

Labdanes, Withanolides, and Other Constituents from *Physalis* nicandroides

Fernando R. Torres,[†] Ana L. Pérez-Castorena,[†] Laura Arredondo,[†] Rubén A. Toscano,[†] Antonio Nieto-Camacho,[†] Mahinda Martínez,[‡] and Emma Maldonado^{*,†}

[†]Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán 04510, Cd. Mx, Mexico

[‡]Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro, Avenida de las Ciencias s/n, Col. Juriquilla, 76230, Qro, Querétaro, Mexico

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Chemical investigation of the aerial parts (except fruits and calixes) of *Physalis nicandroides* var. *attenuata* led to the isolation of a series of new labdane-type diterpenoids, including the closely related compounds 1-3, the labdane glucosides 4 and 5, a mixture of the epimeric alcohols 6 and 7, and one labdanetriol, isolated as its tri-*O*-acetyl derivative 9. In addition, three new withanolides (14–16) and six known compounds were isolated. The structures of these compounds were elucidated by analysis of their spectroscopic data and chemical transformations, and those of compounds 1, 4, and 16 were confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis of the natural product (1) and of the corresponding



acetyl derivatives 4a and 16a. Fourteen of these compounds were assayed for their in vitro inhibitory activity against yeast α -glucosidase and acetylcholinesterase enzymes. The results were negative in both cases, except for compound 3a that marginally inhibited the activity of acetylcholinesterase with an IC₅₀ value of 64.4 μ M.

revision of the literature concerning the Physalis genus A .(Solanaceae) showed that the chemistry of these plants is quite complex and diverse, since although withanolides have been the constituents most frequently isolated from these plants, 1^{1-3} there are species like *P. coztomatl* in which the cooccurrence of labdane-type diterpenoids and withanolides was described for the first time⁴ or like the well-known producer of withanolides, P. angulata,^{1,5} from which a series of labdanes were recently isolated.⁶ In addition, there are species like P. sordida⁷ and *P. nicandroides*⁸ whose main metabolites are labdanes, and no withanolide was detected. Sucrose esters are another kind of metabolites of Physalis; they are the main constituents of fruits and calyxes of these plants,^{9,10} which also may contain withanolides.^{11,12} Sterols, flavonoids, and ceramides have also been described as constituents of Physalis.¹ In parallel to the advance in the knowledge of the chemistry of Physalis, different bioassays showed that the isolates from this genus, mainly the withanolides, possess a variety of bioactivities.¹⁻³ This is not surprising since many of these investigations have been inspired by the traditional use of some of these plants to treat a diversity of conditions, including respiratory and gastrointestinal infections as well as some chronic degenerative disorders like diabetes and cancer, among others.^{8,13}

As a part of our studies of the Mexican *Physalis* we described the presence of several sucrose esters in the fruits of *P*. nicandroides var. attenuata.¹⁰ In continuation of that work, we now report the isolation and structural elucidation of a series of 11 new labdanes and withanolides and other known compounds, from the aerial parts of this species, as well as the results of the evaluation of the α -glucosidase and acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activities of most of these compounds. These bioassays were carried out by considering the use of *Physalis* species to control the postpandrial blood glucose levels of diabetic patients¹⁴ and the proven capacity of some withanolides to inhibit the activity of acetylcholinesterase.¹⁵

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The MeOH extract of leaves, flowers, and stems of *P. nicandroides* var. *attenuata* was partitioned to afford hexanes and EtOAc extracts. Each of these extracts was subjected to a series of column chromatographies to obtain the new labdane-type diterpenoids 1-7 and 9, together with three new withanolides (14-16), and several known compounds, including labdanes, sterols, and flavonoids (Chart 1).

Compound 1 was isolated as colorless crystals with a molecular formula $C_{20}H_{34}O_2$, determined by the molecular ion at m/z 306.2560 in the HRFABMS and the ¹³C NMR data. Its



Received: March 13, 2019



Figure 1. Key COSY, HMBC, and NOESY NMR correlations for compounds 1, 3, 4, 6/7, 14, and 15.

IR spectrum showed absorptions for hydroxy groups (3413, 3324 cm⁻¹) and double bonds (1642 cm⁻¹). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed signals for three tertiary ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.88, 0.81 and 0.67) and one vinylic ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.70) methyl group; a terminal ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 149.1, C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.85 and 4.47, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 106.5, CH₂) and a trisubstituted ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 142.3, C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.63, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 123.3, CH) double bond; an oxymethine ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.05 dd, J = 8.5, 3.0 Hz, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 75.0); and an oxymethylene ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.22 and 4.19, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 59.1, CH₂). These data were consistent with a $\Delta^{8(17),13}$ -labdadiene bearing a primary and a secondary hydroxy group. The presence of both hydroxy groups was confirmed via the di-O-acetyl derivative

1a, which showed the corresponding low-field shift of the NMR signals (Table S1, Supporting Information) of the oxymethine ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.14) and oxymethylene protons ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.60). The hydroxy groups of compound 1 were located at C-12 and C-15 by the HMBC cross peaks between the oxymethylene protons (CH₂-15) and the olefinic carbons C-13 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 142.3) and C-14 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 123.3), between the oxymethine proton (CH-12) and C-9 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 52.5), C-11 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 30.1), C-13, C-14, and C-16 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 12.2), as well as those of H-9 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.01) with C-8, C-10, C-11, C-12, C-17, and C-20, which also confirmed the position of the $\Delta^{8(17)}$ -double bond (Figure 1). The *E*-configuration of the

C-13 double bond was deduced from the NOESY cross peaks between H-12 and H-14 as well as those between CH₂-15 and CH₃-16 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.70) (Figure 1). The (12*R*)-configuration was determined by an X-ray diffraction analysis of 1 (Figure 2), if it



Figure 2. ORTEP projection of nicandrodiol (1).

is assumed that compound 1 belongs to the normal labdane series, as will be demonstrated later. Thus, the structure of nicandrodiol (1) was assigned as (12R,E)-labda-8(17),13-diene-12,15-diol.

Compound 2 also showed a molecular formula $C_{20}H_{34}O_2$ (HRFABMS: m/z 329.2464 [M + Na]⁺) and IR absorptions for hydroxy groups and double bonds (3330, 1644 cm^{-1}). Analysis of the NMR spectra indicated that it has the same 2D structure as compound 1. Some differences were observed for the H-9, C-11–C-14, and C-16 signals that in 1 appeared at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.01, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 30.1, 75.0, 142.3, 123.3, and 12.2, respectively, while in compound 2, the signals were observed at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.47, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 28.6, 77.2, 140.2, 126.6, and 10.6, respectively. Similar differences were observed between their di-O-acetyl derivatives 1a and 2a (Tables S1 and S2, Supporting Information), suggesting that compounds 1 and 2 are C-12-epimers. The isolation of the related compound 3 and its correlation with 1 and 2 permitted clarification of this issue. The ion at m/z 302.2560 [M]⁺ in the HRFABMS indicated the molecular formula of 3 as $C_{20}H_{32}O_2$. Its 1D and 2D NMR spectra indicated that it is a labdane, which differs from 1 and 2 by the presence of an α_{β} unsaturated carbonyl moiety in the side chain. This was evident from the IR band at 1672 cm⁻¹, as well as from the carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 201.1 for the ketocarbonyl and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 137.7 and 138.8 for the α and β carbons. These carbon signals were assigned to C-12, C-13, and C-14 by the correlations of H-9, H-11a, H-11b, H-14, and H-16 with C-12, and those of the hydroxymethylene protons ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.45, 2H, CH₂–15) with C-13 and C-14, which were observed in the HMBC spectrum. NOE cross peaks between CH₂-15 and CH₃-16 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.76) established the E-configuration of the C-13 double bond (Figure 1). Thus, the structure of nicandrone (3) was defined as (*E*)-15-hydroxylabda-8(17),13-dien-12-one.

The relationship between compounds 1, 2, and 3 was established by reduction of 3 with NaBH₄, which afforded the labdanes 1 and 2, thus confirming the epimeric relationship of these diols and, therefore, a (12*S*)-configuration for compound 2, which was named 12-*epi*-nicandrodiol and its structure established as (12S,E)-labda-8(17),13-diene-12,15-diol. Fur-

thermore, this correlation also proved that these diterpenoids belong to the normal labdane series, since compound **3** was transformed into (–)-pumiloxide (**3a**), a compound whose absolute configuration was established by semisynthesis starting from manoyl oxide.¹⁶ The identity of **3a** was confirmed by comparison of its specific rotation and NMR data with those published.^{16–18} The transformation of **3** into **3a** occurred during the acquisition of the NMR spectra of **3** (CDCl₃ containing traces of DCl). The same result was observed when an acidified CHCl₃ solution of **3** was left at room temperature for 2 h.

Compound 4 was isolated as colorless crystals. Its IR spectrum showed bands for double bonds (1642 cm⁻¹), ester (1726 cm^{-1}) , and hydroxy groups $(3596, 3415 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. The HRFABMS showed a $[M + Na]^+$ peak at m/z 575.3550, indicative of a molecular formula C31H52O8, which was in accordance with the 31 carbon signals observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. Inspection of the NMR spectra revealed that, like compounds 1 and 3, compound 4 was an (E)-labda-8(17),13-diene with oxygenated functions at C-12 and C-15 (Tables 3 and 4). Moreover, a set of signals between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.2 and 4.0 in the ¹H NMR spectrum, together with the signals for an anomeric methine ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.16, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 99.3), indicated the presence of a sugar moiety. The sugar was identified as β -Dglucopyranose by the J values of its proton signals $(J_{1',2'} = 7.5)$ Hz; $J_{2',3'} = J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'} = 9.0$ Hz) and the specific rotation of the sugar¹⁹ obtained by acid hydrolysis of 4. This reaction also afforded a complex mixture, from which the original aglycone could not be isolated. The sugar was located at C-12 by the HMBC interactions of H-14, H₃-16, and the anomeric proton (H-1') with C-12 and those of H-12 with C-11, C-14, C-16, and C-1' (Figure 1). The presence of an isovaleryl group was evident from the NMR signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 173.0 (C-1"), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.19 d, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 43.5 (CH₂-2"), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.10 hept, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 25.7 (CH-3"), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.96 d, and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 22.5 (CH₃-4", CH₃-5"). This group was located at C-15 by the chemical shift of the CH₂-15 protons ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.67 and 4.60) and their connectivities, in the HMBC spectrum, with C-13, C-14, and C-1". In order to determine the C-12 configuration, the tetra-O-acetyl derivative of 4 was synthesized (Tables 3 and 4). This crystalline derivative (4a) was subjected to an Xray diffraction analysis whose results (Figure 3) confirmed the proposed structure and showed a (12S) absolute configuration. Thus, the structure of nicandroside A (4) was determined to



Figure 3. ORTEP projection of tetra-O-acetylnicandroside A (4a).

с

be (12S,13E)-15-O-isovaleryllabda-8(17),13-diene-12,15-diol 12-O- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Compound 5 was characterized as the deisovaleryl derivative of 4, since, in addition to the absence of the IR absorption for the ester carbonyl, it showed an $[M + Na]^+$ ion at m/z491.2969, which is in accordance with the molecular formula $C_{26}H_{44}O_7$. The NMR data (Tables 3 and 4) of 4 and 5 were quite similar, except those of CH₂-15, which in compound 5 appeared at higher field ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.31 and 3.97; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 58.3) compared to those of compound 4, thus supporting the presence of a hydroxy group connected to CH₂-15 in 5. The penta-O-acetyl derivative 5a obtained after acetylation proved the presence of this group. Compounds 4 and 5 were chemically correlated through the base hydrolysis²⁰ of 4 that produced 5, which was indistinguishable from the natural product $(R_{f}$ ¹H NMR, and $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$). Thus, the structure of nicandroside B (5) was defined as (12S,13E)-labda-8(17),13-diene-12,15-diol 12-O-β-D-glucopyranoside.

Labdanes 6 and 7 were isolated as a \sim 3:2 mixture. The molecular formula of each of these compounds was determined as $C_{20}H_{34}O_3$ by HRFABMS (m/z 323.2584 [M + H]⁺). The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed a single set of signals for the carbons of the decalin (C-1 to C-10) and for C-18-C-20 of both compounds (Table 2). The ¹H NMR spectrum also exhibited a single set of signals for the protons associated to these carbons (Table 1). Comparison of these signals with those of 1 showed a close similarity, thus indicating the same substitution in the decalin residue. On the contrary, each of the components of the 6/7 mixture generated a set of NMR signals for the side chain that resemble each other. These signals indicated the presence of a terminal $\Delta^{13(16)}$ -double bond ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 151.1/151.6, C-13; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 111.9/112.5, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.20 and 5.14/5.17, CH₂-16) and three hydroxy groups located at C-12 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 72.1/ 71.6, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.20/4.24 dd, J = 10.0, 1.5 Hz; CH-12), C-14 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 73.3/73.2, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.38/4.40 dd, J = 7.0, 4.0 Hz; CH-14), and C-15 $(\delta_{\rm C} 66.2/66.7, \delta_{\rm H} 3.73/3.75 \text{ dd}, J = 11.0, 4.0 \text{ Hz}, \delta_{\rm H} 3.66/3.68$ dd, J = 11.0, 7.0 Hz; CH₂-15). The position of these groups was determined by the HMBC cross peaks of H-12 with C-9, C-11, C-13, C-14, and C-16, of H-16 with C-12 and C-14, as well as those of H-14 with C-12 and C-16 (Figure 1). The mixture of the tri-O-acetyl derivatives 6a/7a (Tables S1 and S2, Supporting Information) obtained via acetylation, confirmed the presence of the hydroxy groups. These data indicated an 8(17),13(16)-labdadiene-12,14,15-triol structure for 6/7 and a C-14 epimeric relationship between them, like that exhibited by the mixtures of physanicantriols (10/11)previously isolated from *P. nicandroides.*⁸ The epimeric relationship at C-14 was confirmed when a single product, the aldehyde 8, was obtained by oxidation of 6/7 with NaIO₄. Compound 8 showed a molecular formula $C_{19}H_{30}O_2$ (HRESIMS: m/z 291.23146 [M + H]⁺), NMR signals for a conjugated formyl moiety ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 194.7, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 9.58, CH-14; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 153.1, C-13; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 133.6, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.48 and 6.05, CH₂-16), and a hydroxymethine moiety ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 68.0, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.53 br d, J = 10.0 Hz; CH-12). Examination of the ¹H NMR data of several 12hydroxylabdanes showed that the chemical shift of H-9 signal is affected by the configuration at C-12. Thus, in the (12R)compounds nicandrodiol (1) and physacoztomatin,⁴ H-9 resonates at $\sim \delta_{\rm H}$ 2.05, while in their 12-O-acetyl derivatives it was observed at $\sim \delta_{\rm H} 1.70$.⁷ When the C-12 configuration is S as in 2, H-9 appeared at $\sim \delta_{\rm H}$ 1.5 and at $\sim \delta_{\rm H}$ 1.40 in its acetyl and glucosyl derivatives (2a, 4, 4a, 5, and 5a). In compounds 6, 7, and 8, H-9 resonates at $\sim \delta_{\rm H}$ 2.05 and at $\sim \delta_{\rm H}$ 1.70 in the

acetyl derivatives **6a**/7**a**; thus, a (12*R*) configuration was proposed. This was confirmed by chemical correlation between **1** and **6**/7 through the photo-oxidation of compound **1**, which gave a mixture of **6**/7, that upon NaIO₄ oxidation afforded compound **8**. The semisynthetic and natural compounds showed the same R_f values (TLC) and the same sets of signals in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Figures S43, S44, and S50, Supporting Information). Compounds **6** and 7 were identified as the C-14 epimers of (12*R*)-labda-8(17),13(16)-diene-12,14,15-triol and were named nicantriol and 14-*epi*-nicantriol.

Compound 9 was isolated after acetylating a complex mixture. The spectroscopic data of this tri-O-acetyl derivative indicated the structure of an 8(17),13-labdadiene with acetoxy groups at C-12, C-15, and C-16, as deduced from the ¹H NMR signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.23 (dd, J = 11.0, 2.0 Hz; H-12), 4.72 (dd, J = 14.0, 6.5 Hz; H-15a), 4.70 (dd, J = 14.0, 6.5 Hz; H-15b), 4.70 (d, J = 13.0 Hz; H-16a), and 4.66 (d, J = 13.0 Hz; H-16b). This was confirmed by the long-range HMBC connectivities of H-14 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.82 td, J = 6.5, 0.5 Hz) with C-12 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 74.5), C-15 $(\delta_{\rm C} 60.3)$, and C-16 $(\delta_{\rm C} 59.5)$. The (13*E*)-configuration was determined via the NOESY interactions of H-12 with H-14 and H₂-16, while the (12R)-configuration was defined based on the chemical shift of H-9 at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.68. Compound 9 was named triacetylisonicantriol and its structure determined as the tri-O-acetyl derivative of (12R,13E)-labda-8(17),13-diene-12,15,16-triol.

The molecular formula of compound 14 was determined as $C_{30}H_{40}O_8$ by the $[M + Na]^+$ ion at m/z 551.2628 in the HRESIMS. It was identified as a withanolide by the characteristic NMR signals for a 2-en-1-one moiety in ring A $(\delta_{\rm C} 203.8, \text{ C-1}; \delta_{\rm C} 128.8, \delta_{\rm H} 6.00, \text{ CH-2}; \delta_{\rm C} 145.0, \delta_{\rm H} 6.86,$ CH-3; and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 33.0, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.98 and 1.94, CH₂-4); an $\alpha_{,\beta}$ unsaturated- δ -lactone ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 80.94, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.24, CH-22; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 31.6, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.37 and 2.05, CH₂-23; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 148.5, C-24; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 122.2, C-25 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 165.5, C-26); and for two tertiary, one secondary, and two vinylic methyl groups (Tables 5 and 6). The presence of a 5β , 6β -epoxy group was deduced from the chemical shift of the C-5 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 61.3) and CH-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 63.8, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.21 d, J = 2.5 Hz) signals.^{3,21,22} One acetoxy ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 169.7 and 21.6, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.99 s) and two hydroxy groups were present in 14 (IR 1730, 3413 cm⁻¹). The chemical shifts of C-20 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 75.3) and CH₃-21 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 21.2, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.44), the multiplicity of the H-22 signal (dd), and the HMBC correlation of the OH proton with C-17 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 54.1) permitted location of the first OH-group ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.06 br s) at C-20. The second hydroxy group was connected to C-14 as indicated by the chemical shift of C-14 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 82.8). The chemical shift of H-15 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.99 d, J = 6.5 Hz) and its HMBC correlations with C-13 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 48.3), C-14, C-17, and with the acetoxy carbonyl (Figure 1), located this ester group at C-15. The NOESY correlation between CH₃-18 and CH₃-21 and a W-coupling between the HO-20 proton and H-17, observed in the COSY spectrum, established the β -orientation of the side chain. NOESY correlations of 14 were not conclusive to determine the orientation of HO-14 and AcO-15 groups. They were proposed as β - and α -oriented, respectively, by the close similarity of the NMR signals of ring D to those of withaneomexolides B-D.²¹ Configurations of C-20 and C-22 were assumed as R, but this will be confirmed later. Thus, the structure of physanicandrolide A (14) was defined as (20R,22R)-15 α -acetoxy-5 β ,6 β -epoxy-14 β ,20-dihydroxy-1-oxowitha-2,24-dienolide.

The HRESIMS $[M + Na]^+$ ion at m/z 583.2855 and the ¹³C NMR data of compound **15** indicated a molecular formula of

Table 1	. ¹ H NMR Data of Compo	inds 1-3 and 6-9 (CDCl ₃ ,	500 MHz)			
position	1	2	3	6/7	8	9 ^{ct}
1	1.09 ddd (12.5, 12.5, 2.5)	0.92 ddd (12.5, 12.5, 4.0)	1.09 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 3.5)	1.09 br dd (13.0,13.0)	1.06 ddd (13.0,13.0, 4.0)	1.01 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 4.0)
	1.72 m	1.73 m	1.54 m	1.71 m	1.68 m	1.71 m
2	1.51 m	1.49 m	1.46 m	1.52 m	1.50 m	1.52 m
	1.56 m	1.57 m	1.58 m	1.59 m	1.56 m	1.59 m
3	1.20 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 4.5)	1.17 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 4.0)	1.20 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 4.5)	1.21 m	1.19 ddd (13.5, 13.5, 4.5)	1.19 ddd (13.5, 13.5, 4.0)
	1.39 dddd (13.0, 3.0, 3.0, 1.5)	1.39 dddd (13.0, 3.5, 3.5, 1.0)	1.40 dddd (13.0, 3.5, 3.5, 1.5)	1.40 br d (14.0)	1.39 br d (13.0)	1.41 br d (13.5)
5	1.18 dd (12.5, 2.5)	1.06 dd (12.5, 3.0)	1.24 dd (13.0, 2.5)	1.18 dd (12.5, 2.5)	1.18 dd (12.5, 2.5)	1.09 dd (13.0, 2.5)
6	1.75 m	1.73 m	1.73 m	1.75 m	1.74 m	1.73 m
	1.34 dddd (13.0, 13.0, 13.0, 4.0)	1.31 dddd (13.0, 13.0, 13.0, 4.0)	1.32 dddd (13.0, 13.0, 13.0, 4.0)	1.34 dddd (13.0, 13.0, 13.0, 4.5)	1.35 dddd (13.0, 13.0, 13.0, 4.5)	1.31 dddd (13.0, 13.0, 13.0, 4.5)
4	2.41 ddd (12.5, 4.0, 2.5)	2.38 ddd (12.5, 4.0, 2.5)	2.37 ddd (13.0, 4.0, 2.5)	2.41 ddd (13.0, 4.0, 2.0)	2.41 ddd (13.0, 4.0, 2.5)	2.39 ddd (13.0, 4.0, 2.5)
	2.04 ddd (12.5, 12.5, 5.0)	1.93 ddd (12.5, 12.5, 5.0)	2.13 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 5.0)	2.05 m	2.04 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 5.0)	1.95 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 5.5)
6	2.01 m	1.47 dd (10.0, 3.0)	2.55 br d (10.0)	2.02 m	2.07 br d (10.0)	1.68 m
11	1.58 m	1.70 m	2.98 dd (17.0, 10.0)	1.76 m	1.71 m	1.82 br dd (13.5, 11.0)
	1.58 m	1.67 m	2.59 dd (17.0, 3.5)	1.65 m	1.63 m	1.64 dd (13.5, 2.0)
12	4.05 dd (8.5, 3.0)	4.13 dd (9.0, 6.0)		4.20/4.24 dd (10.0, 1.5)	4.53 br d (10.0)	5.23 dd (11.0, 2.0)
14	5.63 tdq (6.5, 1.5, 1.0)	5.52 br t (7.0)	6.73 br tq (5.5, 1.5)	4.38/4.40 br dd (7.0, 4.0)	9.58 s	5.82 td (6.5, 0.5)
15	4.22 br dd (13.5, 6.5)	4.24 br dd (12.5, 7.0)	4.45 br dt (5.5, 1.0)	3.73/3.75 dd (11.0, 4.0)		4.72 dd (14.0, 6.5)
	4.19 br dd (13.5, 6.5)	4.19 br dd (12.5, 6.5)		3.66/3.68 dd (11.0, 7.0)		4.70 dd (14.0, 6.5)
16	1.70 dt (1.5, 1.0)	1.67 br s	1.76 dt (1.5, 1.0)	5.20 s	6.48 s	4.70 d (13.0)
				5.14/5.17 s	6.05 s	4.66 d (13.0)
17	4.85 m	4.87 m	4.69 br dd (3.0, 1.5)	4.85 br d (1.5)	4.89 br d (1.5)	4.86 br d (1.5)
	4.47 br d (1.5)	4.68 br d (1.5)	4.24 br dd (3.0, 1.5)	4.42/4.45 br d (1.5)	4.71 br d (1.0)	4.49 br d (1.5)
18	0.88 s	0.87 s	0.89 s	0.88 s	0.88 s	0.88 s
19	0.81 s	0.80 s	0.82 s	0.81 s	0.80 s	0.80 s
20	0.67 s	0.69 s	0.74 s	0.69/0.68 s	0.66 s	0.67 s
^a Acetyl:	δ 2.06 (6H); δ 2.05 (3H).					

а

Table 2. ¹³C NMR Data of Compounds 1–3 and 6–9 (CDCl₃, 125 MHz)

position	1	2	3	6/7	8	9 ^c
1 CH ₂	39.0	39.0	39.2	39.1	39.0	39.1
2 CH ₂	19.3	19.4	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3
3 CH ₂	42.1	42.1	42.0	42.1	42.1	42.1
4 C	33.6	33.6	33.5	33.6	33.6	33.6
5 CH	55.5	55.6	55.1	55.5	55.5	55.6
6 CH ₂	24.4	24.4	24.0	24.4	24.4	24.3
7 CH ₂	38.3	38.3	38.3	38.3	38.3	38.2
8 C	149.1	149.1	149.6	149.1/149.0	148.5	148.2
9 CH	52.5	53.2	51.6	52.5/52.6	52.1	52.6
10 C	39.3	39.5	39.0	39.4	39.3	39.3
11 CH ₂	30.1	28.6	32.9	31.0/30.6	30.9	28.9
12 CH	75.0	77.2	201.1 ^{<i>a</i>}	72.1/71.6	68.0	74.5
13 C	142.3	140.2	137.7	151.1/151.6	153.1	138.4
14 CH	123.3	126.6	138.8	73.3/73.2	194.7	126.7
15 CH ₂	59.1	59.2	60.2	66.2/66.7		60.3
16 CH ₃	12.2	10.6	11.9	111.9/112.5 ^b	133.6 ^b	59.5 ^b
17 CH ₂	106.5	106.7	106.1	106.4/106.6	107.1	106.6
18 CH ₃	33.6	33.5	33.6	33.6	33.6	33.5
19 CH ₃	21.7	21.7	21.8	21.7	21.7	21.7
20 CH ₃	14.6	14.6	14.8	14.6	14.6	14.6
C. ^{<i>b</i>} CH ₂ . ^{<i>c</i>} Ac: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 1	70.7, 170.3, 170.5,	21.2, 20.9, 20.8.				

 $C_{31}H_{44}O_9$. The NMR data were similar to those of 14, except for the signals concerning the A-ring, which were in accordance with the presence of a 3-methoxycyclohexan-1-one moiety, as deduced from the signals for a ketocarbonyl at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 210.9 (C-1), an α -methylene ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 42.7, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.77 dd, J = 14.0, 6.0 Hz and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.67 ddd, J = 14.0, 4.5, 1.0 Hz; CH₂-2), an oxymethine ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 72.9, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.75 br s; CH-3), another methylene ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 36.2, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.22 dd, J = 14.5, 4.0 Hz and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.59 m; CH₂-4), and a methoxy group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 55.9, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.27). The NOESY interactions of CH₃-19 with H-2a ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.77), H-4a ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.22), and H-8 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.83) established the β -axial orientation of these protons, which was confirmed by the W-coupling (J = 1.0 Hz) between the equatorial H-2b ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.67) and H-4b ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.59) protons, observed in the COSY spectrum (Figure 1). The magnitude of the coupling constants of H-3 was consistent with an eq-ax relationship with H-2a (J = 6.0 Hz), an eq-eq relationship with 2b (J = 4.5 Hz), and an eq-ax relationship with H-4a (J =4.0 Hz), indicating an equatorial disposition of H-3. The observed strong NOESY cross-peaks of H-3 with the vicinal β axial protons and its weak interactions with the α -equatorial H-2b and H-4b protons established the α -orientation of the CH₃O-3 group (Figure 1). The H–H distances measured in the energy-minimized 3D structure of 15 (Figure S76 and Table S3, Supporting Information) together with the similarity of the NOESY correlations and J values of the ring A protons of 15 and those of the 3α -methoxywithanolide, physangulatin I, whose structure was determined by X-ray analysis, gave further support to this assumption.²³ Therefore, the structure of physanicandrolide B (15) was determined as (20R,22R)- 15α -acetoxy- 5β , 6β -epoxy- 14β , 20-dihydroxy- 3α -methoxy-1oxowith-24-enolide. This compound could be an artifact produced by Michael addition of MeOH to compound 14, however, this must be proven since the natural or artificial origin of 3-OH and 3-alkoxy withanolides is controversial.^{11,24}

Compound 16 was isolated as colorless crystals with a molecular formula $C_{30}H_{41}ClO_8$, determined by the $[M + H]^+$ ion at m/z 565.2562 in the HRFABMS. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals of 16 were closely related to those of 14, except those

concerning to ring B, which indicated the presence of a 5α chloro-6 β -hydroxywithanolide. This was deduced from the chemical shifts of H-4 β ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.56 dt, J = 20.5, 2.5 Hz), C-5 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 80.9), and CH-6 ($\delta_{
m H}$ 4.10 br s; $\delta_{
m C}$ 74.5), as well as from the small coupling constants of H-6, which were in accordance with its equatorial orientation.²² This was confirmed by the NOESY cross peak between the α -equatorial H-4 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.54 dd, J = 20.5, 5.0 Hz) and H-6. Finally, the structure and the absolute configuration of 16, especially those of D-ring and side chain were confirmed as (14S,15S,17S,20R,22R) by X-ray diffraction analysis of its O-acetyl derivative 16a (Figure 4), using the anomalous dispersion of the chlorine atom [Flack parameter 0.00 (4)]. These results can be extended to 14 and 15 by the similarity of their NMR data. Thus, the structure of physanicandrolide C (16) was defined as (20R,22R)-15 α acetoxy- 5α -chloro- 6β , 14 β , 20-trihydroxy-1-oxowitha-2, 24-dienolide.

In addition to the new compounds, the investigation of *P. nicandroides* led to the isolation of the known labdanes: (-)-(13E)-labd-13-ene- 8α ,15-diol (12),(+)-(Z)-labda-8-(17),13-diene-15,16-diol (13), and the mixture of physanicantriol and 14-*epi*-physanicantriol (10/11), previously isolated from *P. nicandroides*.⁸ The flavonol 3,7-di-*O*-methylquercetin $(18)^{25,26}$ and the known phytosterols β -sitosterol/stigmasterol and physalindicanol A $(17)^{27}$ were also isolated.

The acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of compounds 1–5, 3a, 4a, 10–17, and 16a was evaluated. At the highest used concentration (100 μ M) most of these compounds increased the enzymatic activity in a range of 6.7 to 96% except compounds 3a, 13, and 17 that moderately inhibited the activity in 57.5, 33.9, and 24%, respectively (Figure S77 and Table S4, Supporting Information). The IC₅₀ of the most active compound 3a was determined, but it resulted 4 orders of magnitude higher (IC₅₀ = 64.4 μ M) than that of the AChE inhibitor, physostigmine (IC₅₀ = 3.0 nM). The ability of compounds 1-5, 4a, 10-17, and 16a to inhibit the activity of yeast α -glucosidase was also evaluated. In this case, a slight activation of the enzyme by the labdanes (from 1.1 to 29.4%,

Table 3. ¹H NMR Data of Compounds 4, 4a, 5, and 5a (500 MHz, CDCl₃)

position	4	4a	5	5a
1	1.70 m	1.69 m	1.72 m	1.69 m
	0.86 m	0.85 m	0.86 m	0.85 m
2	1.55 br dd (13.5, 13.5)	1.52 br dd (13.5, 13.5)	1.56 br dd (13.5, 13.5)	1.55 br dd (13.5, 13.5)
	1.48 m	1.45 m	1.49 m	1.45 m
3	1.39 m	1.37 br t (13.0)	1.37 m	1.37 m
	1.15 m	1.14 ddd (13.5, 13.5, 4.0)	1.17 m	1.14 ddd (13.0, 13.0, 4.0)
5	1.01 dd (12.0, 2.5)	0.99 m	1.04 dd (12.0, 2.5)	0.99 m
6	1.70 m	1.69 m	1.70 m	1.69 m
	1.29 dddd (13.0, 13.0, 13.0, 4.0)	1.29 dddd (13.0, 13.0, 13.0, 4.0)	1.28 m	1.29 dddd (13.0,13.0,13.0, 4.0)
7	2.35 br d (12.5)	2.34 ddd (13.0, 4.0, 2.5)	2.36 br d (12.0)	2.34 ddd (13.0, 4.0, 2.5)
	1.85 ddd (13.0,12.5, 4.5)	1.84 ddd (13.0,13.0, 4.5)	1.87 m	1.84 ddd (13.0,13.0, 4.5)
9	1.37 m	1.32 m	1.37 m	1.32 m
11	1.76 m	1.70 m	1.79 m	1.70 m
	1.65 m	1.70 m	1.70 m	1.70 m
12	4.24 dd (11.0, 4.0)	4.19 dd (9.5, 7.5)	4.17 dd (10.5, 3.0)	4.19 dd (9.5, 7.5)
14	5.38 br t (7.0)	5.38 br t (7.0)	5.50 br t (7.0)	5.38 br t (7.0)
15	4.67 dd (13.0, 7.0)	4.65 dd (13.0, 7.0)	4.31 dd (12.0, 8.0)	4.65 dd (13.0, 7.0)
	4.60 dd (13.0, 7.0)	4.61 dd (13.0, 7.0)	3.97 dd (12.0, 4.0)	4.61 dd (13.0, 7.0)
16	1.66 s	1.58 s	1.64 s	1.57 s
17	4.83 br s	4.82 br s	4.85 br s	4.82 br s
	4.65 br s	4.63 br s	4.68 br s	4.63 br s
18	0.86 s	0.85 s	0.87 s	0.85 s
19	0.79 s	0.78 s	0.80 s	0.78 s
20	0.68 s	0.67 s	0.70 s	0.67 s
1'	4.16 d (7.5)	4.35 d (8.0)	4.24 d (8.0)	4.35 d (8.0)
2'	3.39 dd (9.0, 7.5)	4.98 dd (9.5, 8.0)	3.32 br t (8.5)	4.98 dd (9.5, 8.0)
3'	3.48 dd (9.0, 9.0)	5.15 dd (9.5, 9.5)	3.47 t (9.0)	5.15 dd (9.5, 9.5)
4′	3.61 dd (9.0, 9.0)	5.05 dd (9.5, 9.5)	3.50 t (9.0)	5.05 dd (9.5, 9.5)
5'	3.21 br d (9.0)	3.61 ddd (9.5, 5.5, 2.5)	3.29 m	3.61 ddd (9.5, 5.5, 2.5)
6'	3.83 dd (12.5, 3.0)	4.23 dd (12.0, 5.5)	3.79 m	4.23 dd (12.0, 5.5)
	3.80 dd (12.5, 3.0)	4.10 dd (12.0, 2.5)	3.79 m	4.10 dd (12.0, 2.5)
2''	2.19 d (7.0)	2.21 d (7.0)		
3''	2.10 br hept ^a (7.0)	2.11 br hept ^a (7.0)		
4'', 5''	0.96 d (7.0, 6H)	0.98 d (7.0, 6H)		
Ac		2.08, 2.01 (6H), 1.99		2.08, 2.01 (6H), 1.99
hept = hept	tuplet.			

100 μ M) was observed, except for 4a and 13 which, like the steroidal compounds 14-17, and 16a showed poor inhibition.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points (uncorrected) were determined on a Fisher-Johns melting point apparatus (Fisher Scientific, U.S.A.). Optical rotations were measured on a PerkinElmer 343 polarimeter. IR spectra were measured on a Nicolet FTIR-Magna 750 or Bruker Tensor 27 spectrophotometers. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 500 spectrometer (¹H at 500 MHz; ¹³C at 125 MHz), with TMS as internal standard. HRMS were measured on a JEOL JMS-SX102A, JEOL MStation JMS-700, Bruker micrOTOF II or Jeol AccuTOF JMS-T100LC mass spectrometers. Vacuum column chromatography (VCC) was performed on silica gel 60 G (Macherey-Nagel). Analytical TLC was carried out on precoated Alugram Sil G/UV₂₅₄ plates. Preparative TLC was performed on precoated Sil G-200 UV254 plates (Macherey-Nagel). Reversed-phase HPLC analysis was performed on a Waters 600 apparatus (Macrosphere C₁₈, 250 × 2.1 mm, 5 μ m) equipped with a photodiode array detector ($\lambda = 200-650$ nm). X-ray crystallographic analysis was carried out on a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ 0.71073 Å). The structures were solved by direct methods using the SHELXS program.²⁸ Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters using the SHELXL program.²⁸ Hydrogen

atoms, except those bonded to oxygen atoms, were included at calculated positions and were not refined.

Plant Material. *Physalis nicandroides* var. *attenuata* Waterf. was collected in Tlayacapan, State of Morelos, Mexico, in August 2004. A voucher specimen of the plant (M. Martinez s/n) was identified by Dr. Mahinda Martinez and deposited at the Herbarium of the Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro.

Extraction and Isolation. Dried and ground aerial parts of the plant, without fruits and calices (3.3 kg), were extracted with MeOH. The extract (578 g) was dissolved in MeOH/H2O (4:1, 1 L) and partitioned with hexanes to obtain a hexanes-soluble extract (197.2 g). After removal of MeOH from the aq MeOH solution and addition of 800 mL of H₂O, this fraction was extracted with EtOAc to obtain an EtOAc-soluble (81.47 g) and a H₂O-soluble (297.0 g) extract. The hexanes-soluble extract was fractioned by silica gel VCC, eluted with hexanes-acetone mixtures of increasing polarity to obtain fractions: A1-A6 (1:0), A7-A22 (19:1), A23-A37 (9:1), A38-A45 (17:3), A46-A51 (4:1), A52-A60 (7:3), A61-A63 (3:2), A64-A74 (2:3), A75-A76 (1:4), and A77 (0:1). Fractions A8-A25 (63.0 g) were discolored with activated charcoal and fractioned by silica gel VCC with a gradient of hexanes-EtOAc to give fractions B1-B11 (39:1), B12-B17 (95:5), B18-22 (9:1), B23-B29 (17:3), B30-B34 (4:1), and B35–B37 (3:1). A mixture of β -sitosterol and stigmasterol (3.51g) was obtained from fractions B5-B10. Fractions B11-B37 (13.64 g) were purified by VCC (hexanes-EtOAc 19:1 to 4:1) to obtain fractions B'1–B'44. Repeated VCC of fractions B'10–B'17 (7.73 g)

Table 4. ¹³C NMR Data of Compounds 4, 4a, 5, and 5a (125 MHz, CDCl₃)

position	4	4a ^{<i>a</i>}	5	5a ^b
1 CH ₂	39.0	39.0	39.1	39.0
2 CH ₂	19.4	19.4	19.5	19.3
3 CH ₂	42.1	42.1	42.1	42.1
4 C	33.6	33.6	33.5	33.5
5 CH	55.6	55.6	55.5	55.7
6 CH ₂	24.3	24.3	24.4	24.3
7 CH ₂	38.2	38.2	38.3	38.2
8 C	148.2	148.0	148.2	148.0
9 CH	52.4	52.4	52.4	52.4
10 C	39.3	39.3	39.4	39.3
11 CH ₂	26.8	26.9	26.9	26.9
12 CH	82.2	82.9	83.0	82.8
13 C	138.6	138.6	136.5	138.5
14 CH	125.1	124.9	130.0	124.8
15 CH ₂	60.4	60.2	58.3	60.6
16 CH ₃	10.4	10.1	10.5	10.1
17 CH ₃	106.9	106.9	107.0	106.9
18 CH ₃	33.5	33.5	33.6	33.5
19 CH ₃	21.7	21.7	21.8	21.7
20 CH ₃	14.7	14.5	14.7	14.5
1' CH	99.3	97.8	99.2	97.8
2′ CH	73.3	71.3	73.3	71.3
3' CH	76.6	73.1	76.5	73.0
4' CH	69.8	68.8	70.1	68.8
5' CH	75.3	71.7	75.3	71.6
6' CH ₂	61.8	62.3	61.9	62.3
1'' C	173.0	172.8		
2'' CH ₂	43.5	43.5		
3'' CH	25.7	25.7		
4'', 5'' CH ₃	22.5	22.5		

^{*a*}Ac signals of 4a: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.6, 170.3, 169.4, 169.2, 20.74, 20.69, 20.64, 20.62. ^{*b*}Ac signals of 5a: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.7, 170.6, 170.3, 169.4, 169.2, 20.9, 20.7, 20.63, 20.59, 20.57.

using hexanes-iPrOH 98:2 as eluent, afforded compound 3 (3.33 g). Fractions B'18-B'26 (1.83 g) were combined and purified by repeated VCC eluted with hexanes-EtOAc 9:1 to obtain 36.7 mg of 17. Fractions A26-A52 were combined (24.26 g), discolored with activated charcoal, and fractioned by VCC eluted with hexanesacetone gradient (9:1 to 7:3) to give fractions C1-C23 (9:1), C24-C29 (17:3), C30-C32 (4:1), and C33-C37 (7:3). Fractions C4-C26 (18.30 g) were subjected to a VCC using mixtures of hexanes-EtOAc 3:1 as eluent to afford fractions D1-D39. Compound 1 was obtained from fractions D3-D17 by crystallization from EtOAchexanes. Mother liquors of 1 were combined with fractions D18-D33 (11.37 g) and subjected to repeated VCC (benzene-EtOAc 4:1) and crystallization (EtOAc-hexanes) to obtain 1 (total yield 4.17 g). Two successive VCCs of the mother liquors of 1 (hexanes-acetone 4:1 and hexanes-EtOAc 3:1) gave compound 12 (898 mg). The combined fractions A53-A77 (74.5 g) were discolored with activated charcoal to afford 53.7 g of residue which was fractioned by VCC using a gradient of hexanes-EtOAc-MeOH to give fractions E1-E47 (60:40:0.6), E48-E52 (55:45:0.6), E53-E55 (50:50:0.6), and E56-E58 (40:60:0.6). Fractions E17-E56 were repeatedly subjected to VCC (benzene-EtOAc 3:2) and crystallization (hexanes) to obtain 4 (15.95 g).

The EtOAc-soluble extract (81.47 g) was fractioned by VCC and eluted with hexanes-acetone mixtures of increasing polarity to obtain fractions: F1-F6 (19:1), F7-F18 (9:1), F19-F26 (17:3), F27-F32 (4:1), F33-F47 (7:3), F48-F65 (6:4), F66-F81 (1:1), F82-F95 (2:3), F96-F108 (1:4), and F109-F113 (0:1). Fractions F4-F8 (2.84 g) were subjected to repeated VCC (hexanes-EtOAc 9:1) and

preparative TLC (hexanes-CHCl₃1:3) to afford 3 (43 mg). Fractions F9-F32 (12.2 g) were discolored with activated charcoal and fractioned by VCC eluted with hexanes-acetone to obtain fractions G1-G44 (9:1) and G45-G80 (17:3). Compound 1 was obtained from fractions G26-G40. Mother liquors of 1 and fractions G14-G25 and G41-G68 were mixed and subjected to VCC eluted with hexanes-EtOAc 7:3 to obtain fractions H1-H88. Fractions H18-H26 gave 1 (1.43 g). Fractions H41-H43 gave 93.4 mg of 2, and fractions H51-H54 afforded 121.2 mg of 13. Fractions F33-F39 (6.92 g) were subjected to VCC eluted with mixtures of hexanes-EtOAc 7:3 to 1:1 to afford fractions I1-I98. Crystallization of fraction 19-I11 (EtOAc-hexanes) gave 18 (17.4 g). Compound 14 was obtained from fractions I15-I31. Mother liquors of 14 and 18 were mixed with fractions I12-I14 and purified by VCC using a gradient of hexanes-EtOAc (7:3 to 1:1) to give fractions J1-J25 (7:3), J26-J33 (3:2), J34-J38 (1:1). Fractions J31-J38 (3.94 g) were purified by VCC eluted with hexanes-EtOAc 7:3 to afford fractions K1-K60. Fractions K30-K40 gave 14 (2.84 g). Fractions J10-J30 and K10-K24 were combined and purified by two successive VCCs (hexanes-EtOAc 7:3; CHCl₃-acetone 9:1) to give 246 mg of a mixture of 6/7. Fractions I32-I65 were subjected to VCC (CHCl₃-acetone 9:1) to give fractions L1-L54. Compound 15 (47 mg) was obtained from fractions L9-L18 after repeated VCC (CHCl₃-acetone 9:1). Fractions L19–L30 were purified by VCC (CHCl₃-acetone 17:3) to obtain compound 16 (63 mg). Compounds contained in fractions I88-I93 could not be purified; therefore, a portion (48.9 mg) was acetylated, and the reaction mixture was purified by preparative TLC (hexanes-EtOAc 17:3) to obtain 9 (11.9 mg). Fractions F40-F68 and I66-I94 contained compound 4; therefore, they were combined (42.60 g), discolored with activated charcoal and purified by three consecutive silica gel VCC's (hexanes-acetone 7:3; hexanes-acetone 4:1; benzene-EtOAc 4:1) to give two fractions enriched in compound 4: M1 (25.07 g, 32%) and M2 (14.15 g, 48%), indicating a yield of 14.95 g of 4 in these fractions. The percentages were determined by reversed-phase HPLC eluted with MeOH-H₂O 7:3 to 19:1. Fractions F69-F91 (13.82 g) were discolored with activated charcoal and purified by VCC eluted with EtOAc to obtain fractions N1-N11. Fractions N3-N7 were subjected to VCC using mixtures of hexanes-EtOAc 17:3 to obtain fractions O1-O80. Fractions O55–O76 (2.27 g) contained crude 5, and 71.2 mg of these fractions were purified by preparative TLC (benzene-MeOH 9:1, \times 3) to obtain pure 5 (29.6 mg). Fractions of columns I to O containing 10/ 11 were combined (4.87 g) and purified by VCC eluted with CHCl₃acetone 17:3 to afford 126.7 mg of 16 and a mixture of 10/11 which was subjected to VCC eluted with hexanes-acetone 3:1 to obtain 3.24 g of 10/11.

Nicandrodiol (1): colorless crystals (EtOAc-hexanes); mp 130– 132 °C; $[α]^{20}_{D}$ +52 (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) $ν_{max}$ 3413, 3324, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 306.2560 [M]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₃₄O₂, 306.2559). *X-ray Crystallographic Data of Nicandrodiol* (1).²⁹ The data were

X-ray Crystallographic Data of Nicandrodiol (1).²⁹ The data were collected from a colorless prism (0.452 × 0.182 × 0.072 mm) 298(2) K: C₂₀H₃₄O₂, M_r 306.47; monoclinic, space group P2₁; a = 19.3184(15) Å, b = 7.2915(6) Å, c = 22.0305(17) Å; $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 113.7375$ (17)°, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$, V = 2840.7(4) Å³; Z = 6; $D_{calc} = 1.075$ g/ cm³; F(000) = 1020.0. Reflections collected 9948; independent reflections 5673 for $I \ge 2\sigma$ (I).

12-epi-Nicandrodiol (2): colorless crystals (EtOAc–hexanes); mp 136–138 °C; [α]²⁰_D –9 (c 0.10, CHCl₃); IR (film) ν_{max} 3330, 1644 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2; HRFABMS *m*/ z 329.2464 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₃₄O₂Na, 306.2457).

z 329.2464 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{20}H_{34}O_2Na$, 306.2457). *Nicandrone* (3): pale yellow oil; $[\alpha]^{20}{}_D - 8$ (*c* 0.3, CHCl₃); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 215 (3.88) nm; IR (film) ν_{max} 3443, 1672, 1645 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2; HRFABMS *m/z* 304.2560 [M]⁺ (calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}O_2$, 304.2559).

Nicandroside A (4): colorless crystals; mp 90–94 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ –24 (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) ν_{max} 3596, 3415, 1726, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) see Tables 3 and 4; HRFABMS *m/z* 575.3550 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₁H₅₂O₈Na, 575. 3560).

Table 5. ¹H NMR Data of Compounds 14–16 and 16a (500 MHz, CDCl₃)

position	14	15 ^{<i>a</i>}	16	16a ^b
2	6.00 dd (10.0, 2.5)	2.77 dd (14.0, 6.0)	5.91 dd (10.0, 2.5)	5.94 dd (10.0, 2.5)
		2.67 ddd (14.0, 4.5, 1.0)		
3	6.86 ddd (10.0, 6.0, 2.5)	3.75 br s	6.67 ddd (10.0, 5.0, 2.0)	6.62 ddd (10.0, 5.0, 2.0)
4	2.98 dt (19.0, 2.5)	2.22 dd (14.5, 4.0)	3.56 dt (20.5, 2.5)	3.02 dt (20.0, 2.5)
	1.94 dd (19.0, 6.0)	1.59 m	2.54 dd (20.5, 5.0)	2.56 dd (20.0, 5.0)
6	3.21 d (2.5)	3.26 br s	4.10 br s	5.28 t (3.0)
7	2.50 br dt (14.0, 2.5)	2.53 dt (14.5, 3.0)	2.10 m	2.12 m
	1.44 m	1.50 m	2.10 m	2.12 m
8	1.97 m	1.83 td (12.0, 3.5)	2.12 m	2.00 m
9	1.97 m	2.01 m	2.77 td (12.0, 3.5)	2.80 td (12.5, 3.5)
11	1.98 m	1.38 m	2.29 ddd (13.5, 7.0, 3.5)	2.31 ddd (13.5, 7.0, 3.5)
	1.52 m	1.35 m	1.34 m	1.33 m
12	1.98 m	1.92 m	2.09 m	2.09 m
	1.52 m	1.48 m	1.53 dt (10.0, 3.0)	1.59 m
15	4.99 d (6.5)	5.01 d (6.5)	5.07 d (6.5)	5.05 d (6.5)
16	2.37 ddd (15.0, 8.5, 6.5)	2.39 m	2.42 ddd (15.0, 7.0, 7.0)	2.41 ddd (15.0, 7.5, 3.5)
	1.58 dd (15.0, 9.5)	1.62 m	1.64 dd (15.0, 9.5)	1.64 dd (15.0, 9.0)
17	1.82 br t (9.0)	1.81 br t (9.0)	1.84 br t (8.5)	1.86 m
18	1.35 s	1.31 s	1.392 s	1.40 s
19	1.26 s	1.18 s	1.385 s	1.34 s
21	1.44 s	1.43 s	1.44 s	1.46 s
22	4.24 dd (13.5, 3.5)	4.25 dd (13.5, 3.5)	4.28 dd (13.5, 3.5)	4.27 dd (13.5, 3.5)
23	2.37 m	2.39 m	2.36 br t (13.5)	2.37 m
	2.05 br dd (13.5, 3.5)	2.02 m	2.07 m	2.09 m
27	1.89 s	1.89 s	1.88 s	1.89 s
28	1.96 s	1.96 s	1.97 s	1.97 s
14-OH	3.59 br s	3.69 br s	4.35 br s	3.65 br s
20-OH	3.06 br s	3.11 br s	4.00 br s	3.10 d (2.5)
15-OAc	1.99 s	2.05 s	2.07 s	2.07 s
3-OMe: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.2	27 s. ^{<i>b</i>} 6-OAc: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.13 s.			

Nicandroside B (5): colorless crystals; mp 121–122 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$ -39 (c 0.1, CHCl₃); IR (film) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3360, 1643 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) see Tables 3 and 4; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 491.2969 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₆H₄₄O₇Na, 491.2979).

Nicantriol/14-epi-nicantriol (6/7): colorless oil; IR (film) ν_{max} 3379, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2; HRFABMS m/z 323.2584 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₃₅O₃, 323.2586).

Tri-O-acetylisonicantriol (9): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +43 (*c* 0.3, CHCl₃); IR (film) ν_{max} 1744, 1643 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2; FABMS *m*/*z* 471 [M + Na]⁺ (C₂₆H₄₀O₆Na), 449 [M + H]⁺, 389 [449 – AcOH]⁺, 329 [449 – 2AcOH]⁺, 269 [449 – 3AcOH]⁺ (38).

Physanicandrolide A (14): colorless crystals (acetone-hexanes); mp 167–168 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +58 (c 0. 1, CHCl₃); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 225 (4.18) nm; IR (film) ν_{max} 3413, 1730 sh, 1708, 1670 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Tables 5 and 6; HRFABMS 551.2628 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₀H₄₀O₈Na, 551.2621).

Physanicandrolide B (**15**): colorless crystals; mp 166–169 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +14 (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) ν_{max} 3409, 1710 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, see Tables 5 and 6; HRESIMS 583.2855 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₁H₄₄O₉Na, 583.2878).

Physanicandrolide C (**16**): colorless crystals; mp 208–210 °C; $[α]^{20}_{D}$ +68 (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 227 (3.91) nm; IR (film) ν_{max} 3413, 1725 sh, 1689 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Tables 5 and 6; HRFABMS 565.2562 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₃₀H₄₂ClO₈, 565.2568).

Reduction of Nicandrone (3). Sodium borohydride (85.3 mg) was stepwise added to a stirred solution of 3 (45.3 mg) in EtOH (5 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, neutralized with HOAc, dried with an air stream, and partitioned between EtOAc and water. The organic layer was washed with water,

dried with Na₂SO₄, and purified by preparative TLC [(hexanes-EtOAc 7:3)-MeOH 98:2, \times 3] to afford 3.9 mg of 1 and 5.4 mg of 2.

Treatment of Nicandrenone (3) with Acid. Concentrated HCl (0.1 mL) was added to a solution of 3 in CHCl₃ (5 mL). The mixture was stirred by 2 h at room temperature, washed with NaHCO₃ and water, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and purified by crystallization from EtOAc-hexanes to afford 16 mg of pumiloxide (3a): colorless crystals; mp 86–90 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D}$ –21 (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃) [lit.¹³ mp 87–89 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D}$ –20 (*c* 0.8, CHCl₃)].

Acetylation of Compounds 1, 2, 4–7, and 16. Compounds 1 (48.3 mg), 2 (16.8 mg), 4 (433.5 mg), 5 (114.1 mg), 6/7 (41.8 mg), and 16 (32.2 mg) were acetylated with pyridine-acetic anhydride at room temperature (16 at 45 °C). After completion, the reaction mixtures were treated in the usual way to obtain crudes 1a, 2a, 4a–7a, and 16a, respectively. These derivatives were purified by different techniques (1a:VCC hexanes-EtOAc 19:1; 2a: preparative TLC hexanes-EtOAc 98:2; 4a: VCC hexanes-EtOAc 88:12 and crystallization from EtOAc-hexanes; 5a: VCC hexanes-EtOAc 4:1 and preparative TLC hexanes-EtOAc 7:3; 6a/7a: VCC hexanes-EtOAc 95:5; 16: crystallization from EtOAc-hexanes). Yield: 52.4 mg of 1a, 13.2 mg of 2a, 487 mg of 4a, 88 mg of 5a, 53.5 mg of 6a/7a, and 30.7 mg of 16.

12,15-Di-O-acetylnicandrodiol (1a): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +43 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} 1731, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Tables S1 and S2 (Supporting Information); HRFABMS *m/z* 391.2839 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₄H₃₉O₄, 391.2848).

12,15-Di-O-acetyl-12-epi-nicandrodiol (2a): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +2 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) ν_{max} 1741, 1644 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Tables S1 and S2 (Supporting Information); HRFABMS *m/z* 391.2843 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₄H₃₉O₄, 391.2848).

Acid Hydrolysis of Compound 4. Compound 4 in dioxane (3 mL) was hydrolyzed with 2 N HCl at 78 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture

Table 6. ¹³C NMR Data of Compounds 14–16 and 16a (125 MHz, CDCl₃)

position	14	15 ^a	16	16a ^c
1 C	203.8	210.9	201.5	200.6
2 CH	128.8	42.7 ^b	128.5	128.7
3 CH	145.0	72.9	141.8	140.7
4 CH ₂	33.0	36.2	37.3	36.7
5 C	61.3	61.4	80.9	78.6
6 CH	63.8	62.1	74.5	74.8
7 CH ₂	26.3	26.2	28.7	25.7
8 CH	33.5	32.9	33.6	34.6
9 CH	38.9	37.1	36.1	35.9
10 C	48.6	52.1	53.1	53.0
11 CH ₂	22.7	21.2	21.9	22.2
$12 \ \mathrm{CH}_2$	40.1	39.6	40.2	40.7
13 C	48.3	48.4	49.0	48.7
14 C	82.8	82.9	83.2	83.0
15 CH	80.88	81.1	80.4	80.2
$16 \ \mathrm{CH}_2$	31.2	31.1	31.3	31.4
17 CH	54.1	54.1	54.1	54.1
18 CH ₃	19.1	19.0	19.7	19.6
19 CH ₃	14.9	13.8	15.6	15.0
20 C	75.3	75.3	75.1	75.4
$21 \ \text{CH}_3$	21.2	21.2	21.2	21.3
22 CH	80.94	80.9	81.4	81.0
$23 \ \mathrm{CH}_2$	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.7
24 C	148.5	148.4	149.0	148.6
25 C	122.2	122.2	122.1	122.2
26 C	165.5	165.5	166.4	165.5
$27 \ \mathrm{CH}_3$	12.4	12.4	12.4	12.5
$28 \ \mathrm{CH}_3$	20.6	20.5	20.6	20.6
15-OAc	169.7, 21.6	169.7, 21.5	170.2, 21.7	170.0, 21.7

^{*a*}OMe signal: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 55.9. ^{*b*}CH₂. ^{*c*}6-OAc signals: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 169.4, 21.3.



Figure 4. ORTEP projection of 6-*O*-acetylphysanicandrolide C (**16a**) (crystallographic numbering).

was extracted with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was concentrated and purified by flash CC eluted with EtOAc–MeOH 3:1 to afford 35.3 mg of D-glucose: $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +36 (*c* 0.2, H₂O).

Basic Hydrolysis of Compound $4^{.20}$ Aqueous NaOH (5% w/v, 3.2 mL) was added dropwise (0.4 mL/each h) to a stirred solution of 4 (89.4 mg) in MeOH–CH₂Cl₂ 3:2 (5 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stand overnight (16 h). TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH 9:1) showed partial hydrolysis of 4. Then a NaOH solution (0.5 mL) was added. After 4 h, no progress of the reaction was observed; therefore, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) and washed with a satd solution of NH₄Cl. The CH₂Cl₂ layer was separated and the aqueous layer re-extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined CH₂Cl₂ layers were washed with H₂O, dried with Na₂SO₄, and purified by VCC (CHCl₃–MeOH 12:1) to obtain 53 mg of the starting compound 4 and 27.1 mg of 5.

Tetra-O-acetylnicandroside A (**4a**): colorless crystals; mp 110– 113 °C; $[α]^{20}_D$ –31 (*c* 0.3, CHCl₃); IR (film) $ν_{max}$ 1755, 1643 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Tables S1 and S2, Supporting Information; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 743.3979 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₉H₆₀O₁₂Na, 743.3982).

X-ray Crystallographic Data of Tetra-O-acetylnicandroside A (4a).²⁹ The data were collected from a colorless needle (0.446 × 0.136 × 0.032 mm) 298(2) K: $C_{39}H_{60}O_{12}$, M_r 720.87; monoclinic, space group $P2_1$; a = 10.8266(14) Å, b = 7.7886(11) Å, c = 23.976(3) Å; $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 90.913^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$, V = 2021.5(5) Å³; Z = 2; $D_{calc} = 1.184$ g/cm³; F(000) = 780. Reflections collected 6812; independent reflections 4555 for $I \ge 2\sigma$ (I).

Penta-O-acetylnicandroside *B* (**5a**): colorless oil; $[α]^{20}_{D} - 20$ (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) $ν_{max}$ 1756, 1643 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Tables S1 and S2 (Supporting Information); FABMS *m/z* 701 [M + Na]⁺ (C₃₆H₅₄O₁₂Na), 679 [M + H]⁺ (C₃₆H₅₅O₁₂). *Tri-O-acetylnicantriol/tri-O-acetyl-14-epi-nicantriol* (**6a/7a**): color

Tri-O-acetylnicantriol/tri-O-acetyl-14-epi-nicantriol (6a/7a): colorless oil; IR (film) ν_{max} 1746, 1646 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Tables S1 and S2 (Supporting Information); HRFABMS *m/z* 449.2906 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₆H₄₁O₆ 449.2903).

6-O-Acetylphysanicandrolide C (16a): colorless crystals; mp 263–264 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$ +98 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (log ε) 226 (4.47) nm; IR (film) $\nu_{\rm max}$: 3526, 1742, 1714, 1691 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, see Tables 5 and 6; EIMS m/z 607 [M + H]⁺ (2), 564 (3), 511 (4), 265 (61); 169 (63); 125 (94), 43 (100).

X-ray Crystallographic Data of 6-O-Acetylphysanicandrolide C (16a).²⁹ The data were collected from a colorless prism (0.278 × 0.098 × 0.058 mm) at 173(2) K: $C_{32}H_{43}ClO_9$, $C_4H_8O_2$ M_r 695.21; monoclinic, space group $P2_1$; a = 14.5187(14) Å, b = 7.7890(8) Å, c = 15.6480(15) Å; $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 91.811$ (2)°, $\gamma = 90^\circ$, V = 1768.7(3) Å³; Z = 2; $D_{calc} = 1.305$ g/cm³; F(000) = 7.44. Reflections collected 6442; independent reflections 5139 for $I \ge 2\sigma$ (I).

Photooxidation of Compound 1. Methylene blue (5.2 mg) was added to a solution of compound 1 (96.4 mg) in acetone (20 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred under a 100 W lamp for 22 h (temperature was allowed to rise to 40 °C). Acetone was removed with an air stream, and then the residue was dissolved in MeOH (10 mL) and NaBH₄ (52 mg) was added. The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h, quenched with glacial HOAc (0.5 mL), concentrated, diluted with H₂O (~15 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (4 × 5 mL). The organic fraction was washed with H₂O, dried with anhyd Na₂SO₄, concentrated, and purified by VCC (hexanes–EtOAc gradient 4:1–0:1) to give 17 mg of a mixture of semisynthetic compounds 6/7 and 22 mg of the starting material (1).

Oxidation of Compounds 6/7. Si gel supported NaIO₄ reagent³⁰ (170 mg) was added to a solution of the mixture of **6**/7 (74.7 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, and the solids were filtered off. The filtrate was purified by preparative TLC eluted with hexanes–EtOAc 4:1 to give the aldehyde **8** (19.7 mg) as a pale yellow gum: $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +32 (*c* 0.4, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} 3442, 1690, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 291.23146 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₁₉H₃₁O₂, 291.23240).

Oxidation of Semisynthetic Compounds 6/7. Si gel supported NaIO₄ reagent³⁰ (36.4 mg) was added to a solution of the mixture of 6/7 (13.1 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h, and the solids were filtered off. The

filtrate was purified by flash CC hexanes-acetone 19:1 to give the aldehyde 8 (2.4 mg): $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D}$ +30 (c 0.1, CHCl₃).

Enzyme Inhibition Assays. Yeast α -Glucosidase Assay. The evaluation of the α -glucosidase inhibitory activity was performed using an adapted method previously described.³¹ A solution (25 μ L) of samples in DMSO-H₂O 1:1 was added to 150 μ L of phosphate buffer solution (PBS, 67 mM, pH 6.8) and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min with glutathione (25 μ L, 3 mM in PBS) and α -glucosidase type I (25 μ L, 0.2 U mL⁻¹ in PBS). *p*-Nitrophenyl α -D-glucopyranoside in PBS (25 μ L, 23.2 mM) was added and incubated with agitation (15 min at 37 °C). The reaction was quenched with 50 μ L of Na₂CO₃ (1 M), and the absorbance was determined at 405 nm after 5 min of agitation in a BioTek microplate reader Synergy HT. Quercetin was used as positive control. The percentage of inhibition was calculated by the equation: inhibition (%) = $[(AC - AS)/AC] \times 100$, where AC is the absorbance of the negative control and AS is the absorbance of the tested sample. All samples were tested in triplicated. Data are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation.

Acetylcholinesterase Assay. Inhibition of acetylcholinesterase (AChE from *Electrophorus electricus*) activity was determined using Ellman's colorimetric method³² with some modifications.³³ Briefly, a solution (50 μ L) of test compound in 2% MeCN in PBS (11.3 mM, pH 7.4) was added to an enzyme solution (50 μ L, 0.195 U mL⁻¹) and incubated for 30 min at 25 °C. The reaction was started by addition of 100 μ L of substrate solution containing 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (0.20 mM, DTNB) plus 0.24 mM acetylthiocholine iodide (ATChI) in PBS (15.85 mM, pH 7.5). The formation of the colored product was measured in a Synergy HT Bio Tek microplate reader at 412 nm after 5 min. All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Physostigmine was used as a positive control. The percentages of inhibition and the statistical analysis were calculated using the same method as described for the glucosidase assay. Data were presented as the mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Dunnett's test. Significant differences between groups were determined at $p \leq 0.05$ and $p \leq 0.01$

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jnat-prod.9b00233.

Tables S1 and S2 containing the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of derivatives 1a, 2a, and 6a/7a; 1D and 2D NMR spectra of compounds 1–7, 9, 14–16, and 5a, and 1D NMR spectra of their derivatives as well as the results of the AChE inhibition assay (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel: 525556224412. Fax: 525556162217. E-mail: emmaldon@unam.mx.

ORCID 💿

Emma Maldonado: 0000-0002-2971-4029

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to H. Ríos, I. Chávez, B. Quiroz, R. Gaviño, A. Peña, and E. Huerta for the NMR spectra; R. Patiño for the IR and optical rotations; L. Velasco, J. Pérez, and L. Triana for the MS. We also thank C. Márquez for the HPLC analysis. This work was supported by CONACyT (Project 34993-N).

REFERENCES

(1) Zhang, W. N.; Tong, W. Y. Chem. Biodiversity 2016, 13, 48-65.

(2) Chen, L. X.; He, H.; Qiu, F. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2011, 28, 705-740.
(3) Ray, A. B.; Gupta, E. Withasteroids, a Growing Group of Naturally Occurring Steroidal Lactones. In Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products; Herz, W., Kirby, G. W., Moore, R. E., Steglich, W., Tamm, C., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Wien, 1994; Vol. 63, pp 1-106.

(4) Pérez-Castorena, A. L.; Oropeza, R. F.; Vázquez, A. R.; Martínez, M.; Maldonado, E. J. Nat. Prod. **2006**, 69, 1029–1033.

(5) Maldonado, E.; Hurtado, N. E.; Pérez-Castorena, A. L.; Martínez, M. Steroids 2015, 104, 72–78.

(6) Sun, C. P.; Yuan, T.; Wang, L.; Kang, N.; Zhao, F.; Chen, L. X.; Qiu, F. RSC Adv. **2016**, *6*, 76838–76847.

(7) Pérez-Castorena, A. L.; Martínez, M.; Maldonado, E. J. Nat. Prod. **2010**, 73, 1271–1276.

(8) Maldonado, E.; Pérez-Castorena, A. L.; Romero, Y.; Martínez,
 M. J. Nat. Prod. 2015, 78, 202–207.

(9) Zhang, C. R.; Khan, W.; Bakht, J.; Nair, M. G. Food Chem. 2016, 196, 726-732.

(10) Maldonado, E.; Torres, F. R.; Martínez, M.; Pérez-Castorena, A. L. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 1511–1513.

(11) Kennelly, E. J.; Gerhäuser, C.; Song, L. L.; Graham, J. G.; Beecher, C. W. W.; Pezzuto, J. M.; Kinghorn, A. D. J. Agric. Food Chem. **1997**, 45, 3771–3777.

(12) Lv, H.; Fu, C. S.; Hu, H. X.; Wang, X. N.; Ren, D. M.; Lou, H. X.; Shen, T. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. **2018**, 78, 63–65.

(13) Damu, A. G.; Kuo, P. C.; Su, C. R.; Kuo, T. H.; Chen, T. H.; Bastow, K. F.; Lee, K. H.; Wu, T. S. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1146– 1152.

(14) Aguilar, A.; Camacho, J. R.; Chino, S.; Jácquez, P.; López, M. E. Herbario Medicinal del Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social; IMSS: Mexico, 1994; p 196.

(15) Choudhary, M. I.; Nawaz, S. A.; Zaheer-ul-Haq; Lodhi, M. A.; Ghayur, M. N.; Jalil, S.; Riaz, N.; Yousuf, S.; Malik, A.; Gilani, A. H.; Atta-ur-Rahman. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2005**, 334, 276–287.

(16) Cambie, R. C.; Moratti, S. C.; Rutledge, P. S.; Weston, R. J.; Woodgate, P. D. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1990**, *43*, 1151–1162.

(17) Raldugin, V. A.; Demenkova, L. I.; Pentegova, V. A. Chem. Nat. Compd. 1978, 14, 286-289.

(18) Vila, R.; Mundina, M.; Tomi, F.; Furlán, R.; Zacchino, S.; Casanova, J.; Cañigueral, S. *Planta Med.* **2002**, *68*, 164–167.

(19) Arciniegas, A.; González, K.; Pérez-Castorena, A. L.; Maldonado, J.; Villaseñor, J. L.; Romo de Vivar, A. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 1584–1589.

(20) Werner, L.; Machara, A.; Adams, D. R.; Cox, D. P.; Hudlicky, T. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4628–4634.

(21) Cao, C. M.; Wu, X.; Kindscher, K.; Xu, L.; Timmermann, B. N. J. Nat. Prod. **2015**, 78, 2488–2493.

(22) Nicotra, V. E.; Ramacciotti, N. S.; Gil, R. R.; Oberti, J. C.; Feresin, G. E.; Guerrero, C. A.; Baggio, R. F.; Garland, M. T.; Burton, G. J. Nat. Prod. **2006**, 69, 783–789.

(23) Sun, C. P.; Qiu, C. Y.; Yuan, T.; Nie, X. F.; Sun, H. X.; Zhang, Q.; Li, H. X.; Ding, L. Q.; Zhao, F.; Chen, L. X.; Qiu, F. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2016**, 79, 1586–1597.

(24) Cao, C. M.; Zhang, H.; Gallagher, R. J.; Timmermann, B. N. J. Nat. Prod. 2013, 76, 2040–2046.

(25) Ramachandran Nair, A.G.; Ramesh, P.; Sankara Subramanian, S.; Joshi, B.S. *Phytochemistry* **1978**, *17*, 591–592.

(26) Wang, Y.; Hamburger, M.; Gueho, J.; Hostettmann, K. *Phytochemistry* **1989**, *28*, 2323–2327.

(27) Sinha, S. C.; Ali, A.; Bagchi, A.; Sahai, M.; Ray, A. B. *Planta Med.* **1987**, *53*, 55–57.

(28) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2015, C71, 3-8.

(29) Crystallographic data for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre: CCDC 1850443 (1), CCDC 1850444 (4a), and CCDC 1850445 (16a). Copies of these data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: + 44-1223-336).

(30) Zhong, Y. L.; Shing, T. K. M. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2622-2624.

(31) Ye, X. P.; Song, C. Q.; Yuan, P.; Mao, R. G. Zhongguo Tianran Yaowu **2010**, *8*, 349–352.

(32) Ellman, G. L.; Courtney, K. D.; Andres, V.; Featherstone, R. M. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1961, 7, 88–95.

(33) Zaidi, H.; Ouchemoukh, S.; Amessis-Ouchemoukh, N.; Debbache, N.; Pacheco, R.; Serralheiro, M. L.; Araujo, M. E. *Eur. J. Integr. Med.* **2019**, *25*, 77–84.