## Antibiotic Structure Determination

Structural and Functional Analysis of Pantocin A: An Antibiotic from *Pantoea agglomerans* Discovered by Heterologous Expression of Cloned Genes\*\*

*Mi Jin, Liang Liu, Sandra A. I. Wright, Steven V. Beer, and Jon Clardy*\*

The epiphytic bacterium Erwinia amylovora causes fire blight, a devastating disease of rosaceous plants such as apple and pear.<sup>[1]</sup> The closely related but nonpathogenic bacterium Pantoea agglomerans (formerly E. herbicola), which usually accompanies E. amylovora in the wild,<sup>[2]</sup> produces a family of antibiotics that inhibit E. amylovora in a poorly understood strain-dependent fashion.<sup>[3,4]</sup> The numerous members of this antibiotic family constitute a source of biocontrol agents for fire blight, a pool of new and potentially useful antibiotics against human bacterial pathogens, and a model of nature's ability to produce a "library" of biologically active molecules. The complexity of antibiotic production, especially the multiple antibiotics produced by a given strain and low production in liquid culture, has hampered chemical investigations. We have taken a genomic approach to deconvolute the multiple antibiotics and to address the production problems by a particular strain. Heterologous expression of a genomic DNA library from P. agglomerans in Escherichia coli provides access to the small-molecule antibiotics. Since both P. agglomerans and E. coli are members of the widely distributed Enterobacteriacea, E. coli should be a suitable host for heterologous expression of P. agglomerans genes that encode antibiotics. Herein we report the isolation and structure determination of pantocin A, a new peptidederived antibiotic, and its molecular target.

A genomic library of *P. agglomerans* strain Eh318 was constructed in *E. coli* strain DH5α.<sup>[5]</sup> Two distinct antibiotic-

[*]	Prof. J. Clardy,++ M. Jin, L. Liu
	Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology
	Cornell University
	Ithaca, NY 14853-1301 (USA)
	E-mail: jon_clardy@hms.harvard.edu
	Prof. S. A. I. Wright, <sup>+</sup> Prof. S. V. Beer
	Department of Plant Pathology
	Cornell University
	Ithaca, NY 14853 (USA)
[+]	Current address:
	Plant Pathology and Biocontrol Unit
	SLU, 750 07 Uppsala (Sweden)
[++]	Current address:
	Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology
	Harvard Medical School
	Boston, MA (USA)

Fax: (+1) 617-432-3702

- [\*\*] This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (National Cancer Institute, R01-CA24487).
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

2898 © 2003 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

DOI: 10.1002/anie.200351053

producing cosmid clones, pCPP702 and pCPP719, were identified, and the two antibiotics they produced were trivially named pantocin A and B, respectively. One indication that the two antibiotics were different came from studies which showed that arginine suppresses the antibiotic activity of pantocin B while histidine suppresses the antibiotic activity of pantocin A. In previous work, pantocin B (PB, 1) was structurally characterized, and its ability to potently inhibit *N*-



acetylornithine transaminase, which catalyzes a committed step in arginine biosynthesis, was established.<sup>[6-8]</sup> The characterization of pantocin A (PA, **2**) was plagued by inconsistent and low levels of production in liquid culture, together with its acid, base, and thermal lability. Significant reproducible production was eventually achieved by culturing *E. coli* XL2Blue harboring a 3.5 kb subclone of pCPP702 in the high copy number plasmid pUC19 (pUC449).

Isolation of **2** was guided by antibiotic activity against the *E. amylovora* strain Ea273 (IC<sub>50</sub> = 200 nM). Pantocin A (**2**) was purified from large-scale fermentation of *E. coli* XL2Blue-pUC449 by four chromatographic separations using anion-exchange, reverse-phase, and hydrophilic interactions. This compound is an optically active small molecule, and its structure was established by spectroscopic methods and synthesis of a hydrogenated derivative.

PA (2) has a molecular formula of  $C_{13}H_{16}N_4O_5$  as determined from HRFAB-MS studies. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Table 1) and DEPT spectra indicate four carbonyl, four olefinic, three methine, and two methylene carbon atoms. Three vinylic, a highly deshielded methine, two Ca amino acid, four Cß amino acid, and three exchangeable protons could be characterized from <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMQC NMR spectra recorded in D<sub>2</sub>O and [D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO. A loss of m/z = 132in the tandem ESI-MS study suggested the presence of an asparagine residue, which was confirmed by 2D NMR studies. Two spin systems (H6/H7a,b/H8 and H1/H2/H3) identified by COSY experiments coupled with HMBC correlations (H6/ C5, H8/C9, H1/C9, and H3/C1') established a continuous nine-carbon chain (C5 to C1') containing the non-asparagine carbon atoms in 2. Two additional HMBC correlations (2'NH/ C1' and H3'/C1') showed that the C9 and asparagine fragments must be connected by an amide bond.

<sup>15</sup>N NMR experiments on <sup>15</sup>N-labeled **2**, which was made using <sup>15</sup>N ammonium sulfate in minimal culture media, revealed the nitrogen connectivity. A highly downfield shifted <sup>15</sup>N resonance ( $\delta$  = 145.1 ppm) with correlations to H1, H2, H3, H6, and H8 required N4 to be connected to C3, C5, and C9. A <sup>15</sup>N resonance at  $\delta$  = 36.5 ppm, typical of an amine, and

Table 1: N	NMR	data	for	2.
------------	-----	------	-----	----

Atom No.	<sup>13</sup> C <sup>[a]</sup>	<sup>J</sup> H <sup>[b]</sup>	<sup>15</sup> N <sup>[c]</sup>
1	126.3	6.35 (1 H, dd, 6.0, 2.0)	
2	131.5	6.15 (1 H, dd, 6.0, 1.7)	
3	66.4	5.21 (1 H, brs)	
4-N			145.1 (s)
5	167.9		
6	50.2	3.58 (1 H, dd, 13.6, 7.2)	
6-NH <sub>2</sub>			36.5 (s)
7	28.6	2.57 (1 H, m)	
		2.33 (1 H, m)	
8	95.5	5.12 (1 H, dd, 6.0, 2.4)	
9	143.3		
1′	166.2		
2′-NH		8.27 (1 H, d, 7.5)	126.0 (d, 93.0)
3′	49.8	4.29 (1 H, m)	
4′	37.9	2.50 (1 H, dd, 15.2, 7.0)	
		2.39 (1 H, dd, 15.2, 5.7)	
5′	171.6		
5′-NH₂		6.84, 7.72 (2 H, brs)	113.6 (t, 90.0)
6′	172.6	· · ·	

[a] <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, 25 °C, [D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO). [b] <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, 25 °C, [D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO) assignments based on <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMQC. [c] <sup>15</sup>N NMR (40.5 MHz, 25 °C, D<sub>2</sub>O). The <sup>15</sup>N chemical shift was calibrated indirectly based on the gyromagnetic ratio of <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>1</sup>H according to reference [12].

its HMBC correlations with H6 and H7 required an amino group at C6, thus completing the planar structure of **2**.

The stereocenters at C3', C3, and C6 were defined by the hydrogenation of **2**, NOESY experiments, and synthesis. Hydrogenation of **2** gave **3** as a single diastereomer, and NOESY correlations between H3/H9 and H6/H9 require H3 and H6 to be on the same face (Scheme 1). Hydrolysis of **3** gave **4** and L-aspartic acid (**5**), which set the absolute configuration at C3' as *S*. Both **3** and its 3(R),6(R),9(R) diastereomer were prepared from **6**, which was synthesized from



**Scheme 1.** a) NaOH/dioxane; CH<sub>3</sub>I/K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/DMSO; b) H<sub>2</sub>/Pd-C; c) (Boc)<sub>2</sub>O/TEA/DMF; NaOH/CH<sub>3</sub>OH-H<sub>2</sub>O; d) H-Asn-OtBu-HCl, EDC/ NMM/DMF; e) TFA/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Boc = *tert*-butoxycarbonyl, TEA = triethylamine, EDC = 3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-1-ethylcarbodiimide, NMM = *N*-methylmorpholine, TFA = trifluoroacetic acid.

## Communications

L- or D-Glu(OMe)-OMe following a literature procedure<sup>[9]</sup> (Scheme 1). HPLC analysis of synthetic **3** and **3** derived from **2** indicated that the absolute configuration of **3** was 3(S),6(S). Thus, C3, C6, and C3' all have the absolute configuration corresponding to an L-amino acid, and the absolute stereo-structure of **2** is as shown.

Perhaps the most striking feature of **2**, which to the best of our knowledge has no precedents in reported natural or

synthetic compounds, is its very existence given the apparent ease with which it could aromatize to a pyrrole. Removal of a proton from C3, which is  $\alpha$  to the carbonyl group at C1', followed by reprotonation at C8 would generate a pyrrole, and this process likely underlies the lability of **2**. A minor inactive compound was also recovered on isolating

2, and this was characterized as 8. Compound 8 arises from the aromatization and hydrolysis of the bicyclic amide bond. No



material representing aromatization unaccompanied by hydrolysis was isolated-an observation consistent with the known rapid hydrolysis of N-acylpyrroles.<sup>[10]</sup> While 2 is sensitive to acid, base, and elevated temperatures, it survives for days at room temperature in aqueous solution under neutral pH conditions. Two structural features of 2 undoubtedly contribute to its modest persistence: the C3-H bond is shown in energy-minimized structures of 2 not to be aligned with the  $\pi$  bond of the C1' carbonyl group, and this lack of conjugation creates a kinetic barrier to proton removal (see structure 9). The out of conjugation conformation is at least partially enforced by hydrogen bonds from asparaginederived NH groups to the C5 carbonyl oxygen atom. The electron-withdrawing effect of the C5 carbonyl group also diminishes the thermodynamic benefit of aromatization, and this electron-withdrawing effect is enhanced by the same hydrogen bonds.

With the structure of **2** established, we addressed how such a polar molecule gains entry into *E. amylovora* cells and the nature of its molecular target.<sup>[11]</sup> Addition of the synthetic tripeptide Ala-Gly-Gly to the test medium, in amounts exceeding three times that of **2**, suppresses the antibiotic activity of **2**. Thus, **2** likely gains entry through the tripeptide transporter, and the added tripeptide monopolizes the transporter thereby limiting cellular entry of **2**.<sup>[6,11]</sup>

Since addition of histidine suppresses the activity of **2**, the cellular target was sought on the histidine biosynthetic pathway.<sup>[11]</sup> The common strategy of feeding intermediates

along a biosynthetic pathway has limited applicability in histidine biosynthesis since many of the intermediates are ionized phosphate esters that have poor cellular access. Using the well-characterized histidine biosynthetic enzymes of *E. coli*, the step catalyzed by L-histidinol phosphate amino-transferase, which converts imidazole acetol phosphate to L-histidinol phosphate [Eq. (1)], was identified as the target of 2.<sup>[11]</sup>



L-histidinol phosphate aminotransferase is a pyridoxal-5'phosphate (PLP) dependent enzyme that couples the reductive amination of imidazole acetol phosphate with the conversion of L-glutamate into  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate.

Pantocin A and B share a close functional relationship that is not apparent from their structures. Both gain access to their cells via the tripeptide transporter and inhibit transaminase-catalyzed amino acid biosynthetic steps that introduce nitrogen functionality.<sup>[6,11]</sup> Whereas **1** inhibits arginine biosynthesis, **2** inhibits histidine biosynthesis. This functional similarity is unsurprising since they have the common goal of suppressing the growth of bacterial competitors in a nitrogenpoor environment—an environment where import by tripeptide transporters and amino acid biosynthesis are essential.

The structural and functional analysis of 2 (PA) reported here used techniques of small-molecule chemistry and biochemical analysis. The cosmid library/heterologous expression approach provides ready access to the DNA conferring production of 2 on *E. coli* XL2Blue-pUC449. Analysis of this DNA provides insights into the biosynthesis of 2 and identifies strains producing antibiotics related to 2 as discussed in the following communication.

## **Experimental Section**

Cultivation and isolation: *E. coli* XL2Blue-pUC449 was grown in a shaker maintained at 30 °C for 24 h in minimal media supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin.<sup>[5]</sup> The culture supernatant was applied to a Dowex 1X8-200 (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) anion-exchange column and eluted with CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated H<sub>2</sub>O. The active fractions were lyophilized, then applied to a C18 solid-phase extraction column and eluted with H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN (100/0 to 90/10). Further fractionation by HPLC, first with a reverse-phase column (Vydac, 218TP510) using H<sub>2</sub>O/0.1M NH<sub>4</sub>OAc/CH<sub>3</sub>CN (94/5/1), then with a polyhydroxyethyl A column (Poly LC, Inc.) using H<sub>2</sub>O/0.1M NH<sub>4</sub>OAc/CH<sub>3</sub>CN (20/5/75) gave compounds **2** and **8** in yields of approximately 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.2 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Cloning of *E. coli*-pUC449: Pantocin A producing cosmid clone pCPP702 was subcloned to generate pCPP1051, from which a XbaI-HindIII fragment was further subcloned into pBluescript to yield plasmid pCPP717.<sup>[5]</sup> The cloned insert was sequenced (GenBank accession No. U81376). A 3.5 kb DNA fragment was then amplified by a polymerase chain reaction from pCPP717 using primer (5'-CCGCATCTAGAGTAGGTATGAC-3') and primer (5'-ATACTCTGCAGAGTTGGTGCTCCA-3'), and ligated into pUC19 at the *XbaI* and *PstI* sites. Recombinants were selected

from transformed *E. coli* XL2 Blue cells on Luria–Bertani plates supplemented with ampicillin at  $100 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$ , to yield clone *E. coli* XL2 Blue-pUC449.

**2**:  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -291.1^{\circ} (c = 1.8, H_2O)$ ; IR (KBr):  $\vec{v}_{max} = 3700-2400$  (br), 1676, 1578, 1402 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (H<sub>2</sub>O):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\varepsilon$ ) = 200 (12089), 272 nm (5447); HRFAB-MS: m/z: 331.1018 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd m/z: 331.1018 for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na; ESI-MS/MS: m/z: 309 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 177, 159, 151, 149.

**8**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta = 6.81$  (1H,d, J = 3.7 Hz, H3), 6.12 (1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz, H4), 4.66 (1H, dd, J = 9.0, 4.5 Hz, H3'(Asn αH)), 3.75 (1H, t, J = 6.5 Hz, H3''), 2.85 (1H, dd, J = 15.0, 4.5 Hz, H4'a), 2.80–2.69 (3H, m, H1" and H4'b), 2.25–2.10 ppm (2H, m, H2"); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, 5% CD<sub>3</sub>OD in D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta = 178.6$  (C6', COOH), 177.0 (C5', CONH<sub>2</sub>), 175.4 (C4") 163.4 (C1'), 137.4 (C5), 125.0 (C2), 113.5 (C3), 108.6 (C4), 55.3 (C3"), 52.9 (C3'), 39.1 (C4'), 31.5 (C2"), 23.8 ppm (C1"); ESI-MS/MS/MS: m/z: 327 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 310, 195, 177, 149, 132, 106; UV (H<sub>2</sub>O):  $\lambda_{max}$  (ε) = 200 (6438), 220 (2994, sh), 275 nm (10887); IR (thin film):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3700-2400$  (br), 1668, 1604, 1533, 1404 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Preparation of 3 from 2: A solution of 2 (2.4 mg) in deionized  $H_2O~(300\,\mu L)$  was treated with Pd/C (10 wt %, 0.66 mg) and stirred under hydrogen at room temperature for 8 h. The reaction mixture was filtered over celite, washed with deionized H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL), and the combined aqueous fractions were dried to give 1.7 mg of 3.  $^{1}$ H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, D_2O, 25 ^{\circ}C): \delta = 4.46 (1 \text{ H}, \text{d}, J = 9.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{H3}), 4.40 (1 \text{ H}, \text{dd}, \text{d})$ J = 8.0, 5.0 Hz, H3'), 3.93 (1 H, t, J = 8.5 Hz, H6), 3.74 (1 H, m, H9), 2.76 (1H, dd, J=15.0, 5.0 Hz, H4'a), 2.65 (1H, dd, J=15.0, 8.0 Hz, H4'b), 2.35 (1H, m, H7a), 2.25-2.10 (4H, m, H2a, 1a, 8a, 2b), 1.91 (1H, m, H7b), 1.67 (1H, m, H8b), 1.64 ppm (1H, m, H1b); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, D_2 O + 5\% CD_3 OD, 25°C): \delta = 177.8 (C6'), 176.6 (C5'),$ 173.6 (C1'), 169.5 (C5), 61.0 (C3), 59.2 (C9), 53.2 (C3'), 49.6 (C6), 38.6 (C4'), 32.3 (C1), 30.2 (C2), 26.2 (C8), 25.6 (C7);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -57.8^{\circ}$  (c = 0.16, H<sub>2</sub>O); UV (H<sub>2</sub>O):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\epsilon$ ) = 200 nm (2200); IR (thin film):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  = 3700-2400 (br), 2954 (sh), 1668, 1529 (sh), 1448, 1392, 1342 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS/MS: m/z: 313 [M+H]+, 181, 153, 125.

Chiral TLC: Chiral TLC analyses were performed on CHIRAL-PLATE (Macherey-Nagel) using solvent A (CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 5/5/20) or B (acetone/H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>OH, 10/2/2). **3** (0.15 mg, 0.5 µmol) was heated in 6 M HCl (300 µL) at 85 °C for 19 h to give **4** and **5**. Authentic D- and L- Asn were also treated in the same manner. Compound **5** migrated the same distance as treated L-Asn ( $R_f$ =0.47 in A, 0.66 in B), which was easily distinguishable from treated D-Asn ( $R_f$ =0.40 in A, 0.58 in B)

**3:** As shown in Scheme 1, *N*-(PhF) L-or D-dimethyl glutamate was used in a Claisen condensation, reductive amination, and lactamcyclization sequence to give enantiopure (3S,6S,9S)-**7** or (3R,6R,9R)-**7** following the procedure of Lombart and Lubell.<sup>[9]</sup> Coupling with *tert*butyl-L-asparagine ester followed by removal of protecting groups then gave (3S,6S,9S)-**3** or (3R,6R,9R)-**3** in 7% overall yield.

(35,65,95)-**3**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -50.7^{\circ} (c = 1.01, H_2O)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta = 4.61$  (1 H,dd, J = 6.0, 7.0 Hz), 4.51 (1 H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.06 (1 H, t, J = 8.5 Hz), 3.78 (1 H, m), 2.87–2.77 (2 H, m), 2.42 (1 H, m), 2.31–2.18 (3 H, m), 2.13 (1 H, m), 1.97 (1 H, m), 1.73–1.63 ppm (2 H, m). (The small differences in  $\delta$  values between (3*S*,6*S*,9*S*)-**3** and **3** derived from **2** are the result of differences in pH values and concentration. Mixing two samples resulted in overlapping peaks). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O + 10% CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 25°C):  $\delta = 175.9, 175.6, 174.0, 168.0, 60.8, 59.1, 51.1, 49.4, 37.5, 32.3, 30.2, 26.3, 25.0 ppm; IR (thin film): <math>\tilde{v}_{max} = 3700-2400$  (br), 2956 (sh), 1670, 1530 (sh), 1448, 1390, 1340 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS/MS: *m/z*: 313 [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>, 181, 153, 125.

(3*R*,6*R*,9*R*)-**3**:  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +45.7^{\circ}$  (*c* = 0.98, H<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta = 4.62$  (1 H, dd, *J* = 8.0, 5.0 Hz), 4.53 (1 H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 4.06 (1 H, t, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 3.78 (1 H, m), 2.84 (1 H, dd, *J* = 15.5, 5.0 Hz), 2.71 (1 H, dd, *J* = 15.5, 8.0 Hz), 2.42 (1 H, m), 2.30–2.17 (3 H, m), 2.05 (1 H, m), 1.96 (1 H, m), 1.75–1.64 ppm (2 H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O + 10% CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 25 °C):  $\delta = 175.9$ , 175.3, 173.9, 168.1, 60.8, 59.1, 50.9, 49.5, 37.6, 32.3, 30.6, 26.3, 25.0 ppm; IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3700-2400$  (br), 2955 (sh), 1671, 1528, 1447 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS/MS: m/z: 313  $[M+H]^+$ , 181, 153, 125.

HPLC analysis was performed on an Aqua C18 column  $(250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu, 125 \text{ Å}, \text{Phenomenex})$  with a mobile phase of H<sub>2</sub>O(0.1 % TFA)/CH<sub>3</sub>CN(0.1 % TFA) with a gradient of 100/0 (0–5 min); 100/0 to 85/15 (5–20 min) at 1 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>. (3*R*,6*R*,9*R*)-**3** and (3*S*,6*S*,9*S*)-**3** showed base-line separation with retention times of 15.6 and 15.9 min, respectively. **3** derived from **2** co-migrated with (3*S*,6*S*,9*S*)-**3** but not (3*R*,6*R*,9*R*)-**3**.

Received: January 29, 2003 [Z51053]

**Keywords:** antibiotics  $\cdot$  gene expression  $\cdot$  natural products  $\cdot$  structure elucidation

- [1] H. S. Aldwinckle, S. V. Beer, Hortic. Rev. 1979, 1, 423.
- [2] J. M. Erskine, L. E. Lopatecki, Can. J. Microbiol. 1975, 21, 35.
- [3] R. S. Wodzinski, J. P. Paulin, J. Appl. Bacteriol. 1994, 76, 603.
- [4] C. A. Ishimaru, E. J. Klos, R. R. Brubaker, *Phytopathology* 1988, 78, 746.
- [5] S. A. I. Wright, C. H. Zumoff, L. Schneider, S. V. Beer, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2001, 67, 284.
- [6] S. F. Brady, S. A. Wright, J. C. Lee, A. E. Sutton, C. H. Zumoff, R. S. Wodzinski, S. V. Beer, J. Clardy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11912.
- [7] A. E. Sutton, J. Clardy, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 319.
- [8] A. E. Sutton, J. Clardy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9935.
- [9] H.-G. Lombart, W. D. Lubell, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9437.
- [10] F. M. Menger, J. A. Donohue, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 432.
- [11] M. Jin, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University (Ithaca), 2003.
- [12] J. Cavanagh, W. J. Fairbrother, A. G. Palmer III, N. J. Skelton, *Protein NMR Spectroscopy: Principles and Practice*, Academic Press, New York, **1995**.