 1.0000	0.9240 - 1.2168	0.8707 - 1.0000
R^a	0.049	0.057	0.066	0.043
$R_{\mathrm{w}}{}^{b}$	0.047	0.064	0.070	0.045
quality-of-fit indicator ^c	1.24	1.83	1.70	1.22
largest shift/esd final cycle	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03
max. peak, e [–] /Å ³	0.63	1.68	0.50	0.31
min. peak, e [–] /Å ³	-0.42	-0.65	-0.58	-0.34
$^{a}R = \sum F_{0} - F_{c} / \sum F_{0} .$	$R_{\rm w} = [\sum w(F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c})^2 / \sum w]$	$F_0 ^2]^{1/2}; w = 1/\sigma^2(F_0).$ ^c Qu	ality-of-fit = $[\Sigma w(F_0 - F_0)]$	$[)^{2/(N_{obs} - N_{params})]^{1/2}.$

added 0.062 g (0.290 mmol) of $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$. The reaction permixture was stirred at -90 to -45 °C for 4 h. Further of treatment of the resulting mixture as described above for the reaction of **3** with $Na_2W(CO)_5$ gave 0.096 g (82%, based on **3**) of purple-red crystalline **17**, which was identified by its mp

X-ray Crystal Structure Determinations of Complexes 6, **7**, **15**, **and 17**. Single crystals of **6**, **7**, **15**, and **17** suitable for X-ray diffraction study were obtained by recrystallization from petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ solution at -80 °C. Single crystals were mounted on a glass fiber and sealed with epoxy glue. The X-ray diffraction intensity data for 4285, 3406, 4204, and 2458 independent reflections, of which 1319, 2038, and 1369 with $I > 2.00\sigma(I)$ for **6**, **7**, and **17**, and 1427 with $I > 2.80\sigma(I)$ for **15** were observable, were collected with a Rigaku AFC7R diffractometer at 20 °C using Mo K α radiation with an ω -2 θ scan mode within the ranges 5° $\leq 2\theta \leq 50^\circ$ for **6**, **7**, and **15** and 5° $\leq 2\theta \leq 49.5^\circ$ for **17**, respectively.

and IR and ¹H NMR spectra.

The structures of 6 was solved by heavy-atom Patterson methods and expanded using Fourier techniques. The nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were included but not refined. The final cycle of fullmatrix least-squares refinement was based on 1319 observed reflections and 267 variable parameters and converged with unweighted and weighted agreement factors of R = 0.049 and $R_{\rm w} = 0.047$. The structures of 7, 15, and 17 were solved by direct methods and expanded using Fourier techniques. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were included but not refined. The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement was respectively based on 2038, 1427, and 1369 observed reflections and 290, 280, and 226 variable parameters and converged with unweighted and weighted agreement factors of R = 0.057 and $R_w = 0.064$ for 7, R = 0.066 and $R_w = 0.070$ for 15, and R = 0.043 and $R_{\rm w} = 0.045$ for 17, respectively. All the calculations were

performed using the teXsan crystallographic software package of Molecular Structure Corporation.

The details of the crystallographic data and the procedures used for data collection and reduction information for **6**, **7**, **15**, and **17** are given in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 2. Atomic coordinates and B_{iso}/B_{eq} , anisotropic displacement parameters, complete bond lengths and angles, and least-squares planes for **6**, **7**, **15**, and **17** are given in the Supporting Information. The molecular structures of **6**, **7**, **15**, and **17** are given in Figures 1–4, respectively.

Results and Discussion

The complexes $[Fe_2(\mu$ -CO){ μ -C(OEt)Ar}(CO)_2(η -C₅H₅)₂] (**1**, Ar = Ph; **2**, Ar = p-MeC₆H₄) in ether were treated, similar to the procedure for the preparation of the cationic carbyne complexes [η -C₅H₅(CO)₂M=CPh]BBr₄ (M = Mn, Re),^{20,21} with an excess of BBr₃ at -65 °C to give cationic bridging carbyne complexes [Fe₂(μ -CO){ μ -CAr}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂]BBr₄ (**3**, Ar = Ph; **4**, Ar = p-MeC₆H₄) as brown-red solids in 75–76% yields (eq 3).



Complexes **3** and **4** are only sparingly soluble in polar organic solvents, such as THF and CH₂Cl₂. They are very sensitive to air, moisture, and temperature and can

 Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å)^a and Angles (deg)^a for Complexes 6, 7, 15, and 17

· 0/		, ,	,	
	$6 \cdot 1/2 CH_2 Cl_2$	7	15	17
Fe(1)-Fe(2)	2.527(2)	2.523(2)	2.530(3)	2.523(2)
Fe(1)-C(1)	2.03(1)	2.026(8)	2.00(2)	1.997(7)
Fe(2)-C(1)	2.00(1)	2.032(8)	2.02(1)	1.991(7)
Fe(1)-C(10)	1.91(1)	1.89(1)	1.89(1)	1.923(8)
Fe(2) - C(10)	1.91(1)	1.902(9)	1.90(2)	1.913(8)
C(1)-S	1.83(1)	1.829(8)	1.82(1)	
C(1) - C(2)	1.54(1)	1.50(1)	1.52(2)	1.463(9)
S-C(23)	1.81(1)	1.785(9)	1.82(1)	
Fe(1) - C(11)	1.75(1)	1.73(1)	1.74(2)	1.707(9)
Fe(2) - C(12)	1.75(2)	1.72(1)	1.73(2)	1.742(9)
C(10) - O(2)	1.18(1)	1.19(1)	1.18(1)	1.175(8)
C(11) - O(3)	1.13(1)	1.16(1)	1.16(2)	1.165(9)
C(12) - O(4)	1.15(1)	1.17(1)	1.18(2)	1.143(9)
Fe(1) - C(Cp) (av)	2.11	2.124	2.13	2.114
Fe(2)-C(Cp) (av)	2.12	2.125	2.13	2.088
Fe(1) - C(1) - Fe(2)	77.7(4)	76.9(3)	77.9(5)	78.5(3)
C(1) - Fe(1) - Fe(2)	50.6(4)	52.7(2)	51.5(4)	50.7(2)
C(1) - Fe(2) - Fe(1)	51.7(3)	51.5(2)	50.6(4)	50.8(2)
C(1) - Fe(1) - C(10)	97.8(5)	98.2(4)	97.8(6)	98.5(3)
C(1) - Fe(2) - C(10)	99.0(6)	97.7(4)	96.7(6)	99.0(3)
Fe(1) - C(10) - Fe(2)	82.9(5)	83.3(4)	83.6(5)	82.3(3)
C(10) - Fe(1) - Fe(2)	48.5(4)	48.5(3)	48.3(5)	48.7(2)
C(10) - Fe(2) - Fe(1)	48.6(5)	48.2(3)	48.0(4)	49.0(2)
Fe(1) - C(10) - O(2)	138(1)	138.2(8)	138(1)	137.5(7)
Fe(2) - C(10) - O(2)	138(1)	138.5(8)	137(1)	140.3(7)
Fe(1) - C(11) - O(3)	173(1)	174.1(8)	173(1)	173.7(8)
Fe(2) - C(12) - O(4)	172(1)	175.3(9)	175(1)	174.4(7)
Fe(1) - C(1) - S	111.9(6)	118.6(4)	118.8(7)	
Fe(2)-C(1)-S	119.1(6)	110.7(4)	110.5(7)	
C(10) - Fe(1) - C(11)	88.1(6)	85.7(4)	85.8(6)	90.5(4)
C(10) - Fe(2) - C(12)	86.2(6)	88.2(4)	86.9(7)	90.0(3)
C(1) - Fe(1) - C(11)	93.0(5)	93.6(4)	95.4(7)	95.7(3)
C(1) - Fe(2) - C(12)	95.1(5)	90.7(4)	93.3(6)	97.5(3)
Fe(1) - C(1) - C(2)	118.9(8)	121.9(5)	121.3(10)	126.9(5)
Fe(2) - C(1) - C(2)	122.9(8)	120.5(5)	120.7(9)	128.1(5)
C(2) - C(1) - S	104.7(7)	106.1(6)	105.8(9)	
C(1) - S - C(23)	107.4(5)	110.2(4)	107.6(6)	
			()	

 a Estimated standard deviations in the least significant figure are given in parentheses.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of **6**, showing the atomnumbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 40% probability. CH_2Cl_2 has been omitted for clarity.

be stored at low temperature (below -60 °C) for a short period. The composition and structure for complexes **3**



Figure 2. Molecular structure of **7**, showing the atomnumbering scheme with 40% thermal ellipsoids.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of **15**, showing the atomnumbering scheme with 40% thermal ellipsoids.

and **4** were established on the basis of their elemental analyses and IR and 1 H NMR spectra.

The freshly prepared (in situ) cationic carbyne complex $[Fe_2(\mu-CO)\{\mu-CPh\}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (3) was treated with an equimolar quantity of nucleophiles, NaSR (R = Me, Et, Ph, p-MeC₆H₄, p-NO₂C₆H₄), in THF at low temperature (-80 to -20 °C) for 4-5 h to yield the diiron bridging mercaptocarbene complexes $[Fe_2(\mu-CO)\{\mu-C(SR)Ph\}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (5, R = Me; 6, R = Et; 7, R = Ph; 8, R = p-MeC₆H₄; 9, R = p-NO₂C₆H₄) in 51-74% yields (eq 4). The analogous reaction of $[Fe_2-2D]$



Figure 4. Molecular structure of **17**, showing the atomnumbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 45% probability.

 $(\mu$ -CO){ μ -CC₆H₄Me-p}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (**4**) with NaSR (R = Ph, p-MeC₆H₄) under the same conditions gave the corresponding bridging mercaptocarbene complexes [Fe₂(μ -CO){ μ -C(SR)C₆H₄Me-p}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (**10**, R = Ph; **11**, R = p-MeC₆H₄) (eq 4) in 72–75% yields.



Complexes **5**–**11** are soluble in polar organic solvents but sparingly soluble in nonpolar solvents. They are sensitive to air and temperature in solution but relatively stable in the solid state. The formulas shown in eq 4 for complexes **5**–**11** were established by elemental analysis and IR, ¹H NMR, and mass spectroscopy (Experimental Section). The IR spectra of complexes **5**–**11** showed two CO absorption bands at 1898–1994 cm⁻¹ and one at 1756–1786 cm⁻¹ in the bridging ν (CO) region, evidence for an Fe₂(μ -CO)(CO)₂ moiety in these complexes. In the ¹H NMR spectra of **5**–**11**, the signal due to the cyclopentadienyl protons at about 5.1–5.4 ppm was only a single resonance. However, in a complex with a μ -carbene with different substituents, the cis form displays one C₅H₅ resonance and the trans form two, as shown in complexes $[Ru_2(\mu-CO)\{\mu-C(H)COOEt\}-(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]^{24}$ and $[Ru_2(\mu-CO)\{\mu-C(R)CH_2R\}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]^{.25}$ Hence, complexes 5-11 are the cis products as shown in eq 4.

The structures of complexes **6** and **7** have been confirmed by X-ray diffraction studies. The results of the X-ray diffraction work for both complexes are summarized in Table 1, and the structures are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

The structures of both complexes resemble that of complexes 1 and 2, except that the substituent on the μ -carbene carbon is an SR group in 6 and 7 and an OEt group in 1 and 2. The mercapto and aryl groups are attached to the μ -C(1), and the two cyclopentadienyl rings are in a cis, almost totally eclipsed configuration, as anticipated from the IR and ¹H NMR spectra. The distance between the Fe atom and the Cp ring plane is nearly 1.76 Å. The least-squares plane calculations show that the carbon atoms in the Cp ring are coplanar and the two CO groups coordinated on the same Fe atom are not coplanar arising from bridging.

The distances of the Fe-Fe bond bridged by the μ -carbene ligand in **6** and **7** are 2.527(2) and 2.523(2) Å, respectively, which are slightly longer than those found in 1 (2.512(1) Å)¹² and 2 (2.519(2) Å)¹² but obviously shorter than that found (2.686(1) Å) in the diiron bridging carbene complex [Fe₂{µ-C(OEt)C₆H₄CF₃p{(CO)₄(C₈H₈)].¹¹ The μ -carbene carbon almost symmetrically bridges the Fe-Fe bond with C(1)-Fe(1) 2.03(1) Å and C(1)-Fe(2) 2.00(1) Å for 6 and C(1)-Fe(1) 2.026(8) Å and C(1)-Fe(2) 2.032(8) Å for 7. The μ -C-Fe distances in **6** and **7** are much longer than the μ -Fe-CO bond (C(10)-Fe(1) 1.91(1) Å, C(10)-Fe(2) 1.91(1) Å for **6**; C(10)-Fe(1) 1.89(1) Å, C(10)-Fe(2) 1.902(9) Å for 7) but approximately equal to those in complexes $[Fe_2\{\mu-C(OEt)C_6H_4CF_3-p\}(CO)_4(C_8H_8)]$ $(C-Fe(1) 2.063(3) \text{ Å}, C-Fe(2) 2.010(3) \text{ Å})^{11}$ and $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(CN)NHPh}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2](C(4)-Fe(1))$ 2.004(2) Å, C(4)-Fe(2) 2.028(2) Å).²⁶ The C(1)-S bond lengths (1.83(1) Å for 6 and 1.829(8) Å for 7) indicate that they are essentially single bonds by comparison with standard C(sp²)-S (1.76 Å)²⁷ single bond and C(sp³)-S (1.81 Å)²⁷ single bond distances.

In **6** and **7**, the benzene ring lies in the trans position of the cyclopentadienyl rings. The angles between the benzene ring C(2) through C(7) and the Cp ring C(13) through C(17) planes and the benzene ring C(2) through C(7) and Cp ring C(18) through C(22) planes are respectively 75.53° and 62.87° for **6** and 65.20° and 102.25° for **7**. The benzene ring composed by C(2) through C(7) plane is at angles of 75.53° and 62.87° in **6** and 65.20° and 102.25° in **7** to the planes of the two Cp rings. Thus, complexes **6** and **7** exist in a cis structure to avoid steric repulsion between the sixmembered aryl ring and the cyclopentadienyl rings.

Like NaSR, compounds NaOPh and NaN(SiMe₃)₂ also reacted with complex **3** under the same conditions to produce diiron bridging carbene complex [Fe₂(μ -CO){ μ -C(OPh)Ph}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (**12**) (eq 5) and [Fe₂(μ -CO)-{ μ -C(N(SiMe₃)₂)Ph}-(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (**13**) (eq 6) in 38 and 32% yield, respectively.

Complexes **12** and **13** are soluble in polar organic solvents and sensitive to air and temperature in solution. The IR spectra, the solution ¹H NMR spectra, and

mass spectra (Experimental Section) were consistent with the proposed structures shown in eqs 5 and 6,



respectively. In the IR spectra of **12** and **13**, the CO absorption bands in the ν (CO) region are similar to those in complexes **5**–**11**. In the ¹H NMR spectra of **12** and **13**, except for the proton signals attributed to the OC₆H₅ and N(SiMe₃)₂ groups, the chemical shift, multiplicity, and integral intensity of the proton signals attributed to the aryl and cyclopentadienyl groups are also similar to those in complexes **5**–**11**. This suggests that the structural framework of complexes **12** and **13** is similar to those of complexes **5**–**11**.

Although the reactive salts $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-RS) (CO)_{6}$, developed by Seyferth and co-workers in the late 1980s,¹⁷ have been extensively investigated, their reactions with transition metal carbyne complexes have been restricted to those with cationic carbyne complexes of manganese and rhenium, $[\eta$ -C₅H₅(CO)₂M=CPh]BBr₄ (M = Mn, Re), which resulted in formation of the dimetal bridging carbene complexes.²⁹ We were interested in examining the reactions of cationic diiron bridging carbyne complexes 3 and 4 with the reactive [Et₃NH]- $[Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-RS)(CO)_6]$ salts. Surprisingly, complexes **3** and **4** reacted with $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SBu^n)(CO)_6]$ in THF at low temperature (-80 to -40 °C) to give none of the expected tri- or tetrametal bridging carbene or carbyne complexes on workup but rather the diiron bridging mercaptocarbene complex $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(SH)}-$ Ph}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (14) (eq 7) and the bridging butyl-



thiocarbene complex [Fe₂(μ -CO){ μ -C(SBuⁿ)C₆H₄Me-p}-(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂] (**15**) (eq 7) in 56 and 66% isolated yield, respectively.

The structure of complex 14 shown in eq 7 was established by an X-ray diffraction study,²⁸ which gave an *R* value of only 0.19 due to serious decay. However, the elemental analysis and IR, ¹H NMR, and mass spectra are consistent with this geometry. The IR spectrum in the $\nu(CO)$ region of **14** showed an absorption band at 1772 cm⁻¹ attributed to a bridging or semibridging carbonyl ligand, in addition to two terminal CO absorption bands at 1972 and 1932 cm⁻¹, indicative of an $Fe_2(\mu$ -CO)(CO)₂ moiety. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed a signal at 5.68 ppm, which could be attributed to the proton of the mercapto group (SH), in addition to the expected proton signals due to the phenyl and cyclopentadienyl groups. That the SH occurred downfield shift might be due to the bonding of the SH group to the highly positive μ -carbene carbon. The mass spectrum provided further structural information (Experimental Section), showing the molecular ion and fragment ions generated by loss of CO and SH ligands. The formation of 14 is surprising since no analogous desulfurization has been observed in reactions of the reactive salts.

The structure of **15** was established by X-ray crystallography. The molecular structure (Figure 3) of **15** is nearly identical with that of **6** and **7**, as illustrated by the following parameters. The Fe–Fe distance is 2.530(3) Å, which is the same within experimental error as those found in **6** and **7**. The Fe– μ -C_{carbene} bond distances, Fe(1)–C(1) and Fe(2)–C(1), are 1.997(7) and 1.991(7) Å, respectively, which are essentially the same as those found in **6** and **7**. The C(1)–S bond length of 1.82(1) Å in **15** is very close to those in **6** and **7**.

It is not clear how the SH group is formed and how it becomes bonded to the μ -carbene carbon atom during the reaction. Presumably, the formation of complex 14 occurred via an [Fe(CO)₄(SH)]⁻ anion derived from cleavage of the reactive salt, a process involving the breaking of Fe–S and R–S bonds. The anion might then attack the μ -carbyne carbon of **3** to produce an unstable bridging carbene intermediate $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(Fe(CO)_4-$ (SH))Ph}(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂], in which the Fe(CO)₄(SH) molety is directly bonded to the μ -carbene carbon through the Fe atom. The carbene intermediate would then undergo a SH group migration from Fe to the μ -carbon accompanied by loss of an Fe(CO)₄ moiety to afford complex 14. A possible alternative formation pathway might involve loss of the Fe(CO)₄ moiety from the $[Fe(CO)_4(SH)]^-$ anion to generate an HS^{-} species, which then attacks the μ -carbyne carbon of **3** to yield **14**. The origin of the hydrogen atom in the SH group could be solvent THF (see below). To our knowledge, no such breaking of Fe–S and R–S bonds in the reactions of the reactive $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu RS(CO)_6$ salts has been reported.

The formation of complex **15** could have proceeded via dissociation of the reactive salt to generate a BuⁿS⁻ species, which then becomes bonded to the μ -carbene carbon to afford complex **15**. Such a process appears to have occurred in the reaction of the cationic carbyne

⁽²⁸⁾ Sun, J.; Chen, J.-B. Unpublished work.

⁽²⁹⁾ Qiu, Z.-L.; Sun, J.; Chen, J.-B. Organometallics 1998, 17, 600.

complexes $[\eta$ -C₅H₅(CO)₂M=CPh]BBr₄ (M = Mn or Re) with [Et₃NH][Fe₂(μ -CO)(μ -SPh)(CO)₆] to produce phenylcarbene complexes $[\eta$ -C₅H₅(CO)₂M=C(SPh)Ph] (M = Mn or Re).²⁹

To examine the effect of different RS substituents on the reactivity of the reactive salts and reaction products, $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SPh)(CO)_6]$ was used in a reaction with 3 under the same conditions. Unlike [Et₃NH][Fe₂- $(\mu$ -CO) $(\mu$ -SBuⁿ)(CO)₆], the reactive salt [Et₃NH][Fe₂(μ -CO (μ -SPh)(CO)₆] did not undergo cleavage to generate a HS⁻ or PhS⁻ species, and formation of bridging mercaptocarbene complex 14 or bridging phenylthiocarbene complex 7 was not observed. Instead, a known thiolato-bridged iron carbonyl compound [Fe₂(u-SPh)₂- $(CO)_6$ (16)²² and a bridging phenylcarbene complex $[Fe_2(\mu-CO){\mu-C(H)Ph}(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ (17) (eq 8) were obtained in 11 and 68% yield, respectively. The analogous reaction of $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SPh)(CO)_6]$ with 4 gave 16 and the bridging *p*-tolylcarbene complex [Fe₂ (μ -CO){ μ -C(H)C₆H₄Me-p}(CO)₂ (η -C₅H₅)₂] (**18**) (eq 8) in 8 and 56% isolated yield, respectively.



Analogous thiolato-bridged iron carbonyl compound $[Fe_2(\mu$ -SC₆H₄Me-p)₂(CO)₆] (**19**)²³ and bridging carbene complex **17** in 10 and 51% yield, respectively, also were obtained in the reaction of $[Et_3NH][Fe_2(\mu$ -CO)(μ -SC₆H₄Me-p)(CO)₆] with **3** (eq 8).

The formulation of complexes **17** and **18** is supported by microanalytical and spectroscopic data and X-ray crystallography. Their ¹HNMR spectra had a resonance at δ 12.38 and 12.40, respectively, characteristic for a μ -CHR group. Unexpectedly, this resonance has undergone a significant downfield shift, compared with that of analogous bridging arylcarbene complexes [ReFe{ μ -C(H)Ph}(CO)₆(η -C₅H₅)] (δ 9.03)^{10a} and [(Ph₃P)₂N]-[WRe{ μ -C(H)C₆H₄Me-p}(CO)₉] (δ 8.09).³⁰

The structure of **17** (Figure 4) is very similar to that of **6**, **7**, and **15** except that the SR group in **6**, **7**, and **15** is replaced by a H atom in **17**. Many structural features of **17** are essentially the same as those in **6** (or **7** and **15**): the angles between the ligand planes C(2) through C(7) and C(13) through C(17) and C(2) through C(7) and C(18) through C(22), the Fe–Fe distance, the two μ -C(1)–Fe and μ -C(10)–Fe distances. An apparent difference in the structures of **6** (or **7** and **15**) and **17** is the shorter C(1)–C(2) bond in **17** (1.463(9) Å), which is intermediate between C–C single and C=C double bond distances, as compared to **6** (1.54(1) Å) (or **7** (1.50(1) Å) and **15** (1.52(2) Å)).

It is not clear by what pathway complexes **17** and **18** form in reaction 8. However, it seems that no initial

PhS⁻ or p-MeC₆H₄S⁻ species was formed since no bridging mercaptocarbene complex was obtained in the reaction. The reaction of Na₂[W(CO)₅] or Na₂[Fe(CO)₄] with **3** (eq 9) under the same conditions afforded **17** in high yield (82–85%).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n-C_5H_5 \end{pmatrix} Fe}{C_5H_5 n} \\ OC \\ Ph \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} BBr_4 + Na_2W(CO)_5 \\ rac{THF}{Or} \\ Na_2Fe(CO)_4 \\ rac{THF}{-90-40^{\circ}C} \\ 17 \\ (9)$$

These reactions possibly could involve the formation of $[MH(CO)_n]^-$ (M = W, n = 5, or M = Fe, n = 4) via protonation of $[M(CO)_n]^{2-}$. Hydride transfer from the $[MH(CO)_n]^-$ anion to the μ -carbyne carbon of **3** could give the bridging arylcarbene complex 17. Indeed, the attack of $[CrH(CO)_5]^-$ or $[WH(CO)_5]^-$ species on unsaturated M=C_{carbyne} species has been documented.³¹ Since $Na_2[W(CO)_5]$ and $Na_2[Fe(CO)_4]$ cannot provide a hydride for the formation of **17**, the only other source of the H⁻ in this reaction is the THF solvent. It is not excluded that the source of the H⁻ could be water, which is a trace contaminant in solvent THF or from glassware. Analogous H-abstracting reactions from THF solvent to form the bridging arylcarbene complexes have been observed in the reactions of $[\eta$ -C₅H₅(CO)₂- $Re \equiv CPh]BBr_4$ with $Na_2[Fe(CO)_4]$ and $Na_2[W(CO)_5]$, which yielded $[ReFe{\mu-C(H)Ph}(CO)_6(\eta-C_5H_5)]^{10a}$ and $[WRe{\mu-C(H)Ph}(CO)_7(\eta-C_5H_5)]$,^{10e} respectively. It is certain that complexes 17 and 18 were not formed directly by reaction of cationic **3** or **4** with THF solvent in reaction 8 because no product 17 or 18 was isolated in the absence of the reactive salt. This suggests that the carbonylmetal anions $[Fe_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SAr')(CO)_6]^{-1}$ $(Ar' = Ph \text{ or } p-MeC_6H_4) \text{ and } [W(CO)_5]^{2-} \text{ or } [Fe(CO)_4]^{2-}$ are important; they probably promote the reactions by forming a metal hydride species.

The title reaction shows a variety of reactions of the cationic diiron carbyne complexes with nucleophilic carbonylmetal anions to afford a series of dimetal bridging carbene complexes. This offers a new, convenient and useful method for preparation and structural modification of dimetal bridging carbene complexes.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Science Foundation of the Chinese Academy of Sciences is gratefully acknowledged. We are grateful to Ms. Xiaoying Wang of the Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for the structure solution of compound **6**.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of the positional parameters and $B_{\rm iso}/B_{\rm eq}$, H atom coordinates, anisotropic displacement parameters, complete bond lengths and angles, and least-squares planes for **6**, **7**, **15**, and **17**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM000185I

⁽³⁰⁾ Jeffery, J. C.; Orpen, A. G.; Stone, F. G. A.; Went, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1986**, 173.

⁽³¹⁾ Hodgson, D.; Howard, J. A. K.; Stone, F. G. A.; Went, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1985**, 1331.