

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 8 (1998) 1741-1744

SYNTHESIS AND HYPOXIA-SELECTIVE CYTOTOXICITY OF A 2-NITROIMIDAZOLE MUSTARD

H.H. Lee,^a B.D. Palmer,^a W.R. Wilson^b and W.A. Denny^{a*}

^aAuckland Cancer Society Research Centre and ^bSection of Oncology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, The University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand

Received 28 April 1998; accepted 1 June 1998

Abstract: A four-step synthesis of 5-[N,N-bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]-1-methyl-2-nitroimidazole from 1-methyl-2-nitroimidazole is described. This compound showed similar hypoxia-selective cytotoxicity to the dinitrobenzamide mustard SN 23862 in UV4 cells (ca. 40-fold), and superior selectivity (>7-fold) in repair-competent AA8 cells. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nitroaromatic mustards have been explored extensively¹ as prodrugs, designed to undergo selective activation by bioreduction in hypoxic cells,² for cancer chemotherapy. Selectivity is achieved through initial one-electron reduction by cellular nitroreductases to the nitro radical anion, a process that is efficiently reversed by molecular oxygen in aerobic cells. In the absence of oxygen, further metabolism to hydroxylamines and/or amines occurs, resulting in a large increase of electron density in the aromatic ring, and consequent activation of nitrogen mustard alkylating molecules in resonance positions.³ For example, the dinitrobenzamide mustard **1** (SN 23862), with a one-electron reduction potential of -421 mV,⁴ shows substantial (40- to 60-fold) selectivity for hypoxic UV4 cells in culture, and lesser but significant selectivity for other cell lines under hypoxia.^{5,6} The soluble analogue **3** showed similar selectivity in the sensitive, repair-inhibited⁷ UV4 line, but lower selectivity (~ 3-fold) in the repair-competent AA8 parent line.⁸



A major limitation with nitrobenzene mustards is the low intrinsic reduction potential of the nitrobenzene system. Thus 4-nitroaniline mustard 4 shows very little hypoxic selectivity, attributed to a reduction potential too low for efficient cellular reduction.⁹ Addition of two further electron-withdrawing groups (as in 1) are required to raise the reduction potential to within the desired range of -300 to -450 mV.³ Such compounds then remain significantly electron-deficient even following nitro reduction, resulting in "activated" mustard species of rather low cytotoxicity. Thus the major stable metabolite of 1, the 4-amine 2, has an IC₅₀ of only 180 μ M in AA8 cells,⁶ compared with 6 μ M for the 4-aminoaniline mustard 5.

2-Nitroimidazoles have significantly higher intrinsic one-electron reduction potentials (e.g., 2nitroimidazole; -418 mV, compared with nitrobenzene; -486 mV),¹⁰ and are also known to undergo selective reduction in the hypoxic regions of tumors.¹¹ In this paper we report the synthesis of 5-[N,N-bis(2chloroethyl)amino]-1-methyl-2-nitroimidazole (6), and its evaluation as a hypoxia-selective cytotoxin.



Reagents: (a) Br_2 (10-15% of **9**) (b) $HN(CH_2CH_2OH)_2/KF/18$ -crown-6 (30%) (c) 3-pyrroline, Et_3N (72%) (d) OsO_4 , $NaIO_4$ (crude) (e) BH_3 .THF (15% from **11**) (f) MsCl, Et_3N , then NaCl/LiCl, DMF (64%) (g) $SOCl_2$, DMF(trace) (17%)

Bromination of 1-methyl-2-nitroimidazole **7** by the method of Farah and McClelland¹² gave a mixture of the 4- and 5-bromo derivatives (**8** and **9**), together with small amounts of the 4,5-dibromo derivative (Scheme 1). The desired **9** was formed in 10-15% yield, and could be isolated by careful chromatography on silica gel. Previous attempts to prepare **9** by lithiation methods were unsuccessful.¹³ Reaction of **9** with diethanolamine in the presence of KF/18-crown-6 for 3 days at 70-80 °C gave the diol **10** in 30 % yield (55% based on recovered starting material). Because of the relatively low yield in the displacement with diethanolamine, an alternative route to **10** was sought via reaction of **9** with the much more reactive 3-pyrroline followed by oxidative ring opening. This approach has been used previously by us for the synthesis of heteroaromatic mustards.¹⁴ Conversion of **9** to the corresponding pyrroline **11** proceeded in 72% yield (88% based on recovered starting material). Oxidation of this with OsO₄/periodate gave the crude dialdehyde **12**, which was reduced with borane-THF to the diol **10**, but only in 15% overall yield. Chlorination of **10** to give the mustard **6**¹⁵ proved to be a sensitive reaction. Treatment with electrophiles such as SOCl₂ gave a low yield of the 4-chloro derivative **13**,¹⁶ which was also formed during mesylation of **10** followed by mesylate displacement with NaCl in the presence of DMSO. It was found essential to remove all the DMSO solvent from **10** by careful chromatography, and to conduct the mesylate displacement by chloride in DMF, in order to achieve preparation of pure **6** in 64% yield.

The cytotoxicities of the compounds were determined (IC₅₀ values for 18 h exposures) in aerobic cultures of two Chinese hamster lines (AA8 and UV4) and the human ovarian cancer line SKOV3, using a growth inhibition microassay¹⁷ (Table). The UV4 cell line is a repair-defective mutant of AA8 which is hypersensitive to alkylating agents whose cytotoxicity is due to bulky DNA adducts or cross-links.⁷

	growth inhibition(aerobic IC ₅₀ , μM) ^a			clonogenic assay			
no.				AA8		<u>UV4</u>	
	AA8	UV4	SKOV3	CT ₁₀ ^b	ratio ^c	CT_{10}^{b}	ratio ^c
1	1450±110	870 ± 63	>1000 ^d			95±33	42±20
3	220±33	3.1±0.6		1300	3.1	400	44
6	>990 ^d	915±114	1300	1026±120	>7	190 ± 24	31±1.3
13	200±22	123 ± 20	>250 ^d			97±10	>20

Table. Aerobic and hypoxic cytotoxicities of dinitrobenzamide and 2-nitroimidazole mustards

 ${}^{a}IC_{50}$ values determined against aerobic cells (pH 7.4), using an exposure time of 4 h. Values are means \pm SEM. Values without SEM are for a single determination only. ${}^{b}CT_{10}$: the drug concentration (μ M) required to reduce cell survival to 10% of controls under hypoxic conditions, using the indicated cell line at 10⁶/mL in the clonogenic assay (see text). ^cRatio of C₁₀ values in air and N₂ [C₁₀(air)/C₁₀(N₂]. ^dNontoxic at the solubility limit.

The 2-nitroimidazole mustard **6** had approximately the same aerobic cytotoxicity as the dinitrobenzamide mustard **1** in the UV4 line (IC₅₀s ~ 1 mM), suggesting a similar degree of deactivation of the mustard. Both were somewhat more cytotoxic in the repair-deficient UV4 line than in the corresponding wild-type AA8 line, consistent with a mechanism of cytotoxicity involving DNA alkylation. Hypoxic selectivities were determined by clonogenic assay of stirred plateau-phase cultures of AA8 or UV4 cells, continuously gassed with 5% CO₂ in air or N₂, as described previously.^{18,19} Cytotoxic potency was determined as CT₁₀, the concentration of drug required for 10% cell survival after a 1 h exposure. While **1** has a hypoxic selectivity of ~ 40-fold in the more sensitive UV4 line,^{4,8} it was too insoluble to evaluate in the repair-competent AA8 line (against which it has lower potency). However, a soluble analogue of **1** (compound **3**) shows much lesser hypoxic selectivity in AA8 cells (~ 3-fold).⁸

The 2-nitroimidazole mustard **6** showed comparable hypoxic selectivity in UV4 cells to the dinitrobenzamide mustard **1** (30- to 40-fold), and was also significantly hypoxia-selective in repair-competent AA8 cells (>7-fold, accurate determination limited by solubility) respectively. The 4-chloro analogue **13** was also selective. These data suggest that more soluble 4-substituted analogues of **6** would be of interest.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by contract NO1-CM 47019 from the US National Cancer Institute and by the Auckland Division of the Cancer Society of New Zealand.

References and Notes:

(a) Brown, J. M.; Giaccia, A. J. Cancer Res. 1998, 58, 1408. (b) Siim, B. G.; Pruijn, F. B.; Denny, W. A.; Wilson, W. R. Oncol. Res. 1997, 9, 357. (c) Denny, W. A.; Wilson, W. R.; Hay, M. P. Br. J. Cancer 1996, 74, S32.

- 2. Coleman, C. N. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1988, 80, 310.
- 3. Denny, W.A.; Wilson, W. R. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 879.
- 4. Atwell, G. J.; Boyd, M.; Palmer, B. D.; Anderson, R. F.; Pullen, S. M.; Wilson, W. R.; Denny, W. A.. Anti-Cancer Drug Design **1996**, 11, 553.
- 5. Palmer, B. D.; Wilson, W. R.; Atwell, G. J.; Schultz, D.; Xu, X. Z.; Denny, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 2175.
- 6. Palmer, B. D.; Van Zijl, P.; Denny, W. A.; Wilson, W. R. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 1229.
- 7. Hoy, C. A.; Thompson, L. H.; Mooney, C. L.; Salazar, E. P. Cancer Res. 1985, 45, 1737.
- 8. Palmer, B. D.; Wilson, W. R.; Schultz, D.; Boyd, M.; Denny, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2518.
- 9. Palmer, B. D.; Wilson, W. R.; Pullen, S. M.; Denny, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 112.
- 10. Wardman, P. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1989, 18, 1658.
- 11. Evans, S. M.; Joiner, B.; Jenkins, W. T.; Laughlin, K. M.; Lord, E. M.; Koch, C. J. Brit. J. Cancer 1995, 72, 875.
- 12. Farah, S. F.; McClelland, R. A. Can. J. Chem. 1993, 71, 427.
- 13. Palmer, B. D.; Denny, W. A. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1989, 95.
- 14. Palmer, B. D.; Denny W. A. Synth. Comm. 1987, 17, 601.
- 15. Compound 6: mp (EtOAc/petroleum ether) 79-80 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.97 (s, 1, H-4), 3.94 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 3.57 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 4 H, CH₂Cl), 3.49 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 4 H, CH₂N). Mass spectrum: Found; M⁺ 270.0278, 268.0298, 266.0330. Calculated for C₈H₁₂Cl₂N₄O₂: M⁺ 270.0278, 268.0308, 266.0337. Anal. (C₈H₁₂Cl₂N₄O₂) C, H, N.
- 16. Compound 13: mp (EtOAc/petroleum ether) 75-77 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.00 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 3.55 (br s, 8 H, CH₂N and CH₂Cl). Mass spectrum: Found; M⁺ 305.9860, 303.9881, 301.9912, 299.9941. Calculated for C₈H₁₁Cl₃N₄O₂: M⁺ 305.5899, 303.9888, 301.9918, 299.9947. Anal. (C₈H₁₁Cl₃N₄O₂) C, H, N.
- 17. Wilson, W. R.; Thompson, L. H.; Anderson, R. F.; Denny, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 31.
- 18. Moselen, J. W.; Hay, M. P.; Denny, W. A.; Wilson, W. R. Cancer Res, 1995, 55, 574.
- 19. Wilson, W. R.; Thompson, L. H.; Anderson, R. F.; Denny, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 30.