

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 12 (2002) 3573–3577

2-(Dimethylaminomethyl)-tetrahydroisoxazolopyridobenzazepine Derivatives. Synthesis of a New 5-HT_{2C} Antagonist with Potential Anxiolytic Properties

J. Ignacio Andrés,^{a,*} José M. Alonso,^a Javier Fernández,^a Laura Iturrino,^a Pedro Martínez,^a Theo F. Meert^b and Victor K. Sipido^b

^aJohnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, Division of Janssen-Cilag, Medicinal Chemistry Department, Jarama s/n, 45007 Toledo, Spain ^bJohnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, Division of Janssen Pharmaceutica N.V., Turnhoutseweg 30, B2340 Beerse, Belgium

Received 24 May 2002; revised 28 August 2002; accepted 16 September 2002

Abstract—Following the program started at Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development searching for 5-HT_{2A/2C} antagonists, we now report on the synthesis of 2-(dimethylaminomethyl)-2,3,3a,8-tetrahydroisoxazolo[3,2-*a*]pyrido[3,4-*c*]-[2]benzazepine and 2-(dimethylaminomethyl)-2,3,3a,8-tetrahydroisoxazolo[3,2-*a*]pyrido[3,2-*c*]-[2]benzazepine. A new method for the synthesis of pyridobenzazepines is described as well. The affinities for several receptors as well as the mCPP antagonistic activity of the compounds synthesised are described.

© 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The neurotransmitter serotonin (5-HT) induces a variety of effects that are mediated by specific receptors, which can be subdivided in seven families, 5-HT_{1-7} . The 5-HT_{2A} receptor is widely distributed, both in peripheral tissues and in the CNS, while the 5-HT_{2C} receptor has been found only in the CNS.¹ The 5-HT agonist *m*-chlorophenylpiperazine (mCPP) is often used as a probe in clinical studies to challenge brain 5-HT functions in humans.² In animals, mCPP also induces symptoms of anxiety in various animal models.^{3–7} As this mCPP-induced anxiety seems to be mediated via the 5-HT_{2C} receptor, it has been hypothesised that 5-HT_{2C} antagonists might be potential drugs for the treatment of anxiety.^{8,9}

In recent years, we started a program at Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development searching for potent, centrally active 5-HT_{2C} receptor antagonists as potential anxiolytic/antidepressant agents. As a result of our synthesis program we discovered a series of 2-(aminoalkyl)-2,3,3a,8-tetra-

hydrodibenzo[c, f]isoxazolo[2,3-a]azepine derivatives (1) as novel 5-HT_{2A/2C} antagonists, some of which also showed a potent mCPP antagonistic activity as shown in our in vivo mCPP challenge test.¹⁰ Those compounds showed high affinity for histamine-H₁ receptors as well, what might contribute to potential undesirable side effects such as sedation¹¹ and/or weight gain,¹² if any of them were selected for further clinical development. Following with the exploration of this new tetracyclic system, we decided to work on the replacement of one of the phenyl rings of compounds 1 by different pyridyl rings, with the aim of synthesising new heterocyclic systems with comparable or better affinity for 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptors, but with decreased affinity for histamine-H1 receptor than the former compounds.¹³ This paper describes the synthesis and biological activity of two different 2-(dimethylaminomethyl)-isoxazolopyridobenzazepines, as well as the chemical approaches used trying to prepare others (Fig. 1).

Chemistry

2-(Dimethylaminomethyl)-2,3,3a,8-tetrahydroisoxazolo [3,2-*a*]pyrido[3,4-*c*]-[2]benzazepine (2). The synthesis of

^{*}Corresponding author. Fax: +34-925-245771; e-mail: iandres@ prdes.jnj.com





the required tricyclic intermediate 5H-pyrido[3,4-c]-[2]benzazepine (6) was initially tried following the method that we have previously described for the synthesis of substituted 5,6-dihydromorphanthridines.¹⁰ 3-amino-4-pyridylmethanol (obtained by reduction with LiAlH₄ of 3-aminoisonicotinic acid¹⁴ ethyl ester) was reacted with benzaldehyde in the presence of a catalytic amount of chloroacetic acid using isopropyl alcohol as solvent, yielding the pyridooxazine derivative 4 in 94% yield. Reductive cleavage of 4 with NaBH₄ led to the N-benzyl open analogue 5 in 95% yield. Unfortunately all attempts to cyclise compound 5 to the azadihydromorphanthridine analogue 6, using H₂SO₄ as well as other organic and Lewis acids, such as CH₃SO₃H, CF₃SO₃H or SnCl₄ were unsuccessful (Scheme 1, method A).

After a thorough literature review, we found that some aromatic amines gave intramolecular cyclisations generating benzazepine like rings, in the presence of paraformaldehyde and an acidic medium such as CF_3COOH , in a modification of the Pictet–Spengler reaction.¹⁵ We decided to apply these reaction conditions to the intermediate 7. Thus, treatment of this compound with paraformaldehyde in CF₃COOH at 50 °C for 3 h afforded directly the azadihydromorphanthridine 6, in 80% yield. Oxidation of 6 with 3-phenyl-2-(phenylsulfonyl)oxaziridine (Davis' reagent), using the conditions described in our previous articles, afforded the corresponding *N*-oxide 8, which after 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition with *N*-allyldimethylamine yielded the target compound 2, in 70% yield. This cycloaddition afforded only the *cis* isomers, in a similar manner as it was observed in the case of the dibenzoisoxazoloazepine analogues,¹⁰ as could be determined by means of NOE difference experiments on the protons of the isoxazolidine ring (Scheme 1, method B).

2 - (Dimethylaminomethyl) - 2,3,3a,8 - tetrahydroisoxazolo [3,2-a]pyrido[3,2-c]-[2]benzazepine (3). We used a different approach towards the synthesis of this compound. Reaction of 2-bromomethyl-N-tert-butoxycarbonylaniline¹⁶ with zinc activated with chlorotrimethylsilane and 1.2-dibromoethane following a procedure described in literature¹⁷ led to the formation of the corresponding organozinc derivative, which reacted in situ with 2-bromo-3-(1,3-dioxolan)pyridine¹⁸ in presence of palladiumtetrakistriphenylphosphine as catalyst, yielding intermediate 9 in 60% yield. Deprotection of the tertbutoxycarbonyl group with CF₃COOH gave the aniline derivative 10. Reaction of this compound with aqueous acetic acid at 65°C allowed the deprotection of the aldehyde function and subsequent in situ intramolecular cyclisation to the tricyclic structure 11, in 95% yield. Catalytic hydrogenation afforded the corresponding azadihydromorphanthridine 12, which in this case was oxidised to the desired N-oxide derivative 13 with our usual Davis' reagent procedure, in 90% yield. Finally 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition with N-allyldimethylamine



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) PhCHO, ClCH₂COOH (cat.), (CH₃)₂CHOH, rt, 3 h, 94%; (ii) NaBH₄, CH₃CH₂OH, rt, 2 h, 95%; (iii) H₂SO₄, CH₂Cl₂, -20° C, then rt, overnight, or CH₃SO₃H, CF₃SO₃H or SnCl₄; (iv) *n*BuLi-TMEDA, Et₂O, -78° C to -10° C, 2 h, then THF, 0° C, 2 h and rt, overnight; (v) 3N HCl, 90°C, overnight, 44% (two steps); (vi) NH₂NH₂·H₂O, HOH₂CCH₂OH, KOH, 200°C, 2 h, 94%; (vii) (CH₂O)n, CF₃COOH, 50°C, 3 h, 80%; (viii) 3-phenyl-2-(phenylsulfonyl)oxaziridine, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 16 h, 69%; (ix) *N*-allyldimethylamine, THF, 80°C, sealed tube, overnight, 70%.

yielded the target compound 3, in 52% yield, and once again exclusively as the pair of *cis* isomers (Scheme 2).

Attempts to prepare 5H-pyrido[2,3-*c*]-[2]benzazepine-11oxide and 5H-pyrido[4,3-*b*]-[2]benzazepine-5-oxide. Reaction of 2-chloropyridine with phenyllithium in presence of a catalytic amount of diisopropylamine¹⁹ followed by quenching with benzaldehyde afforded compound 14, which was oxidised to the corresponding ketone. Nucleophilic substitution of the chlorine atom by NH₂ was performed by reaction with aqueous NH₄OH in a Parr pressure reaction vessel affording 2-amino-3-benzoylpyridine (15). Subsequent Wolf–Kishner reduction of 15 afforded compound 17, which was the required intermediate to be reacted with paraformaldehyde under the reaction conditions described above. The metalation of 4-pivaloylaminopyridine following the reaction conditions described by Queguiner,²⁰ subsequent reaction with benzonitrile and further hydrolysis with hydrochloric acid afforded 4-amino-3-benzoylpyridine (16) in 45% yield, which after Wolf–Kishner reduction led to compound 18.

Once again, the reaction of intermediates 17 and 18 with paraformaldehyde in CF₃COOH afforded the tricyclic derivatives 19 and 20, in 89 and 96% yields, respectively. However all attempts to oxidise 19 and 20 to the desired nitrones, by means of Davis' reagent, *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA) or other oxidising agents were unsuccessful, leading only to oxidation on the nitrogen of the pyridine ring (Scheme 3).



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) Zn, BrCH₂CH₂Br (cat.), ClSi(CH₃)₃ (cat.), THF, rt, 5 h; (ii) Pd(PPh₃)₄, THF, 80 °C, overnight, 60%; (iii) CF₃COOH, CH₂Cl₂, rt, overnight, 100%; (iv) 80% AcOH, 65 °C, 2 h, 95%; (v) H₂, Pd/C (10%), CH₃OH, rt, 98%; (vi) 3-phenyl-2-(phenylsulfonyl)oxaziridine, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 5 h, 90%; (vii) *N*-allyldimethylamine, THF, 65 °C, sealed tube, 5 h, 52%.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) 1.8 M PhLi, iPr_2NH , THF, $-40 \degree C$, 1 h; (b) PhCHO, $-70 \degree C$, 45 min, 75%; (ii) CrO₃, acetone, $-30 \degree C$ to rt, 3 h, 86%; (iii) aq NH₄OH, Parr pressure vessel, 110 °C, overnight, 56%; (iv) *n*BuLi-TMEDA, Et₂O, -78 to $-10 \degree C$, 2 h, then THF, $0 \degree C$, 2 h and rt, overnight; (v) 3 N HCl, 90 °C, overnight, 45% (two steps); (vi) NH₂NH₂·H₂O, HOH₂CCH₂OH, KOH, 200 °C, 2 h, 96 and 60%; (vii) (CH₂O)n, CF₃COOH, 50 °C, 3 h, 89 and 96%; (viii) 3-phenyl-2-(phenylsulfonyl)oxaziridine or MCPBA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, overnight.

Compd	$5-HT_{2A}$	$5\text{-}\text{HT}_{2\text{C}}$	H_1	α_{1A}	α_{2A}	α_{2C}	$5-HT_{1A}$	$5-HT_{1D}$	D_{2L}	D_3	D_4
1a	7.64	7.91	8.17	6.27	6.43	5.73	6.01	6.18	6.06	6.77	6.88
1b	8.46	8.98	8.63	7.84	6.32	6.06	6.7	6.87	8.06	9.26	8.58
2	6.41	7.90	<6	6.4	< 6	<6	<6	<6	5.72	5.78	6.60
3	<6	6.04	7.78	<6	< 6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6

Table 1. Receptor binding affinities of compounds 2, 3, and comparison with compounds 1a and 1b (pIC₅₀ values)

Biological Results and Discussion

The affinities for histamine-H₁ receptor as well as for different human serotonergic, dopaminergic and adrenergic receptors of the two target compounds that could be synthesised were measured by means of radioligand binding assays as it was described in our previous articles.¹⁰ The experiments to measure the in vivo activity of the compounds in our mCPP challenge test were performed in male Wistar rats, weighing between 200 and 220 g, following the method described by Meert and co-workers.⁵ The test compounds were administered subcutaneously 45 min after intravenous injection of mCPP. Two activity criteria were measured: disinhibition (partial antagonism of mCPP) and exploration (full antagonism of mCPP).⁵ Table 1 shows the binding affinity of compounds 2, 3 and reference compounds 1a $(R_1 = R_2 = H)$ and 1b $(R_1 = H, R_2 = 11$ -Cl) for several receptors.

As can be seen in Table 1, compound 2 showed a quite remarkable selectivity for the human 5-HT_{2C} receptor over 5-HT_{2A} receptor. Furthermore, and most interesting, is its lack of affinity for H₁ receptor and other serotonergic receptors. Only weak affinity was observed for D_4 and α_1 receptors. Comparing the receptor binding data of compound 2 with compounds 1a and 1b it can be deduced that the replacement of the carbon atom present in the 11-position by a nitrogen atom dropped the affinity for H_1 receptors, which was of the same order of magnitude as that for 5-HT₂ receptors in the dibenzoisoxazoloazepine series. Even more all compounds from those series were proved to be indeed new 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptor antagonists, while 2 might be defined as a rather selective 5- HT_{2C} antagonist. It is noteworthy as well that the presence of halogen atoms in the 11-position enhanced the affinity for the dopamine receptors, but on the contrary replacement of that CH by nitrogen even decreased this affinity. The 5-HT_{2C} antagonistic activity of compound 2 was confirmed in our in vivo mCPP challenge test (Table 2). It was quite potent in the disinhibition test and moderately potent in the exploration test. On the other hand, compound 3, with the

Table 2. Activity in our mCPP challenge test, disinhibition and exploration measurements after sc administration,⁵ of compounds 2 and 3

Compd	mCPP (disin.) ED ₅₀ (mg/kg)	mCPP (expl.) ED ₅₀ (mg/kg)
1a	0.01	>2.5
1b	0.16	> 2.5
2	0.16	2.5
3	>2.5	> 2.5

nitrogen atom in the 7-position of the tetracyclic system, did not show high or moderate affinity for any receptor except for H_1 . As it was expected from those binding data **3** was inactive in our mCPP test.

In conclusion, we have synthesised two new tetracyclic structures, namely 2-(dimethylaminomethyl)-2,3,3a,8tetrahydroisoxazolo[3,2-a]pyrido[3,4-c]-[2]benzazepine (2) and 2 - (dimethylaminomethyl) - 2,3,3a,8 - tetrahydroisoxazolo[3,2-*a*]pyrido[3,2-*c*]-[2]benzazepine (3). In addition we have described a new method for the synthesis of pyridobenzazepines. Compound 2 showed quite remarkable selectivity for 5-HT_{2C} receptor, but did not show affinity for H1 receptor in contrast to its tetrahydrodibenzoisoxazoloazepine analogues. Compound 2 was active subcutaneously in our mCPP challenge test as well, what indicates it could be considered as a 5-HT_{2C} antagonist. Larger scale resynthesis and separation of 2 into its enantiomers is currently in progress, our hope being to be able to identify one of them as a new potent and selective 5-HT_{2C} antagonist. Pharmacological results of those pure enantiomers will be the subject for further publications.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms. Valle Ancos, Alcira Del Cerro and Victoria Pérez for their experimental work performed. They would also like to thank Mr. Willy Van Gorp for his help in management and coordination of all data generated.

References and Notes

1. Hoyer, D.; Clarke, D. E.; Fozard, J. R.; Hartig, P. R.; Martin, G. R.; Mylecharane, E. J.; Saxena, P. R.; Humphrey, P. P. A. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **1994**, *46*, 157.

2. Sanders-Bush, E. and Mayer, S. E. In *Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*, 9th ed.; Hardman, J. G., Limbird, L. E., Molinoff, P. B., Ruddon, R. W., Goodman, L. S., Gilman, A. G., Eds.; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1996; p 249.

3. Gibson, E. L.; Barnfield, A. M. C.; Curzon, G. Neuropharmacology 1994, 33, 457.

4. Kennett, G. A.; Whitton, P.; Shah, K.; Curzon, G. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1989, 164, 445.

5. Meert, T. F.; Melis, W.; Aerts, N.; Clincke, G. Behav. Pharmacol. 1997, 8, 353.

 6. Whitton, P.; Curzon, G. *Psychopharmacology* **1990**, *100*, 138.
7. Rodgers, R. J.; Cole, J. C.; Cobain, M. R.; Daly, P.; Doran, P. J.; Eells, J. R.; Wallis, P. *Behav. Pharmacol.* **1992**, *3*, 621.

8. Di Matteo, V.; Di Giovanni, G.; Esposito, E. CNS Drug Rev. 2000, 6, 195. 9. Bagdy, G.; Graf, M.; Anheuer, Z. E.; Modos, E. A.; Kantor, S. Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. 2001, 4, 309.

10. Andrés, J. I.; Alcázar, J.; Alonso, J. M.; Díaz, A.; Fernández, J.; Gil, P.; Iturrino, L.; Matesanz, E.; Meert, T. F.; Megens, A.; Sipido, V. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2002, 12, 243 249.

11. Hall, H.; Oegren, S. O. Life Sci. 1984, 34, 597.

12. Wirshing, D. A. J. Clin. Psychiatry 2001, 62 (Suppl. 21), 7 and references cited therein.

13. Andrés-Gil, J. I., Martínez, P., Fernández-Gadea, F. J., Sipido, V. K. WO 9739001, 1997, Chem. Abstr. 1997, 127, 346383.

14. Radlick, P.; Brown, L. R. Synthesis 1974, 290.

15. (a) Zhang, L.; Meier, W.; Wats, E.; Costello, T. D.; Ma,

P.; Ensinger, C. L.; Rodgers, J. M.; Jacobson, I. C.; Rajago-

palan, P. Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 8387 (b) Sotomayor, N.; Dominguez, E.; Lete, E. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 12159.

16. Liu, J.; Dodd, R. H. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1995, 35, 523. 17. Reich, H. J.; Jasperse, C. P. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2390. 18. (a) Queguiner, G.; Marsais, F.; Snieckus, V.; Epsztajn, J. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1991, 52, 187. (b) Marsais, F.; Laperdrix, B.; Gungor, T.; Mallet, M.; Queguiner, G. J. Chem. Res.

Synop. 1982, 278. 19. Trecourt, F.; Marsais, F.; Gungor, T.; Queguiner, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 2409.

20. (a) Marsais, F.; Rovera, J. C.; Turck, A.; Godard, A.;

Queguiner, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 2611. (b) Estel, L.; Linard, F.; Marsais, F.; Godard, A.; Queguiner, G.

J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1989, 26, 105. (c) Gungor, T.; Marsais, F.; Queguiner, G. Synthesis 1982, 499.