

Cobalt-Catalyzed C(sp²)-CN Bond Activation: Cross-Electrophile Coupling for Biaryl Formation and Mechanistic Insight

Céline Dorval, Maxime Tricoire, Jeanne-Marie Begouin, Vincent Gandon,* and Corinne Gosmini*



bond alone, the use of the AlMe₃ Lewis acid enhances the reactivity of benzonitriles and improves the cross-selectivity with barely any influence on the functional group compatibility. X-ray structure determination of an original low-valent cobalt species combined with catalytic and stoichiometric reactions reveals a catalytically



active cobalt(I) species toward the aryl halide partner. On the other hand, experimental insights, including cyclic voltammetry experiments, suggest the involvement of a cobalt complex of a lower oxidation state to activate the benzonitrile derivative. Finally, density functional theory calculations support the proposed mechanistic cycle involving two low-valent cobalt species of different oxidation states to perform the reaction.

KEYWORDS: cobalt, catalysis, benzonitriles, reductive cross-coupling, biaryl, cross-electrophile coupling

INTRODUCTION

Benefiting from more than 50 years of research, noble metals have a longstanding record in the field of homogeneous catalysis.¹ However, the depletion of their resources,² implying high costs and sustainability issues,³ has stimulated the search for non-noble metal surrogates. These studies have revealed unsuspected reactivities⁴ and notably led to the development of first-row transition metal-catalyzed cross-electrophile coupling reactions that have emerged as a powerful tool to create carbon-carbon (C-C), bonds in particular for biaryl formation.⁵ In contrast with the traditional redox-neutral couplings, cross-electrophile couplings require no preliminary preparation of a stoichiometric nucleophilic organometallic species, which makes them more straightforward and often allows better functional group tolerance. First, mainly based on the activation of two halide derivatives,5 the limited availability and difficult synthesis of such substrates have led chemists to consider other types of electrophiles to perform such reactions.⁶

In this area, one of the biggest challenges remains the activation of thermodynamically stable C-C bonds. In particular, even though great improvements have been made recently, metal-catalyzed activation of unstrained C-C bonds^{7,8} is still largely underdeveloped.^{7,9} Among them, the activation of the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond is believed to have a great potential to develop original synthetic methodologies.¹⁰ Indeed, benzonitriles are relatively abundant¹¹ and more and more readily accessible from ubiquitous functional groups.¹² They are also quite stable under various reaction conditions, which makes them appealing for late-stage cross-coupling reactions. Therefore, despite its high bond dissociation energy (PhCN ~ 132 kcal/mol),¹³ chemists have been attracted to activate this unstrained $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond with transition metals.

Stoichiometric activation studies¹⁴ with different metals have revealed two major activation pathways (Scheme 1a). The first pathway involves mainly electron-rich metal(0) complexes, which insert via oxidative addition into the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond (pathway A).^{14,15} The second activation mode relies on the assistance of silicon, in which the prior formation of a silylated complex is followed by the migratory insertion of the nitrile into the M–Si bond (pathway B).^{14,16} Among metals reported to activate $C(sp^2)$ -CN bonds, Ni, Rh, and Pd have been mainly applied in catalysis.^{7,9b,17} Notably, in cross-coupling reactions,¹⁸ apart from a particular cross-coupling between benzonitrile and azole derivatives,¹⁹ thus, necessitating to employ a nickel(0)/alkyl phosphine catalyst to be able to activate the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond via pathway A, biaryl formation from benzonitriles has been reported using a nickel catalyst with organometallic coupling partners²⁰ such as aryl Grignard reagents,^{21,22} arylboronic esters,²³ and arylmanganese com-

Received: September 7, 2020 **Revised:** October 7, 2020



Scheme 1. (a) Different Metal-Catalyzed Activation Pathways; (b) Redox-Neutral Coupling with Benzonitrile Derivatives and Nucleophilic Organometallic Reagents; (c) Intramolecular Cross-Electrophile Coupling from Benzonitrile Derivatives; and (d) Intermolecular Cross-Electrophile Coupling from Benzonitrile Derivatives (This Work)

(a) Activation pathways



(b) Redox-neutral coupling (Miller, Shi, Wang)



· limited functional group tolerance

(c) Intramolecular cross-electrophile coupling (Chatani)



intermolecular cross-electrophile coupling	 mild conditions
 first-row transition metal catalysis 	 functional group tolerance

pounds (Scheme 1b).²⁴ The use of such organometallic species makes easy the reduction of nickel(II)/alkyl phosphine precatalysts into nickel(0) active species, which can then insert into the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond according to pathway **A**. However, the use of nucleophilic species might sometimes be incompatible with late-stage derivatization and sensitive functional groups. Chatani et al.²⁵ disclosed a rhodiumcatalyzed silicon-assisted (pathway **B**) cross-electrophile coupling from benzonitrile derivatives (Scheme 1c), which could overcome these drawbacks. Nevertheless, high temperature and restriction to intramolecular arylation reduce its applicability.

Cobalt complexes have already shown to be efficient catalysts to perform cross-electrophile coupling reactions.^{5b,26,27} Moreover, we and others have revealed the great ability of cobalt complexes to perform cross-coupling reactions of partners other than halide derivatives.²⁸ Therefore, as part of our continuous effort to develop efficient couplings from more stable and available halide surrogates, we disclose herein an attractive cobalt-catalyzed intermolecular cross-electrophile coupling to form biaryls from benzonitrile derivatives under mild conditions (Scheme 1d). The major challenge of such intermolecular coupling is to favor cross-selectivity from two electrophilic starting materials displaying the same reactivity toward transition metals.⁵ In the present methodology, the

difficult activation of benzonitriles makes this challenge even bigger. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of catalytic cross-coupling reactions involving C–CN activation with cobalt.²⁹

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimization Study. The optimized conditions of the reaction are shown in Table 1. Reaction of benzonitrile (1)

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

Ph-Cf 1 1.0 equ	N + CI 2 CO ₂ Me CoBr ₂ Bipy ₂ (20 mol Mn (4.0 equiv.) DMF (1.0 M) 50 °C, 24 h	[₩]) → Ph	CO ₂ Me	e Ph-Ph + 4 Ar-Ar 5	
entry	deviation from standard conditions	yield 3 (%) ^b	yield $\binom{4}{(\%)^{b}}$	yield 5 $(\%)^{b,c}$	
1	None	62	7	30	
2	$CoBr_2$ (20 mol %), PPh ₃ (40 mol %)	5	2	44	
3	CoBr ₂ (20 mol %), dtbbipy (40 mol %)	37	5	34	
4	DMF/pyridine (10/1) instead of DMF	55	10	34	
5	80 °C instead of 50 °C	35	6	37	
6	Me ₃ SiSiMe ₃ (1.0 equiv) as additive	69	10	24	
7	BPh ₃ (1.0 equiv) as additive	44	6	28	
8	$AlMe_3^d$ (1.0 equiv) as additive	81	9	18	
9	$\operatorname{CoBr_2Bipy_2}(10 \text{ mol } \%)$ and $\operatorname{AlMe_3}^d(0.5 \text{ equiv})$ as additive	79	9	18	
Deviation from Entry 9 as New Standard Conditions					
10	1.5 equiv of Mn	81 (79) ^e	9	17	
11	1.5 equiv ArCl	62	13	16	
12	without CoBr ₂ Bipy ₂	0	0	0	
13	without Mn	9	3	1	
^t Reaction conditions: benzonitrile 1 (1 mmol, 1.0 equiv), methyl 4-					

chlorobenzoate 2 (2 mmol, 2.0 equiv), CoBr₂Bipy₂ (20 mol %), Mn powder (4.0 equiv), DMF (1 M), 50 °C, and 24 h. See the Supporting Information for full optimization details. ^bYield determined by GC using pentadecane as an internal standard. ^cYield calculated toward 2. ^dSolution of AlMe₃ (2 M) in toluene. Bipy: 2,2'-bipyridine and dtbbipy: 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine. ^eIsolated yield.

and methyl 4-chlorobenzoate (2) in the presence of preformed $CoBr_2Bipy_2$ (20 mol %) (see S4) and Mn powder (4.0 equiv) in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) at 50 °C for 24 h afforded the heterocoupling product (3) in 62% yield (entry 1) along with homocoupling products of both partners (4 and 5) in 7 and 30%, respectively, and about 20% of 1 unreacted. Under the same conditions, NiBr₂(dme) associated with the 2,2′-bipyridine ligand afforded a much lower yield (12%), highlighting the advantage of developing new cobalt-catalytic systems for cross-electrophile couplings (see Table S1 entry 20).

A first screening of different kinds of ligands (see Table S1) showed the great superiority of N,N-heterocyclic ones to perform the reaction (entry 2). Changing the simple 2,2'-bipyridine ligand for bulkier and electron-richer ones resulted in the lower conversion of 1 (entry 3). Interestingly, contrary to many cobalt-catalyzed cross-electrophile couplings reported in DMF,^{28b,30} pyridine as a cosolvent is of no use to improve the transformation (entry 4), leading to an increased formation of 5. Although elevated temperature is crucial for some methodologies reported to activate the C–CN bond,^{19,20,23,25}

Table 2. Scope of the Reaction^a



^{*a*}Reactions performed on the 1 mmol scale—isolated yields. ^{*b*}10 mmol scale. ^{*c*}GC yield using *n*-pentadecane as an internal standard. ^{*d*}NMR yield. ^{*c*}Without AlMe₃.

in our case, raising the temperature above 50 $^{\circ}$ C showed a detrimental effect (entry 5), with higher amounts of remaining 1 and 5. To diminish the quite significant amount of 1 unreacted, we therefore tried to add some additives (see Table S4).

Indeed, previous reports have shown that the use of additives dramatically facilitated the insertion of transition metal catalysts into the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond of benzonitrile derivatives, either by assistance¹⁶ or by acting as a Lewis acid to weaken the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond.³¹ The use of hexamethyldisilane showed only a slight improvement in the yield (entry 6), suggesting its prominent role as a Lewis acid than as an assistant to the insertion, like in the method developed by Chatani.^{20a,25} Although BPh₃^{19,31b,c} (entry 7) was prejudicial to the reactivity, $AlMe_3^{31c,d}$ displayed the best efficiency to overcome the lack of reactivity of 1 (entry 8). The use of AlMe₃ not only increased the selectivity (see Figures S1 and S2) toward the formation of 3, significantly reducing the amount of 5 to 18%, but also enabled us to maintain a high yield with lower catalyst loading (entry 9) and reductant quantity (entry 10), actually close to the stoichiometry (see Table S6 entry 6). However, a reduced amount of 2 resulted in a lower yield (entry 11), yet still satisfactory in case a highvalue halide derivative is used. Finally, no product was detected when the cobalt catalyst was removed (entry 12), whereas a small amount of product could be observed without Mn (entry 13). Indeed, AlMe₃ might play the role of the reductant but is

not adequate to perform the reaction as a sole reducing agent (see Table S5 entry 5).

Scope of the Reaction. With the optimized conditions in hand, we then investigated the scope of the title transformation (Table 2). The reaction works in accordance with most crosselectrophile couplings catalyzed by cobalt previously reported^{5b,26,27,30} and is highly sensitive to the electronic properties of the substrates, sometimes necessitating tuning of the coupling partners to induce cross-selectivity. It should be pointed out that the superior reactivity of aryl iodides compared to that of aryl cyanides mainly led to the homocoupling products of the aryl iodide substrates instead of cross-coupling products. For benzonitrile (3) or derivatives bearing moderately electron-donating groups (6, 7, and 8), the cross-coupling performed smoothly with activated chloroaryl derivatives bearing electron-withdrawing groups (EWGs) (9, 10, and 11). Unsurprisingly, when reacted with electron-richer aryl chlorides for which the oxidative addition is less-favorable, the benzonitrile derivatives preferably dimerized to give lower yields (12, 13, 14, and 15). Nevertheless, better selectivities were obtained when using more reactive bromoaryl analogues. In the same way, highly electron-rich benzonitriles showed poor or no reactivity, presumably because of a difficult oxidative addition, even with an increased amount of activating Lewis acid (see S9.2).

On the contrary, benzonitriles bearing strongly EWGs (16) or moderately EWGs at an activated position (17 and 18)

showed great reactivity but preferentially dimerized, leading to a lower yield when reacted with chloroaryl derivatives under standard reaction conditions. Although removing $AlMe_3$ proved not sufficient enough (16), choosing the more reactive bromoaryl counterpart as the coupling partner usually afforded the cross-coupled product in good yields (16 and 19). As for benzonitriles bearing moderately EWGs (20 and 21), the cross-coupling performed easily with an activated arylchloride partner in good to very good yields.

Steric hindrance, such as the methyl group in the orthoposition of any of the coupling partners, was not well tolerated because of favored dimerization of the other unhindered partner (see S9.2). Unlike its homologue in the para-position, 2-methoxybenzonitrile afforded 2-hydroxybenzonitrile as the major product, coming from the deprotection of the starting material mediated by $AlMe_3$ (22).³² This deprotection is thus promoted by the proximity of $AlMe_3$ to the methoxy group, clearly indicating its chelation to the nitrile moiety in order to activate it. However, when no side reactions are competing, high yields can be reached even though longer reaction times are needed, like in an intramolecular reaction (23). Interestingly, despite the presence of AlMe₃, sensitive functional groups such as acetals (24), benzyl- (25) and even silylprotected phenols (26), or alcohols (27) usually gave good yields with only small amounts of the deprotected starting material even in the ortho-position.

Mechanistic Insight. Intrigued by the unusual reactivity of this simple cobalt-based catalytic system toward stable benzonitrile derivatives, we then focused on obtaining some insight into the mechanism of the reaction.³³ In particular, a plot of the time dependence for the reaction progress for products **3** and **5** at the beginning of the reaction (see Figure S3) showed two different induction periods for each substrate to be activated, with **2** being reacted faster than **1**. This peculiar observation encouraged us to investigate mainly which species could activate both partners.

Above all, we started to study the nature of the catalysis. We envisioned that two types of catalysts could perform such cross-coupling after reduction of the cobalt precatalyst by Mn powder. On one hand, homogeneous low-valent cobalt species could be the active catalyst. On the other hand, heterogeneous catalysis might be effective with either cobalt nanoparticles³⁴ or a blend of activated cobalt/manganese metals involved. To verify the nature of the catalysis, we subjected a solution of the precatalyst CoBr₂Bipy₂ in DMF to reduction with Mn powder overnight. The resulting dark blue supernatant of reduced species was submitted to the mercury drop test³⁵ with the coupling partners 1 and 2 separately (see \$3.2) If the heterogeneous cobalt metal catalyst was involved, no reactivity would be observed with Hg⁰ because of metal poisoning, by amalgamating or adsorbing on the metal surface. However, significant amounts of methyl benzoate (9%) and 5 (13%) were observed from the reaction of 2 with the supernatant, regardless of the presence of Hg0. The reactivity of the remaining activated cobalt/manganese blend was also evaluated with 2 and this time, no reactivity was observed. Although these results seem to be consistent with homogeneous catalysis, surprisingly, similar experiments with 1 led to no reactivity in all cases (see \$3.2), preventing us from drawing any conclusions.

Although it seems well established that Co^{II} precatalysts afford Co^I species after reduction by Zn powder, ^{5b,36} we could not find any report indicating clearly the resulting oxidation

state after reduction by Mn powder. From the previous dark blue solution, crystals of a cobalt reduced species were grown and isolated in 65% yield (see S4.). The resulting **Co-Red** species crystalize in the $P6_{3}22$ space group. A grown structure with an integer chemical formula is shown in Figure 1. The



Figure 1. ORTEP of the reduced cobalt complex **Co-Red** [3 $[Co^{I}Bipy_3]^+$; $[Co^{II}Bipy_3]^{2+}$; 2 $[MnBr_4]^{2-}$; Br⁻] at 50% probability ellipsoids with H atoms and the solvent omitted and 2,2'-bipyridine ligands in a wireframe for clarity.

electroneutrality principle allowed the attribution of oxidation states for each Co atom with a resulting 3/1 ratio of $Co^{I}Bipy_{3}/$ $Co^{II}Bipy_{3}$. A close look at characteristic bond distances (see Table S9) and comparison with literature values³⁷ validated the 3/1 ratio found and indicated a charge repartition mainly centered on the metallic atoms in the solid state of $Co^{I}(Bipy^{0})_{3}$ (see S6 for full details on X-ray structure solving).³⁷ Moreover, the X-ray structure of **Co-Red** is consistent with the observation by Willett and Anson³⁸ that Co^I complexes of less than three 2,2'-bipyridines per cobalt have high propensity to redistribute their ligands to fill their coordination sites in order to gain stability. Because the ligand redistribution is believed to generate cobalt(0),^{38,39} this led us to propose the following equation for the formation of **Co-Red** (Chart 1).

Chart 1. Equation of Reduction/Ligand Redistribution of CoBr₂Bipy₂ by Mn Powder

	Co-Red
$6 \operatorname{Co}^{II}\operatorname{Br}_2\operatorname{Bipy}_2 + 2 \operatorname{Mn}^0 \longrightarrow$	3 [CoIBipy3]+ + [CoIIBipy3]2+ + 2 MnBr42- + Br-+ 1/2 Co0 + 3/2 CoBr2

Besides, this result points out the importance of having investigated the nature of the catalysis. Finally, this process of reduction/ligand redistribution would be in accordance with the induction period previously observed (Figure S3).

We thus performed catalytic experiments in DMF at 50 °C for 12 h in the presence of Mn (2.0 equiv) and employing either **Co-Red** (Scheme 2i) or CoBipy₃·2BF₄³⁷ (Scheme 2ii) as a precatalyst. Both complexes afforded the same yield of the cross-coupled product than CoBr₂Bipy₂ (Scheme 2iii) under the same conditions, highlighting that complexes of cobalt surrounded by three 2,2'-bipyridine ligands are suitable precatalysts for the transformation and the possible involvement of such species as catalytic active species. Interestingly, removal of Mn with **Co-Red** as a precatalyst under similar conditions did not lead to any cross-coupling product,

Scheme 2. Catalytic Reactions in DMF (1.0 M), 50 °C, 12 h with Mn (2.0 equiv), and (i) Co-Red; (ii) CoBipy₃·2BF₄; and (iii) CoBr₂Bipy₂ as a Precatalyst; (iv) Catalytic Reactions in DMF (1.0 M), 50 °C, 12 h Using Co-Red as a Precatalyst without Mn^a



"Yields determined by GC using *n*-pentadecane as an internal standard.

indicating the essential role of Mn within the catalytic cycle (Scheme 2iv).

We then focused on stoichiometric reactions of Co-Red with 1 and 2 separately (Scheme 3). To our delight, 58% of 2

Scheme 3. Stoichiometric Reactions with Co-Red in DMF (0.1 M), 50 °C, 12 h, and (i) 2; (ii) 1^{*a*}



"Yields determined by GC using *n*-pentadecane as an internal standard.

was converted into methyl benzoate (ArH 38%) and dimethyl biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylate 5 (Ar–Ar 10%), confirming Co-Red to be an active species with halogenoaryl derivatives (Scheme 3i).⁴⁰ The control stoichiometric experiment with CoBipy₃·2BF₄ indeed confirmed that only the Co^I species of Co-Red is active toward 2 (see Scheme S11).

In accordance with what has previously been suggested during the mercury drop test (see \$3.2), Co-Red did not seem to exhibit any reactivity toward 1 because stoichiometric reaction did not lead to any conversion (Scheme 3ii). However, interestingly, precipitation of cobalt complexes of the mixture with toluene and analysis of the toluene supernatant in gas chromatography (GC) showed appreciable amounts of the free 2,2'-bipyridine ligand (see Scheme S12). In contrast, the same experiment carried out with CoBipy₃. 2BF₄ instead (see Scheme S13) produced only trace amounts of free 2,2'-bipyridine, suggesting that the displacement of the ligand by 1 preferably occurs with the Co^IBipy₃ rather than the Co^{II}Bipy₃ complex composing Co-Red (Figure 1). Besides, computational data confirmed that the substitution of one 2,2'bipyridine ligand by one benzonitrile 1 is indeed energetically more favorable with Co^IBipy₃ than with Co^{II}Bipy₃ (see S.8.1).

Concurrently, we performed cyclic voltammetry experiments. To avoid any complexity of the cyclic voltammograms due to the mixture of $Co^{1}Bipy_{3}/Co^{11}Bipy_{3}$ of **Co-Red**, all the experiments were performed with the complex $Co^{1}Bipy_{3}$ generated in situ by reduction of $CoBipy_{3} \cdot 2BF_{4}$ in DMF by Mn. Figure 2 shows the obtained cyclic voltammograms of $Co^{I}Bipy_{3}$ either alone (A) or mixed beforehand with 1 in DMF



Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of A and B in DMF. A: 1 mM $[Co^{I}Bipy_{3}]^{+}$ and B: 1 mM $[Co^{I}Bipy_{3}]^{+}$ mixed beforehand with 1 in DMF; glassy carbon working electrode; and scan rate 1 V s⁻¹. Supporting electrolyte: 100 mM tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate. See Supporting Information S.7 for full experiment details.

for 12 h at 50 °C (**B**). The cyclic voltammogram of **A** revealed a 2-electron reduction wave at $E^{\circ} = -1.55$ V versus SCE, which corresponds to the Co^I/Co⁻¹ couple. The partially reversible 1-electron wave at $E_p^{\text{ox}} = -0.86$ V versus SCE can be assigned to the oxidation of Co^I into Co^{II} species.³⁸ By comparison, the cyclic voltammogram of **B** disclosed a slower electron transfer, presenting also a 2-electron reduction wave, but at $E^{\circ} = -1.58$ V versus SCE.

The combination of this result together with the observation of the free 2,2'-bipyridine ligand and density functional theory (DFT) calculations confirmed the formation of a new cobalt species, which could be consistent with $[Co^{I}Bipy_{2}(PhCN)]$ (Co1) and could then be possibly reduced by Mn (see Figure S9). Indeed, even though we observed no clear sign of reactivity following the reduction step in the timescale of cyclic voltammetry (5 V s⁻¹ down to 50 mV/s), adding Mn powder to a stoichiometric mixture of Co-Red and 1 did lead to the conversion of 41% of 1 and the formation of biphenyl 4 (Ph–Ph) in 10% yield after stirring in DMF at 50 °C for 12 h (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Stoichiometric Reactions with Co-Red, 1, and Mn in DMF (0.1 M), 50 °C, 12 h^a

^aYields determined by GC using *n*-pentadecane as an internal standard.

Therefore, all these results led us to consider an initiation phase composed of (i) formation of $[Co^{I}Bipy_2(PhCN)]$ **Co1**, by substitution of one 2,2'-bipyridine of $Co^{I}Bipy_3$ arising from **Co-Red**, by one benzonitrile and (ii) reduction of the resulting complex by Mn, prior to the insertion into the $C(sp^2)$ –CN bond (Scheme 5). Besides, the presence of this initiation phase would be in agreement with the relatively low conversion of 1 with **Co-Red** in the presence of Mn (Scheme 4) and the longer induction period needed to activate 1 than that of 2, which has Scheme 5. Computational Data for the Involvement of Formal Cobalt(0)^{*a*} or Cobalt(-I)^{*a*} Species in the Initiation Phase for the Insertion into the C(sp²)-CN Bond of 1



^aOxidation state is indicative, as it is known that 2,2'-bipyridine is a redox noninnocent ligand that can bear the charge repartition.

been observed on the plot of the time dependence for the reaction progress (Figure S3). Of note, because the cyclic voltammogram of **B** displayed a 2-electron reduction wave, we investigated the possible involvement of formal Co^0 (**Co2**) or formal Co^{-I} (**Co3**) species for the insertion into the C(sp²)– CN bond of benzonitrile **1**.

As shown in Scheme 5, primary DFT calculations suggested that both species could be accounted for the insertion into the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond but with a quite higher free energy of activation computed for Co3 (22.9 kcal/mol) than for Co2 (12.8 kcal/mol) (see Schemes S20 and S21 for further details).

As a consequence, we propose the two following catalytic cycles depicted in Scheme 6. In both cases, first, $CoBr_2Bipy_2$ is reduced by Mn to afford Co^IBipy_3 after ligand redistribution (Chart 1). On one hand, insertion into the C–Cl bond occurs to give Co4 (cycles I-A and II-A). On the other hand, substitution of one 2,2'-bipyridine by one benzonitrile leads to the complex Co1, which can then undergo reduction by one electron from Mn to afford the complex Co2 (initiation). This is driven by subsequent insertion into the C(sp²)–CN bond, allowing Co5, with a free energy of activation of 12.8 kcal/mol

when $Ar^2 = Ph$. After that, two different ligand exchanges might take place between the complexes Co4 and Co5. Indeed, the two aryl ligands could be carried either by a Co^{II} species (Co6) (Scheme 6, I) or a Co^{III} species (Co7) (Scheme 6, II) that then would each ultimately undergo irreversible reductive elimination to afford the biaryl product. These two steps, for one and the other possibilities, were evaluated by DFT calculations with 1 and 2 as model substrates. Ligand exchange to form Co6 is endergonic by 9.9 kcal/mol. The following reductive elimination requires 16.4 kcal/mol free energy of activation and is exergonic by 18.8 kcal/mol (see Scheme S18). On the other hand, the exchange to generate Co7 is now exergonic by 8.6 kcal/mol, but the consecutive reductive elimination step necessitates 23.7 kcal/mol free energy of activation, which is higher than the one computed in the mechanism I (see Scheme S19). Therefore, even though more experiments would still be needed to fully rule out other mechanistic cycles, all these considerations led us to favor the proposed mechanism I. Finally, to close the catalytic cycle I, irreversible reductive elimination occurs from Co^{II} species Co6 to afford the biaryl product and regenerate formal Co⁰ species able to activate benzonitrile derivatives again (cycle I-B), whereas reduction of Co8 by Mn and recoordination of the 2,2'-bipyridine ligand regenerate Co^IBipy₃ (cycle I-A).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed a simple cobalt-catalyzed cross-electrophile coupling between benzonitrile derivatives and aryl halides under mild conditions. The use of the AlMe₃ Lewis acid boosts the reactivity of benzonitrile derivatives and promotes cross-selectivity without overly affecting functional group tolerance. Furthermore, we believe that this work brings major insights into the understanding of this type of reactivity and the mechanism of cobalt-catalyzed cross-electrophile couplings. On one hand, X-ray structure determination of unusual **Co-Red** species has revealed an original reduction/ligand redistribution from the CoBr₂Bipy₂ precatalyst by Mn,

Scheme 6. Simplified Proposed Catalytic Cycles with $\Delta G_{323}^{\ddagger}$ of the Corresponding Insertion/Reductive Elimination Steps (kcal/mol) for Ar¹ = 4-MeCO₂C₆H₄ and Ar² = Ph and Geometry of the C–CN Bond Activation TS with Selected Distances in Å (See S8.2 for Full Details on DFT Calculations); (I) Reductive Elimination Occurs on a Cobalt(II) Species in Cycle B; (II) Reductive Elimination Occurs on a Cobalt(III) Species in Cycle A



^aOxidation state is indicative, as it is known that 2,2'-bipyridine is a redox noninnocent ligand that can bear the charge repartition.

which associated with catalytic and stoichiometric experiments indicated a Co¹Bipy₃ active species toward aryl halides. On the other hand, stoichiometric studies combined with cyclic voltammetry experiments suggested the involvement of a new cobalt complex induced by the replacement of the 2,2'bipyridine ligand by the benzonitrile derivative. The latter can then be further reduced by 1 or $2e^{-1}$ into formal Co^{0} or Co^{-1} species and insert into the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond, which allowed us to propose a mechanism involving two low-valent cobalt complexes of different oxidation states. DFT calculations shed light on the feasibility of the mechanisms with either formal Co⁰ or Co⁻¹ active species for benzonitrile derivatives but supported the thermodynamically more favorable mechanism with formal Co⁰ species and subsequent reductive elimination from Co^{II} instead of Co^{III} species. Work is still ongoing in our laboratory to get a deeper mechanistic insight and a better understanding of the activation of the $C(sp^2)$ -CN bond by cobalt complexes in order to develop new reactivities.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

③ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscatal.0c03903.

Reaction optimization tables, mechanistic and stoichiometric studies, synthetic procedures, cyclic voltammetry, DFT calculations, and characterization data of NMR spectra and X-ray data for **Co-Red** (PDF)

Crystallographic information file for the study (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Vincent Gandon Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire, CNRS UMR 9168, École Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France; Institut de Chimie Moléculaire et des Matériaux d'Orsay (ICMMO), CNRS UMR 8182, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France; Email: vincent.gandon@polytechnique.edu
- Corinne Gosmini Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire, CNRS UMR 9168, École Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France; o orcid.org/0000-0002-1355-2301; Email: corinne.gosmini@ polytechnique.edu

Authors

- Céline Dorval Laboratoire de Chimie Moleculaire, CNRS UMR 9168, École Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France
- Maxime Tricoire Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire, CNRS UMR 9168, École Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France
- Jeanne-Marie Begouin Laboratoire de Chimie Moleculaire, CNRS UMR 9168, École Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c03903

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank CNRS and École Polytechnique for financial support. We used the OCCIGEN computational cluster of the CINES (project A0070810977). We also would like to thank G. Danoun and C. Tard for insightful discussions on this project and A. Pérot for helpful DFT calculations.

REFERENCES

(1) Johansson Seechurn, C. C. C.; Kitching, M. O.; Colacot, T. J.; Snieckus, V. Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling: A Historical Contextual Perspective to the 2010 Nobel Prize. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 5062–5085.

(2) Yaroshevsky, A. A. Abundances of Chemical Elements in the Earth's Crust. *Geochem. Int.* 2006, 44, 48–55.

(3) (a) Nuss, P.; Eckelman, M. J. Life Cycle Assessment of Metals: A Scientific Synthesis. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, No. e101298. (b) Hunt, A. J.; Matharu, A. S.; King, A. H.; Clark, J. H. The Importance of Elemental Sustainability and Critical Element Recovery. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 1949–1950.

(4) (a) Chirik, P.; Morris, R. Getting Down to Earth: The Renaissance of Catalysis with Abundant Metals. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 2495. (b) *Non-Noble Metal Catalysis: Molecular Approaches and Reactions;* Klein Gebbink, R. J. M., Moret, M.-E., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2018; p 297.

(5) (a) Biswas, S.; Weix, D. J. Mechanism and Selectivity in Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Aryl Halides with Alkyl Halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16192–16197. (b) Knappke, C. E. I.; Grupe, S.; Gärtner, D.; Corpet, M.; Gosmini, C.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A. Reductive Cross-Coupling Reactions between Two Electrophiles. Chem.—Eur. J. 2014, 20, 6828–6842. (c) Everson, D. A.; Weix, D. J. Cross-Electrophile Coupling: Principles of Reactivity and Selectivity. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 4793–4798. (d) Weix, D. J. Methods and Mechanisms for Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Csp² Halides with Alkyl Electrophiles. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1767–1775.

(6) Selected recent examples: for biaryl formation: (a) Ackerman, L. K. G.; Lovell, M. M.; Weix, D. J. Multimetallic Catalysed Cross-Coupling of Aryl Bromides with Aryl Triflates. Nature 2015, 524, 454-457. (b) Kang, K.; Huang, L.; Weix, D. J. Sulfonate Versus Sulfonate: Nickel and Palladium Multimetallic Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Aryl Triflates with Aryl Tosylates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 10634-10640. (c) Tang, J.; Liu, L. L.; Yang, S.; Cong, X.; Luo, M.; Zeng, X. Chemoselective Cross-Coupling between Two Different and Unactivated C(aryl)-O Bonds Enabled by Chromium Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 7715-7720. . For other types of products: (d) He, R.-D.; Li, C.-L.; Pan, Q.-Q.; Guo, P.; Liu, X.-Y.; Shu, X.-Z. Reductive Coupling between C-N and C-O Electrophiles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 12481-12486. (e) Wang, I.; Cary, B. P.; Beyer, P. D.; Gellman, S. H.; Weix, D. J. Ketones from Nickel-Catalyzed Decarboxylative, Non-Symmetric Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Carboxylic Acid Esters. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 12081-12085. (f) Ni, S.; Li, C.-X.; Mao, Y.; Han, J.; Wang, Y.; Yan, H.; Pan, Y. Ni-Catalyzed Deaminative Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Katritzky Salts with Halides via C-N bond Activation. Sci. Adv. 2019, 5, No. eaaw9516. (g) Wang, J.; Hoerrner, M. E.; Watson, M. P.; Weix, D. J. Nickel-Catalyzed Synthesis of Dialkyl Ketones from the Coupling of N-Alkyl Pyridinium Salts with Activated Carboxylic Acids. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 13484-13489.

(7) Souillart, L.; Cramer, N. Catalytic C-C Bond Activations via Oxidative Addition to Transition Metals. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, 115, 9410-9464.

(8) For selected recent reviews on strained C-C bonds activation see: (a) Fumagalli, G.; Stanton, S.; Bower, J. F. Recent Methodologies That Exploit C-C Single-Bond Cleavage of Strained Ring Systems by Transition Metal Complexes. *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117*, 9404–9432.
(b) Chen, P.-h.; Billett, B. A.; Tsukamoto, T.; Dong, G. "Cut and Sew" Transformations via Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Carbon-Carbon Bond Activation. *ACS Catal.* 2017, *7*, 1340–1360.
(c) Cohen, Y.; Cohen, A.; Marek, I. Creating Stereocenters within Acyclic Systems by C-C Bond Cleavage of Cyclopropanes. *Chem.*

Rev. **2020**, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00167. (d) Vicente, R. C–C Bond Cleavages of Cyclopropenes: Operating for Selective Ring-Opening Reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00151.

(9) For selected unstrained C-C bonds activation see: (a) C-C Bond Activation; Dong, G., Ed.; Topics in Current Chemistry; Springer Verlag: Berlin and Heidelberg, 2014; p 33. (b) Chen, F.; Wang, T.; Jiao, N. Recent Advances in Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Functionalization of Unstrained Carbon-Carbon Bonds. Chem. Rev. **2014**, 114, 8613-8661. (c) Cleavage of Carbon-Carbon Single Bonds by Transition Metals; Murakami, M., Chatani, N., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2016; p 193. (d) Nairoukh, Z.; Cormier, M.; Marek, I. Merging C-H and C-C bond Cleavage in Organic Synthesis. Nat. Rev. Chem. **2017**, 1, 0035. (e) Song, F.; Gou, T.; Wang, B.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. Catalytic Activations of Unstrained C-C Bond Involving Organometallic Intermediates. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2018**, 47, 7078-7115. (f) Lutz, M. D. R.; Morandi, B. Metal-Catalyzed Carbon-Carbon Bond Cleavage of Unstrained Alcohols. Chem. Rev. **2020**, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00154.

(10) Murakami, M.; Ishida, N. Potential of Metal-Catalyzed C-C Single Bond Cleavage for Organic Synthesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 13759–13769.

(11) (a) Fleming, F. F.; Fleming, F. F. Nitrile-Containing Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **1999**, *16*, 597. (b) Fleming, F. F.; Yao, L.; Ravikumar, P. C.; Funk, L.; Shook, B. C. Nitrile-Containing Pharmaceuticals: Efficacious Roles of the Nitrile Pharmacophore. *J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *53*, 7902–7917.

(12) Yan, G.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Recent Advances in the Synthesis of Aryl Nitrile Compounds. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2017**, 359, 4068–4105. (13) *Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies*; Luo, Y.-R., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2007; p 193.

(14) Ruhland, K. Transition-Metal-Mediated Cleavage and Activation of C-C Single Bonds. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 2012, 2683-2706.

(15) Selected examples: (a) Garcia, J. J.; Jones, W. D. Reversible Cleavage of Carbon-Carbon Bonds in Benzonitrile Using Nickel(0). Organometallics 2000, 19, 5544-5545. (b) Garcia, J. J.; Brunkan, N. M.; Jones, W. D. Cleavage of Carbon-Carbon Bonds in Aromatic Nitriles Using Nickel(0). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9547-9555. (c) Schaub, T.; Döring, C.; Radius, U. Efficient Nickel Mediated Carbon-Carbon Bond Cleavage of Organonitriles. Dalton Trans. 2007, 1993-2002. (d) Ateşin, T. A.; Li, T.; Lachaize, S.; García, J. J.; Jones, W. D. Experimental and Theoretical Examination of C-CN Bond Activation of Benzonitrile Using Zerovalent Nickel. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3811-3817. (e) Ohnishi, Y.-Y.; Nakao, Y.; Sato, H.; Nakao, Y.; Hiyama, T.; Sakaki, S. A Theoretical Study of Nickel(0)-Catalyzed Phenylcyanation of Alkynes. Reaction Mechanism and Regioselectivity. Organometallics 2009, 28, 2583-2594. (f) Li, T.; García, J. J.; Brennessel, W. W.; Jones, W. D. C-CN Bond Activation of Aromatic Nitriles and Fluxionality of the η^2 -Arene Intermediates: Experimental and Theoretical Investigations. Organometallics 2010, 29, 2430-2445. (g) Munjanja, L.; Torres-López, C.; Brennessel, W. W.; Jones, W. D. C-CN Bond Cleavage Using Palladium Supported by a Dippe Ligand. Organometallics 2016, 35, 2010-2013. (h) García-Ventura, I.; Flores-Alamo, M.; García, J. J. Carbon-Carbon vs. Carbon-Oxygen Bond Activation in 2- and 3-Furonitriles with Nickel. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 101259-101266.

(16) Selected examples: (a) Klei, S. R.; Tilley, T. D.; Bergman, R. G. Stoichiometric and Catalytic Behavior of Cationic Silyl and Silylene Complexes. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 4648–4661. (b) Taw, F. L.; White, P. S.; Bergman, R. G.; Brookhart, M. Carbon–Carbon Bond Activation of R–CN (R = Me, Ar, iPr, tBu) Using a Cationic Rh(III) Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 4192–4193. (c) Taw, F. L.; Mueller, A. H.; Bergman, R. G.; Brookhart, M. A Mechanistic Investigation of the Carbon–Carbon Bond Cleavage of Aryl and Alkyl Cyanides Using a Cationic Rh(III) Silyl Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 9808–9813. (d) Nakazawa, H.; Kawasaki, T.; Miyoshi, K.; Suresh, C. H.; Koga, N. C–C Bond Cleavage of Acetonitrile by a Carbonyl Iron Complex with a Silyl Ligand. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*,

117-126. (e) Hashimoto, H.; Matsuda, A.; Tobita, H. Reactions of a Silyl(silylene)iron Complex with Nitriles: Carbon-Carbon Bond Cleavage of Nitriles by the Transiently Generated Disilanyliron(II) Intermediate. Organometallics 2006, 25, 472-476. (f) Zhang, S.-L.; Huang, L.; Bie, W.-F. Mechanism for Activation of the C-CN Bond of Nitriles by a Cationic CpRh^{III}-Silyl Complex: A Systematic DFT Study. Organometallics 2014, 33, 3030-3039. (g) Ueda, Y.; Tsujimoto, N.; Yurino, T.; Tsurugi, H.; Mashima, K. Nickel-Catalyzed Cyanation of Aryl Halides and Triflates using Acetonitrile via C-CN Bond Cleavage Assisted by 1,4-Bis(Trimethylsilyl)-2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-dihydropyrazine. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 994-999. (h) Wierschen, A. L.; Lowe, J.; Romano, N.; Lee, S. J.; Gagné, M. R. Silylpalladium Cations Enable the Cleavage of Nitrile C-CN Bonds. Organometallics 2020, 39, 1258-1268. and references therein. With boron agents see: (i) Jiang, Y.-Y.; Yu, H.-Z.; Fu, Y. Mechanistic Study of Borylation of Nitriles Catalyzed by Rh-B and Ir-B Complexes via C-CN Bond Activation. Organometallics 2013, 32, 926-936. (j) Kinuta, H.; Takahashi, H.; Tobisu, M.; Mori, S.; Chatani, N. Theoretical Studies of Rhodium-Catalyzed Borylation of Nitriles through Cleavage of Carbon-Cyano Bonds. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2014, 87, 655-669. (k) Esteruelas, M. A.; Oliván, M.; Vélez, A. Conclusive Evidence on the Mechanism of the Rhodium-Mediated Decyanative Borylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12321-12329.

(17) (a) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. Catalytic Reactions Involving the Cleavage of Carbon-Cyano and Carbon-Carbon Triple Bonds. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 300-307. (b) Wang, R.; Falck, J. R. Transformations of X (C, O, N)-CN bonds: Cases of Selective X (C, O, N)-C Activation. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 1062-1066. (c) Wen, Q.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y. Recent Advances in Transition-Metal-Catalyzed C-CN Bond Activations. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 47806-47826. (d) Mattalia, J.-M. R. The Reductive Decyanation Reaction: an Overview and Recent Developments. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *13*, 267-284. (e) Peng, L.; Hu, Z.; Wang, H.; Wu, L.; Jiao, Y.; Tang, Z.; Xu, X. Direct Cyanation, Hydrocyanation, Dicyanation and Cyanofunctionalization of Alkynes. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 10232-10244.

(18) For metal-free cross-coupling reaction: Quinio, P.; Roman, D. S.; León, T.; William, S.; Karaghiosoff, K.; Knochel, P. Transition-Metal-Free Cross-Coupling of Aryl and N-Heteroaryl Cyanides with Benzylic Zinc Reagents. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 4396–4399.

(19) Hanson, M. G.; Olson, N. M.; Yi, Z.; Wilson, G.; Kalyani, D. Nickel-Catalyzed Coupling of Azoles with Aromatic Nitriles. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 4271–4274.

(20) For examples of Heck-type coupling: (a) Kita, Y.; Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. Rhodium-Catalyzed Alkenylation of Nitriles via Silicon-Assisted C-CN Bond Cleavage. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1864–1867.
(b) Fang, X.; Yu, P.; Prina Cerai, G.; Morandi, B. Unlocking Mizoroki-Heck-Type Reactions of Aryl Cyanides Using Transfer Hydrocyanation as a Turnover-Enabling Step. Chem.—Eur. J. 2016, 22, 15629–15633.

(21) Miller, J. A. C-C Bond Activation with Selective Functionalization: Preparation of Unsymmetrical Biaryls from Benzonitriles. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 6991–6993.

(22) With alkenyl and alkyl Grignard reagents: (a) Miller, J. A.; Dankwardt, J. W. Nickel Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Modified Alkyl and Alkenyl Grignard Reagents with Aryl- and Heteroaryl Nitriles: Activation of the C-CN Bond. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 1907– 1910. ; with alkynylzinc reagents: (b) Penney, J. M.; Miller, J. A. Alkynylation of Benzonitriles via Nickel Catalysed C-C Bond Activation. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 4989–4992.

(23) Yu, D.-G.; Yu, M.; Guan, B.-T.; Li, B.-J.; Zheng, Y.; Wu, Z.-H.; Shi, Z.-J. Carbon–Carbon Formation via Ni-Catalyzed Suzuki– Miyaura Coupling through C–CN Bond Cleavage of Aryl Nitrile. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3374–3377.

(24) Liu, N.; Wang, Z.-X. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Arene- or Heteroarenecarbonitriles with Aryl- or Heteroarylmanganese Reagents through C-CN Bond Activation. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, 354, 1641–1645.

(25) Tobisu, M.; Kita, Y.; Ano, Y.; Chatani, N. Rhodium-Catalyzed Silylation and Intramolecular Arylation of Nitriles via the Silicon-

Assisted Cleavage of Carbon-Cyano Bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15982-15989.

(26) Dorval, C.; Gosmini, C. In *Cobalt Catalysis in Organic Synthesis*; Hapke, M., Hilt, G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2020; pp 163–205.

(27) Recent examples from C-halogen bond activation: (a) Cai, Y.; Qian, X.; Gosmini, C. Cobalt-Catalyzed Csp³ -Csp³ Homocoupling. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 2427-2430. (b) Pal, S.; Chowdhury, S.; Rozwadowski, E.; Auffrant, A.; Gosmini, C. Cobalt-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling Between Benzyl Chlorides and Aryl Halides. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 2431-2435. (c) Cai, Y.; Benischke, A. D.; Knochel, P.; Gosmini, C. Cobalt-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling Between Styryl and Benzyl Halides. Chem.—Eur. J. 2017, 23, 250-253.

(28) Selected examples from other types of bonds than C-halogen bond activation: in cross-electrophile coupling: (a) Ackerman, L. K. G.; Anka-Lufford, L. L.; Naodovic, M.; Weix, D. J. Cobalt Co-Catalysis for Cross-Electrophile Coupling: Diarylmethanes from Benzyl Mesylates and Aryl Halides. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 1115-1119. (b) Bourne-Branchu, Y.; Gosmini, C.; Danoun, G. Cobalt-Catalyzed Esterification of Amides. Chem.-Eur. J. 2017, 23, 10043-10047. (c) Reddy, B. R. P.; Chowdhury, S.; Auffrant, A.; Gosmini, C. Cobalt-Catalyzed Formation of Functionalized Diarylmethanes from Benzylmesylates and Aryl Halides. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 3026-3029. ; in other types of coupling: (d) Korn, T. J.; Schade, M. A.; Wirth, S.; Knochel, P. Cobalt(II)-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling between Polyfunctional Arylcopper Reagents and Aryl Fluorides or Tosylates. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 725-728. (e) Begouin, J.-M.; Rivard, M.; Gosmini, C. Cobalt-Catalyzed C-SMe Bond Activation of Heteroaromatic Thioethers. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5972-5974. (f) Song, W.; Ackermann, L. Cobalt-Catalyzed Direct Arylation and Benzylation by C-H/C-O Cleavage with Sulfamates, Carbamates, and Phosphates. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8251-8254. (g) Zhu, Z.; Li, X.; Chen, S.; Chen, P.-H.; Billett, B. A.; Huang, Z.; Dong, G. Cobalt-Catalyzed Intramolecular Alkyne/Benzocyclobutenone Coupling: C-C Bond Cleavage via a Tetrahedral Dicobalt Intermediate. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 845-849. (h) Liu, X. G.; Zhou, C. J.; Lin, E.; Han, X. L.; Zhang, S. S.; Li, Q.; Wang, H. Decarboxylative Negishi Coupling of Redox-Active Aliphatic Esters by Cobalt Catalysis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 13096-13100. (i) Dorval, C.; Dubois, E.; Bourne-Branchu, Y.; Gosmini, C.; Danoun, G. Sequential Organozinc Formation and Negishi Cross-Coupling of Amides Catalysed by Cobalt Salt. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 1777-1780. (j) Zhang, X.; McNally, A. Cobalt-Catalyzed Alkylation of Drug-Like Molecules and Pharmaceuticals Using Heterocyclic Phosphonium Salts. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 4862-4866.

(29) For stoichiometric activation: (a) Li, X.; Sun, H.; Yu, F.; Flörke, U.; Klein, H.-F. C-F Bond Cleavage and Unexpected C-CN Activation by Cobalt Compounds Supported with Phosphine Ligands. *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 4695–4697. (b) Xu, H.; Williard, P. G.; Bernskoetter, W. H. C-CN Bond Activation of Acetonitrile using Cobalt(I). *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 1588–1590.

(30) In particular: (a) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C. Efficient Cobalt-Catalyzed Formation of Unsymmetrical Biaryl Compounds and Its Application in the Synthesis of a Sartan Intermediate. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2089–2092. (b) Qian, X.; Auffrant, A.; Felouat, A.; Gosmini, C. Cobalt-Catalyzed Reductive Allylation of Alkyl Halides with Allylic Acetates or Carbonates. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10402–10405.

(31) For a review see: (a) Becica, J.; Dobereiner, G. E. The Roles of Lewis Acidic Additives in Organotransition Metal Catalysis. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 2055–2069. ; For selected examples: (b) Brunkan, N. M.; Brestensky, D. M.; Jones, W. D. Kinetics, Thermodynamics, and Effect of BPh₃ on Competitive C–C and C–H Bond Activation Reactions in the Interconversion of Allyl Cyanide by [Ni(dippe)]. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3627–3641. (c) Nakao, Y.; Yada, A.; Ebata, S.; Hiyama, T. A Dramatic Effect of Lewis-Acid Catalysts on Nickel-Catalyzed Carbocyanation of Alkynes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2428–2429. (d) Ni, S.-F.; Yang, T.-L.; Dang, L. Transfer Hydrocyanation by Nickel(0)/Lewis Acid Cooperative Catalysis, Mechanism Investigation, and Computational Prediction of Shuttle Catalysts. *Organometallics* **2017**, *36*, 2746–2754.

(32) Du, Z.-T.; Lu, J.; Yu, H.-R.; Xu, Y.; Li, A.-P. A Facile Demethylation of ortho Substituted Aryl Methyl Ethers Promoted by AlCl₃. *J. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *34*, 222–227. and references therein

(33) The reaction showed to perform without the help of $AlMe_3$ (Table 1 entry 1) and its role in activating nitriles has been well studied (see ref 31), therefore our investigations mainly focused on the cobalt catalyst rather than the role of $AlMe_3$.

(34) Lewis, E. A.; Murphy, C. J.; Pronschinske, A.; Liriano, M. L.; Sykes, E. C. H. Nanoscale Insight into C–C Coupling on Cobalt Nanoparticles. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 10035–10037.

(35) (a) Whitesides, G. M.; Hackett, M.; Brainard, R. L.; Lavalleye, J. P. P. M.; Sowinski, A. F.; Izumi, A. N.; Moore, S. S.; Brown, D. W.; Staudt, E. M. Suppression of Unwanted Heterogeneous Platinum(0)-Catalyzed Reactions by Poisoning with Mercury(0) in Systems Involving Competing Homogeneous Reactions of Soluble Organoplatinum Compounds: Thermal Decomposition of Bis-(triethylphosphine)-3,3,4,4-tetramethylplatina-cyclopentane. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1819–1830. (b) Widegren, J. A.; Finke, R. G. A Review of the Problem of Distinguishing True Homogeneous Catalysis from Soluble or other Metal-Particle Heterogeneous Catalysis under Reducing Conditions. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2003, 198, 317–341.

(36) (a) Aresta, M.; Rossi, M.; Sacco, A. Tetrahedral Complexes of Cobalt(I). *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1969**, *3*, 227–231. (b) Gandeepan, P.; Cheng, C.-H. Cobalt Catalysis Involving π Components in Organic Synthesis. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1194–1206.

(37) England, J.; Bill, E.; Weyhermüller, T.; Neese, F.; Atanasov, M.; Wieghardt, K. Molecular and Electronic Structures of Homoleptic Six-Coordinate Cobalt(I) Complexes of 2,2':6',2"-Terpyridine, 2,2'-Bipyridine, and 1,10-Phenanthroline. An Experimental and Computational Study. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 12002–12018. and references therein

(38) Willett, B. C.; Anson, F. C. Electrochemistry and Adsorption of Bis 2,2'-Bipyridinecobalt(I) and Bis 6,6'-Dimethyl-2,2'-Bipyridinecobalt(I) in Acetonitrile. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1982**, *129*, 1260–1266. (39) See also: Hickey, D. P.; Sandford, C.; Rhodes, Z.; Gensch, T.; Fries, L. R.; Sigman, M. S.; Minteer, S. D. Investigating the Role of Ligand Electronics on Stabilizing Electrocatalytically Relevant Low-Valent Co(I) Intermediates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 1382–1392.

(40) The remaining of 2 might come from catalyst deactivation pathways such as disproportionation of $Co^{1}Bipy_{3}$ into cobalt metal and $Co^{II}Bipy_{3}$ (see refs^{37,39}) and/or comproportionation of $Co^{1}Bipy_{3}$ and $Co^{III}Bipy_{2}(Ar)(Cl)$, formed after insertion into the C–Cl bond, into cobalt(II) complexes. See also: Polleux, L.; Labbé, E.; Buriez, O.; Périchon, J. Co^{1-} and $Co^{0-}Bipyridine$ Complexes Obtained by Reduction of $CoBr_{2}bipy$: Electrochemical Behaviour and Investigation of Their Reactions with Aromatic Halides and Vinylic Acetates. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 4678–4686.

12827

https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c03903 ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 12819–12827