- (2) J. A. Montgomery and K. Hewson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 79, 4559 (1957).
- (3) J. A. Montgomery and K. Hewson, J. Med. Chem., 12, 498 (1969).
- (4) D. A. Clarke, J. Davoll, F. S. Philips, and G. B. Brown, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 106, 291 (1952).
- (5) E. Minich, D. A. Clarke, and F. S. Philips, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 111, 335 (1954).
- (6) R. H. Thorp and L. B. Cobbin, Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn., 118, 95 (1959).
- (7) G. V. R. Born, Nature (London), 202, 95 (1964).
- (8) G. V. R. Born, R. J. Haslam, M. Goldman, and R. D. Lowe, ibid., 205, 678 (1965).
- (9) G. Gough, M. H. Maguire, and F. Michal, J. Med. Chem., 12, 494 (1969).
- (10) G. A. LePage, I. G. Junga, and B. Bowman, Cancer Res., 24, 835 (1964).

- (11) A. Perry and G. A. LePage, ibid., 29, 617 (1969).
- (12) M. J. Robins and R. K. Robins, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87, 4934 (1965).
- (13) R. H. Iwamoto, E. M. Acton, and L. Goodman, J. Med. Chem., 6, 684 (1963).
- (14) C. A. Dekker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87, 4027 (1965).
- (15) (a) H. Venner, Chem. Ber., 93, 140 (1960); (b) M. I. Kehara and H. Tada, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87, 606 (1965).
- (16) M. Hoffer, Chem. Ber., 93, 2777 (1960).
- (17) M. J. Robins, T. A. Khwaja, and R. K. Robins, J. Org. Chem., 35, 636 (1970).
- (18) A. Peery and G. A. Lepage, Cancer Res., 29, 617 (1969).
- (19) C. S. Hudson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 31, 66 (1909); Advan. Carbohyd. Chem., 3, 1 (1948).
- (20) M. Bobek, R. L. Whistler, and A. Bloch, J. Med. Chem., 13, 411 (1970).

Antitumor and Mutagenic Properties of a Variety of Heterocyclic Nitrogen and Sulfur Mustards†

Hugh J. Creech,* Robert K. Preston, Richard M. Peck, Anna P. O'Connell,

The Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19111

and Bruce N. Ames

Department of Biochemistry, the University of California, Berkeley, California 94720. Received January 5, 1972

Nitrogen and sulfur mustard derivatives of a variety of quinolines, acridines, azaacridines, benzacridines, and azabenzacridines have been synthesized for studies of the roles played by their polycyclic and alkylating components in the development of antitumor and mutagenic properties. For a display of exceptionally high activity in both the bis- and monoalkylating series of compounds, it appears that the polynuclear component must be an aromatic fused 3- or 4-ring system connected to the mustard moiety by an aminoalkyl linkage. Such nitrogen half-mustards with an ethyl substituent on the amino nitrogen containing the 2-chloroethyl group (the ICR 170 type) display a pronounced degree of activity against ascites tumors and are highly mutagenic for Drosophila and Neurospora, but not for Salmonella. Homologs in which the ethyl group is replaced by hydrogen to give a secondary amine (the ICR 191 type) are extremely potent frameshift mutagens for Salmonella and Escherichia coli, but not for Drosophila and Neurospora, and are relatively ineffective as antitumor agents. The sulfur mustard derivatives are active against ascites tumors, but rarely display mutagenic activity. It is considered that activity is imparted to the half-mustards because of the ability of an appropriate polynuclear component to intercalate into the nucleic acids of the ascites tumors or of the bacteria accompanied by the characteristic base alkylating reaction of the 2-chloroethyl group. The ability to exert a bifunctional action is apparently a necessary requirement for both antitumor and frameshift mutagenic activity.

It has been generally assumed that the manifestation of antitumor activity by alkylating agents requires the presence of two alkylating groups, as in nitrogen mustard [methylbis(2-chloroethyl)amine], to permit cross-linking of two nucleophilic centers of biological macromolecules, e.g., deoxyribonucleic acids. In our initial studies involving replacement of the methyl group of nitrogen mustard, it was discovered that compounds containing certain heterocycles joined through an aminoalkyl chain to the bisnitrogen mustard moiety were considerably more active on a molar basis than nitrogen mustard itself in tests with ascites tumor.^{2,3} This demonstration that certain heterocyclic components have a potentiating influence on the nitrogen mustard moiety led us to synthesize a series of compounds containing a single 2-chloroethyl group (the nitrogen half-mustards).4-6 Several of these compounds were found to be almost as active as their corresponding bisnitrogen mustards, thus in-

dicating that certain heterocyclic nuclei are essentially equivalent to a 2-chloroethyl group in providing antitumor activity. Hence, some of the heterocyclic nitrogen halfmustards possess a mixed, or hybrid, bifunctionality. In many instances, treatment of mice bearing ascites tumors with these compounds caused at least a fivefold increase in survival time over that of the control mice. Since, in several long-term experiments, the tumors did not recur, it is clear that all of the ten million ascites tumor cells present in the mouse were destroyed by certain compounds. It was found that a definite degree of complexity is apparently required in the heterocyclic structure, namely, at least a 3-ring linear or angular structure, such as acridine or phenanthridine. In addition, the length of the aminoalkylamino side chain and the type of amine to which the 2-chloroethyl group is attached are important. It appears probable that the active heterocyclic nitrogen half-mustards exert their antitumor influence because of the intercalation of the heterocyclic component into the nucleic acids of the ascites tumor cells accompanied by the usual chemical reaction of the single 2-chloroethyl group. This view is supported by the finding

[†]Supported by Research Grants CA-02975, CA-06927, and FR-05539 from the National Institutes of Health, U.S. Public Health Service, by an appropriation from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and by AEC Grant AT(04-3)-34.

by Lerman⁷ of the exceptional affinity of acridines for deoxyribonucleic acid. In subsequent work, ⁸⁻¹⁰ sulfur mustard derivatives of various heterocyclic compounds as well as nitrogen and sulfur mustards derived from polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons were prepared and examined.

During the course of these studies, investigations of the mutagenic properties of these compounds were undertaken in other laboratories. Oster and coworkers^{11,12} first described the mutagenic activity in *Drosophila* of ICR 170, 2-methoxy-6-chloro-9-[3-(ethyl-2-chloroethyl)aminopropylamino] acridine dihydrochloride. Brockman and Goben¹³ found that ICR 170 was also a mutagen for *Neurospora*.

Ames and Whitfield¹⁴ demonstrated that some of the ICR compounds were potent frameshift mutagens for Salmonella and presented evidence that they specifically caused frameshift mutations due to additions or deletions involving one or two bases of the DNA of this organism. In their initial studies to determine the optimum structural specificities responsible for these mutations, it was found that the secondary amine (ICR 191), 2-methoxy-6-chloro-9-[3-(2-chloroethyl)aminopropylamino] acridine dihydrochloride, was many times more effective against Salmonella than the tertiary amine (ICR 170).

Malling¹⁵ and Malling and deSerres¹⁶ found that both the acridine ring system and the alkylating nitrogen half-mustard moiety must be present in the same molecule (ICR 170) for good mutagenesis in *Neurospora*.

In further work with ICR 191 on Salmonella and E. coli by Martin, ¹⁷ Brammar, et al., ¹⁸ and Yourno, ¹⁹ it was clearly demonstrated that this mutagen causes additions and deletions of nucleotides. When used at low concentrations, ICR 191 appears specifically to cause deletions and additions, and not base pair substitution, in Salmonella (Oeschger and Hartman²⁰ and Hartman, et al. ²¹).

Chromosome breakage in *Vicia falba* due to ICR 170 has been reported²² and Caspersson, *et al.*,²³ have employed the acridine bis- and mononitrogen mustards for the fluorescent labeling of chromosomes. Kao and Puck²⁴ have utilized ICR 191 to produce forward mutations in Chinese hamster cells for quantitative studies of the relationships among cell killing, chromosomal aberration, single gene mutations, and carcinogenesis. Attempts to produce pulmonary tumors²⁵ in strain A mice by intraperitoneal injection of several bis- and mononitrogen mustard derivatives of acridine were not successful. It is probable that these highly reactive compounds do not reach the lungs by this method of administration. In our laboratories, repeated intramuscular injection of an acridine bisnitrogen mustard into rabbits led to the development of fibrosarcomas at the site of injection.²⁶

Some 350 laboratories are currently utilizing various ICR compounds for studies of mutagenesis in *Drosophila*, *Neurospora*, *Salmonella*, *E. coli*, viruses, bacteriophages, yeasts, molds, and plants, and for investigations of the reactions of these compounds with chromosomes, nucleic acids, enzymes, etc. Although some simple acridines are mutagens for bacteriophage, they have been found to exert little or no mutagenic activity in bacteria;²⁷ thus, the specific frameshift mutagenic activity shown by the ICR compounds in bacteria has made them especially useful in studies of biochemical genetics.

With a view to defining in greater detail the types of heterocyclic and homocyclic structures required for the production of physiologically active nitrogen and sulfur half-mustards, the series of quinoline, acridine, azaacridine, benzacridine, and azabenzacridine derivatives described in this paper has been synthesized and studied. Information

on the interesting correlations of structure and biological activity was obtained with the use of the sensitive quantitative ascites tumor system and with *Salmonella* for the mutagenic observations.

Experimental Section‡

Synthesis. The 2-chloroethyl derivatives listed in Table I were prepared by the reaction of SOCl₂ on their 2-hydroxyethyl precursors (Table II), which had generally been obtained by the direct condensation of the appropriate chloroheterocyclic nucleus with the preformed side chain as described previously. ^{3-6,8-10} Occasionally, modifications in the general procedure were necessary to obtain pure products as illustrated in the following examples.

10-[2-(2-Chloroethylamino)ethylamino]-2-methoxy-7-chlorobenzo[b][1,5] naphthyridine Dihydrochloride (ICR 364). Attempts to prepare this compound by stirring a slurry of the hydroxy precursor in SOCl₂ at 35° were unsuccessful. A modified procedure involved the addition of 100 ml of SOCl₂ to 1.25 g of the 10-[2-(2-hydroxyethylamino)ethylamino]-2-methoxy-7-chlorobenzo[b]-[1,5]naphthyridine which had been suspended in 150 ml of freshly distilled dioxane and 250 ml of CHCl₃. The turbid solution was maintained at reflux for 2 hr and then kept overnight at room temperature. After concentration in vacuo and the addition of 100 ml of SOCl₂ followed by further concentration, the residue was crystallized from 400 ml of EtOH containing 80 ml of 2 N HCl to give 1.0 g of product, mp 252-253°.

10-[2-(Ethyl-2-chloroethylamino)ethylamino]-2-methoxy-7-chlorobenzo [b] [1,5] naphthyridine Dihydrochloride (ICR 339). To a solution of 4.2 g (0.015 mole) of 10-chloro-2-methoxy-7-chlorobenzo [b] [1,5] naphthyridine § in 150 ml of methyl cellosolve were added 4.7 g (0.035 mole) of 2-hydroxyethylethylaminoethylamine and a trace of NaI. After being refluxed for 30 hr, the mixture was poured into 500 ml of cold 3 N AcOH. The resultant solution was made alkaline with 20% NaOH and extracted with CHCl₂. The yellow solid obtained by concentration of the extract in vacuo was dissolved in alcoholic HCl and Et₂O. Upon standing, the solution gave 2.8 g of crystalline product, mp 245-247°. A 2.4-g sample was added to 125 ml of SOCl₂ and kept at room temperature for 8 hr. The residue from concentration in vacuo was crystallized from EtOH-Et₂O to give 1.9 g of pure product, mp 224-226°.

Antitumor Studies. A hypotetrapioid clone of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells (EF) transplanted into albino mice (ICR Swiss) has been utilized in this laboratory since 1953 for the quantitative evaluation of the antitumor activities of bifunctional and monofunctional alkylating agents. This system is uniquely suitable for the nitrogen and sulfur mustards because of the extensive prolongation of the survival time of mice bearing ascites tumors that is brought about by these compounds. ^{28,29} Although the administration of a variety of other cancer chemotherapeutic agents may produce substantial reductions in the ascites cell count in the mouse, only a few have any significant effect on survival time. ²⁸

The procedures for the determination and interpretation of the results of our antitumor studies which have been described in detail^{2,5,28} involve comparison of the survival times of the treated and control ascites tumor-bearing mice. Mice weighing 24-27 g were inoculated intraperitoneally with 7×10^6 cells of the EF ascites tumor freshly taken from donor mice. On each of the following 3 days, the test compound, dissolved or suspended in physiologic saline, was injected intraperitoneally into the mice. The control ascites tumor-bearing mice, which were injected with saline on days 2-4, have consistently shown a mean survival time of 16 ± 1 days, over more than a 10-year period. Survival data were recorded daily and the degree of antitumor activity of the compounds was calculated statistically from these graphs. The tests on each compound usually involved 100-200 mice. Routine experiments were terminated at the end of a period that was three times the mean survival time of the control mice in order to conserve space in our animal quarters. Treated mice surviving for this length of time rarely had any recurrence of their ascites tumors if kept for an additional 10-20 weeks.

A value of 3.0 for the degree of antitumor activity is at-

[‡]Melting points were taken in open capillary tubes in Hershberg apparatus using total immersion thermometers and are reported as uncorrected values.

[§]This compound is available from Aldrich Chemical Company as 2-methoxy-7,10-dichloropyrido [3,2-b] quinoline, as is also the corresponding 2-butoxy derivative.

tained when every mouse in an experimental group given a certain dosage of compound lives to the time of sacrifice at about 48 days. Values of 1.0-1.4 indicate that the compound has no significant influence on the survival time of the tumor-bearing mice. A substantial increase in survival time is considered to have occurred when the degree of activity reaches 1.8, which represents an 80% increase over the control survival time. In the tabular expressions of results for the compounds, values between 1.8 and 2.1 indicate moderate antitumor activity; those between 2.2 and 2.6 indicate pronounced activity. The expressed degree of activity for any compound can never reach 3.0 because this calculated average includes two minimum values of 1.8 (one at the lowest effective dosage and one at the maximum effective dosage at which there is concomitant toxicity) along with the higher values that may reach 3.0 at intermediate dosages. The other criteria of effectiveness are the minimum effective dosage and the dosage range, which are expressed in terms of the number of µmoles of compound injected per kg of body weight of the mouse on each of the 3 days. In the case of active compounds, the dosage range covers the lowest level producing an 80% increase of survival time, through the more effective levels, and finally again to a degree value of 1.8. In the case of inactive compounds, the dosage range listed is that employed up to the toxic level for the compound. The ratio of the high to the low dosages in the active range is an expression of therapeutic usefulness.

Mutagenic Studies. The compounds were screened for mutagenicity by examination of the reversion to growth on minimal medium of his C207, a histidine-requiring frameshift mutant of Salmonella typhimurium LT-2.14 This mutant is one of several mutant tester strains of this organism which show different responses to various mutagens depending on whether they add or delete bases and whether the frameshift occurs in a sequence of adenine-thymine base pairs or in a sequence of guanine-cytosine base pairs. 30-34 It is suspected that his C207 has suffered a deletion of a base pair in a guanine-cytosine sequence in the aminotransferase gene of the histidine operon. 20,21,30,34,35 This mutant is reverted by several ICR compounds that appear able to add a base to the DNA in the region of the missing base pair, but is not reverted by alkylating agents in general or by mutagens that cause base pair substitutions. Several other mutant strains of Salmonella are also reverted by ICR 191. It is considered that almost all of the ICR-induced mutations are true frameshift mutations caused by the addition or deletion of one or two base pairs from the DNA of the organisms. Since a multiple of three bases is not involved in this process, the translation of the genetic message is shifted out of frame.

A uniform lawn of the histidine-requiring frameshift mutant of Salmonella typhimurium LT-2 was obtained by pouring 0.1 ml of the nutrient broth culture of his C207 and 2 ml of molten 0.6% agar containing 0.5% NaCl at 45° into a Petri plate containing minimal agar medium. A trace (0.1 μ mole) of histidine was added to the top agar so that the bacteria could grow slightly to form a background lawn and thus enable one to see any inhibition of growth caused by subsequent addition of the compound under test. The suspected mutagen was added to the plate after the top agar had hardened. For qualitative results, a few crystals (1 mg or less) of compound were added; for quantitative results, 5 μ l of a 1 mg/ml aqueous solution of compound was added. A control to determine the spontaneous mutation rate of the organism was run on a separate plate. Plates were incubated in the dark for 2 days at 37°, If a compound causes an occasional bacterium of the hisC207 mutant to revert, the reverted bacterium will grow and form a colony. As the mutagen diffuses from the point of application and forms a concentration gradient, a clear central area of inhibition of bacterial growth surrounded by a circle of mutant colonies often appears.

The mutagenic activity listed in Table I refers to the number of revertant colonies produced by the addition of 5 μ g of compound in aqueous solution. Several experiments were averaged and the spontaneous blank was subtracted in each case. The use of a + sign indicates that a response was obtained only by the use of crystals of the compound. No response is shown by the use of zero; a blank means that no test was made.

Results

The half-mustard derivatives of 6-methoxyquinoline (nucleus A, Table I) had no significant action against ascites tumors but did exhibit wide differences in toxicity.

The upper level of the dosage range in the table represents LD₂₀₋₃₀; the LD₁₀₀ values were 500 μ moles/kg for 1, 300 for 2, 5 for 3, and 50 for 4. The bisnitrogen mustard 5 was effective against the ascites tumors at dosage levels of 0.5-2.5 μ moles/kg; the LD₁₀₀ was 4.0 μ moles/kg. Generally similar results were observed with the 7-trifluoromethyl-quinoline mustards 6-9. It is evident, therefore, that the combination of these quinoline components with either a monofunctional nitrogen mustard or a sulfur mustard moiety does not produce active antitumor compounds; these results substantiate the findings with other quinoline derivatives. A trace of mutagenic activity in Salmonella was noted with 2.

Enlargement of the nuclear component to a fused 3-ring aromatic type (nucleus C) led to the development of antitumor and mutagenic properties, which also were dependent on the nature of the mustard moiety of the molecule. The secondary amine 10 displayed no antitumor activity, but had pronounced mutagenic properties, whereas the tertiary amine 11 was highly effective against ascites tumors, but only slightly mutagenic. This relationship is a general finding. Although the sulfur mustards 12, 13, and the bisnitrogen mustard 14 were effective against ascites tumors, they had no mutagenic capability in the Salmonella system. This is a second generalization. Lethal mutations may be produced in Salmonella by the bisnitrogen mustards; the inactivity of the sulfur mustards may be partly

Chart I. Heterocyclic Nuclei Listed in Table I

Table I. Structure and Antitumor and Mutagenic Activity

	ICR						Antitumor activity	vity	Mutagenic	
No.	. 43	Nucleus	Side chain	Formula	Mp, °C	Yield, ^a %	Range, µmoles/kg	Degree	activity ^c	Analysis a
_	190	A	NHCH,CH,NHCH,CH,CI	C, H, CIN O 2HCI	266-268	a-4	100-400	1.1	0	а
7	180	¥	NH(CH,),NHCH,CH,Ci	C, H, CIN O 2HCI	238-240	a 4	10-250	1.1	10	es
3	174	¥	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CI	C ₁ ,H ₂₄ CIN ₃ O·2HCI·H ₂ O	112-114	a 4	0.5-4.0	1.2	0	g.
4	353	¥	NHCH,CH,SCH,CH,CI	C, H, CIN, OS · HCI	167-168	80	20 -4 0	1.4		C, H, CI, N
S	58	Ą	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ CI) ₂	$C_{17}H_{23}Cl_2N_3O\cdot 2HCl\cdot H_2O$	140-142.5	a-3	0.5-2.5	2.3		æ
9	348	В	NHCH2CH2NHCH2CH2CI	C14H15F3CIN3.2HCI.0.5H2O	242-244	26	100-200	1.2	0	H, Cl,
7	380	æ	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	C ₁₅ H ₁₇ F ₃ ClN ₃ ·2HCl	233-235	81	50-250	1.0	0	H, CL,
∞	336	æ	NHCH, CH, SCH, CH, CI	C14H14F3CIN2S·HCI	163-165	85	75-250	1.4		C, H, Cl, N, S
6	328	ø	NH(CH,),SCH,CH,CI	C, H, F, CIN,S·HCI	150-151	70	80-200	1.0		C, H, CI, N
10	449	၁	NH(CH,),NHCH,CH,CI	C ₁₈ H ₂₀ CiN ₃ ·2HCl·H ₂ O	266-268	95	200-600	1.0	150-300	C, H, CI, N
11	217	ပ	NH(CH,),N(C,H,)CH,CH,CI	C,H,CIN, 2HCI	238-240	a-5	1.5-4.0	2.6	20	
17	316	ပ	NHCH, ČH, SCH, CH, ČI	C, H, CIN, S. HCI	192.5-193.5	73	3.5-12	2.4	0	C, H, Cl, S
13	317	ပ	NH(CH,),SCH,ĆH,ĆI	C, H, CIN, S. HCI	184.5-186	64	3.0-9.0	2.0	0	C, H, CI, S
14	220	ပ	NH(CH,),N(CH,CH,CI),	C,H,CI,N,·2HCI	225-228	a-5	0.2-1.0	2.6	0	
15	445	Ω	NH(CH,),NHCH,CH,CI	C, H, CIN, 2HCI·H,O	196-198	38	40-120	1.2	35	C, H, Cl, N
91	360	Ω	NH(CH,),N(C,H,)CH,CH,CI	C,"H,"CIN, 2HCI-0.5H,O	188-190	88	5-15	1.1	0	Ħ,
17	338	Ω	NHCH, ČH, SCH, ČH, ČI	C,H,CIN,S.HCI	181-182	48	10-50	1.3	0	C, H, CI, N, S
18	324	Q	NH(CH,),SCH,CH,Ci	C, H, CIN, S. HCI	141-142	92	10-30	1.0		C, H, CI, N, S
19	249	D	N(CH,)CH,CH,N(CH,)CH,CH,CI	C, H, CIN, 2HCI	232-233.5	a-5	10-30	1.0	0	
70	287	D	NHCH,CH,N(CH,CH,CI),	C',H,,GI,N,·2HC!	235-237	96	10-40	2.5		C, H, Cl, N
21	262	Ω	NH(CH,),N(CH,ĆH,ĆI),	C,H,,CI,N,,2HCI-0.5H,O	207-209	a-5	1.2-6.0	2.6		, es
77	411	H	NHCH,ČH,NHCH,ČH,ČI	C,H,CIN,O.2HCI	220-222	93	75-125	2.0	30	C, H, Cl, N
23	376	ы	NH(CH,), NHCH, CH, CI	C,H22CIN,O.2HCI	258-260	74	20-60	2.1	09	C, H, CI, N
24	459	ы	NHCH,CH,N(C,H,)CH,CH,CI	C20H24CIN3O.2HCI	246-248	98	2.5-6.0	2.0		C, H, Cl, N
25	224	Ħ	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	C ₂₁ H ₂₆ ClN ₃ O·2HCl	229.5-230	a-5	0.6-2.5	2.4	25	
5 6	378	ET :	NH(CH ₂) ₃ SCH ₂ CH ₂ Ci	C ₁₉ H ₂₁ CIN ₂ OS·HCI	130-133	59	4-10	2.5		C, H, Cl, N, S
27	442	퍼 :	NHCH, CH, NHCH, CH(CH,)CI	C1,9H22CIN3O.2HCI.0.5H2O	202-204	80	100-150	2.1	10	C, H, Cl, N
8 6	230	EL (NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ Cl) ₂	C21H25Cl2N3O-2HCl-0.5H2O	219.5–221	a-5	0.15-1.0	2.6		i
25	410	ı, [NHCH, CH, NHCH, CH, CI	C ₁₈ H ₂₀ CIN ₃ O·2HCI	233-235	87	75-100	1.9	<u>@</u> ;	н, С
₹ ;	391	ı, f	NH(CH ₂), NHCH, CH, CL	Cion CIN O'ZHCI'O'ZHZO	219-221 dec	8 t	15-40	7.7	45 0	ರ್ ಕ
3,5	401	i pi	NHCH, CH, N(C, H, C) CH, CI	C20H24CIN 3O 2HCI 0.5H2O	277-177	4 4	0.6-3.0	7.7	- 2	z z j i j i
33	777	ią (il	NHCH CH CCH CH C	C ₂₁ H ₂ C ₂₁ N ₃ O'2HC1'0.3H ₂ O C H CIN OC HC1.0 SH O	188-190	č 5	0.0-5.0 3-20	1.0	10	֓֞֞֜֞֜֞֜֜֞֜֞֜֝֓֓֓֞֜֜֜֝֓֓֓֞֜֜֝֓֓֓֞֜֜֝֓֓֓֞֜֓֡֓֡֜֝֡֓֡֓֜֝֡֓֡֓֡֜֝
3 4	375	i [ĭ	NH(CH) N(CH CH CH)	C. H. CI N O. 2HCI-H.O.	194-196	75	0.1-0 8 0-1-0	 4.0		ב'ב ב'ב
35	171	, ₍	NHCH, CH, NHCH, CH, CI	C.H. CLN 0.2HCl 1.5H.O	249-251 dec	4	50.400	1.2	20	5
36	191	9	NH(CH,),MHCH,ĆH,Ći	C, H, C, N, O 2HCI	274-276 dec	4 e	45-90	2.0	300-500	िल
37	191-OH	ڻ ت	NH(CH ₂)3NHCH2CH2OH	C,H,ZCIN,O, 2HCI	252-254	a-4		1.0	0	g
38	170	ტ	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ CI	C1H2C1NO CHCI·H2O	236-238	a-4	1.5-4.0	2.5	10	а
8	443	ڻ ن	NHCH, CH, NHCH, CH(CH,)CI	C1,9H21,C12N30.2HC1.H20	209-210	75	100-300	1.6	10	C, H, CI, N
9 :	283	، ق	NHCH, CH, CH, CH, CI	C1,H1,8Cl2,N2O2.HCl	208-209	95	50-150	1.0	0	ರ್
4.	290	ى د	NH(CH ₂), NHCOCH ₂ N(C ₂ H ₂)CH ₂ CI	C23H28C12N4O2-2HCI-0.5H2O	221–223	31	4.0-10	2.3	o ;	ರ್ಟ
47	397	ב כ	NH(CH ₂) ₂ O(CH ₂) ₂ N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ CI	$C_{22}H_{22}C_{12}N_3O_2\cdot ZHC_1$	272-776.5		0.8-1.6	۲. ر د	0 2	ರೆ ಕ
5 4 4	364 364 OH		NHCH, CH, NHCH, CH, CI	Claritation O'SHCI	252-253	08	300-000	1.7	5 5	ว์ 5 มั่น
¥	377	= =	NHCH VIEW CHICA		250-525	30 63	000, 03). C	000 1300	Z T C T Z
4	312-0H	:	NHICH) NHCH CH OH	C H CIN O JHC: 0 5H O	250-252	2 6	007-00	t: 7 - 1	40	ל כ
47	330	: =	NHCH CH NC H NCH CH C	C H C N O THE H	207-007	67	7.30		2 <	זֿ כֿ
8	340	: =	NH(CH.), N(C. H.) CH. CH. CI	C.H. CLN O.2HCI·H.O	245-247	6 S	2.0-7.5	2.3	100-150	ביל ביל ביל ביל ביל ביל ביל ביל ביל ביל
5 5	340-OH	H	NH(CH,),N(C,H,CH,CH,OH	C,"H, CIN, 0.2HCI	250-252	74	5	1.0	10	್ ರ
20	346	Ξ	NHCH,CH,SCH,CH,CI	C,H,Cl,N,OS·HCI	238-239	85	1060	2.2		`ਹੰ

S, N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	X X Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	o N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	C, H, C, N, C, C, H, C, N, C, H, C, N, C, H, C, N, C, H, C, N, C, H, C,	XXXXXXXXXXXX
0 + 9	0 0	00	50-80 200-375 + 0 65	200 0 0 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
2.4 1.4 2.3 2.3 2.3	1.7 2.2 1.0 2.7 2.6	2.7 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2	1.2 1.6 1.0 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.6 2.1	2.2 2.4 2.1 1.1 2.2 2.2 2.4 2.1 2.1
2.5-25 100-300 0.8-2.0 3.0-30 0.4-2.0	100-400 50-100 2-12 8.0-30 80-300	16-80 100-400 2.5-6.0 1-20 1.4-20 80-150 2-20	80-400 25-150 2.0-8.0 1.5-6.0 1.0-2.0 75-120	0.3-1.2 15-40 0.4-2.0 0.2-2.2 45-150 30-150 6.0-15 20-60 0.5-5.0 0.1-1.5
86 85 91 73 92	61 97 83 83	88 7	68 95 77 77 84 83 83	855 927 71 10 10
217-219 238-240 236 dec 215.5-217 206-208	248-250 245-247 233-235 212-214 248-248.5	225-226 245-247 195-196.5 208-210 214-216 294-296 216-218	152-154 255-257 155-157 233-235 181-186 205-209 195-197	183-185 210-212 241-242 220-221 267-269 262-264 180 dec 258-260 193-195 145-147 118-120
C ₁₈ H ₂₀ C ₁ N ₃ OS·HCl C ₁₈ H ₂₀ C ₁ N ₄ O·2HCl C ₁₈ H ₂₀ C ₁ N ₄ O·2HCl C ₁₈ H ₂ C ₁ N ₄ O·2HCl C ₂₈ H ₂ C ₁ N ₄ O·2HCl C ₂₈ H ₂ C ₁ N ₄ O·2HCl	C2,H2,C1,N40-2HC1 C2,H2,C1,N40-2HC1 C2,H3,C1,N40-2HC1 C2,H3,C1,N40-2HC1 C2,H3,C1,N40-2HC1 C2,H3,C1,N40-2HC1+10	C.1,H.,Cl.N,OSHCI C.1,H.,Cl.N,O.2HCI C.2,H.3,Cl.N,O.2HCI.H,O C.2,H.3,Cl.N,O.2HCI.H,O C.2,H.3,Cl.N,O.2HCI C.2,H.3,Cl.N,2HCI C.2,H.3,Cl.N,2HCI C.2,H.3,Cl.N,2HCI C.2,H.3,Cl.N,2HCI.O.55H,O	C ₁ H ₂ CN ₃ ·2HCi·H ₂ O C ₂ H ₂ CN ₃ ·2HCi·H ₂ O C ₂ H ₃ N ₃ O·2HCi·O.5H ₂ O C ₂ H ₃ CN ₃ ·2HCi·O.5H ₂ O C ₂ H ₃ CN ₃ ·2HCi·H ₂ O C ₂ H ₃ CN ₃ ·2HCi·H ₂ O C ₃ H ₃ CN ₃ ·2HCi·H ₂ O C ₂ H ₃ CN ₃ ·2HCi·H ₂ O C ₂ H ₃ CN ₃ ·2HCi·H ₂ O C ₂ H ₃ CN ₃ ·2HCi·H ₂ O	C,4H,2Cl,N,:2HCl·H,O C,1H,ClN,-2HCl·H,O C,1H,ClN,-2HCl C,4H,2ClN,-3HCl C,4H,2ClN,-3HCl·H,O C,1H,2ClN,-3HCl·H,O C,1H,2ClN,-3HCl·H,O C,1H,2ClN,-3HCl·H,O C,1H,2ClN,-3HCl·H,O C,1H,2ClN,-3HCl·H,O C,1H,2ClN,-3HCl·H,O C,1H,2ClN,0-2HCl·2.5H,O C,4H,2ClN,0-3HCl·2H,O C,4H,2ClN,0-3HCl·2H,O C,4H,2ClN,0-3HCl·2H,O C,7H,3Cl,N,0-2HCl·2H,O
NH(CH ₂) ₂ CH ₁ CH ₂ CI NHCH ₂ CH ₂ NHCH ₃ CH(CH ₃)CI NH(CH ₂) ₂ N(CH ₂) ₂ N(C ₂ H ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CI NHCH ₂ CH ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ CI) ₃ NH(CH ₂ CH ₃ CI) ₃ NHCH(CH ₃ CH ₂ CI) ₃	1,01 (01 H,CH,01 1,CH,01 01	1,3CH,CH,CH,CI 2,CH,CI), 1,CH,CI),	51 H CH,Cl H,Cl J), H,Cl	NH(CH ₂), NICCH, CH, CD, NH(CH ₂), NHCH, CH, CI NH(CH ₂), NIC, H ₂), CH, CH NH(CH ₂), NIC, H ₂), CH, CI NH(CH ₂), NHCH, CH, CI NH(CH ₂), NHCH, CH, CI NH(CH ₂), NIC, H ₂), CH, CI NH(CH ₂), NIC, H ₂), CH, CI NHCH ₂), NIC, H ₂ , CH, CI NHCH ₂), NICH, CH, CI, CI
E EEEE			XXXXXX11.	OOOOXXXXXXX
342 438 415 400 349 359	447 371 381 355 363	369 446 406 351 472 471	312 370 370-OH 311 292 299 426	417 395 395 394 428 435 441 441 423 423
52 53 55 56	57 58 59 60 61	65 45 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	01 12 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	78 79 80 83 84 88 88 88 88

^aUnder yield and analysis, "a" indicates that the preparation and analyses of the compound have been described previously; the number is the reference to the literature. Where analyses are indicated only by symbols of the elements, the analytical results were within ±0.4% of the theoretical values. The yield is based on the hydroxy precursor. ^bThe numbers under degree of antitumor activity have the following meaning: 1.0-1.4, inactive; 1.5-1.7, slight activity; 1.8-2.1, moderate activity; 2.2-2.6, high activity. (See text for additional information.) ^cThe numbers under mutagenic activity refer to the number of revertant colonies produced by addition of the compounds under standardized conditions to cultures of Sahmonella his C207. Since observations on many of these mutagens are currently appearing in the biological literature under the ICR code number only, without any accompanying chemical name or structural formula, the ICR code numbers for these compounds have been listed in this table.

the result of their limited solubility in the culture medium.

The requirement of aromaticity in the polycyclic component for antitumor activity in the half-mustards became evident when the series of tetrahydroacridine mustards (nucleus D) was examined. Compounds 16, 17, 18, and 19 containing the customarily active monofunctional mustard moieties were ineffective against the ascites tumors (cf. 11,

12, and 13). This suggests that the nonplanar tetrahydroacridine nucleus, unlike the acridine nucleus, does not undergo the extent of intercalation into the deoxyribonucleic acid of the ascites tumor cells necessary for a demonstration of antitumor activity. This finding is reminiscent of the results quoted by Albert³⁴ indicating that a minimum area of flatness in the order of 38 Å is required for an

Table II. Precursors to Compounds in Table I

Nucleus	Side chain	Formula	Mp,°C	Yield, %	Analysis
В	NHCH2CH2NHCH2CH2OH	C ₁₄ H ₁₆ F ₃ N ₃ O·2HCl	216-217	80	C, H, Cl, N
В	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{15}H_{18}F_{3}N_{3}O \cdot 2HC1$	107-109	61	C, H, C1, N
В	NHCH2CH2SCH2CH2OH	$C_{14}H_{15}F_{3}N_{2}OS \cdot HC1$	143-145	55	C, H, Cl, N
В	NH(CH ₂) ₃ SCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{15}H_{17}F_{3}N_{2}OS \cdot HCI$	170-171	48	C, H, Cl, N
C	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{18}H_{21}N_3O \cdot 2HCl \cdot H_2O$	252-253	9	C, H, Cl, N
C	NHCH,CH,SCH,CH,OH	$C_{12}H_{18}N_2OS \cdot HC1$	217-219	29	C, H, C1, S
C	NH(CH ₂) ₃ SCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{18}H_{20}N_2OS$	114.5-118	49	C, H, S
D	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	C.,H,,N,O·2HCl	179-181	50	C, H, Cl, N
D	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{20}H_{20}N_3O \cdot 2HC1 \cdot H_2O$	179-180	42	C, H, Cl, 1
D	NHCH,CH,SCH,CH,OH	C, H, N, OS · 2HCl	207-209	52	C, H, N, S
D	NH(CH ₂) ₃ SCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{18}^{1}H_{24}^{2}N_{2}^{2}OS \cdot HC1 \cdot 0.25H_{2}O$	151-152	27	C, H, Cl, 1
D	NHCH ₂ CH ₂ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	$C_{19}^{\bullet}H_{27}^{\bullet}N_3O_2\cdot 2HC1$	242-243	69	C, H, Cl, 1
E	NHCH2CH2NHCH2CH2OH	$C_{18}H_{21}N_3O_2\cdot 2HCl\cdot 1.5H_2O$	208-210	56	C, H, Cl, 1
E	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{19}H_{23}N_3O_2\cdot 2HCI$	238-240	80	C, H, Cl, 1
E	NHCH ₂ CH ₂ N(C ₂ H ₄)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{20}^{\bullet}H_{25}^{\bullet}N_3O_2^{\bullet}\cdot 2HC1$	220-222	43	C, H, Cl, 1
E	NH(CH ₂) ₃ SCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{19}^{\uparrow}H_{22}^{\downarrow}N_2O_2S\cdot HCl\cdot 0.75H_2O$	96-98	68	C, H, Cl, S
E	NHCH2CH2NHCH2CH(CH2)OH	$C_{19}H_{23}N_3O_2\cdot HC1$	212-214	35	C, H, Cl, 1
F	NHCH,CH,NHCH,CH,OH	$C_{18}^{17}H_{21}^{21}N_{9}O_{2}\cdot 2HC1$	186-188	15	C, H, Cl, 1
F	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{19}^{19}H_{23}^{2}N_3^{2}O_2 \cdot 2HC1$	195-198	77	C, H, Cl, 1
F	NHCH ₂ CH ₂ N(C ₂ H ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{20}^{1}H_{25}^{2}N_{3}O_{2}^{2}\cdot 2HCl$	219.5-221	51	C, H, Cl, 1
E E E E F F F	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{21}^{20}H_{27}^{23}N_{3}O_{2}^{2}\cdot 2HCl$	205-207	49	C, H, Cl, 1
F	NHCH2CH2SCH2CH2OH	$C_{18}^{21}H_{20}^{20}N_{2}^{2}O_{2}^{2}S\cdot HC1$	162.5-165	65	C, H, Cl, 1
F G G	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	$C_{21}^{10}H_{27}^{2}N_{3}^{2}O_{3}^{2}\cdot 2HC1\cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	221-222	64	C, H, Cl,
G	NHCH,CH,NHCH,CH(CH,)OH	$C_{19}^{11}H_{22}^{22}CIN_3O_2 \cdot 2HC1 \cdot H_2O$	220-222	52	C, H, Cl,
G	NHCH2CH2OCH2CH2OH	$C_{18}H_{19}CIN_2O_3$	142-143.5	92	C, H, N
G	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCOCH ₂ N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	C ₂₃ H ₂₉ CIN ₄ O ₃ ·H ₂ O	90-94	31	C, H, N
G	NH(CH ₂) ₂ O(CH ₂) ₂ N(C ₂ H ₂)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{22}^{2}H_{28}^{2}CIN_{3}^{3}O \cdot 2HC1$	217.5-221	26	C, H, Cl,
H	NHCH2CH2N(C2H3)CH2CH2OH	$C_{19}^{22}H_{29}^{23}CIN_4O_2 \cdot 2HCI$	245-247	73	C, H, Cl,
Н	NHCH2CH2SCH2CH2OH	$C_{17}^{17}H_{18}^{23}CIN_{3}^{2}O_{2}^{2}S\cdot HCI$	254-256	62	C, H, Cl,
H	NH(CH ₂) ₃ SCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{18}^{17}H_{20}^{2}CIN_{3}^{2}O_{2}^{2}S\cdot HCI$	221-223	44	C, H, C1,
H	NHCH2CH2NHCH2CH(CH3)OH	$C_{18}H_{21}CIN_4O_2\cdot 2HCl\cdot H_2O$	243-244	27	C, H, Cl,
Н	$NH(CH_2)_2O(CH_2)_2N(C_2H_5)CH_2CH_2OH$	$C_{21}^{1}H_{27}^{2}CIN_{4}^{2}O_{3}^{2}\cdot 2HCl\cdot 0.25H_{2}O$	243-245	72	C, H, Cl, 1
Н	NHCH2CH2N(CH2CH2OH)2	$C_{19}^{2}H_{23}^{2}CIN_{4}O_{3}^{2}\cdot 2HCI$	244-245	78	C, H, Cl, 1
H	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	$C_{20}^{1}H_{25}^{2}CIN_{4}O_{3}\cdot 2HC1$	241-242	49	C, H, C1,
Н	NHCH(CH ₂)(CH ₂) ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	$C_{22}H_{29}CIN_4O_3\cdot 2HC1$	231-232	59	C, H, Cl,
I	NHCH2CH2NHCH2CH2OH	$C_{20}^{21}H_{25}^{2}CIN_4^{3}O_2 \cdot 2HCl \cdot H_2O$	240-241	45	C, H, Cl,
I	NH(CH₂)₃ÑHCH₂ĆH₂ÕH	$C_{21}^{*}H_{27}^{*}CIN_{4}^{*}O_{2}^{*}\cdot 2HCl\cdot H_{2}^{*}O$	236-237	51	C, H, Cl,
I	NHCH ₂ ČH ₂ N(C ₂ H ₂)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{22}^{\uparrow}H_{29}^{\uparrow}CIN_4O_2^{\uparrow}\cdot 2HCl\cdot H_2^{\uparrow}O$	226-228	41	C, H, Cl,
I	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₄)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	C ₂₃ H ₃₁ ClN ₄ O ₂ ·2HCl·H ₂ O	211-213	62	C, H, Cl,
I	NH(CH2CH2SCH2CH2OH2	$C_{20}^{23}H_{24}^{3}CIN_{3}^{3}O_{2}^{2}S\cdot HCI$	210-211	45	C, H, Cl, 1
I	NH(CH ₂) ₃ SCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{21}^{20}H_{26}^{2}ClN_3^2O_2^2S\cdot HCl$	220-222	49	C, H, Cl, 1
I	NH(CH ₂) ₂ O(CH ₂) ₂ N(C ₂ H ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{24}^{21}H_{33}^{2}CIN_{4}^{2}O_{3}^{2}\cdot 2HCl\cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	194-195	51	C, H, Cl,
I	NH(CH ₂) N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH)	$C_{23}^{\prime\prime}H_{31}^{\prime\prime}CIN_{4}^{\prime\prime}O_{3}^{\prime\prime}\cdot2HCI\cdot H_{2}O_{4}^{\prime\prime}$	221-222	34	C, H, Cl, 1
I	NHCH(CH ₂)(CH ₂) ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	$C_{25}^{43}H_{36}^{51}CIN_{4}O_{3}^{2} \cdot 2HC1 \cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	158-160	12	C, H, Cl,
J	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	C ₁₄ H ₂₄ ClN ₂ O·2HCl	160-162	10	C, H, Cl,
J	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	C ₂₆ H ₂₈ ClN ₃ O·2HCl·H ₂ O	199-201	49	C, H, Cl,
J	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	$C_{26}H_{28}CIN_3O_2 \cdot 2HC1 \cdot 1.5H_2O$	155-157	11	C, H, Cl, 1
K	NHCH,CH,NHCH,CH,OH	$C_{21}^{\prime}H_{21}^{\prime}N_{3}O \cdot 2HC1 \cdot H_{2}O$	210-212	30	C, H, Cl,
K	NHCH2CH2N(CH2CH2OH)2	$C_{23}^{1}H_{25}^{1}N_{3}^{3}O_{2}\cdot 2HC1\cdot H_{2}O$	243-245.5	64	C, H, C1, 1
L	NH(CH ₂) N(C ₂ H ₄)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	C ₂₄ H ₂₇ N ₃ O 2HCl	211-213	52	C, H, Cl, 1
L	NH(CH ₂) ₃ SCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{22}H_{22}N_2OS \cdot HC1$	199-201	87	C, H, Cl, 1
L	$NH(CH_2)_3N(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$	C ₂₄ H ₂₇ N ₃ O ₂ ·2HCl	213-215	56	C, H, Cl, 1
M	NH(CH ₂) NHCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{23}H_{22}N_4O \cdot 2HC1$	212-214	79	C, H, Cl, 1
M	NH(CH ₂) N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{23}^{21}H_{26}^{21}N_{4}^{2}O \cdot 2HC1 \cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	243-245	67	C, H, Cl,
M	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₃ H ₇)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{24}H_{28}N_4O \cdot 2HC1 \cdot 0.5H_2O$	225-226	66	C, H, Cl,
N	NHCH,CH,NHCH,CH,OH	$C_{20}^{20}H_{20}^{20}N_{4}^{2}O \cdot 3HC1 \cdot 1.5H_{2}^{2}O$	209-211	53	C, H, Cl, 1
N	NH(CH ₂) ₃ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{21}^{20}H_{22}^{20}N_4O \cdot 2HC1$	209-212	52	C, H, Cl, 1
N	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{23}^{21}H_{26}^{22}N_4O \cdot 3HC1 \cdot H_2O$	234-236	50	C, H, Cl, 1
N	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	$C_{23}H_{26}N_4O_2 \cdot 3HCl$	235-237	28	C, H, Cl, 1
0	NHCH₂CH₂NHCH₂CH₂OH	$C_{20}^{23}H_{22}^{23}N_{4}O_{2} \cdot 2HCl \cdot 1.5H_{2}O$	129-132	28	C, H, Cl, 1
0	NH(CH ₂) ₃ N(C ₂ H ₅)CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	$C_{24}^{20}H_{28}^{22}N_4O_2 \cdot 2HC1 \cdot 0.75H_2O$	138-140	63	C, H, Cl, 1
0	$NH(CH_2)_3N(CH_2CH_2OH)_2$	$C_{24}^{23}H_{28}^{28}N_4O_3 \cdot 3HC1 \cdot H_2O$	118-121	61	C, H, Cl, 1
0	NH(CH ₂) N(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH)	$C_{27}^{27}H_{34}^{20}N_{4}^{2}O_{3}^{2}\cdot 3HC1\cdot H_{2}^{2}O$	108-110	65	C, H, Cl, 1

^aWhere analyses are indicated only by symbols of the elements, the analytical results were within ±0.4% of the theoretical values. ^bCalcd: Cl, 15.08; N, 11.91; found: Cl, 15.75; N, 11.32.

expression of antibacterial activity by various aminoacridines. The bisnitrogen mustards, which do not depend on the polycyclic component for their activity, were effective against the ascites tumors; compound 21 containing the aminopropylamino side chain was more potent than the aminoethylamino analog 20. Comparisons of the results with 10, 11, 15, and 16 show that the aromatic acridine ring system is also needed for an expression of pronounced mutagenic activity.

Introduction of a methoxy group into the 2 position (nucleus E) or into the 4 position (nucleus F) of the acridine nucleus caused a significant increase in antitumor activity, particularly in terms of molar dosages, over that shown by the respective analogs in the C nucleus series. Definite activity was even exhibited by compounds 22, 23, 29, and 30 containing a secondary amine in the monofunctional nitrogen mustard moiety, albeit at relatively high molar dosages. The effectiveness against ascites tumors was particularly striking with the tertiary amine forms 24, 25, 31, and 32 which were active at very low molar dosages, A third generalization became evident, namely, that compounds containing the aminopropylamino side chain are considerably more effective on a molar basis than those with an aminoethylamino side chain; this applies to the half-mustards of the secondary and tertiary amine types as well as to the bismustards. There seem to be no significant differences in the antitumor activities of comparable compounds in the 2-methoxy and 4-methoxy series. No unusual properties were noted with a different mustard moiety 27 (cf. 22).

The methoxyacridine nuclei in combination with the secondary amine type of nitrogen mustard moiety provided moderately active mutagenic agents, particularly in the case of compounds with the aminopropylamino side chain 23 and 30.

In agreement with previous observations on the bisnitrogen mustards,5 the presence of a chloro substituent in the acridine nucleus was found to exert a depressing influence on antitumor activity as is shown by comparing the inactive 35 with 22 and 29. Among the active compounds with nucleus G, 36 and 38 (ICR 191 and 170) were required in considerably higher molar dosages than their analogs 23, 30 and 25, 32. Derivatives of 2-methoxy-6chloroacridine with several different types of side chain and alkylating moiety were also prepared and examined. Attachment of the 2-chloroethyl group to the remainder of the side chain by an ether linkage, instead of an amino or a sulfur linkage, gave an inactive compound 40. Moderately effective compounds were obtained, however, when the tertiary amine mustard moiety was joined to the rest of the side chain by an amide linkage 41 or an ether linkage 42.

An exceptional degree of mutagenic activity in the Salmonella system was displayed by 36 (ICR 191) which has become the standard agent for producing frameshift mutations in Salmonella, E. coli, and certain other organisms. The hydroxy precursor 37 (ICR 191-OH) was ineffective as a mutagen, thus indicating the need for the 2-chloroethyl group in frameshift mutagenesis. The other alkylating derivatives of 2-methoxy-6-chloroacridine showed no more than a weak mutagenic capability in this organism. Although ICR 170 was found to be only weakly mutagenic in Salmonella, it is a potent mutagen in Drosophila^{11,12} and in Neurospora, ^{13,15,16} whereas ICR 191 is only slightly mutagenic in these latter two organisms.

Studies by Glusker and coworkers³⁵ in this Institute using methods of X-ray diffraction have established the existence

of significant differences in bond lengths, angles, and conformation among the hydroxy precursors of ICR 170, 171, and 191. These structural variations may be sufficient to influence the degree of intercalation into the different nucleic acids and thus account in part for the specificity of the antitumor and mutagenic responses.

Another important structural modification was introduced by utilizing the azaacridine nucleus as the polycyclic component. Comparisons of the respective pairs of analogs in the H and I nucleus series of methoxy- and n-butoxy-7-chlorobenzo[b][1,5]naphthyridines show that the n-butoxy derivatives are less active as antitumor agents. No activity was shown by 59 whereas 47 was highly effective against the ascites tumors. In the case of the butoxy derivatives that were active, it was found that significantly larger molar dosages were required for all types of the butoxy mustards than for the corresponding methoxy derivatives. In general, the methoxyazaacridine mustards (nucleus H) were somewhat less active than the corresponding compounds in the methoxyacridine series (nucleus G).

Major differences were observed in the mutagenic properties of the two series of azaacridines. Compound 45 (ICR 372), 10-[3-(2-chloroethylamino)propylamino]-2-methoxy-7-chlorobenzo[b][1,5]naphthyridine, is an extremely potent mutagen in Salmonella and even the tertiary amine 48 was found to be unusually active (cf. 11, 25, 38). Definite mutagenic capability was also observed in the hydroxy precursors 44, 46; this indicates that the presence of a 2-chloroethyl group is not always mandatory for mutagenic activity provided the molecule contains an exceptionally effective polycyclic component joined through an aminopropyl side chain to a secondary amine containing the 2-hydroxyethyl group. The methoxy substituent on the azaacridine ring cannot be replaced by the *n*-butoxy group, however, as is shown by the inactivity of 57, 58, 63, and 64 in the Salmonella system. The presence of the n-butoxy group probably decreases the antitumor activity of the compounds by interfering to some extent with the intercalation process involving the ascites cells; in the case of Salmonella, the n-butoxy substituent apparently prevents intercalation into the deoxyribonucleic acid of this organism. Slightly higher levels were needed for a demonstration of antitumor activity with compounds containing nucleus J in which a phenyl group replaced the methoxy or *n*-butoxy substituent at the 2 position of 6-chloroacridine.

The benz[a] acridine nucleus (K) was also found to be an effective polycyclic component in the tertiary amine type of nitrogen mustard (73, 74) although no significant antitumor activity was shown by the secondary amine 70 and only slight activity by 71. Strong mutagenic activity was displayed by 71; 70 and 74 were good mutagens; when added as crystals, 72 showed a trace of activity in Salmonella, but 73 was inactive. Compounds containing the linear benzacridine nucleus (L) were effective against ascites tumors at levels that were lower than those for the angular form.

The presence of an additional nitrogen atom in the ring system caused significant changes in the antitumor and mutagenic properties dependent on its location. The benzo-[b][1,10] phenanthrolines 79, 80, and 81 (nucleus M) were highly effective against ascites tumors at low molar dosages; the *n*-butyl group on the nitrogen containing the 2-chloroethyl group provided a somewhat greater degree of activity than the ethyl group. The corresponding benzo [b][1,8]-phenanthrolines 82, 83 (nucleus N) were inactive against ascites tumors; 84 was active only at much greater molar dosage levels than those required for 80, 81. The mutagenic

responses in Salmonella were excellent with both 79 and 83 and were equal to that with the corresponding analog in the benzacridine series 71. The influence of a methoxy substituent in reducing the dosage levels for antitumor activity became evident again upon comparison of the compounds in the nucleus O series with those in the nucleus N series.

Discussion

It is evident from these studies that the antitumor and mutagenic activities displayed by the ICR compounds depend on a variety of structural components. In all instances, the nature of the polycyclic portion of the molecule is of great importance. No more than a trace of activity is shown by any of the nitrogen half-mustards or sulfur mustards that contain a methoxyquinoline or a trifluoroquinoline nucleus. A pronounced degree of antitumor and mutagenic effectiveness is displayed by compounds containing an acridine nucleus but not by those containing a tetrahydroacridine nucleus. Antitumor activity is increased by the presence of a 2- or a 4-methoxy substituent in the acridine nucleus and is depressed by an additional 6-chloro substituent. Although the presence of an additional nitrogen atom in the ring system (azaacridines) has a profound effect in increasing the mutagenic activity of the methoxy derivative, the antitumor properties are somewhat less pronounced. Replacement of the methoxy group by a *n*-butoxy group decreases the antitumor activity significantly and obliterates the mutagenic activity. Benzacridine half-mustards are effective mutagens for Salmonella and potent antitumor agents. Significant differences were noted in the mutagenic and antitumor properties of the two isomeric azabenzacridines.

The type of mutagenic response and the degree of activity against ascites tumors are also determined by the nature of the amine to which the 2-chloroethyl group is attached. The highly effective mutagens for Salmonella are all secondary amines with the exception of 48 (ICR 340) which, however, is still far less active than its homolog 45 (ICR 372). On the other hand, investigations being conducted by H. V. Malling# with Neurospora indicate that greater mutagenic responses are noted with the tertiary amines 38, 25, 74 than with the secondary amine type 36 (ICR 191). Furthermore, this organism is readily mutated by the bisnitrogen mustards 14, 28 which do not produce mutations in Salmonella. The E. coli organism, a close relative of Salmonella, is also susceptible to the mutating influence of the ICR 191 type compounds whereas Drosophila is more sensitive to the mutagenicity of the tertiary amine form (ICR 170). Mutations due to ICR compounds appear to be highly specific in the Salmonella his C207 mutant in contrast to those in Neurospora and Drosophila which involve an undefined mixture of several types of mutation. The sulfur mustards have not displayed any mutagenic activity in Salmonella and only one has been effective in Neurospora.

Another determining factor in the activities of the monoand bisnitrogen mustards and the sulfur mustards is the length of the carbon chain that connects the nitrogen of the polycyclic component with either the nitrogen or the sulfur of the mustard moiety. In all instances, the "propyl" and "methylbutyl" forms are far more active than the "ethyl" form. This observation applies to the antitumor tests with the bisnitrogen mustards, the nitrogen half-mustards, and the sulfur mustards and also to the mutagenic tests with

the secondary amine half-mustards. Although some mutagenic activity was shown by the hydroxy precursors, 44 and 46 (ICR 364-OH and ICR 372-OH), containing the exceptionally active azaacridine nucleus, it is evident that alkylation plus intercalation are required for maximum mutagenic and antitumor activity in the heterocyclic nitrogen half-mustards.

Acknowledgment. The authors express their thanks to Mr. Joseph Kolb and Mrs. Gladys Bates for assistance with the synthesis of intermediates and with the studies of antitumor activity and to Miss Anne Liggett for expert technical assistance in the mutagenic studies.

References

- (1) J. A. Montgomery, Cancer Res., 19, 447 (1959).
- (2) H. J. Creech, E. Breuninger, R. F. Hankwitz, Jr., G. Polsky, M. L. Wilson, ibid., 20, 471 (1960) (paper 2).
- (3) R. M. Peck, R. K. Preston, and H. J. Creech, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 81, 3984 (1959).
- (4) R. M. Peck, R. K. Preston, and H. J. Creech, J. Org. Chem., 26, 3409 (1961)
- (5) R. K. Preston, R. M. Peck, E. R. Breuninger, A. J. Miller, and H. J. Creech, J. Med. Chem., 7, 471 (1964).
- (6) R. M. Peck, E. R. Breuninger, A. J. Miller, and H. J. Creech, ibid., 7, 480 (1964).
- (7) L. S. Lerman, J. Mol. Biol., 3, 18 (1961); J. Cell. Comp. Physiol., Suppl. 1, 64, 1 (1964).
- (8) R. M. Peck, A. P. O'Connell, and H. J. Creech, J. Med. Chem., 9, 217 (1966).
- (9) R. M. Peck, A. P. O'Connell, and H. J. Creech, ibid., 10, 37
- (10) R. M. Peck, A. P. O'Connell, and H. J. Creech, ibid., 13, 284
- (11) E. A. Carlson and I. I. Oster, Genetics, 47, 561 (1962).
- (12) L. A. Snyder and I. I. Oster, Mutat. Res., 1, 437 (1964).
- (13) H. E. Brockman and W. Goben, Science, 147, 750 (1965).
- (14) B. N. Ames and H. V. Whitfield, Jr., Cold Spring Harbor Symp., 31, 221 (1966).
- (15) H. V. Malling, Mutat. Res., 4, 265 (1967).
- (16) H. V. Malling and F. J. deSerres, ibid., 6, 181 (1968).
- (17) R. G. Martin, J. Mol. Biol., 26, 311 (1967).
- (18) W. J. Brammar, H. Berger, and C. Yanofsky, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S., 58, 1499 (1967)
- (19) J. Yourno, J. Mol. Biol., 48, 437 (1970).
- (20) N. S. Oeschger and P. E. Hartman, J. Bacteriol., 101, 490
- (21) P. E. Hartman, Z. Hartman, R. C. Stahl, and B. N. Ames, Advan. Genet., 16, 1 (1971).
- (22) S. Kumar, U. Aggarwal, and M. S. Swaminathan, Mutat. Res., 4, 155 (1967).
- (23) T. Caspersson, L. Zech, E. J. Modest, G. E. Foley, U. Wagh, and E. Simonsson, Exp. Cell Res., 58, 128, 141 (1969).
- (24) F. T. Kao and T. T. Puck, J. Cell. Physiol., 74, 245 (1969).
- (25) M. B. Shimkin, J. H. Weisburger, E. K. Weisburger, N. Gubareff, and V. Suntzeff, J. Nat. Cancer Inst., 36, 915 (1966).
- (26) Unpublished data of R. H. Creech, H. J. Creech and A. J. Donnelly.
- (27) L. E. Orgel, Advan. Enzymol. Relat. Subj. Biochem., 26, 290 (1965).
- (28) H. J. Creech, T. S. Hauschka, R. F. Hankwitz, Jr., B. J. Littleton, and J. Andre, Cancer Res. Suppl., 3, 47 (1955)
- (29) H. J. Creech, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 68, 868 (1958).
 (30) B. N. Ames, "Chemical Mutagens," Vol. 1, A. Hollaender, Ed., Plenum Press, New York, N.Y., 1971.
- (31) B. N. Ames, "Mutagenic Effects of Environmental Contaminants," E. Sutton and M. Harris, Ed., Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1972.
- (32) J. Yourno, J. Mol. Biol., 62, 223 (1971).
- (33) J. Yourno, I. Ino, and T. Kohno, ibid., 62, 233 (1971).(34) A. Albert, "The Acridines," Edward Arnold, Ltd., London,
- 1966, p 450.
- (35) J. P. Glusker, J. A. Minkin, W. Orehowsky, H. M. Berman, J. P. Glusker, and H. L. Carrell, Acta Crystallogr., in press.