For analysis, the product was dissolved in water by the addition of a minimal amount of 1 N KOH, followed by precipitation with HCl and workup as described above: 1H NMR (D₂O) δ 2.36 (3 H, s, H-2'), 3.2 (2 H, m, H-\$\theta\$), 4.0 (1 H, m, H-\$\theta\$), 4.91 (2 H, d, H-5', $^{31}P^{-1}H$ coupling constant = 10 Hz), 5.77 (1 H, s, H-4'), 6.20 (1 H, s, H-5''), 6.69 (1 H, s, H-2''), 7.74 (1 H, s, H-6); UV λ_{max} (pH 7.0) 250 (\$\epsilon\$ 6400, s), 292 (\$\epsilon\$ 4630), 326 nm (\$\epsilon\$ 8780). Anal. (C₁₇- H₁₉N₂O₉P·H₂O) C, H, N, P.

Acknowledgment. The research was supported by Public Health Service Grant 5429-16-9 (5-23323).

References and Notes

- (1) (a) Abbreviations used are: Dopa, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; dopamine, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylethylamine; Boc, tert-butoxycarbonyl; OMe, methyl ester; OEt, ethyl ester; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; NMR nuclear magnetic resonance.
- (2) (a) G. A. Lancaster and T. L. Sourkes, Can. J. Biochem.,
 50, 791 (1972). (b) N. Kirshner and McC. Goodall, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 24, 658 (1957).
- C. Borri-Voltattorni, A. Minelli, and P. Borri, FEBS Lett., 75, 277 (1977).
- (4) J. G. Christenson, W. Dairman, and S. Udenfriend, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 141, 356 (1970).
- A. Fiori, C. Turano, C. Borri-Voltattorni, A. Minelli, and M. Codini, FEBS Lett., 54, 122 (1975).
- (6) W. Lovenberg, H. Weissbach, and S. Udenfriend, J. Biol. Chem., 237, 89 (1962).
- J.-C. David, W. Dairman, and S. Udenfriend, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 160, 561 (1974).
- (8) J. M. Saavedra, Fed. Proc., Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol., 36, 2134 (1977).
- (9) O. Hornykiewicz, Pharmacol. Rev., 18, 925 (1966).
- (10) O. Hornykiewicz, Fed. Proc., Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol., 32, 183 (1973).
- (11) J. R. Bianchine, F. S. Messiha, and T. J. Preziosi, Adv. Neurol., 2, 101 (1973).
- (12) R. M. Pinder, R. N. Brogden, P. R. Sawyer, T. M. Speight, and G. S. Avery, *Drugs*, 11, 329 (1976).
- (13) M. Ikawa, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 118, 497 (1967).
- (14) E. S. Severin, N. N. Gulyaev, E. N. Khurs, and R. M. Khomutov, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 35, 318 (1969).
- (15) V. Raso and B. D. Stollar, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 1621 (1973).
- (16) J. W. Thanassi and W. C. Cunningham, unpublished observations.

- (17) M. Smith, Biochem, Prep., 8, 130 (1961).
- (18) J. E. Churchich and J. G. Farrelly, J. Biol. Chem., 244, 3685 (1969).
- (19) M. H. O'Leary and J. M. Malik, J. Biol. Chem., 247, 7097 (1972).
- (20) A. E. Braunstein, V. I. Ivanov, and M. Ya Karpeisky in "Pyridoxal Catalysis: Enzymes and Model Systems", IUB Symposium, Moscow, E. E. Snell, A. E. Braunstein, E. S. Severin, and Yu. M. Torchinsky, Eds., Interscience, New York, N.Y., 1968, pp 291-302.
- (21) D. E. Metzler, M. Ikawa, and E. E. Snell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 648 (1954).
- (22) T. C. French, D. S. Auld, and T. C. Bruice, *Biochemistry*, 4, 77 (1965).
- (23) G. Hammes and P. Fasella, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 3929 (1963).
- (24) R. C. Hughes, W. T. Jenkins, and E. H. Fischer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 48, 1615 (1962).
- (25) W. B. Dempsey and E. E. Snell, *Biochemistry*, 2, 1414 (1963).
- (26) A. Relimpio, J. C. Slebe, and M. Martinez-Carrion, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 63, 625 (1975).
- (27) J. S. Heller, E. S. Canellakis, D. L. Bussolotti, and J. K. Coward, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 403, 197 (1975).
- (28) G. Tunnicliff, T. T. Ngo, and A. Barbeau, Experientia, 33, 20 (1977).
- (29) C. Borri-Voltattorni, A. Minelli, and C. Turano, Boll. Soc. Ital. Biol. Sper., 47, 700 (1971); Chem. Abstr., 76, 137410X (1972).
- (30) M. H. O'Leary and R. L. Baughn, J. Biol. Chem., 252, 7168 (1977).
- (31) H. C. Dunathan, Adv. Enzymol., 35, 79 (1971).
- (32) S. D. Nelson, J. R. Mitchell, J. A. Timbrell, W. R. Snodgrass, and G. B. Corcoran, *Science*, 193, 901 (1976).
- (33) S. S. Tate, R. Sweet, F. H. McDowell, and A. Meister, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 68, 2121 (1971).
- (34) Sale of this material has been discontinued by its major supplier owing to its explosive properties.³⁵ We prepared this material in small-scale quantities by the method of Carpino et al.³⁶
- (35) P. Feyen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 16, 115 (1977).
- (36) L. A. Carpino, B. A. Carpino, P. J. Crowley, C. A. Giza, and P. H. Terry, Org. Synth., 44, 15 (1964); "Organic Synthesis", Collect. Vol. V, Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973, p 157.
- (37) S. N. Banerjee and C. Ressler, J. Org. Chem., 41, 3056 (1976).
- (38) H. F. Schott and W. G. Clark, J. Biol. Chem., 196, 449 (1952).
- (39) J. H. Fellman and E. S. Roth, Biochemistry, 10, 408 (1971).

Cyclic Amidine Inhibitors of Indolamine N-Methyltransferase

Joshua Rokach,* Pierre Hamel, Norman R. Hunter, Grant Reader, Clarence S. Rooney,

Merck Frosst Laboratories, Pointe Claire/Dorval, Quebec, Canada H9R 4P8

Paul S. Anderson,* Edward J. Cragoe, Jr.,

Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, West Point, Pennsylvania 19486

and Lewis R. Mandel

Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, Rahway, New Jersey 07065. Received March 6, 1978

Syntheses of a large number of mono- and bicyclic, as well as a few tricyclic, amidine derivatives related to 2,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine (DBN) are reported. In vitro potencies for inhibition of the enzyme indolamine N-methyltransferase (INMT) from rabbit and human lung are presented. Four bicyclic amidine derivatives and 11 monocyclic derivatives were found to be equal or superior to DBN in in vitro potencies. With the bicyclic amidines, increasing ring size or introduction of substituents reduced activity. Among the monocyclic analogues, the most potent representatives were five- or six-membered systems with an exocyclic imino group, combined with methyl or ethyl substituents on the endocyclic nitrogen. Introduction of additional substituents decreased inhibitory potency. 2,3,5,6-Tetrahydro-8H-imidazo[2,1-c][1,4]thiazine and 3-methyl-2-iminothiazolidine have been shown to cause inhibition of lung INMT when administered orally to rabbits.

Although the current treatment of schizophrenia involves the use of neuroleptic agents which are dopamine antagonists, interest persits in other approaches to the

therapy of this disease which are based on the theory that the illness is caused by an endogenously produced chemical toxin. There is considerable evidence that N,N-di-

Scheme I

Method B

methyltryptamine (DMT) may be such a causative agent.^{1,2} The existence of enzymatic pathways for its formation in animals and the fact that it is hallucinogenic in man have been cited in support of this theory.^{3,4} In addition, the administration of the amino acid precursors of DMT, methionine and tryptophan, has been found to exacerbate schizophrenic symptomatology.5 Furthermore, the DMT precursor tryptamine is present in human brain⁴ and has been reported to be elevated in the urine of some schizophrenics.⁶ Thus, the inhibition of DMT biosynthesis as a possible therapeutic approach to schizophrenia is both rational and attractive to the medicinal chemist.

The availability of indolamine N-methyltransferase (INMT), a lung enzyme which has been found to catalyze the synthesis of DMT by transfer of the methyl group from S-adenosylmethionine to N-methyltryptamine (NMT), provided the in vitro assay required to search for an appropriate DMT synthesis inhibitor. This assay has been used in our laboratory as a primary screen and served to identify 2,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine (DBN) as a potent, specific inhibitor of INMT from rabbit, monkey, and human lung tissue.8 This suggested the design and synthesis of related structures in a search for more effective agents. Presented in this paper are the syntheses and in vitro enzyme inhibitory activities of a variety of bicyclic and monocyclic amidine analogues related to DBN. Positive results from in vivo experiments in which rabbits were fed 2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-8H-imidazo[2,1-c][1,4]thiazine (22) and 3-methyl-2-iminothiazolidine (29) in the drinking water are described. Inhibition of the biosynthesis of DMT from NMT in rabbits by 22 is also reported.

Chemistry. The bicyclic amidines prepared as analogues of DBN were prepared, in general, from the appropriate lactams using one of the three synthetic methods outlined in Scheme I. The lactams were either commercially available or were prepared by known procedures. These methods have been described previously and do not require further comment. 9,10 In each case, the intermediates did not require rigorous purification, since the bicyclic amidines could be purified by simple distillation

Scheme II

$$\begin{array}{c|c} H \\ N \\ N \\ S \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} CI(CH_2)_2CH(OE1)_2 \\ KI \\ E1OH \end{array} \begin{array}{c} H_2C \\ CH \\ (E1O)_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} N \\ N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Delta \\ \hline 101uene \end{array}$$

Scheme III

Method D

Method E

 $Y = -CHR_3CH_2-, -SCH=CH-, -SN=CH-, -(CH_2)_2O-,$ $\begin{array}{l} -\mathrm{CH_2CH_2SCH_2-,} -\mathrm{CR_6=CHSCH_2,} -\mathrm{CH_2CH_2CH_2S-,} \\ -\mathrm{CH=CHCH_2S-,} -\mathrm{CR_6=CR_5CR_4=CR_3-,} -\mathrm{CH_2CH_2CH=CH-,} \end{array}$ -CH=CHN=CH-.

and then further characterized as crystalline, stable hydrogen fumarates or other salts. Compounds 5-7 and 16were synthesized by different, but straightforward, procedures as described under the Experimental Section. Compound 27 was prepared as shown in Scheme II.

The two most generally employed routes to the monocyclic amidine analogues of interest in this study are shown in Scheme III. In method D, a high degree of regioselectivity of alkylation on the ring nitrogen of the α -amino cyclic imine normally was observed. In method E, alkylation on the ring nitrogen of compounds with Z substituents resulted in a great enhancement of the rate of displacement of Z by amines. Frequently for method E the cyclic amide was available as starting material. The amide could be either chlorinated (with POCl3 or PCl5) to give the chloro intermediate (Z = Cl) or alternatively alkylated using trimethyl- or triethyloxonium fluoroborate or methyl fluorosulfonate to give the imino ether intermediate ($Z = OCH_3$, OC_2H_5). As a variation of the latter, it was sometimes found necessary to convert the amide to the thioamide using P₂S₅, which was alkylated (on sulfur) with greater ease than the corresponding cyclic amide (on oxygen).

6-Fluoro-1-methyl-2-imino-1,2-dihydropyridine (74), prepared from 2,6-difluoropyridine by method E (1), was readily isolated as such. However, exposure to K₂CO₃ in ethanol resulted in the displacement of the fluoride ion by an ethoxy group to give 78. Reaction of 6-fluoro-1methyl-2-fluoropyridinium fluorosulfate with excess NH₃ or MeNH₂ gave the 6-amino-1-methyl-2-imino-1,2-dihydropyridine derivatives 76 and 77 directly as the

Scheme IV

Scheme V

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CICH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH} + \text{NH}_2\text{R} & \longrightarrow \text{[NCCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHR]} & \longrightarrow \\ \\ \text{NNH} & & \\ \text{R} & -\text{CH}_2\text{CH} & \bigcirc \text{OE}^{\dagger} & \longrightarrow \\ \\ 54 & & 52 \\ \end{array}$$

products. 5,6-Dihydropyridinimines of the type 79 have not been described previously. The intermediate 1methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine was prepared using the literature conditions reported for the corresponding 1,6-dimethyl compound.¹¹

When 1-methyl-1,2-dihydropyrazin-2-one was allowed to react with methyl fluorosulfate, reaction occured on the second nitrogen in preference to the carbonyl oxygen.¹² Replacement of oxygen by sulfur¹³ permitted methylation to occur as desired, giving an intermediate suitable for conversion to the desired imine 80 (Scheme IV).

Pyrrolidine derivatives with an N substituent not readily introduced by the general procedure could be prepared starting from 4-chlorobutyronitrile, by the general procedure of Kwok and Pranc (Scheme V).¹⁴

Preparation of the intermediate thione 87 required for the syntheses of 4-methyl-3,4-dihydro-3-imino-2H-1,4thiazines 62 and 63 was accomplished by the method of Johnson and Thanawalla, 15 except that chloroacetaldehyde dimethyl acetal was employed. The same intermediate served for the preparation of bicyclic compound 25. The synthesis of 3-methyl-3,4-dihydro-2-imino-6H-1,3-thiazine (64) was accomplished by a different route (see Scheme

Syntheses of 3-amino- and 3-methylamino-2-iminothiazolidines 37 and 38 were accomplished using 2,4,6trimethylphenylsulfonyloxyamine¹⁶ and its N-methyl derivative, respectively. Methylation of both 3- and 5aminoisothiazoles resulted in methylation exclusively on the ring nitrogen (compounds 43, 44, 84, and 85.) In contrast, when methyl fluorosulfonate was reacted with 3-amino-1,2,5-thiadiazole, a mixture of exo- and endo-N-methylated products resulted. 2-Methyl-3-(methylimino)-1,2,5-thiadiazole (45) was prepared by a novel procedure which will be detailed in another publication.¹⁷ The 2-alkyl-3-iminothiadiazole and isothiazole derivatives proved unstable on conversion to the free base forms.

Biological Results and Discussion. The primary in vitro assay to which compounds were submitted was inhibition of INMT enzyme from rabbit lung.8 Many of the active compounds from this assay were then tested for

Scheme VI

inhibition of human lung INMT. The latter was less readily available and of a lower state of purity, which may account for the IC₅₀ values being consistently higher than with the rabbit enzyme. The data for the bicyclic and monocyclic amidine derivatives are presented in Tables I and II, respectively. The detailed assay procedure is described under the Experimental Section.

In the early stage of this investigation, the effect of ring size on enzyme inhibition by bicyclic amidine derivatives was examined. A comparison of the IC₅₀ values obtained for DBN, 2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10-octahydropyrimido[1,2-a]azepine (DBU), 1, 2, 9, 19, and 20 indicated that increasing the ring size decreased the capacity of such compounds to inhibit indolamine N-methyltransferase. Thus, only 2,3,5,6tetrahydro-7H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]imidazole (9) and 2,3,5,6,-7.8-hexahydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (20), bicyclic amidines with both nitrogens in the five-membered ring, were selected for further structural modification. It was found with these structures that increasing the size of the molecule either by addition of large bulky alkyl groups (11 and 13) or aromatic rings (14, 15, 17, and 18) markedly decreased enzyme inhibitory activity. While the placement of a methyl group on the five-membered ring (10 and 12) only moderately affected biological activity, as was the case with DBN (compare 3 and 4), the placement of substituents on the six-membered ring of these structures or DBN (compare 8 and 6) appeared to lower significantly INMT inhibitory activity. Quaternization of the nitrogen (7) in DBN also lowered inhibitory activity.

The consequences of making an isosteric substitution for C-7 in 20 was also examined. The results in Table I for 21-24 clearly indicated that only the thio analogue 22 was comparable to DBN and 20 in relative potency. Increasing the degree of unsaturation (25 and 26), adding an aromatic ring (28), and changing the location of the sulfur atom (27) appeared to be undesirable modifications.

Although tricyclic analogues of DBN were essentially inactive (see Table I), the monocyclic analogue 49, in which two carbon atoms are excised from the tetrahydropyrimidine moiety of DBN, was more potent than DBN as an inhibitor against both rabbit and human INMT. This finding prompted an examination of the variety of five- and six-membered monocyclic amidine derivatives, bearing an exocyclic imine group, shown in Table II. With the exception of pyrazine 80, monocyclic amidine derivatives from all other five- and six-membered ring systems, which possessed methyl groups on the endocyclic N and no substituents on the exocyclic N, showed significant in vitro enzyme inhibitory activity. While the corresponding compounds lacking a substituent on the ring N (in which the double bond was endocyclic) showed measurable activity, the level was consistently lower. Increases in the size of the alkyl substituent on the ring nitrogen beyond unsubstituted ethyl resulted in considerable loss of activity, with large or polar substituents rendering the compounds essentially inactive. Compounds with a methyl substituent

Table I. Inhibition of Rabbit and Human Lung Indolamine N-Methyltransferase by Bicyclic Amidine Derivatives

	lon of	gunl	9(0,8	9																			
	I × 10- ⁶) for inhi INMT in vitro ^d	human lung enz	13.4	25.7 852	3.3				1.0									8.0	6.0	18.8	35.0	24.5	
	${ m IC_{s_0}}$ (M $ imes$ 10^{-6}) for inhibn of INMT in vitro ^d	rabbit lung enz	1.67	8.0	0.7	13.2	43.3	52.2	0.04	54.2	$\frac{9.5}{141.0}$	>330 >330	83.1	>330		16.4	>330	0.17	0.5	30.3 3.6	18.0	+0.+	>330
		${ m formula}^c$	C11H16N2O4	CoH.N.	C ₁₂ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₄	C ₁₂ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₄ C ₁₂ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₄ H N O	C ₁₂ H ₁₆ N ₂ O ₄ C H IN	C ₁₁ H ₁₅ ClN ₂ O ₄ ·	C ₁₀ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₄	C ₁₂ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₄	$C_{15}H_{22}N_2O_4$	C ₁₆ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₄ C ₂₂ H ₂₂ N ₂ O ₄	$C_8H_{10}N_2O_4$	$C_{14}H_{14}N_2O_4$		$C_{13}H_{14}N_2$	$C_{12}H_{18}N_2O_4$	C11H16N2O4	C ₁₀ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₅ C ₁₀ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₄ S	C1.H16N2O4S	C,0H,1N,04S	C ₈ H ₁₀ N ₂ O ₄ S	$C_{14}H_{14}N_2O_4S$
		% yield		œ	56	34			39 36	27	38 38	48 52		56		48	35			6 6 8 8			34.7
		recryst solvent	i-PrOH		i-PrOH	i-PrOH-acetone	EtOH	i-PrOH-MeOH	EtOH	acetone	<i>i</i> -PrOH-acetone <i>i</i> -PrOH	<i>i</i> -PrOH <i>i</i> -PrOH		<i>i</i> -PrOH-MeOH		hexane ^g	i-PrOH-acetone	i-PrOH	EtOH i-PrOH	<i>i</i> -ProH <i>i</i> -ProH	i-PrOH	noit.	MeOH-i-PrOH
	ر د د	hydrogen fumarate	159-160		128-130	102	148-152	105-108	171-172	122-123	144-145 $124-125$	$159-162 \\ 199-201$		170-171		$101-103^f$	131-133	149-151	179-123	181-182 $166-168$	163	701-001	173 dec
		bp, °C	80 (10 mm)	127-128 (14 mm) 108-110 (14 mm)	110-112 (10 mm)	89–93 (10 mm)			80-81 (10 mm) 81-83 (10 mm)	80-83 (10 mm)	88-90 (15 mm) 120-124 (4 mm)					148-151 (0.15 mm)	105-108 (0.3 mm)	88 (10 mm)	107-109 (18 mm) 114-116 (5 mm)	117 (6 mm) 140 (0.2 mm)			60-62 mp
Constant a series		method (lit. ref) ^{b}			C B (18)	C (18)	: 4	A (28)	A (18)	B (18)	A (18) A (20)	B (18) B (19)	ų	A (25)		A (26)	A (27)	B	A (21) A	A(24)	A (22)	h h	A (29)
The state of the s	3	υ	-(CH ₂) ₃ -	-(CH ₂),-	-(CH ₂) ₃ -	-(CH ₂)		$-(CH_2)_2-$	$-(CH_2)_2 -$	$-(\mathbf{CH}_1)_2$	$-(CH_2)_2^-$ - $(CH_3)_3^-$	$-(CH_1^2)_1^2 - (CH_2)_2^2 -$	-CH=CH-	$-(CH_2)_2$		-(CH ₂) ₂ -	-(CH ₂) ₂ -	$-(CH_2)_2$	$-(CH_2)_2^-$ $-(CH_2)_2^-$	-(CH ₂) ₂ - -(CH ₂) ₂ -	$-(CH_2)_2$	$-(CH_2)_2^{-1}$ $-(CH_2)_2^{-1}$	-(CH ₂) ₂ -
	structure ^d	a, b	a-(CH ₂) ₃ -b	a-(CH ₂) ₅ -b	a-(CH ₂) ₃ b a-(CH ₂) ₄ -b	a-Ch ₂ Cn(Ch ₃)Ch ₂ -0 a-Ch(Ch ₃)Ch ₂ Ch ₂ -b	a=C(=CIIC, II,)CII, CII, =D a=(CH,), =b DDM == cH; cdid	$a-(CH_1)_3CH(Cl)-b$	a-(CH ₂) ₃ -b a-CH CH/CH)CH -b	a-CH ₂ C[(CH ₃) ₂]CH ₂ -b	$a-CH(CH_1)(CH_1)_3-b$ $a-C(c-C_1H_1)(CH_1)_3-b$	a-CH ₂ CH(Ph)CH ₂ -b a-CH ₂ C(Ph) ₂ CH ₂ -b	$a-(CH_2)_3-b$	a	° (Ca ca	$a-(CH_2)_4-b$	$a-(CH_2)_2UCH_2-D$ $a-(CH_2)_2SCH_2-D$	a-CH, CH(CH,)SCH, -b a-(CH,), S(→0)CH, -b	a-CH=CHSCH ₂ -b	a-C(CH ₃)=CHSCH ₂ -D a-CH=CHCH ₂ S-b s~b	-
racic r.		compd	DBN	DBU 1	4 67 0	о 4 п	ာဖ	~ ∞	6 5		12 13	14 15	16	17		18	19				25	27	28

^a All compounds except DBU, 1, and 7 were tested as hydrogen fumarates or oxalates. ^b Literature reference is for starting lactam. ^c C, H, and N analyses for all compounds were within ±0.4% of the calculated values. ^d Values were estimated by analysis of regression line in reverse using two to six data points per compound. ^e 95% confidence limits obtained by Fieller's theorem. ^f Melting point for base. ^g Recrystallization solvent for base. ^h See Experimental Section.

on the exocyclic imine (and methyl or ethyl on the endocyclic nitrogen) retained activity but at a reduced level. Introduction of substituents larger than methyl on the exocyclic nitrogen generally resulted in a major loss of activity. Thus, the most active compounds had no substituent on the exocyclic nitrogen and only methyl or ethyl on the ring nitrogen. The rings could be saturated or partially or fully unsaturated (except pyrazine). Introduction of substituents on carbon consistently caused a reduction in activity, as seen with bicyclic analogues of DBN. In all, ten of the monocyclic amidine analogues in Table II were more potent than DBN in the in vitro assay systems (29, 31, 38, 41, 49, 50, 60, 61, 64, and 79). It is noteworthy that in seven of these compounds there is a ring sulfur adjacent to the exocyclic imine group.

Compound 22, which was the most chemically novel of the active bicyclic analogues of DBN, was submitted to more detailed biological evaluation as an inhibitor of the INMT enzyme. The effect of 22 on the methylation of other amines was studied. Thus, at 1.76×10^4 M, 22 inhibited the methylation of N-methylserotonin (88%), tryptamine (84%) and serotonin (85%). This is of interest, since it would suggest that 22 also should block the conversion of serotonin to bufotenin, a known hallucinogen. To determine whether or not 22 inhibited INMT in vivo, experiments were carried out by maintaining rabbits on drinking water containing 0.5% (v/v) 22 for several days. Determination of INMT activity in the lungs of sacrificed animals showed 75% inhibition of enzyme activity (Table The ability of 22 to inhibit the biosynthesis of [14C]DMT was also determined in vivo in rabbits. Pretreatment of rabbits with 22 resulted in a 64% average inhibition in the conversion of [14C]NMT to [14C]DMT in the lung and 57% inhibition in the brain (Table IV). Compound 29, one of the most potent monocyclic analogues of DBN, in vitro, produced a 76% inhibition of INMT activity from the lungs of rabbits fed a 0.02% level in the drinking water for 12 days (Table III).

Thus, the data presented in this paper demonstrate that a variety of mono- and bicyclic amidine analogues related to DBN have comparable or superior in vitro activity as inhibitors of the enzyme INMT from rabbit and human lung. One representative monocyclic and one representative bicyclic analogue have both been shown to be active in vivo in inhibiting the lung enzyme when administered in the drinking water of rabbits. Compounds of this type may prove of value in testing the transmethylation hypothesis of schizophrenia in man.

Experimental Section

Chemical Syntheses. Melting points were determined using a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR 60-MHz spectra were recorded with Varian Associates EM-360 and T-60 instruments. Chemical shifts were recorded in ppm (δ) relative to Me₄Si as internal standard. IR spectra were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 257 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Mr. K. B. Streeter and associates, Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, and by Dr. C. Daessle, Organic Microanalyses, Montreal.

2,3,5,6-Tetrahydro-8H-imidazo[2,1-c][1,4]thiazine (22). **Method A.** A solution of 23.4 g (0.20 mol) of 3-thiomorpholinone in 150 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise with stirring to a solution of 44 g (0.23 mol) of triethyloxonium fluoroborate in 100 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ maintained at 0.5 °C. The resulting solution was stirred for 6 h as the temperature was allowed to rise to room temperature. The CH₂Cl₂ solution was treated with 46 g of solid K₂CO₃, and the organic solution was decanted and dried over anhydrous K₂CO₃. Filtration and evaporation of the solvent gave a concentrate which was distilled to yield 17.9 g (0.12 mol) of the lactam ether, bp 75-80 °C (4 mm). The lactam ether (17.9) was added to a solution of 24.6 g (0.12 mol) of 2-bromoethylamine hydrobromide in 150 mL of EtOH, and the resulting solution was warmed on the steam bath for 2 h. NaOMe (4.9 g, 0.09 mol) was added, and the solution was stirred and heated under reflux for 2 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue dissolved in 75 mL of H_2O . This solution was saturated with K_2CO_3 and extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 100 mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Distillation of the concentrate gave 11.3 g (39%) of 22: bp 114-116 °C (5 mm); IR (neat) 1620, 1420, 1270, 1180 and 990 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.85 (m, 2 H, SCH₂CH₂), 3.1-3.8 (m, 6 H, $CH_2NCH_2CH_2$), 3.4 (s, 2 H, SCH_2).

6-Methyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-7H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]imidazole (10). Method B. A solution of 4-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (25 g, 0.25 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of triethyloxonium fluoroborate (56 g, 0.32 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL), and the resulting solution was stirred for 16 h. The reaction mixture was washed with saturated aqueous K2CO3 solution, dried over anhydrous K2CO3, and filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Distillation of the concentrate gave the lactam ether: bp 85-87 °C (90 mm); yield 24 g. The lactam ether (24 g) and ethanolamine (12 g) were heated under reflux for 2 h. A 10 M solution (30 mL) of HCl in C₂H₅OH was added, and the solution was evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in CHCl₃ (100 mL), SOCl₂ (20 mL) was added, and the solution was heated under reflux for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was slurried with 25% NaOH solution and extracted with Et₂O. The Et₂O was evaporated and the residue dissolved in EtOH (50 mL). After heating under reflux for 0.5 h, this solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was slurried with 25% NaOH solution and extracted with CHCl3. The CHCl3 extract was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Distillation of the concentrate gave 12 g (39%) of 10, bp 80-83 °C (10 mm).

6-Methyl-2,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine (4). Method C. A solution of 5-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (25 g, 0.25 mol) and acrylonitrile (15 g) was stirred and heated at 80 °C for 3 h. The reaction mixture was distilled, and the fraction, bp 110-120 °C (0.2 mm), was collected and dissolved in MeOH (75 mL) containing concentrated aqueous NH₃ (150 mL). This solution was hydrogenated over Raney Nickel at 100 °C and 2000 psi. Filtration, evaporation of the solvent, and distillation of the residue gave 1-(3-aminopropyl)-5-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone: bp 100 °C (0.2 mm); yield 29 g. A solution of this material in xylene (170 mL) containing p-toluenesulfonic acid (1 g) was heated under reflux with H₂O separation (Dean-Stark trap) for 36 h. Distillation of the reaction mixture gave 20.7 g (60%) of 4, bp 91-93 °C (10

2,3,4,5,7,8-Hexahydro-9H-pyrrolo[1,2-a][1,3]diazepine (1). A mixture of α -butyrolactone (18.9 g) and 1.4-diaminobutane (19.6 g) was heated in a stainless-steel bomb for 7 h at 280 °C. The reaction mixture was distilled, and the fraction, bp 115-120 °C (0.1 mm), was collected and redistilled at 280 °C (200 mm). This distillate was redistilled to yield 2.3 g of 1, bp 108-110 °C (14 mm), lit.¹⁰ 108-110 °C (14 mm).

DBN hydrogen fumarate was prepared by dissolving redistilled DBN, bp 88 °C (10 mm) (23.2 g, 0.2 mol), and fumaric acid (24.8 g, 0.2 mol) in hot i-PrOH, cooling, and collection of the DBN hydrogen fumarate, mp 159-60 °C, by filtration.

2,3,5,6-Tetrahydro-8H-imidazo[2,1-c][1,4]thiazine Sulfoxide Hydrogen Fumarate (24). A solution of 22 (2.35 g, 0.0166 mol) and NaIO₄ (3.4 g, 0.016 mol) in 80% aqueous MeOH (90 mL) was stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was extracted with CHCl₃ (3×100 mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The concentrate was distilled to yield 1.1 g of colorless liquid, bp 140 °C (0.2 mm), which was dissolved in EtOAc (5 mL) and added to a solution of fumaric acid (0.76 g) in i-PrOH (100 mL). On cooling, 1.4 g of 24, mp 166-168 °C, was collected by suction filtation with Me₂CO washing.

8-Benzylidine-2,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine (5). A solution of DBN (2.48 g, 0.02 mol) and benzaldehyde (2.12 g, 0.02 mol) in ethyl formate (2 mL) was stirred at 45 °C for 22 h. Evaporation of the solvent and recrystallization of the residue from cyclohexane gave 1.0 g (21%) of 8-(α hydroxylbenzyl)-2,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine,

Table II. Inhibition of Rabbit and Human Lung Indolamine N-Methyltransferase by Monocyclic Amidine Derivatives

		a Z-	N-R,	method					IC _{so} value (inhibn of I	$ m IC_{50}$ value (M $ imes$ 10^{-6}) for inhibn of INMT in vitro ^c
bamos	ě	· œ	a. b	and/or lit. ref	% vield	mp. "C	recrystn solvent	formula (anal.) b	rabbit lung enz	human lung enz
29	CH,	H CH ₃	a-(CH ₁) ₂ S-b a-(CH ₁) ₂ S-b	30, 31	36	159-161		C,H ₈ N ₂ S·HI C,H ₁₀ N ₂ S·HI	0.8	2.2
31	CH,CH,	н	$a-(CH_2)_2S-b$	32		80-83	i-PrOH-Et,0	(C, H, N, I)* C,H,0N,S·C,H,O, G, H, N, c, HC!	0.2	2.6
333	$_{\mathrm{CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{2}}}^{\mathrm{H}}$	н	$a-(CH_2)_2S-b$ $a-(CH_2)_2S-b$	$\frac{30}{D(1)^p}$	31	121-123	$i ext{-PrOH-Et}_2\mathrm{O}$	C ₄ H ₈ N ₂ S·HCl C ₆ H ₁₂ N ₂ S·HBr		7.01
34	$CH_2 = CHCH_2$	н	$a-(\mathrm{CH_2})_2\mathrm{S-b}$	$D(1)^{q}$	65	112-116	i -PrOH-Et $_2$ O	(C, N, S, Br) C ₆ H ₁₀ N ₂ S·HBr C ₇ H N S P ₂)	7.1	
35	HC≡CCH2	Н	$a-(CH_2)_2S-b$	D (1) ^r	35	143-145	i -PrOH-Et $_2$ O	(C, H, N, S, Br) C ₆ H ₈ N ₂ S·HBr	13.8	
36	$(4-Py)CH_2$	Н	$a-(CH_2)_2S-b$	$D(1)^{s}$	10	295 dec	i-PrOH	(C, H, N, S, DI) $C_9H_{11}N_3S_2HBr$ $(H, N, S)S_2$	$>6.9^t$	
37	NH_2	Н	$a-(CH_2)_2S-b$	п	9	122-123	-МеОН-	$(H, N, S)^{r}$ $C_{3}H_{7}N_{3}S\cdot C_{4}H_{4}O_{4}^{h}$		46.8
38	CH_3NH	Н	$a-(CH_2)_2S-b$	π	21	93-95	i-PrOH	(C, H, N, S) $C_{i}H_{j}N_{i}S\cdot C_{i}H_{i}O_{i}^{i}$ (H, N)	1.5	(10.2-103) 6.4 (5.1.7.03d
39	\mathbf{CH}_{3}	Н	a-CH ₂ CH(CH ₃)S-b	$\mathrm{E}\left(1 ight)^{33,v}$	73	133-136	$\rm EtOH{-}Et_2O$	(H, H) $C_5H_{10}N_2SC_4H_4O_4^{J}$	7.9	(e.1-1.6)
40	CH_3	NCH2CH2	a-(CH ₂) ₂ S-b	п	98	292 dec	${ m EtOH-Et}_2{ m O}$	(C, H, N, S) C ₁₀ H ₂₀ N ₄ S ₂ ·2HCl (C, H, N, S, Cl)		$>75.1^{x}$
;	II.	}	o Cu_Cue k	78				IH & N H C	9.0	6.7
41	CH,	нн	a-CH=CHS-b a-CH=C(CF ₃)S-b	$D(1)^{35}$	80	177-180	$\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$	C,H,F,N,S·HSO,H C,H,F,N,S·FSO,H	2.0 >88.6 ^u	>86.63
43	CH_3	Н	a-SCH=CH-b	17, 36		147-151	i-PrOH	C, H, F, N, E) C,H, N, S.HCI C, H, N, S. CI)		3283
44	СН,	CH_3	a-SCH=CH-b	17		208 dec	$i\text{-}\mathbf{PrOH}\text{-}\mathbf{Et}_2\mathbf{O}$	C, H, K, S, CI) C, H, N, S, HCI (C, H, N, S, CI)	6.9	19.2
45	CH_3	CH_3	a-SN=CH-b	17		205-212	MeOH-i-PrOH	C, H, N, S, CI) C, H, N, S. HCI C, H, N, S. CI)	5.2	12.0 $(6.6-20.5)^d$
46	СН3	Н	$a-(CH_2)_2O-b$	$D(3)^{38,0}$		165-167	i-PrOH	C, H, N, C, C, C,H,N,O,HI	40.0	(2:22 2:2)
47	н	H CH,	$a-(CH_2)_3-b$ $a-(CH_1)_3-b$	14, 39 14, 39				C, H, N, I) C, H, N, ·HCI C, H, ₀ N, ·HI	33.6 27.1	>8300 >4425
49 50 51	CH ₃ C ₂ H ₅ CH ₃ (CH ₃),	янн	a-(CH ₂),-b a-(CH ₂),-b a-(CH ₂),-b	$\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ \text{D} (3)^z \\ \text{D} (3)^{aa} \end{array}$	51.5 40 44	$169-172 \\ 124-128 \\ 68-75$	EtOH-Et ₂ O i-PrOH-Et ₂ O i-PrOH-Et ₂ O	$egin{array}{c} C_5 H_{10} N_2 \cdot HI \\ C_6 H_{12} N_2 \cdot C_2 H_2 O_4 \\ C_9 H_{18} N_2 \cdot HBr \end{array}$	$1.5\\1.6\\>106^t$	$4.3 \\ 2.8 \\ > 106^{dd}$
52	c-C ₃ H ₅ "	н	$a-(CH_2)_3-b$	n	100	oil		(C, H, N, Br) $C_1H_{12}N_2$ $C_2H_{13}N_3$	67.0	
53 54	(CH,),CHCH, (EtO),CHCH,	Н	$a-(CH_2)_3-b$ $a-(CH_2)_3-b$	$D(3)^{bb}$	4.7	134-138 $132-133$	i-PrOH-Et,O	C ₈ H ₁₆ N ₂ ·C ₂ H ₂ O ₄ C ₁₀ H ₂₀ N ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	$\begin{array}{c} 19.4 \\ > 106^{\ell} \end{array}$	
55	PhCH,CH,	Н	$a-(CH_2)_3-b$	D (3)	61	144-147 Joe	$i ext{-PrOH-Et}_2O$	(C, H, N, Cl) C ₁₂ H ₆ N,·HBr (C, H, N)*	3717	
26	СН3	$\mathrm{CF}_{3}\mathrm{CH}_{2}$	$a-(CH_2)_3-b$	$\mathbf{E}\left(1 ight)^{cc}$	87.6	96–96 dec	$\mathrm{Et_2O}$	(C, H, F, N) $C_7H_{11}F_3N_2 \cdot C_7H_2O_4$ (C, H, F, N)	$>$ 92.6 t	

57 58	CH ₃ CH ₃	CH, c-C ₄ H,-	$a-(CH_1)_3-b$ $a-(CH_1)_3-b$	$D(3)^{14}$ $E(1)^{cc}$	54	142-145 $108-111$	i-PrOH-Et ₂ O	C,H, Nz.C,H,O,	$\begin{array}{c} 31.2 \\ > 10.3^{\it sg} \end{array}$	
59	CH_3	Н	$a-(CH_2)_2SCH_2-b$	$\mathbb{E}\left(2 ight)^{\!ee}$		111-113	i-PrOH-MeOH	(C, H, N) $C_5H_{10}N_2S.HCl·H_2O$	6.3	32.3
09	CH_3	Н	$a-(CH_2)_3S-b$	$\mathrm{E}\left(2 ight) ^{33,v}$	54.5	168-171	i-PrOH	(C, H, N, S) $C_5H_0N_2S.HBr$	2.0	3.7
61 62	$\mathbf{C}_2\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{s}}$	нн	$a-(\mathrm{CH_1})_j.\mathrm{S-b}$ $a-\mathrm{CH=CHSCH_2-b}$	$^{40}_{ ext{E}(2)^{ff}}$	11.5	124-125	i-PrOH-Et ₂ O	(C, H, N, S, Br) $C_{c}H_{12}N_{z}S.HBr$ $C_{s}H_{s}N_{z}S.HBr$	1.8	9.9
63	CH_3	CH_3	a-CH=CHSCH ₂ -b	$\mathrm{E}\left(2 ight) ^{ff}$	35	147-148	MeOH-i-PrOH	(C, H, N, S, Br) $C_{\epsilon}H_{\epsilon 0}N_{\epsilon}SC_{\epsilon}H_{\epsilon}O_{\epsilon}^{j}$	8.6	$(7.2-25.9)^a$
64	CH_3	Н	$a-CH=CHCH_2S-b$	D (3)	33	133-136	i-PrOH	(C, H, N, S) C, H, N, S-HCi-H, O	2.0	4.0
65	CH_3	Н	$a\text{-}(\mathrm{CH}\text{=}\mathrm{CH})_{2}\text{-}\mathbf{b}$	41				(C, H, N, S, CI) $C_bH_bN_2\cdot HCI$	1.6	$(2.0-7.0)^{a}$ 15.0
29 99	$\mathrm{CH_2}=\mathrm{CHCH_2}$ $\mathrm{CF_3CH_2}$	Н	$a-(CH=CH)_2-b$ $a-(CH=CH)_2-b$	42 E (1)		204-206.5	EtOH-Et,O	C ₈ H ₁₀ N ₂ ·HBr C ₇ H ₇ F ₃ N ₂ ·HBr	$\begin{array}{c} 1.58 \\ > 9.7^t \end{array}$	$(9.7-23.3)^{2} \ > 11.6^{jj}$
89	СН	$\mathrm{CF_3CH_2}$	$a\text{-}(\mathrm{CH}\text{=-}\mathrm{CH})_{2}\text{-}b$	$\mathbf{E}(1)^{hh}$	23.4	176-178	<i>i</i> -PrOH	(C, H, N, F, Br) $C_8H_9F_3N_2 \cdot HBr$	$>$ 9.2 t	
69	CH_3	HO(CH ₂) ₂	2 a-(CH=CH)2-b	A (3)43	42	158-159	i-PrOH	(C, H, Br, F, N) C ₈ H ₁₂ N ₂ O·HI	> 8.9	
70	СН,	c-C ₃ H ₅ -	a-(CH=CH) ₂ -b	$\mathbb{E}\left(3\right)^{hh,o}$	69	92.5-94.5	i -PrOH-Et $_2$ O	(C, H, N, I) $C_9H_{12}N_2\cdot HBr\cdot H_2O$	$>10.1^t$	
11	CH_3	н	a-CH=CHCH=C(CN)-b	D (3)	22.2	222-225	H ₂ 0	(H, N) $C, H, N_3 \cdot HI$	179.5	
72 73	CH, CH,	нн	a -CH=CHC(CH $_3$)=CH-b a-CH=CFCH=CH-b	44 E (1)	27.4	181-185	i-PrOH-H ₂ 0	(C, H, N, I) C,H ₁₀ N ₂ ·HI C,H ₁ FN ₂ ·HBr	2.8 69.8	
74	СН	н	a-CF=CHCH=CH-b	$E(1)^u$	52	163-180	i-PrOH	(C, H, N, Br) $C_bH_rFN_2 \cdot FSO_3H$	5.3	
75	СН,	СН	a-CF=CHCH=CH-b	E (1)	32.5	123-125	i-PrOH	(C, H, N, S, F) C,H,FN2.FSO3H	74.0	
92	CH_3	Н	$a-C(NH_2)=CHCH=CH-b$	z	51	166-168	<i>i</i> -PrOH	(C, H, N, S, F) C,H,N,·C,H,O,	6.2	
11	CH_3	CH_3	a-C(NHCH ₃)=CHCH=CH-b	z	21.7	130-133	<i>i</i> -PrOH	(C, H, N) $C_8H_{13}N_3 \cdot FSO_3H$	13.1	
78 79	CH ₃ CH ₃	н	a-C(OC ₂ H ₅)=CHCH=CH-b a-(CH ₂) ₂ CH=CH-b	<i>u</i> E (2)	78.9 25.2	$\frac{160 - 162}{120 - 121}$	i-PrOH EtOH-Et $_2$ O	$(H, N, S)^{\prime\prime\prime}$ $C_8H_{12}N_2O\cdot C_2H_2O_4^{\prime\prime}$ $C_8H_{10}N_2\cdot C_4H_4O_4^{\prime\prime}$	${>}10.3^t \\ 0.9$	6.7 9.
80	CH_3	Н	a-CH=CHN=CH-b	$\overset{m{u}}{\mathrm{E}}(1)^{13}.^{m{v}}$	24.6	239-242	H_2O	(C, H, N) C,H,N, HBr (C, H, N, Br)	>5263	(6.0-10.4)
-	Related Compds									

_
σ
ತ್
3
int
.=
~
2
~
\sim
t i
_
$\overline{}$
\equiv
=
نه
نه
نه
əle

	\mathcal{A}	7 NR ₁	method					IC ₅₀ value (N inhibn of IN	${ m IC}_{ m S_0}$ value $({ m M} imes 10^{-6})$ for inhibn of INMT in vitro
compd	я. Я.	a, b	and/or lit. ref ^a	% yield	mp, °C	recrystn solvent	recrystn solvent formula (anal.) b	rabbit lung enz	human lung enz
83 			D (3)°	44.4	44.4 209-211	EtOH-Et,O	$C_sH_sN_2$ ·HBr (C, H, N, Br)	$>11.7^t$	
84 CH3-N-S NH	Ŧ		$D(3)^u$		160-164 dec	acetone-MeOH C ₄ H ₈ N ₂ S·HCl (C, H, N, S·Cl)	C ₄ H ₆ N ₂ S·HCl (C, H, N, S, Cl)		21.9
85 CH3N S NH			$D(3)^{37,o}$	14	200-202.5	<i>i</i> -PrOH	$C_{\varsigma}H_{s}N_{\varsigma}S.HI$ (C, H, N, S, I)	19.6	45.41

Table III. Inhibition of Rabbit Lung INMT in Vivo by 22 and 29

drug treatment	av sp act.a	av % inhibn
expt 1. control 0.5% 22 in drinking water for 5 days	44 967 ± 2521 11 367 ± 2900	75 $(p < 0.001)^b$
expt 2. control 0.02% 29 in drinking water for 12 days	65 290 ± 4486 15 671 ± 1717	76 $(p < 0.001)^b$

 $[^]a$ Cpm/mg of enzyme protein (after 1 h incubation at 37 °C) in toluene-isoamyl alcohol phase. All data are average values (±SEM). b Student's t test.

Table IV. In Vivo Inhibition of the Conversion of $[^{14}C]NMT$ to $[^{14}C]DMT$ in the Rabbit by 22^a

drug treatment ^b	av [14C]DMT cpm/g of lung tissue	av [14C]DMT cpm/g of brain tissue
control	5342	69.3
22	1841 (64% inhibn,	30 (57% inhibn, $p < 0.05^d$)
	$p < 0.05^c$)	$p < 0.05^d$)

^a Results are averaged for three animals. ^b Animals were given 0.5% 22 in the drinking water for 5 days. The average total consumption of 22 was approximately 2 g. ^c Student's t test (t = 4.15). ^d Student's t test (t = 3.89).

mp 207–209 °C. A solution of this alcohol (2.3 g, 0.01 mol) in 3 N HCl in MeOH (50 mL) was stirred for 0.5 h and then evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in i-PrOH (50 mL) and treated with fumaric acid (1.2 g) in hot i-PrOH (50 mL). On cooling, 5 hydrogen fumarate was obtained (2.8 g, 80%).

3-Methylene-2,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine Fumarate (6). A mixture of 2-amino- Δ^1 -pyrroline (1.22 g, 0.14 mol) and 3-chloro-2-(chloromethyl)-1-butene (0.9 g, 0.072 mol) in EtOH (20 mL) was refluxed for 6 h. Following evaporation, the residue was triturated with Et $_2$ O-i-PrOH. After removal of insoluble aminopyrroline hydrochloride, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in MeOH, to which was added K $_2$ CO $_3$ (1.5 g). When neutralization was complete, the filtered solution was evaporated. The oily residue was dissolved in CHCl $_3$, and the CHCl $_3$ solution was washed with H $_2$ O and evaporated. Addition of excess fumaric acid in EtOH gave 6 (0.24 g, 12.9%).

DBN Methiodide (7). Methyl iodide (2.8 g, 0.02 mol) was added dropwise to a solution of DBN (2.5 g, 0.2 mol) in benzene (100 mL). The solution was stirred for 1 h and then evaporated to dryness. The residue was recrystallized from CHCl₃-Et₂O to yield 7 (2 g, 37%), mp 248-250 °C.

6,7-Dihydro-5H-pyrrolo[1,2a]imidazole Oxalate (16). After standing for 4 weeks, a mixture of 3-chloropropionitrile (1.03 g, 0.01 mol) and aminoacetaldehyde diethyl acetal (1.33 g, 0.01 mol) was dissolved in CHCl3. Ammonium chloride was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue treated with Et2O gave 1-(1,1-diethoxyethyl)-2-iminopyrrolidine hydrochloride (54) (0.4 g, 16.9%).

A solution of 54 (0.2 g, 0.8 mmol) in concentrated HCl (10 mL) was refluxed for 4 h. The colorless solution was evaporated to dryness, $\rm H_2O$ was added and the solution was reevaporated. The residue was dissolved in EtOH, and excess $\rm K_2CO_3$ was added. After several hours, the solids were removed, and oxalic acid was added to generate 16 oxalate (0.10 g, 62%), mp 98-104 °C.

2,3-Dihydro-7*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]thiazine Oxalate (27). A mixture of imidazolidine-2-thione (35.7 g, 0.35 mol), 3-chloropropionaldehyde diethyl acetal (87.4 g, 0.525 mol), and KI (11.6 g, 0.07 mol) in EtOH (1400 mL) was heated at reflux for 4 days. Following evaporation, the residue was dissolved in H₂O and extracted with Et₂O. The aqueous solution was made weakly basic with dilute NaOH. After removal of solids by filtration, the filtrate was extracted repeatedly with CHCl₃. The combined CHCl₃ solutions were extracted with an excess of aqueous oxalic acid. The aqueous solution was made weakly basic with dilute NaOH and reextracted with CHCl₃. Evaporation of the CHCl₃ solution gave an oil (31.3 g). A portion (21.3) was dissolved in toluene (1200 mL) with warming. After decanting from a small amount of insolubles, molecular sieves 4A (200 g) were added.

and the mixture was refluxed for 2 days. Filtration, followed by evaporation, gave 10 g of crude product, which was dissolved in EtOH (250 mL), to which, after filtration, was added with warming excess oxalic acid. Addition of ether to the cloud point resulted in crystallization of 27 oxalate (6.2 g, 11% overall), mp 115 °C

General Procedures for Methods D and E (Scheme III). Method D. Generally exothermic reactions of the amines with methyl fluorosulfonate were carried out neat or in inert solvent using excess methylating agent. 46,47 Alkylations employing the less reactive trialkyloxonium fluoroborates or alkyl and aralkyl halides were carried out in inert solvent with heating when necessary. The resulting imine salts could in some cases be isolated in crystalline form directly. Frequently, the salts were treated with dilute NaOH to generate the free base, which was then converted to a more acceptable salt form. In other cases, metathesis to another salt form was accomplished by the use of an anion-exchange resin.

Method E. Alkylation reactions on the halo-, methoxy-, or methylthio-substituted intermediates were carried out as described for method D. The product salts were then allowed to react with aqueous or alcoholic amine solutions, generally at room temperature, to generate the desired imine derivatives. Evaporation, followed by treatment with dilute NaOH, provided the imines as free bases, which were then converted to the desired salt forms.

6-Fluoro-1-methyl-2-imino-1,2-dihydropyridine Fluorosulfonate (74) [Method E (1)]. 2,6-Difluoropyridine (5.0 g, 0.043 mol) and FSO_2OCH_3 (15.0 g, 0.13 mol) were mixed and warmed to above 70 °C. After 2 min, the mixture had solidified. After an additional 2 min, CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred and then filtered. A yield of 9.9 g (99%) of the hygroscopic white fluorosulfonate salt was isolated. Without further purification, the salt (2.75 g, 0.012 mol) was mixed with ice, and dilute NH₃ [14.6 mL of concentrated NH₃ diluted to 146 mL (0.024 mol)] was added. After standing for 0.5 h, the mixture was evaporated to dryness. The residue was stirred with MeCN (50 mL), and inorganic salts were removed by filtration. After evaporation of the MeCN solution, the residue was taken up in CHCl₃ (50 mL) and, after 1 h, filtered, giving 1.44 g of pale yellow crystals: IR (KBr) 1685, 1588, 1300 cm⁻¹; NMR (CF₃COOD) δ 3.73 (d, CH₃, J = 3 Hz), 6.42 (2 d, H₅, $J_{H_5-H_4} = 8$, $J_{H_5-F} = 5$ Hz), 6.89 (d, H₃, $J_{H_3-H_4} = 9$ Hz), 7.87 (q, H₄, J = 8 H).

6-Amino-1-methyl-2-imino-1,2-dihydropyridine Oxalate (76). To 2,6-difluoro-1-methylpyridinium fluorosulfonate (2.0 g, 0.0087 mol) mixed with ice was added an excess of concentrated NH₄OH. The mixture was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was slurried in MeCN (50 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was slurried with CHCl₃ (20 mL) and filtered to give 1.08 g (55.6%) of yellow-green 6-amino-1methyl-2-imino-1,2-dihydropyridinefluorosulfonic acid salt. To an EtOH (50 mL) solution of the salt was added powdered K₂CO₃ (6.0 g). After 3 h, the mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue slurried with i-PrOH (25 mL). Following removal of solids by filtration, oxalic acid (1.0 g) was added to the filtrate. The precipitated solid oxalate was dissolved in hot ethanol and charcoaled. The yellow solution was evaporated and the residue triturated with i-PrOH to give 0.95 g of crystalline 76: IR (KBr) 1670, 1655, 1590 cm⁻¹; NMR (D_2O) δ 3.37 (s, CH_3), 6.07 (d, H_3) and H_5 , J = 8 Hz), 7.39 (t, H_4 , J = 8 Hz). Repeating the above procedure using CH3NH2 in place of NH3 afforded the corresponding 2,6-dimethyl derivative 77 as the FSO₃H salt: IR (KBr) 1648, 1595, 1300 cm⁻¹; NMR (D_2O) δ 2.87 (s, CH_3), 3.40 (s, CH_3), 6.05 (d, H_3 and H_5 , J = 8 Hz), 7.68 (t, H_4 , J = 8 Hz).

6-Ethoxy-1-methyl-2-imino-1,2-dihydropyridine Oxalate (78). To a solution of 6-fluoro-1-methyl-2-imino-1,2-dihydropyridine fluorosulfonate (0.20 g, 0.0009 mol) in EtOH (20 mL) was added powdered K₂CO₃ (1.0 g). After stirring for 2 h, the solvent was evaporated and the residue slurried with CHCl₃ (10 mL). The mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated. To the residue dissolved in i-PrOH (5 mL) was added oxalic acid in i-PrOH to form crystalline oxalate: IR (KBr) 1672, 1640, 1600 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.40 (t, CH₃), 3.43 (s, CH₃), 4.02 (q, CH₂), $5.08 \, (d, H_5, J = 7 \, Hz), 5.53 \, (s, NH), 5.97 \, (d, H_3, J = 8 \, Hz), 6.76$ $(2 d, H_4, J = 7.5 and 8 Hz).$

1,2-Bis[(3-methylthiazolidinyliden-2-yl)amino]ethane **Dihydrochloride** (40). To a solution of 2-(methylthio)- Δ^2 - thiazoline (19.0 g, 0.143 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (120 mL), cooled in ice, was added CH₃OSO₂F (18.0 g, 0.158 mol) in several portions. After stirring for 15 min, the mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue flushed with C₆H₆. To the residue dissolved in MeCN (200 mL) was added ethylenediamine (4.3 g, 0.072 mol). After standing at room temperature for 2 days, the mixture was evaporated to give a solid. The solid, dissolved in water, was passed through a column of Amberlite AGl-X8 resin on the chloride cycle. The eluate was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was crystallized from EtOH-Et₂O.

3-Amino-2-iminothiazolidine Hemimaleate (37). To a solution of 2-amino- Δ^2 -thiazoline (2.04 g, 0.02 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) cooled in an acetone-ice bath was added dropwise a solution of 2,4,6-trimethylbenzenesulfonyloxyamine¹⁶ (4.3 g, 0.02 mol) over 30 min. After another 30 min at 0 °C, the mixture was permitted to warm to room temperature. Ether (60 mL) was added, and the solids were removed by filtration (4.5 g). Recrystallization from EtOH-Et2O gave 2.5 g of crude salt, which was converted to free base with dilute NaOH and extracted into CHCl₃. After evaporation of the CHCl3 solution, the residue was redissolved in CHCl₃, and undissolved solids were removed by filtration. To the residue from the CHCl₃ dissolved in i-PrOH was added maleic acid (0.33 g). Evaporation followed by trituration with i-PrOH-Et₂O gave 37. 38 was obtained when the above reaction was carried out using 2,4,6-trimethylbenzenesulfonyloxymethylamine. The latter was prepared by the procedure16 reported for 2,4,6-trimethylbenzenesulfonyloxyamine (mp 83-84 °C, Et₂O-petroleum ether, 30-60 °C), except that N-methylhydroxylamine was used.

5-Imino-2-methyl-2,5-dihydroisothiazole Hydrochloride (84). A mixture of isothiazole-5-carboxylic acid⁴⁸ (3.6 g, 0.028 mol) and SOCl₂ (30 mL) was heated at reflux until complete solution occurred (30 min). Following evaporation, THF (28 mL) was added to the residue, followed by NaN₃ (1.82 g, 0.028 mol) in H₂O (14 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h, and the THF was removed under vacuum. The aqueous residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. To the residue from the extract was added toluene (60 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 10 min. After cooling the mixture, the intense yellow solids (2.0 g) were removed by filtration. (This material showed a carbonyl band at 1615 cm⁻¹ and a parent ion in the mass spectrum of 128 corresponding to the desired isothiazol-5-yl isocyanate. The structure of the insoluble product, which was not fully characterized, presumably was a trimer or a low-molecular-weight polymer and not monomeric isocyanate.) The solid (2.0 g) was heated at reflux in 6 N HCl (50 mL) for 6 h. Following filtration and evaporation, a semisolid residue was obtained, which was dissolved in H₂O. After making strongly basic with dilute NaOH, the aqueous solution was extracted with CHCl₃, which gave 0.75 g of solid product on evaporation: NMR (CDCl₃, acetone- d_6) δ 6.52 (d, 1 H, J = 2 Hz), 8.23 (d, 1 H, J = 2 Hz); MS (M⁺ 100). To the crude 5-aminoisothiazole (0.75 g, 0.0065 mol) dissolved in i-PrOH (10 mL) was added MeI (2 mL). The solution was refluxed for 1.5 h. Following evaporation to a small volume, acetone was added, and the mixture was triturated until a solid material was obtained (0.40 g). The solids were dissolved in water and passed through a column of Amberlite AG1-X8 (100-200 mesh, Cl⁻ cycle) ion-exchange resin. Evaporation of the aqueous eluate, followed by trituration with MeOH-acetone, gave pure 84.

1-Cyclopropyl-2-imino-2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyrrolidine (52). A solution of 4-chlorobutyronitrile (2.07 g, 0.02 mol) and cyclopropylamine (4.50 g, 0.08 mol) in EtOH (10 mL) was heated at 90-100 °C in a 200-mL pressure bottle for 18 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated to dryness. To the residue dissolved in MeOH (20 mL) was added an excess of K₂CO₃, and the mixture was allowed to stand for 24 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was extracted with CHCl₃ to yield 2.8 g of a brown oil. Attempts to prepare crystalline salts were unsuccessful.

1-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl)-1,2-dihydropyridin-2-one. To a solution of 2-pyridone (4.75 g, 0.05 mol) in DMF (50 mL) was added NaH (1.2 g, 0.05 mol). After 0.5 h, $\mathrm{CF_3CH_2I}$ (25.0 g, 0.125 mol) was added. The mixture was heated at 150 °C for 2 h and then for an additional 1 h at 185 °C in a pressure bottle. The DMF was removed under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in ether (100 mL). Evaporation afforded 3.39 g of an oil. When 1-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine. Using the procedure of M. Shamma and P. D. Rosenstock, 11 a mixture of vinylacrylic acid (20 g, 0.2 mol), 40% aqueous MeNH2 (400 mL), and hydroquinone (0.5 g) was heated at about 170 °C overnight in a stainless-steel pressure vessel. Following cooling and evaporation of the reaction mixture, the residue was distilled. Two main fractions were obtained, 8.0 g, bp 86–125 °C at 1.8–0.5 mm, and 4.5 g, bp 130 °C at 0.4 mm. The NMR of both fractions showed the absorption bands corresponding to the expected structure: NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.47 (m, 5-CH₂), 3.0 (s, CH₃), 3.48 (d, 6-CH₂, J=7 Hz), 5.93 (d of m, H₄, J=10 Hz), 6.63 (d of t, 3 H, $J_{\rm t}=7$, $J_{\rm d}=10$ Hz). Both fractions were suitable for conversion to 79.

2-Amino-6H-1,3-thiazine (86). A mixture of thiourea (10.0) g, 0.13 mol), 3-chloropropionaldehyde diethyl acetal (50 g, 0.30 mol), and KI (2 g) in EtOH (75 mL) was heated at reflux for 2 days. Following evaporation and partitioning between H₂O-Et₂O, the aqueous phase was made basic with Na₂CO₃ solution. Extraction with ether (250 mL) afforded 8.0 g of crude product. The solid was redissolved in Et₂O, and the solution was charcoal treated and then evaporated to a small volume (15 mL). The crystals which formed were filtered, giving 6.8 g (32%) of solid 2amino-4-ethoxy-5,6-dihydro-6H-1,3-thiazine: NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.24 $(t, CH_3, J = 7 Hz), 2.0 (m, 6-CH_2), 3.09 (m, 5-CH_2), 3.70 (m, CH_2O),$ 4.65 (q, 4-CH), NH₂ variable. The ethoxythiazine, without purification (6.38 g, 0.04 mol), was mixed with freshly prepared polyphosphoric acid [P₂O₅ (50 g) and 85% H₃PO₄ (40 mL)], and the mixture was heated at 80-90 °C for 15 min. Following cooling and quenching with ice, the mixture was made basic with concentrated NaOH solution, and then extracted four times with CHCl₃ (100 mL). The CHCl₃ extract gave a yellow oil (36 g, 79.5%) of 86 suitable for use in the preparation of 64: NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.42 (2 d, CH₂, $J_{\text{CH}_2-\text{H}_5} = 5$, $J_{\text{CH}_2-\text{H}_4} = 1$ Hz), 5.50 (2 t, H₅, $J_{\text{H}_5-\text{H}_4} = 8$, $J_{\text{H}_5-\text{CH}_2} = 5$ Hz), 6.53 (2 t, H₄, $J_{\text{H}_4-\text{H}_5} = 8$, $J_{\text{H}_4-\text{CH}_2} = 1$ Hz), NH₂ variable.

2,3-Dihydro-4H-1,4-thiazine-3-thione (87). A mixture of thioacetamide (25 g, 0.27 mol), 2-chloroacetaldehyde dimethyl acetal (55 mL, 0.46 mol), KI (1.0 g), and NaOH (11.5 g, 0.29 mol) in methanol (250 mL) was heated at reflux overnight. After neutralization to pH 7 with dilute HCl, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate again evaporated. The oily residue was pyrolyzed, starting at approximately 1 mm and 170 °C, giving 11.0 g of crude product in the condensate. Chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate-benzene (1:4) as eluant provided 8.0 g (25%) of purified 2,3-dihydro-4H-1,4-thiazin-3-one, mp 65–68 °C. Anal. (C₄ H_5 NOS) C, H, N, S. Treatment with P₂S₅ in pyridine 15 gave 87 in 30% yield, mp 87–89 °C. Anal. (C₄ H_5 NS₂) C, H, N, S.

Biological Procedures. S-[methyl-14C]Adenosylmethionine (sp act. 42–53 mCi/mmol) was purchased from the New England Nuclear Corp. N-[methyl-14C]Methyltryptamine was synthesized by the method of Horner and Skinner. Pheniprazine was kindly donated by Lakeside Laboratories. The rabbits employed in these studies were New Zealand White (NZW) males, purchased from H.A.R.E., West Milford, N.J. Human lung tissue samples were post mortem specimens stored at -20 °C.

Rabbit Lung in Vitro INMT Inhibition Assay.8 For the enzyme preparation, NZW male rabbits were exsanguinated and the lungs homogenized in 3-5 mol of 0.15 M KCl containing 10-4 M dithiothreitol and 10⁻⁴ M EDTA. The homogenate was centrifuged at 50 000g for 20 min at 0 °C. The supernatant was fractionated with ammonium sulfate. The 40-60% ammonium sulfate fraction was dialyzed against 100-400 volumes of 0.15 M KCl for 18 h. The preparation was centrifuged and, for most studies, used at this state of purity. For some of the screening, the enzyme was purified further by Sephadex chromatography. A 12-mL aliquot of the dialyzed enzyme preparation, containing about 150 mg of protein, was placed on a Sephadex G-150 column equilibrated with 1 × 10⁻³ M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 5×10^{-5} M EDTA and 1×10^{-4} M dithiothreitol. The column was eluted with this buffer at a rate of 12 mL/h, and the active fractions (150-200 mL eluate) were pooled and concentrated tenfold by ultrafiltration. The protein concentration at this stage was about 5 mg/mL.

The in vitro incubations contained 0.028 mL of 1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.9), 0.017 mL of 0.002 M N-methyltryptamine, 0.005 mL of S-[methyl-14C]adenosylmethionine (ca. 50 μ Ci/ μ mol, 50 μ Ci/2.5 mL), 0.04–0.06 mL of enzyme, and 0.005 mL of test compound (in H₂O or 5% Me₂SO) in a total volume of 0.105 mL. Samples were incubated for 60–90 min at 37 °C. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 0.20 mL of 0.12 M sodium tetraborate (pH 10), and the ¹⁴C-labeled products (dimethyltryptamine) were extracted into 2 mL of H₂O-saturated isoamyl alcohol (or 97% toluene–3% isoamyl alcohol). Following centrifugation, the radioactivity of a 1-mL aliquot was determined in a Packard liquid scintillation counter.

Boiled enzyme fractions, omission of substrate, and addition of borate at the outset of incubation were procedures used to assess nonenzymatic incorporation of radioactivity into the organic phase. The indolamines present after incubation with enzyme were identified by cochromatography with known standards on silica gel TLC plates using MeOH-1 N NH₄OH (5:1) or *n*-BuOH-HOAc-H₂O (72:18:30) as developing solvents. Dimethyltryptamine was further characterized by gas-liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry.⁵⁰

Human Lung in Vitro INMT Inhibition Assay. INMT was prepared from human lung through the Sephadex G-150 step as outlined above. The in vitro reaction mixtures contained 0.03 mL of 1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.9), 0.015 mL of 0.007 M N-methyltryptamine, 0.01 mL of S-[methyl-14C]adenosylmethionine (ca. 50 μ Ci/ μ mol; 50 μ Ci/2.5 mL), 0.03–0.06 mL of enzyme, and 0.005 mL of test solution (5 mg/10 mL–5 mg/1000 mL in H₂O) in a total of 0.105 mL. Samples were incubated for 90 min at 37 °C. Appropriate controls were included. The reaction was terminated and worked up as outlined above for rabbit lung enzyme

Effect of in Vivo Administration of 22 and 29 on the Activity of Rabbit Lung INMT. Rabbits (three per group) were administered the test compound in the drinking water (pH 7) for the duration of the experiment. Controls were given water. At the end of the experiment, the animals were decapitated and bled out, the lungs were removed, and a crude preparation (54 000g supernatant) of INMT was made and assayed by the usual in vitro procedure. Rabbits treated with 22 consumed an estimated 1.5–2.0 g over a 5-day period. The animals treated with 29 over 12 days consumed about one-tenth of that quantity.

Effect of Oral Administration of 22 on the Conversion in Vivo of [14C]NMT to [14C]DMT. Male NZW rabbits (400-500 g) were given 0.5% (v/v) 22 in the drinking water for 5 days; water was given to the controls. On day 5 the animals (three per group) were given the monoamine oxidase inhibitor pheniprazine (5 mg/kg iv). Six hours later they were given 25 μ Ci (0.5 mg) of ¹⁴C-labeled N-methyltryptamine iv, and 5 min after injection of the label the animals were decapitated and bled out and the lungs and brains were removed and frozen at once in liquid nitrogen. [14C]DMT was isolated from the tissues by standard solvent methods and quantitated by TLC. The data in Table IV gives evidence for the in vivo biosynthesis of [14C]DMT in both lung and brain. Earlier experiments gave no evidence for the occurrence of [14C]DMT in the blood. 50 Pretreatment with 22 gave variable results, but there was a 64% inhibition (avg) in the conversion of [14C]NMT to [14C]DMT in the lung and 57% inhibition in the brain. The cpm are expressed on a per gram basis, but 5-7 g of brain tissue was analyzed. Although the brain data were statistically significant (p < 0.05), it is not known if the results are meaningful in terms of DMT biosynthesis in view of the extremely low counts obtained.

Inhibition of the Methylation of N-Methylserotonin, Tryptamine and Serotonin by 22. The assay procedure for these experiments was as described above for N-methyltryptamine as substrate. After termination of the reactions by the addition of 0.2 mL of 0.125 M sodium tetraborate (pH 10), the ¹⁴C-methylated products were extracted into 97% toluene-3% isoamyl alcohol containing 50–100 µg of carrier methylated indolamine. Following centrifugation, the radioactivity of a 1-mL aliquot was determined by liquid scintillation counting. For identification of the dimethylated products, aliquots of the organic phase were taken to dryness in vacuo at room temperature, and the residues

were examined by thin-layer chromatography on silica gel as described earlier.51

References and Notes

- (1) J. C. Gillin, J. Kaplan, R. Stillman, and R. J. Wyatt, Am. J. Psychiatry, 133, 203 (1976).
- (2) L. R. Mandel, in "Neurotransmitter Balances Regulating Behavior", E. F. Domino and J. M. Davis, Eds., Edwards Bros. Press, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1975, p 175.
- (3) E. F. Domino, in "Relevance of the Animal Psychopathological Model to the Human", Second International Symposium, Kittay Foundation, Plenum Press, New York, N.Y., 1975.
- (4) J. M. Saavedra, and J. Axelrod, Science, 172, 1365 (1972).
- (5) S. S. Kety, N. Engl. J. Med., 276, 325 (1967).
 (6) H. E. Himwich, in "Biochemistry, Schizophrenias and Affective Illnesses", H. E. Himwich, Ed., Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Md., 1971, pp 79-112.
- (7) J. Axelrod, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 138, 28 (1962).
- (8) L. R. Mandel, Biochem. Pharmacol., 25, 2251 (1976).
- (9) Von R. Stollé, M. Merkle, and F. Hanusch, J. Prakt. Chem., 140, 59 (1934).
- (10) H. Oediger, H. Kabbe, F. Moller, and K. Eiter, Chem. Ber., 99, 2012 (1966).
- (11) M. Shamma and P. D. Rosenstock, J. Org. Chem., 26, 718 (1961).
- (12) G. W. Reader and J. Rokach, Tetrahedron Lett., 17 (1976).
- (13) G. W. Cheeseman, J. Chem. Soc., 242 (1960).
- (14) R. Kwok and P. Pranc, J. Org. Chem., 32, 738 (1967).
- (15) C. R. Johnson and C. B. Thanawalla, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 6, 247 (1969).
- (16) J. Krause, Synthesis, 140 (1972).
- (17) J. Rokach, P. Hamel, Y. Girard, and G. Reader, in preparation.
- (18) J. Cologne and J. M. Pouchol, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 598 (1962).
- (19) F. J. Marshall, J. Org. Chem., 23, 503 (1958).
- (20) R. B. Moffett, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79, 3186 (1957).
- (21) P. Vieles and J. Seguin, C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., 234, 1980 (1952).
- (22) Prepared from 2,3-dihydro-4H-1,4-thiazine-3-thione (86). See Experimental Section.
- (23) Prepared from 3-(ethylthio)-5-methyl-2H-1,4-thiazine. 15
- (24) H. Lehr, S. Karlan, and M. W. Goldberg, J. Med. Chem., **6**, 136 (1963).
- (25) S. Petersen and E. Tietze, Justus Liebegs Ann. Chem., 623, 166 (1959).

- (26) P. S. Anderson, J. Cias, G. F. Lundell, and F. M. Robinson, Tetrahedron Lett., 2787 (1971).
- (27) R. M. Black and G. B. Gill, J. Chem. Soc. C, 671 (1970).
- (28) V. Q. Qranite, B. M. Pyatin, J. V. Pevsianova, E. M. Peresleni, N. P. Kostyuchenko, R. G. Glushkov, and Y. N. Sheinker, Tetrahedron, 26, 4367 (1970).
- (29) K. Zahn, Chem. Ber., 56B, 578 (1923).
- (30) T. Hino, K. Tana-ami, K. Yamada, and S. Akaboslin, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 14, 1201 (1966).
- (31) D. L. Klayman and G. W. A. Milne, J. Org. Chem., 31, 2349
- (32) K. K. Kuz'mina, N. G. Ostroumova, Yu V. Markova and M. N. Shchukina, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 32, 3215 (1962); Chem. Abstr., 58, 11341g (1963).
- A. F. MacKay, D. J. Whitingham, and M. E. Kreling, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 3339 (1958).
- (34) J. Druey, Helv. Chim. Acta, 24, 226E (1941).
- (35) J. B. Dickey, E. B. Towne, and G. F. Wright, J. Org. Chem., 20, 499 (1955).
- (36) A. Holland, R. Slack, T. F. Warren, and D. Buttimore, J. Chem. Soc., 7277 (1965).
- (37) A. Adams and R. Slack, J. Chem. Soc., 3061 (1959).
- (38) B. Adcock and A. Lawson, J. Chem. Soc., 474 (1965).
- (39) A. Etienne and Y. Correia, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 3704 (1969).
- (40) A. Schöberl and K. H. Magosch, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 742, 74 (1970).
- (41) A. E. Chichibabin, R. A. Konolova, and A. A. Konolova, Chem. Ber., 54B, 814 (1921).
- (42) F. Kröhnke and B. Kickhöfen, Chem. Ber., 88, 1103 (1955).
- (43) O. Bremer, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 521, 286 (1936).
- (44) D. J. Brown and M. N. Paddon Row, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1928
- (45) A. E. Chichibabin and E. D. Ossetrova, Chem. Ber., 58B, 1708 (1925).
- (46) M. G. Ahmed, R. W. Alder, G. H. James, M. L. Sinnot, and M. C. Whiting, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1533 (1968).
- (47) R. W. Alder, Chem. Ind. (London) 983 (1973).
- (48) M. P. L. Caton, D. H. Jones, R. Slack and K. R. H. Wooldridge, J. Chem. Soc., 446 (1964).
- (49) J. K. Horner and W. A. Skinner, Can. J. Chem., 44, 315
- (50) R. W. Walker, H. S. Ahn, G. Albers-Schönberg, L. R. Mandel, and W. J. A. Vanden Heuvel, Biochem. Med., 8, 105 (1973).
- (51) L. R. Mandel, S. Rosenzweig, and F. A. Kuehl, Jr., Biochem. Pharmacol., 20, 712 (1971).

Synthesis and Activities of Antitumor Agents

Tetsuo Suami,* Tomoya Machinami, and Takashi Hisamatsu¹

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Keio University, Hiyoshi, Yokohama 223, Japan. Received August 2, 1978

N-(2-Chloroethyl)-N-nitrosocarbamoyl derivatives of glycosylamines have been prepared. Six N-(2-chloroethyl)-N-nitrosoureas, including three disaccharide derivatives, were submitted to a determination of antitumor activity. All the compounds tested exhibited strong antitumor activity against leukemia L1210 in mice.

Streptozotocin (NSC 85998) is a unique antitumor antibiotic produced by a fermentation of Streptomyces achromogenes var 128,2-4 and its structure has been established as 2-(3-methyl-3-nitrosoureido)-2-deoxy-Dglucopyranose.5,6 By synthetic studies of its methyl glycoside⁷ and analogues, 8-11 it was found that the Nmethyl-N-nitrosoureido group was an essential functional group for antitumor activity.

Prior to this finding, the research group at the Southern Research Institute had demonstrated that N-methyl-N-nitrosoureas were effective against leukemia L1210.12 Soon after, it was discovered that replacements of the methyl group on the nitrosated nitrogen atom of these agents by a 2-chloroethyl group achieved an improved antitumor activity against leukemia L1210, 13,14 and three N-(2-chloroethyl)-N-nitrosoureas [N,N'-bis(2-chloroethyl)-(BCNU: NSC 409962), ¹³ N-(2-chloroethyl)-N'-cyclohexyl-NSC 79037), 13 and N-(2-chloroethyl)-N'-(CCNU: (trans-4-methylcyclohexyl)-N-nitrosourea (MeCCNU: NSC 95441)]¹⁴ have been prepared and used for clinical trials.

When N'-substituted N-(2-chloroethyl)-N-nitrosoureas decompose in a buffer solution, a (2-chloroethyl)diazo hydroxide is probably formed, which alkylates biological materials. 15,16,30 On the other hand, isocyanates that are generated on the decomposition of the nitrosoureas also