

265. Biogenetic-Type Total Synthesis of ($\pm$)-2-Deoxystemodinone

Preliminary Communication

by **Alessandro Lupi*** and **Maria Patamia**

Centro di Studio del C.N.R. per la Chimica dei Recettori e delle Molecole Biologicamente Attive c/o Istituto di Chimica, Facoltà di Medicina e Chirurgia 'A. Gemelli', Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, L.go F. Vito, 1, I-00168 Roma

and **Ingeborg Grgurina**

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi 'La Sapienza', P.le A. Moro, 2, I-00185 Roma

and **Rinaldo Marini Bettolo***, **Ornella Di Leo**, **Patrizia Gioia** and **Simonetta Antonaroli**

Centro di Studio del C.N.R. per la Chimica delle Sostanze Organiche Naturali c/o Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi 'La Sapienza', P.le A. Moro, 2, I-00185 Roma

(19.IX.84)

Summary

A biogenetic-type total synthesis of ($\pm$)-2-deoxystemodinone (**1**), by solvolytic rearrangement of the 1-methylbicyclo[2.2.2] oct-2-yl methanesulfonate **4**, is described.

We have previously shown [1] that the *Wiesner* napelline strategy [2], based on the solvolytic rearrangement of a bicyclo[2.2.2]-5-octen-2-yl methanesulfonate [3] (*a* in the *Scheme*), is very advantageous for the synthesis of the stemodin- and aphidicolin-type diterpenes. In our 'first-generation approach' [4] to these compounds, we decided, as other groups [5–9], to proceed *via* a suitable 17-norstemodan-13-one and the known 17-noraphidicolin-16-one and generate the C(13) (stemodin-type) or C(16) (aphidicolin-type) chiral centers later.


On successful conclusion of this work, it appeared to us that these centers could be stereoselectively created, simultaneously with the construction of the skeleton, by a related rearrangement. In a 'second-generation approach' we have, therefore, explored this possibility which resembles the postulated biogenetic route [10].

In support of this plan, we should quote that the *exo*-bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-ol is the only rearranged solvolysis product of bicyclo[2.2.2]octan sulfonates (*b* in the *Scheme*) [11].

Since the OH–C(13) group in the stemodin-type compounds is also *exo*-configured to the ethylene bridge, we have conjectured that, by solvolysis of a suitable substituted 1-methylbicyclo[2.2.2]oct-2-yl methanesulfonate, the C(13) chiral center might emerge from the rearrangement in the correct configuration.

Experiments reported [12] on a simpler system (*c* in the *Scheme*) were casting some doubts on the correctness of our hypothesis, which on the other hand was supported by biogenetic considerations.

Scheme




X = leaving group

In fact, we had observed that in all diterpenoids having a bicyclo[3.2.1]octane moiety, originated by a [2.2.2]→[3.2.1] rearrangement [10] [13] [14] and an oxygen function at the former bridgehead C-atom, this last group, whose stereochemistry has never been related to the rearrangement before, is always *exo* to the two C-bridge of the [3.2.1]-system¹⁾.

From all compounds which would have enabled us to verify our hypothesis, **1** was the simplest and more suitable target, not only from a synthetic point of view, but also because we had already in our hands all the possible products of rearrangement (**2** and **3**) [1a] [1b].

We have, therefore, decided to synthesize again compound **1** by solvolysis of the methanesulfonate **4**. Thus, the totally synthetic alcohol **5** [15], which we prepared as



¹⁾ Besides the compounds mentioned above, other examples (more can be found in the literature) are represented by the diterpene stearin and by the aconite alkaloids.

described for related compounds [1b], was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and treated with methanesulfonyl chloride in the presence of Et_3N at 0° until TLC (SiO_2) monitoring (30% Et_2O in hexane) indicated the disappearance of the starting material; the methanesulfonate **4** was in fact unstable and hydrolyzed on TLC to the rearranged products.

Though we suspected that solvolysis might have occurred already during workup, the crude mixture was dissolved in acetone/ H_2O 2:1 and stirred at room temperature in the presence of CaCO_3 to give in a 95% yield ($\pm$)-**1**, ($\pm$)-**2** and ($\pm$)-**3** in a 7.0:1.0:8.7 ratio²⁾.

We feel that this result not only constitutes a more stereoselective³⁾ and direct total synthesis of ($\pm$)-**1**, but also seems to indicate that the *exo*-configuration of the $\text{OH}-\text{C}(13)$ group is related to the rearrangement of the bicyclo[2.2.2]octan carbonium ion intermediate [16]⁴⁾.

We hope that further elaboration of this strategy, at present in progress, may lead to fully stereospecific syntheses of **1** and related compounds.

We thank Professor K. Wiesner for helpful discussion.

REFERENCES

- [1] a) D. Bravetti, R. Marini Bettolo & A. Lupi, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **65**, 371 (1982); b) R. Marini Bettolo, P. Tagliatesta, A. Lupi & D. Bravetti, *ibid.* **66**, 760 (1983); c) *ibid.* **66**, 1922 (1983).
- [2] a) K. S. Atwal, R. Marini Bettolo, I. H. Sanchez, T. Y. R. Tsai & K. Wiesner, *Can. J. Chem.* **56**, 1102 (1978); b) S. P. Sethi, K. S. Atwal, R. Marini Bettolo, T. Y. R. Tsai & K. Wiesner, *ibid.* **58**, 1889 (1980).
- [3] H. L. Goering & M. F. Sloan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **83**, 1992 (1961).
- [4] K. Wiesner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **6**, 413 (1977).
- [5] E. J. Corey, M. A. Tius & J. Das, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **102**, 7612 (1980).
- [6] B. M. Trost, Y. Nishimura, K. Yamamoto & S. S. Mc Elvain, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **101**, 1328 (1979).
- [7] J. E. Mc Murry, A. Andrus, G. M. Ksander, J. H. Musser & M. A. Johnson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **101**, 1330 (1979); *Tetrahedron* **37**, 319 (1981).
- [8] E. J. Corey, M. A. Tius & J. Das, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **102**, 1742 (1980).
- [9] E. E. Van Tamelen, S. R. Zawacky, R. K. Russel & J. G. Carlson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **105**, 142 (1983).
- [10] M. R. Adams & J. D. Bu'Lock, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1975**, 389.
- [11] a) H. M. Walborsky, M. E. Baum & A. A. Youssef, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **83**, 988 (1961); b) H. L. Goering & M. F. Sloan, *ibid.* **83**, 1397 (1961).
- [12] H. L. Kraus, C. Chassin, R. Chassini & P. Schmutte, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **738**, 97 (1970).
- [13] K. Wiesner & Z. Valenta, in 'Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products', Vol. XVI, ed. L. Zechmeister, Springer, Vienna, 1958, p. 26.
- [14] K. Wiesner, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **41**, 93 (1975).
- [15] R. B. Kelly, M. L. Harley, S. J. Alward, R. N. Rej, G. Gowda, A. Mukhopadhyay & P. S. Manchand, *Can. J. Chem.* **61**, 269 (1983).
- [16] a) G. A. Olah & G. K. S. Prakash, *Chem. Ber.* **119**, 916 (1983); b) C. A. Grob, A. Waldner & U. Zutter, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **67**, 717 (1984).
- [17] S. Winstein & P. Carter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **83**, 4485 (1961).
- [18] C. H. Heathcock, in 'The Total Synthesis of Natural Products', Vol. 2, ed. J. ApSimon, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1973, p. 508.

²⁾ For the conversion of **2** and **3** into **1** s. [1a] and [15].

³⁾ As compared to our previous results [1b].

⁴⁾ In view of our results, it seems to us that such an intermediate, which according to [17] (*d* in the *Scheme*) could have been postulated in the biogenesis of cedrol [18] should be on the contrary ruled out since in this compound the $\text{OH}-\text{C}(6)$ is *endo*-configured to the ethylene bridge.