from that of trifluoroethanol for both rate¹⁰ and equilibrium constants; at equilibrium the trifluoroethyl ether is only 3-9 times more stable than the alcohol in the 50% aqueous solvent and is equally stable in 90% water.

This behavior may be described in terms of a relatively large carbon basicity of RO⁻ compared with HO^{-,15} The reason for this is not clear to us, although it is possible that dispersion interactions stabilize the ether compared with the phenylethyl and aliphatic alcohols. It is not simply a hydrophobic effect, because ether formation is less favorable when the water content of the solvent is increased (Tables I and II). It is conceivable that it involves solvophobic interactions with both the fluorocarbon component of the solvent²⁹ and water.

Registry No. EtOH, 64-17-5; CH₃O(CH₂)₂OH, 109-86-4; Cl(C-H₂)₂OH, 107-07-3; Cl₂CHCH₂OH, 598-38-9; MeOH, 67-56-1; HC= CCH₂OH, 107-19-7; CF₃CH₂OH, 75-89-8; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(OH)-CH3·HClO4, 94670-01-6; CH3(CH2)3OH, 71-36-3; CH3(CH2)2OH, 71-23-8; Cl(CH₂)₃OH, 627-30-5; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OEt·HClO₄, 94670-03-8; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O(CH₂)₂OMe·HClO₄, 94670-05-0; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O(CH₂)₂Cl·HClO₄, 94670-07-2; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OCH₂CHCl₂·HClO₄, 94670-09-4; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH-

(29) Hildebrand, J. H.; Scott, R. L. "The Solubility of Nonelectrolytes", 3rd ed.; Reinhold: New York, 1950; p 454.

(CH₃)OMe·HClO₄, 94670-11-8; Me₂N-*p*-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OCH₂=CH· HClO₄, 94670-13-0; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O(CH₂)₃CH₃·HClO₄, 94670-15-2; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O(CH₂)₂CH₃·HClO₄, 94670-17-4; Me₂N-*p*-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O(CH₂)₃Cl·HClO₄, 94670-19-6; MeO-*p*-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OH, 3319-15-1; MeO-*p*-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OEt, 67233-95-8; MeO-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O(CH₂)₂OMe, 94670-20-9; MeO-p-C₆H₄CH-(CH₃)O(CH₂)₂Cl, 94670-21-0; MeO-*p*-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O(CH₂CHCl₂, 94670-22-1; MeO-*p*-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OMe, 77525-91-8; MeO-*p*-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OCH₂C=CH, 94670-23-2; MeO-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O-(CH₂)₃Cl, 94670-24-3; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OH, 5338-94-3; Me₂Np-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OEt, 94670-02-7; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O-(CH₂)₂OMe, 94670-04-9; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)O(CH₂)₂Cl, 94670-06-1; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OMe, 94670-10-7; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH-(CH₃)O(CH₂)₃CH₃, 94670-14-1; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OCH₂CF₃. HClO₄, 94670-30-1; MeO-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OCH₂CF₃, 94670-31-2; Me₂N-p-C₆H₄CH(CH₃)OCH₂CF₃, 94670-29-8; 1-(4-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)ethanol, 67223-02-3; 1-(4-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)ethyl ethyl ether, 94669-95-1; 1-(4-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)ethyl 2-methoxyethyl ether, 94669-96-2; 1-(4-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)ethyl 2-chloroethyl ether, 94669-97-3; 1-(4-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)ethyl 2,2-dichloroethyl ether, 94669-98-4; 1-(4-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)ethyl methyl ether, 94669-99-5; 1-(4-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)ethyl prop-2-yn-1-yl ether, 94670-00-5; 3bromo-α-methyl-4-methoxybenzenemethanol, 94670-25-4; 1-(3-bromo-4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl ethyl ether, 94670-26-5; 1-(3-bromo-4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl methyl ether, 94670-27-6; 1-(4-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)ethyl 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl ether, 94670-28-7; 1-(3-bromo-4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl ether, 94670-32-3.

Preparation and Dimerization of 1,2,4,6-Thiatriazinyl Radicals: Crystal and Molecular Structure of Bis(3,5-diphenyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazine)

Patrick J. Hayes,^{1a} Richard T. Oakley,^{*1a} A. Wallace Cordes,^{1b} and William T. Pennington^{1b}

Contribution from the Guelph Waterloo Centre for Graduate Work in Chemistry, Guelph Campus, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, N1G 2W1 Canada, and the Department of Chemistry, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701. Received September 7, 1984

Abstract: The reduction of Ph₂C₂N₃SCl, prepared from the reaction of benzamidine with S₃N₃Cl₃, with triphenylantimony yields the 3,5-diphenyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyl radical Ph₂C₂N₃S, whose ESR signal in CH₂Cl₂ consists of a seven-line pattern with equal hyperfine coupling constants to all three nitrogen atoms ($a_N = 0.397 \text{ mT}$, g = 2.0059). In the solid state the radical forms a dimer, whose crystal structure has been determined by X-ray diffraction. Crystals of (Ph₂C₂N₃S)₂ are triclinic, space group P_1 , a = 8.894 (3) Å, b = 11.058 (3) Å, c = 12.814 (5) Å, $\alpha = 81.76$ (2), $\beta = 86.75$ (3), $\gamma = 76.00$ (2)°, V = 1209.9 Å³, and Z = 2. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by Fourier and full-matrix least-squares techniques to give a final R = 0.050 and $R_w = 0.056$ for 1125 reflections with $I > 2\sigma I$. The solid-state structure of the dimer consists of a pair of cofacial Ph₂C₂N₃S rings with a dihedral angle of 14° between their respective mean planes. The closest interring contact is between two sulfur atoms (2.666 (3) Å). The electronic structures of the radical and the dimer are discussed in the light of MNDO molecular orbital calculations on related model compounds.

The possibility of emulating the electronic properties of the $(SN)_x$ polymer² by incorporating carbon atoms into conjugated sulfur-nitrogen chains has provided a stimulus for research in organothiazyl chemistry. As a result the preparation and characterization of a variety of novel heterocyclic thiazyl compounds have recently been reported.³⁻⁸ In principle at least these compounds combine the structural stability of carbocyclic π systems with the interesting redox properties of sulfur-nitrogen linkages. In this latter context the generation of planar ring systems which can exist in a variety of stable oxidation states (cation, anion, and radical) is a particularly appealing target. The importance of such

^{(1) (}a) University of Guelph. (b) University of Arkansas.

⁽²⁾ For a review of the physical properties of the (SN)_x polymer, see:
Labes, M.; Love, P.; Nichols, L. F. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 1.
(3) (a) Koenig, H.; Oakley, R. T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983,
73. (b) Codding, P. W.; Koenig, H.; Oakley, R. T. Can. J. Chem. 1983, 61,

¹⁵⁶²

⁽⁴⁾ Ernst, E.; Hollick, W.; Rihs, G.; Schomburg, D.; Shoham, G.; Wenkert,

<sup>D.; Woodward, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1540.
(5) Maclean, G. K.; Passmore, J.; Schriver, M. J.; White, P. S.; Bethell,
D.; Pilkington, R. S.; Sutcliffe, L. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983,</sup> 807.

^{(6) (}a) Daley, S. T. A. K.; Rees, C. W.; Williams, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 55. (b) Daley, S. T. A. K.; Rees, C. W.; Williams, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 57

⁽⁷⁾ Vegas, A.; Perez-Salazar, A.; Banister, A. J.; Rey, R. G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 1812.

^{(8) (}a) Boutique, J. P.; Riga, J.; Verbist, J. J.; Delhalle, J.; Fripiat, J. G.; Andre, J. M.; Haddon, R. C.; Kaplan, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2691. (b) Haddon, R. C.; Kaplan, M. L.; Marshall, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 197, 2691.
1978, 100, 1235. (c) Kaplan, M. L.; Haddon, R. C.; Schilling, F. C.; Marshall, J. H.; Bramwell, F. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3306. (d) Gieren, A.; Lamn, V.; Haddon, R. C.; Kaplan, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 7277.

a feature in the design of one-dimensional conductors has been pointed out by Haddon,⁹ and several attempts to exploit this concept have been described.¹⁰

As a part of our work in this area, we have discovered that the reduction of 1-chloro-3,5-diphenyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazine (1) with triphenylantimony yields the stable 3,5-diphenyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyl radical (2) (eq 1).¹¹ In the solid state, the radical forms



a sulfur-sulfur bridged dimer, 3. We report herein the characterization of the $Ph_2C_2N_3S$ radical and the crystal and molecular structure of its dimer 3. The ESR spectral parameters of 2 and the solid-state structure of 3 are discussed on the basis of molecular orbital (MNDO) calculations on a variety of model compounds.

Experimental Section

Starting Materials and General Procedures. S₃N₃Cl₃¹² was prepared from $S_4N_4^{13}$ and SO_2Cl_2 by the literature method. Benzamidine was liberated from benzamidine hydrochloride hydrate (Aldrich) by treatment with 5 M NaOH and extraction into diethyl ether and was sublimed in vacuo prior to use. Acetonitrile (Fisher, HPLC grade) was doubly distilled from CaH₂ and P₂O₅. Carbon tetrachloride (Fisher, technical) was distilled from P2O5. Triphenylantimony (Aldrich) was recrystallized from acetonitrile. Infrared spectra were recorded on Nujol mulls (CsI cells) on a Perkin-Elmer 1330 grating spectrophotometer. Chemical analyses were performed by MHW laboratories, Phoenix, AZ. All melting points were measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Mass spectra were obtained by using a VG 7070 EF spectrometer at 70 eV, samples being admitted through conventional inlet systems. All preparative manipulations and reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen. ESR spectra (X band) were recorded on a Varian 104 ESR spectrometer on degassed solutions in methylene chloride.

Preparation of Ph₂C₂N₃SCl. Benzamidine (5.622 g, 46.9 mmol) was combined with S₃N₃Cl₃ (4.578 g, 18.7 mmol) and acetonitrile (125 mL) in a side-armed flask and the mixture heated at reflux for 16 h. The contents of the flask were then filtered (hot), and the filtrate was cooled in an ice bath to yield a yellow crystalline solid (weight 5.88 g). Some S_4N_4 (a byproduct) was removed from this solid by a careful overnight sublimation at 70 °C/10⁻² torr. The residual solid was extracted with hot carbon tetrachloride and the extract then filtered (hot) and reduced to dryness in vacuo (this process removes the coproduced PhCN₂S₂Cl¹⁴). Recrystallization of the residue from acetonitrile yielded yellow moisture-sensitive needles of Ph₂C₂N₃SCl (2.28 g, 7.92 mmol); mp 162-167 °C; IR 3060 (w), 1595 (s), 1465 (vs, br), 1300 (w), 1170 (m), 1160 (s), 1120 (m), 1100 (s), 1080 (s), 1020 (m), 1000 (m), 975 (s), 940 (m), 850 (s), 805 (m), 795 (w), 730 (vs), 680 (vs), 620 (s), 610 (s), 545 (s), 500 (w), 400 (m), 380 (m), 250 (m), 230 (m) cm⁻¹; mass spectrum, m/e 252 $(Ph_2C_2N_3S^+, 34\%)$, 149 $(PhCN_2S^+, 21\%)$, 103 $(PhCN^+, 50\%)$, 77 $(Ph^+, 50\%)$, 70 $(Ph^+, 50\%)$, 77 $(Ph^+, 50\%)$, 70 $(Ph^+, 50\%$ 19%), 46 (SN⁺, 100%). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₀N₃SCI: C, 58.4; H 3.5; N, 14.6; Cl, 12.3. Found: C, 57.7; H, 3.8; N, 14.7; Cl, 12.7.

Preparation of (Ph₂C₂N₃S)₂. Solid triphenylantimony (0.52 g, 1.48 mmol) was added to a slurry of Ph₂C₂N₃SCl (0.829 g, 2.88 mmol) in acetonitrile (30 mL). A dark brown microcrystalline precipitate of $(Ph_2C_2N_3S)_2$ (0.621 g, 1.23 mmol, 85%) was filtered from the mixture after 10 min. The product so obtained was analytically pure but was recrystallized for X-ray crystallographic purposes from carefully (five freeze-pump-thaw cycles) degassed acetonitrile as black plates: mp

Table I. Crystallographic Data

formula		S ₂ N ₆ C ₂₈ H ₂₀	
fw		504.6	
cryst. syst.		triclinic	
space group:	molecules/cell	P1: 2	
<i>a</i> , Å	,	8.894 (3)	
b, Å		11.058 (3)	
<i>c</i> , Å		12.814 (5)	
α , deg		81.76 (2)	
β , deg		86.75 (3)	
γ , deg		76.00 (2)	
V, Å ³		1209.9	
calcd density,	g cm ⁻³	1.39	

178-181 °C; IR 3060 (w), 1610 (vw), 1590 (m), 1460 (vs, br), 1340 (w), 1210 (m), 1170 (s), 1130 (m), 1065 (s, br), 1020 (s), 965 (m), 775 (vs), 680 (vs), 615 (s), 520 (s), 340 (w) cm⁻¹; mass spectrum, m/e 252 $(Ph_2C_2N_3S^+, 45\%)$, 149 $(PhCN_2S^+, 27\%)$, 103 $(PhCN^+, 50\%)$, 77 $(Ph^+, 7\%)$ 9%), 46 (SN⁺, 100%). Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₂₀N₆S₂: C, 66.7; H, 4.0; N, 16.7; S, 12.7. Found: C, 66.3; H, 4.2; N, 16.7; S, 12.5.

X-ray Measurements on $(Ph_2C_2N_3S)_2$ (3). The black opaque crystals of the dimer 3 are soft and crumbly with terraced faces and internal cavities. Many crystals were examined, and data sets were collected on three different crystals.¹⁵ The data used for this report have a typical paucity of observed reflections. The refinement, the final R, the internal consistency of related parameters, and the reasonableness of the thermal ellipsoids were a pleasant surprise in view of the apparent crystal quality and the small data set. A crystal of approximate dimensions 0.10×0.18 \times 0.42 mm, mounted on a glass fiber, was used for data collection on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer employing graphite-monochromated Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) radiation. A least-squares fit of the diffractometer setting angles for 25 carefully centered reflections (31° < 2θ < 37°) gave the unit cell parameters listed in Table I.

Reflections were measured using $\omega - 2\theta$ scans for 2θ from 2° to 50° (h = -9 to 0, k = -11 to 11, l = -13 to 13). The scan range was (1.00) + 0.35 tan θ)°, and the scan speed varied from 20° to 4°/min. Of the 3781 unique reflections measured, 1125 had $I > 2\sigma I$ and were used in the refinement. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and the analytical absorption correction gave corrections from 0.952 to 0.978 ($\mu = 2.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Periodic measurement of the intensities of three reflections indicated a crystal and electronic stability of +1.4%. The R value for the duplicate data merge was 0.025.

The structure was solved by direct methods (MULTAN 11/82)¹⁶ and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. The solution was made difficult by the many parallel hexagon rings (the chicken wire problem). It was solved first in space group P1 by using Fourier maps phased by one molecule which was oriented according to $P\overline{1}$ E maps of an incorrect packing arrangement. Hydrogen atoms located in idealized positions (C-H = 0.95 Å) with isotropic thermal parameters of 1.2 times those of the carbon to which they were attached were included in the calculation of structure factors. The final cycle of refinement based on $|F_{o}|^{2}$ $-F_c^2$ included positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. The final R = 0.050 and $R_w = 0.056^{17}$ The weighting scheme of $w = [\sigma^2 F + 0.07 F^2]^{-1}$ where σF was derived from counting statistics gave F/σ values independent of θ or F. The goodness of fit¹⁷ was 1.13. In the final cycle of refinement, the maximum shift/ error was 0.07. The final difference map had a maximum value of 0.18 e⁻/Å³. The atomic scattering factors for neutral atoms were those of Cromer and Waber,¹⁸ and the real and imaginary dispersion corrections were those of Cromer.¹⁹ The computer programs used were those pro-

^{(9) (}a) Haddon, R. C. Aust. J. Chem. 1975, 28, 2343.
(b) Haddon, R. C. Nature (London) 1975, 256, 394.
(10) (a) Haddon, R. C.; Wudl, F.; Kaplan, M. L.; Marshall, J. H.; Cais, R. E.; Bramwell, F. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7629.
(b) Kaplan, M. K. Kaplan, M. Kaplan, Kaplan, M. Kaplan, Kaplan L.; Haddon, R. C.; Hirani, A. M.; Schilling, F. C.; Marshall, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 675

⁽¹¹⁾ Cordes, A. W.; Hayes, P. J.; Josephy, P. D.; Koenig, H.; Oakley, R.
T.; Pennington, W. T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1021.
(12) Alange, C. G.; Banister, A. J.; Bell, B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.

^{1972, 2399.}

 ⁽¹³⁾ Villena-Blanco, M.; Jolly, W. J. Inorg. Synth. 1967, 9, 98.
 (14) (a) Alange, C. G.; Banister, A. J.; Bell, B.; Millen, P. W. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1977, 13, 143. (b) Alange, C. G.; Banister, A. J.; Bell, B.; Millen, P. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1979, 1192.

⁽¹⁵⁾ The dimer also crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ with a = 11.360 (3) Å, b = 10.962 (3) Å, c = 19.415 (7) Å, $\beta = 99.94$ Å, (2)°, Z = 4. Only a few low quality monoclinic crystals were found. The best of these yielded 1051 observed reflections which were sufficient for a solution of the structure but did not support a refinement below an R of 0.14. The average of each type of bond distance and angle in the dimer was within 2σ 's of the corresponding value for the triclinic crystal. The packing in the monoclinic cell was also void of any special intermolecular alignment.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Main, P. MULTAN 11/82, a system of computer programs for the automatic solution of crystal structures from X-ray diffraction data. Univ-

ersity of York, England. (17) (a) $R = \sum (||F_0| - |F_c||) / \sum |F_0|$. $R_w = [\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w|F_0|^2]^{1/2}$. (b) G.O.F. = $[w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2 / (N_0 - N_v)]^{1/2}$, where N_0 = number of observations and N_v = number of variables. (18) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography". The Kyngob Perse: Birmingham England 1974; Vol IV.

Crystallography"; The Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol IV, Table 2.2B.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Cromer, D. T. "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography"; The Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV, Table 2.3.1.

Table II. Final Atom Coordinates (for Non-Hydrogen Atoms) and B_{eo}^{a} Values for $(Ph_{2}C_{2}N_{3}S)_{2}$

~	< <u>2</u> 2 3	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
atom	x	у	Z	B, Å ²
S (1)	0.0811 (2)	0.0280 (2)	0.2039 (2)	4.53 (5)
S(2)	-0.1321 (2)	0.1398 (2)	0.0593 (2)	4.33 (5)
N(1)	0.0557 (6)	-0.1112 (5)	0.2048 (5)	4.1 (2)
N(2)	-0.1351 (6)	-0.0798 (5)	0.3449 (4)	4.1 (2)
N(3)	-0.0117 (6)	0.0923 (5)	0.3035 (5)	4.3 (2)
N(4)	-0.1845 (6)	0.0141 (5)	0.0409 (4)	3.9 (2)
N(5)	-0.3975 (6)	0.0581 (5)	0.1663 (4)	3.8 (2)
N(6)	-0.2566 (6)	0.2203 (5)	0.1373 (5)	4.2 (2)
C(1)	-0.0486 (8)	-0.1461 (6)	0.2730 (6)	3.9 (2)
C(2)	-0.0694 (8)	-0.2745 (7)	0.2730 (5)	3.9 (2)
C(3)	0.0162 (9)	-0.3506 (7)	0.2028 (7)	5.8 (2)
C(4)	-0.004 (1)	-0.4689 (8)	0.1994 (7)	7.2 (3)
C(5)	-0.1069 (9)	-0.5162 (7)	0.2646 (7)	6.5 (3)
C(6)	-0.1919 (9)	-0.4430 (7)	0.3336 (7)	6.7 (3)
C(7)	-0.1751 (9)	-0.3242 (7)	0.3381 (7)	5.8 (2)
C(8)	-0.1089 (7)	0.0315 (6)	0.3580 (6)	3.6 (2)
C(9)	-0.1962 (7)	0.0965 (6)	0.4437 (5)	3.4 (2)
C(10)	-0.3111 (9)	0.0506 (7)	0.5010 (6)	5.4 (2)
C(11)	-0.3968 (8)	0.1149 (7)	0.5787 (7)	6.4 (2)
C(12)	-0.3630 (9)	0.2195 (7)	0.6010 (6)	5.7 (2)
C(13)	-0.248(1)	0.2669 (7)	0.5479 (7)	6.1 (2)
C(14)	-0.1638 (8)	0.2022 (7)	0.4692 (6)	5.1 (2)
C(15)	-0.3092 (7)	-0.0107 (6)	0.0977 (5)	3.5 (2)
C(16)	-0.3547 (7)	-0.1267 (6)	0.0798 (5)	3.5 (2)
C(17)	-0.2661 (8)	-0.2153 (7)	0.0208 (6)	5.2 (2)
C(18)	-0.3136 (9)	-0.3215 (7)	0.0079 (7)	6.4 (2)
C(19)	~0.4491 (8)	-0.3411 (7)	0.0546 (7)	5.6 (2)
C(20)	-0.5386 (8)	-0.2533 (7)	0.1112 (6)	5.4 (2)
C(21)	-0.4909 (8)	-0.1479 (6)	0.1254 (6)	4.3 (2)
C(22)	-0.3716 (7)	0.1694 (6)	0.1785 (6)	3.8 (2)
C(23)	-0.4861 (7)	0.2467 (6)	0.2458 (5)	3.5 (2)
C(24)	-0.6124 (8)	0.2046 (7)	0.2879 (6)	5.2 (2)
C(25)	-0.7184 (8)	0.2757 (7)	0.3516 (7)	6.4 (3)
C(26)	-0.7011 (9)	0.3867 (7)	0.3708 (7)	6.4 (3)
C(27)	-0.5782 (9)	0.4328 (8)	0.3281 (8)	8.0 (3)
C(28)	-0.4718 (8)	0.3602 (7)	0.2659 (7)	6.0 (2)

^a B_{eq} = isotropic *B* values equivalent to the anisotropic ellipsoids = $\frac{4}{3(\beta_{11}a^2 + \beta_{22}b^2 + \beta_{33}c^2 + \beta_{12}ab\cos\gamma + \beta_{13}ac\cos\beta + \beta_{23}bc\cos\alpha)}$.

vided by the Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. Atom coordinates for the non-hydrogen atoms of 3 are given in Table II, and selected bond and angle information is provided in Table III. Tables containing coordinates of the hydrogen atoms (S1), additional bond and angle data (S2), and anisotropic thermal parameters (S3) have been deposited as supplementary material.

Theoretical Method and Models. The MNDO (modified neglect of diatomic overlap) method²⁰ and the DFP geometry optimizing algorithm²¹ have been used extensively for the study of the conformational characteristics of binary thiazyl compounds and related derivatives. The method is recognized to be somewhat deficient in assessing interactions between higher valent sulfur centers²² but has, in many cases, provided reliable predictions of ground-state geometries. Restricted Hartree-Fock (RHF) open-shell calculations (half-electron model) were carried out on a planar H₂C₂N₃S species (with full geometry optimization within the C_{2v} symmetry) as a model for the radical 2. Calculations pertaining to the dimer 3 were performed on two cofacial H₂C₂N₃S units, using the geometrical parameters obtained from the free radical calculations. The sulfur-sulfur distance was set at 2.66 Å, and the dihedral angle between the planes of the two half-molecules was fixed at 16° (cf. the crystal structure).

Results and Discussion

Preparation of Ph₂C₂N₃SCl (1). 1,2,4,6-Thiatriazines are known with a wide range of substituents (at sulfur and carbon). For example, derivatives with alkyl or aryl groups in the 1-, 3-, and 5-positions have been prepared by the reaction of N-haloamidines with thiolates or N-sulfenylamidines.²³ The fully



Figure 1. ESR spectrum of the Ph₂C₂N₃S radical in methylene chloride.

chlorinated compound Cl₃C₂N₃S can be prepared from sodium dicyanoamide and thionyl chloride,²⁴ and mixed derivatives, e.g. 1-chloro-3-phenyl-5-(trichloromethyl)-1,2,4,6-thiatriazine, are accessible via ring closure reactions between imidoylamidines and sulfur dichloride.²⁵ The method reported here, which involves the reaction of benzamidine with $S_3N_3Cl_3$ (eq 2), represents a convenient and apparently versatile route to 1-chloro-3,5-diaryl derivatives. The major side product of the reaction (other than



amidine salts and S_4N_4) is the phenyldithiadiazolium chloride 4,¹⁴ but this can be easily separated from the product by extraction with carbon tetrachloride (in which 4 is insoluble). We note that 1 is not produced in the reaction of benzonitrile with $S_3N_3Cl_3$. In this latter reaction 4 is the sole product.¹⁴

Reduction of Ph₂C₂N₃SCl and the ESR Spectrum of Ph₂C₂N₃S-(2). Reduction of $Ph_2C_2N_3SCl$ (1) with triphenylantimony in deoxygenated methylene chloride yields a yellow solution which exhibits a strong and persistent ESR signal (Figure 1) whose appearance is consistent with that expected for the free radical $Ph_2C_2N_3S(2)$, in which hyperfine coupling constants to all three nitrogen atoms are equal ($a_N = 0.397 \text{ mT}$). No additional fine structure due to spin coupling to the protons on the phenyl rings is observed. This is in contrast to the spin distribution found in the 2,4,6-triphenylthiopyranyl radical $5,^{26}$ where significant coupling to the phenyl protons is seen. The deviation of the g



value of 2 (2.0059) from the free-electron figure (2.0023) is indicative of a substantial spin density on the sulfur atom (the only atom which is sufficiently heavy to induce a spin-orbit coupling effect). Indeed the g value observed in 2 is siimilar to that reported for the SO2- radical (2.0061-2.0057).27 The ESR results thus suggest that the spin orbital in which the unpaired electron resides is distributed equally over the N2,6 and the N4 nitrogens, with a large contribution also coming from the sulfur

^{(20) (}a) Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4899. (b) QCPE 1977, No. 353, MNDO.

^{(21) (}a) Fletcher, R.; Powell, M. J. D. Comput. J. 1963, 6, 163. (b) Davidon, W. C. Comput. J. 1968, 10, 406.

^{(22) (}a) Dewar, M. J. S.; McKee, M. L. J. Comput. Chem. 1983, 4, 84. (b) Baird, N. C. J. Comput. Chem. 1984, 5, 35. (c) Oakley, R. T. Can. J. Chem. 1984, 62, 2763.

^{(23) (}a) Goerdeler, J.; Loevenich, D. Chem. Ber. 1954, 87, 1079. (b)

 ^{(25) (}a) Schramm, W.; Poss, G.; Rembasz, G.; Fischer, E. Z. Chem. 1974, (24) (a) Schramm, W.; Voss, G.; Rembasz, G.; Fischer, E. Z. Chem. 1974, 14, 471. (b) Schramm, W.; Voss, G.; Michalik, M.; Rembasz, G. Z. Chem. 1975, 15, 19.

⁽²⁵⁾ Kornuta, P. P.; Derii, L. I.; Markovskii, L. N. Zh. Org. Khim. 1980, 16, 1303.

⁽²⁶⁾ Degani, I.; Lunazzi, L.; Pedulli, G. F.; Vinceri, C.; Mangini, A. Mol. Phys. 1970, 18, 613.

^{(27) (}a) Atkins, P. W.; Horsfield, A.; Symons, M. C. R. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 5220. (b) Clark, H. C.; Horsfield, A.; Symons, M. C. R. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 7. (c) Rinker, R. G.; Gordon, T. P.; Mason, D. M.; Corcoran, W. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1959, 63, 302.

Table III. Selected Bond (Å) and Angle (deg) Data for $(Ph_2C_2N_3S)_2$

atom	atom 2	distance	atom 1	atom 2	atom 3	angle	
S(1)	S(2)	2.666 (3)	S(2)	S (1)	N(1)	95.7 (3)	
$\mathbf{S}(1)$	N(1)	1.602 (6)	S(2)	S(1)	N(3)	96.9 (2)	
$\mathbf{S}(1)$	N(3)	1.627 (6)	S(1)	S(2)	N(6)	97.0 (3)	
S(2)	N(4)	1.614(6)	S(1)	S(2)	N(4)	96.6 (3)	
S(2)	N(6)	1.624 (6)	N(1)	S(1)	N(3)	110.7 (4)	
N(1)	C(1)	1.316 (9)	N(4)	S(2)	N(6)	110.8 (3)	
N(2)	C(1)	1.34 (1)	S(1)	N(1)	C(1)	117.2 (6)	
N(2)	C(8)	1.34 (1)	C(1)	N(2)	C(8)	119.2 (7)	
N(3)	C(8)	1.32 (1)	S(1)	N(3)	C(8)	116.2 (6)	
N(4)	C(15)	1.34 (1)	S(2)	N(4)	C(15)	116.7 (6)	
N(5)	C(15)	1.33 (1)	C(15)	N(5)	C(22)	119.6 (7)	
N(5)	C(22)	1.33 (1)	S(2)	N(6)	C(22)	116.6 (6)	
N(6)	C(22)	1.32 (1)	N(1)	C(1)	N(2)	127.7 (8)	
C(1)	C(2)	1.47 (1)	N(1)	C(1)	C(2)	116.3 (9)	
C(8)	C(9)	1.47 (1)	N(2)	C(1)	C(2)	115.9 (9)	
C(15)	C(16)	1.48 (1)	N(2)	C(8)	N(3)	128.1 (8)	
C(22)	C(23)	1.47 (1)	N(2)	C(8)	C(9)	117.7 (8)	
			N(3)	C(8)	C(9)	114.2 (7)	
			N(4)	C(15)	N(5)	127.5 (8)	
			N(4)	C(15)	C(16)	115.8 (8)	
			N(5)	C(15)	C(16)	116.8 (8)	
			N(5)	C(22)	N(6)	128.3 (8)	
			N(5)	C(22)	C(23)	116.3 (8)	
			N(6)	C(22)	C(23)	115.5 (8)	
			C(22)	C(23)	C(24)	119.6 (8)	
			C(22)	C(23)	C(28)	122.1 (8)	
			C(24)	C(23)	C(28)	118.3 (8)	



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of $(Ph_2C_2N_3S)_2$ (50% probability ellipsoids) showing atom numbering scheme.

atom. As a result of the electronegative potential exerted by the C_2N_3S ring, spin delocalization onto the phenyl rings does not occur to any measureable extent.

Molecular Structure of $(Ph_2C_2N_3S)_2$ (3). Reduction of 2 in acetonitrile yields a microcrystalline precipitate of 3 which can be recrystallized as flat plates from carefully degassed acetonitrile. The crystal structure of this material (which is diamagnetic at room temperature) reveals discrete pairs of thiatriazine rings linked in a cofacial fashion (Figure 2); there are no unusually short contacts between dimers. Individually the two C_2N_3S rings exhibit shallow boat conformations (Figure 3). In one ring (that containing S1), the sulfur atom and the remote nitrogen atom are displaced 0.135 (2) and 0.048 (6) Å from the mean plane of the central four atoms, which are themselves coplanar to within 0.006 (7) Å. In the other ring (containing S2), the corresponding displacements are 0.074 (2) and 0.053 (5) Å, the remaining atoms being coplanar to within 0.010 (7) Å. Overall, the two rings are planar to within 0.081 (6) and 0.051 (5) Å, respectively, and their two mean planes intersect with a dihedral angle of 14°. The shortest contact is 2.666 (3) Å for S1-S2,28 although all pairwise contacts are within the appropriate van der Waals' separation. The two C₂N₃S rings are perfectly eclipsed; i.e., two adjacent atoms from one ring and the corresponding atoms from the other form a planar arrangement (to within 1σ). Torsion angles between



Figure 3. (a) A View (30% probability ellipsoids) of one of the $Ph_2C_2N_3S$ halves and (b) a side-on view of a pair of C_2N_3S rings (50% probability ellipsoids) in $(Ph_2C_2N_3S)_2$.

the phenyl rings and the C_2N_3S rings range from 3.8° to 11.4°. The appearance of the dimer 3, as outlined above, is reminiscent

of the dithionite structure $6^{.29}$ In the solid state (as its sodium salt), this anion exists as a pair of SO₂⁻ radicals connected by a long (2.39 Å) S-S linkage. Quite recently a related structure, namely that of Se₂I₄²⁺ (7), has been reported.³⁰ Like 3, both of these structures are characterized by an eclipsed arrangement of

⁽²⁸⁾ The dimerization of 2 through sulfur differs from thiopyranyl radicals, which dimerize through carbon at the 4-positions. See: Yoshida, Z-i.; Shigeo, Y.; Toyanari, S.; Osanu, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1971**, *43*, 3999. In the thia-triazinyl radical 2, the 4-position is occupied by a nitrogen atom and, as has been pointed out elsewhere (see ref 8b), N-N bond formation is less thermodynamically favored than C-C.

⁽²⁹⁾ Dunitz, J. Acta Crystallogr. 1956, 9, 579.

⁽³⁰⁾ Nundana, W. A. S.; Passmore, J.; White, P. S.; Wong, C. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1098.



the ligands bound to sulfur (or selenium) and an unusually shallow dihedral angle between the planes of the two half-molecules. However, perhaps the most useful structural analogy that can be drawn is with the transannular S–S interactions observed in binary sulfur nitrides. The nature of these latter interactions, which range from 2.45 to 2.65 Å (e.g., 2.59 Å in S₄N₄),³¹ has been the subject of much debate; i.e., is there a bond at all? The issue has been highlighted by the preparation and characterization of a range of heterocyclic derivatives of the type R₂C₂N₄S₂,⁴ whose structures are either planar **8** or puckered **9** depending on the nature of the R group. Recent theoretical studies^{22c,32} on molecules of this type



have clarified the electronic criteria which govern the structural dichotomy. The equilibrium between 2 and 3 is analogous to this dichotomy, and similar electronic arguments should be applicable in understanding the apparent weakness of the S–S bond in 3. In order to develop these ideas, we have carried out a series of MNDO molecular orbital calculations on model compounds related to 2 and 3.

Electronic Structure of $H_2C_2N_3S$ and $(H_2C_2N_3S)_2$. The length of the S-S bond in 3 and the susceptibility of the dimer to dissociate in solution into its constituent radicals indicate that the S-S interaction is in no way comparable energetically to the S-S bond in an ordinary disulfide molecule, e.g., RSSR. Indeed the dissociation energy of disulfide bonds (50.9 kcal/mol)³³ ranks among the highest for homonuclear linkages. The electronic structure of the dimer, in particular the nature of the S-S interaction, can best be analyzed by considering first the electronic makeup of the simple radical $H_2C_2N_3S$. The molecular orbital manifold of the dimer can then be characterized in terms of the weak orbital interactions which develop when two $H_2C_2N_3S$. radicals approach one another in a face-to-face fashion.

RHF (open shell) MNDO calculations on a $H_2C_2N_3S$ model (with full geometry optimization within C_{2v} symmetry) confirm that the species is a π radical with a ${}^{2}B_1$ ground state. There are three doubly occupied π orbitals (1b₁, 1a₂, and 2b₁), all of which are bonding distributions (see Table IV). However, for present purposes the orbital of concern is the SOMO 3b₁, which is an antibonding distribution localized primarily over the NSN region but with a substantial contribution being made by the 2p_z orbital of the unique nitrogen. Indeed the nearly equal eigenvector coefficients at the chemically distinct nitrogen (and hence the charge density distribution for the unpaired electron) correlate quite well with the observed coupling constants in Ph₂C₂N₃S, which are essentially identical. The large eigenvector coefficient of the sulfur 2p_z orbital is likewise consistent with the high g value of 2.

The cofacial approach of two radical units to form the dimer leads to mixing of the π orbitals of the individual components.

Table IV. Eigenvalues (ϵ_i) and Eigenvector Coefficients (c_i) of π -Molecular Orbitals (MNDO) of H₂C₂N₃S

		c_i				
orbital	ϵ_i , eV	S 1	N2,6	C3,5	N4	
4b ₁	1.378	0.144	-0.308	0.520	-0.498	-
$2a_2$	-0.676		-0.414	0.574		
3b ₁	-5.870	0.591	-0.443	-0.113	0.482	
2b ₁	-12.411	-0.644	-0.169	0.280	0.609	
1a ₂	-12.623		0.574	0.414		
1b ₁	-15.818	0.464	0.424	0.371	0.386	



Figure 4. Molecular orbital energies and distributions (also see Table IV). Correlation lines illustrate the mixing of the radical orbitals into those of the dimer $(H_2iC_2N_3S)_2$.

The most pronounced interaction is that between the two 3b₁ orbitals (see Figure 4), which split apart to form the HOMO and LUMO of the dimer. While these orbitals retain much of their delocalized character (with respect to the individual rings), their overlap properties are such that they can be designated as bonding and antibonding with respect to the sulfur-sulfur contact. There are other orbitals (particularly the $1b_1$ and $2b_1$) further down the stack that also give rise to S-S bonding and antibonding combinations when the radical halves approach one another, but the net bonding effect from these interactions is small because both the bonding and antibonding combinations are occupied. The in-phase combination of the $3b_1$ orbitals of $H_2C_2N_3S_2$, i.e., the HOMO of the dimer $(H_2C_2N_3S)_2$, is therefore the only bonding orbital whose counterpart is not filled. It is tempting to equate this orbital with what one would like to call a sulfur–sulfur σ bond, and, insofar as the local contributions from the two sulfur atoms are concerned, the orbital does have symmetry properties which are of a σ type. However, the orbital is considerably more diffuse than is the S(2p)-S(2p) σ orbital in, for example, H₂S₂. In a sense we are limited by the language of valence bond theory in which the term σ bond implies a two-electron two-center interaction. Clearly the S-S bond in 3 does not fall into such a category. Indeed the aps bond order³⁴ for the model dimer $(H_2C_2N_3S)_2$ is 0.26.

The above description of the dimer 3 has a direct parallel in the dithionite anion, which can be regarded as a pair of SO_2^{-1} -radicals weakly coupled through their π^* orbitals.²⁹ Like 3, $S_2O_4^{2-1}$

^{(31) (}a) Sharma, B. D.; Donahue, J. Acta Crystallogr. 1963, 16, 891. (b) DeLucia, M. L.; Coppens, P. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 2336.

^{(32) (}a) Burford, N.; Chivers, T.; Codding, P. W.; Oakley, R. T. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 982. (b) Gleiter, R.; Bartetzko, R.; Cremer, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3437. (c) Boutique, J. P.; Riga, J.; Verbist, J. J.; Delhalle, J.; Fripiat, J. G.; Haddon, R. C.; Kaplan, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 312. (d) Millefiori, S.; Millefiori, A.; Granozzi, G. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1984, 90, L55-58.

⁽³³⁾ Pauling, L. "The Nature of the Chemical Bond", 3rd ed.; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1960.

⁽³⁴⁾ Bond orders calculated as in: Armstrong, D. R.; Perkins, P. G.; Stewart, J. J. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 838.

readily dissociates in solution. In the present case the extent of the dissociation $3 \rightleftharpoons 2$ has not been measured. However, as in the case of heterocycles such as $R_2C_2N_4S_2$, whose structures (i.e., 8 or 9) can be predicted on the basis of the electron-releasing or -accepting properties of the exocyclic R groups,^{22c,32} the equilibrium should be dependent on the nature of the groups attached to the carbon. Thus, dimerization should be favored by electron-donating substituents (e.g., p-NMe₂C₆H₄), which would destabilize the radical SOMO, and be suppressed by electron-withdrawing ones $(e.g., p-NO_2C_6H_4).$

Thus far we have not addressed directly the reason for the eclipsed conformation of the two C₂N₃S rings in 3. Instead, because of the mutual inclination of the two rings, we have focused attention on the nature of the S-S interaction. However, the argument regarding this interaction could be developed for any orientation of the two rings (created by a torsion of one ring with respect to the other about the S-S vector). It is interesting to speculate whether 3 should be regarded as a $\pi - \pi$ complex, in the sense usually reserved to describe the through-space interactions in cyclophanes.³⁵ In order to test this point we have carried out a series of MNDO calculations on $(H_2C_2N_3S)_2$ models by using different torsion angles about the S-S vector. However, no useful conclusion could be reached; the skewed orientation 10b was found to be more stable than 10a (by 6 kcal/mol) and 10c (by 3 kcal/mol).

The present problem has a close analogy in the structures of the dimers 11 and 12, which consist of pairs of 7π radicals linked through two long sulfur-sulfur interactions.^{7,36} While one (11)



possesses a cis structure, the other (12) exhibits a trans arrangement of the two rings with respect to the S-S bridges. In



these latter examples and the present structure, the final geometry may well be determined by lattice or dispersion forces.³⁷ Clearly further synthetic, structural, and theoretical studies are required to clarify the issue.

Other Radicals Related to 2. The stability of the $Ph_2C_2N_3S$. radical provides a basis for considering the viability of other π radicals related to it. We draw attention to two examples of current interest. (1) The reduction of $Ph_4P_2N_3SCl$ yields a 12membered P₄N₆S₂ macrocycle.³⁸ ESR studies of this reaction³⁹ indicate the transient existence of a diphosphathiatriazinyl radical 13 as the initial reduction product (cf. 2). Hyperfine coupling constants to the different nitrogens indicate that, consistent with



the expected electronegativity differences between P(V) and S(II), the SOMO is strongly polarized, with spin density localized over the NSN fragment. (2) The vaporization of the $(SN)_x$ polymer leads to a molecular species which, upon condensation from the gas phase, reforms the polymer as epitaxial films.^{1,40} Recent photoelectron and quadrupole mass spectrometric studies⁴¹ of this gas-phase species have lead to the conclusion that it is the elusive S_3N_3 radical 14 (the "missing link" in binary SN chemistry). We are currently pursing ways of generating S_3N_3 in solution, e.g., by oxidation of $S_3N_3^-$ or by reduction of $S_3N_3Cl_3^{42}$ However, to date such experiments have yielded only S_4N_4 and traces of $(SN)_x$. We have been unable to observe (at room temperature) any ESR active species. Theoretical studies on a planar S₃N₃. radical suggests a 9π ²A₂ ground state;⁴¹ while dimerization of the type observed for 2 therefore seems unlikely, the absence of any readily detectable signal suggests a rapid and irreversible association pathway for S_3N_3 .

Conclusions

1,2,4,5-Thiatriazinyl radicals can be prepared by the reduction of the corresponding 1-chloro substituted thiatriazine. These radicals exist in solution in equilibrium with a diamagnetic dimer. The crystal structure of bis(3,5-diphenyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazine) (3) reveals a cofacial arrangement of the two C₂N₃S rings bridged by a long (2.666 (3) Å) sulfur-sulfur contact. Molecular orbital calculations on a $(H_2C_2N_3S)_2$ model reveal that, as in the case of the $S_2O_4^{2-}$ ion, the net bonding interaction between the two sulfur atoms arises from the overlap of the π^* SOMO's of the radical partners, i.e., $H_2C_2N_3S_2$. The length and weakness of the S-S interaction, which sets it apart from a regular disulfide bond, stems from the highly diffuse nature of the interacting orbitals. We conclude by noting that while the present system provides no indication of any ordering of dimer pairs into one dimensional arrays, e.g., 15, it represents a useful test case for the design of



15

such systems. Modification of the 3,5-substituents of 3 may lead to subtle electronic and, hence, solid-state changes.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Research Corporation, the National Science Foundation (EPSCOR Grant ISP 801147), and the State of Arkansas for financial support.

Registry No. 1, 94426-38-7; 2, 94537-18-5; 3, 94405-47-7; S₃N₃Cl₃, 5964-00-1; S₄N₄, 28950-34-7; PhCN₂S₂Cl, 63481-05-0; benzamidine, 618-39-3; acetonitrile, 75-05-8.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of atom coordinates for hydrogen atoms (S1), bond lengths and angles within the phenyl groups (S2), anisotropic thermal parameters (S3), and observed and calculated structure factors (16 pages). Ordering information is available on any current masthead page.

(42) Oakley, R. T., unpublished results.

^{(35) (}a) Doris, K. A.; Ellis, D. E.; Ratner, M. A.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2491. (b) Heilbronner, E.; Yang, Z-Z. Top. Curr. Chem. 1983, 115, 1 and references therein.

^{(36) (}a) Gillespie, R. J.; Kent, J. D.; Sawyer, J. F. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 3784. (b) Small, R. W. H.; Banister, A. J.; Hauptman, Z. V. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1377.

⁽³⁷⁾ The involvement of 3d orbitals has been suggested as the cause of the eclipsed conformation of $S_2O_4^{2^-}$ (see ref 29), but the argument is not compelling.

⁽³⁸⁾ Chivers, T.; Rao, M. N. S.; Richardson, J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 186. (b) Burford, N.; Chivers, T.; Rao, M. N. S.; Richardson, J. F. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 1946. (39) Oakley, R. T., unpublished results.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ See, for example: (a) Love, P.; Myer, G.; Kao, H. Z.; Labes, M. M.; Junker, W. R.; Elbaum, C. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. **1978**, 313, 745. (b) Smith, R. D.; Wyatt, J. R.; DeCorpo, J. J.; Saalfield, F. E.; Moran, M. J.; Mac-Diarnid, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1977**, 99, 1726. (c) Saalfield, F. E.; DeCorpo, J. J.; Wyatt, J. R.; Mah, P. T.; Allen, W. N. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. **1979**, 312, 750. 1978, 313, 759.

^{(41) (}a) Westwood, N. P. C. 67th Annual C.I.C. Conference, Montreal, Canada, June 1984, Abstr. PH29-3. (b) Lau, W. M.; Westwood, N. P. C.; Palmer, M. H., private communication.