Ram S. Bhatt (1b), Nitya G. Kundu* (1c), T. Ling Chwang (1d) and Charles

Heidelberger* (1e)


LAC-USC Cancer Center Research Laboratory, University of Southern California, 1303 N. Mission Road, Los Angeles, CA 90033 Received January 7, 1981

Condensation of 2,4-dimethoxy-5-iodo-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (10) with copper (I) 3-tetrahydropyranyloxyprop-1-ynide (4) afforded 2,4-dimethoxy-5-(3'-tetrahydropyranyloxyprop-1'-yn)-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (11), which was hydrolyzed to produce 2,4-dimethoxy-5-(3'-hydroxyprop-1'-yn)-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (12). Oxidation of 12 with dimethyl sulfoxide-oxalyl chloride reagent gave the acetylenic aldehyde (13), which on treatment with sodium methoxide in dry tetrahydrofuran yielded 2,4-dimethoxy-5-ethynyl-6carbomethoxypyrimidine (14). The trimethylsilyl derivative (15) was deprotected by sequential treatment with iodotrimethylsilane and aqueous sodium hydroxide, leading to the formation of 5-ethynylorotic acid (1).

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 18, 771 (1981).


In continuation of our interest in derivatives of uracil as anticancer agents (2-4), we have recently devoted our attention to derivatives of orotic acid (uracil-6-carboxylic acid), a key intermediate in the *de novo* synthesis of pyrimidine ribo-nucleotides and deoxyribonucleotides (5-8). We desired to synthesize a compound that might serve as a metabolic antagonist of this substance. In view of the pronounced inhibitory effects of 5-fluoroorotic acid and 5-azaorotic acid on the biosynthesis of pyrimidines in nucleic acids (9,10), plus the fact that the various drugs incorporating the acetylenic moiety can function as specific enzyme inhibitors (11-13), we devised 5-ethynylorotic acid as a possible inhibitor of dihydroorotate dehydrogennase. In this paper, we report the chemical synthesis of 5-ethynylorotic acid (1).

Kwatra and co-workers have reported (14) the use of copper(I) 3-tetrahydropyranyloxyprop-1-ynide (4) (15) to synthesize aryl acetylenic compounds. We first tried unsuccessfully to condense methyl 5-iodoorotate (2) with this reagent. The coupling reaction between the di-O-silylated methyl 5-iodoorotate (3) and the copper(I) acetylenide (4) also did not work, which we attribute to the steric hindrance of the C-4 trimethylsilyloxy group on the C-5 position of the pyrimidine ring.



0022-152X/81/040771-04\$02.25

We then chose 2,4-dimethoxy-5-iodo-6-carbomethoxypyimidine (10) as the starting material for the synthesis of the target compound, 1. Preparation of 10 was initially attempted by intereaction of methyl 5-iodoorotate (2) with phosphorus oxychloride in the presence of dimethylaniline with the intent of reacting the resulting dichloride, 7, with sodium methoxide (Scheme 1). This reaction produced a dichloride, but its nmr and mass spectral analyses showed that it had lost the iodine at C-5. In the nmr spectrum (deuteriochloroform-TMS) of the dichloride the singlet resonance at δ 7.95 was diagnostic of the presence of H-5. Further identification of this compound was done by comparing its mp 54-56° with the mp (55-56°) as reported by Daves, et al. (16). Thus, this dichloride was identified as 2,4-dichloro-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (8). Compound 8 on treatment with sodium methoxide in boiling methanol produced 2,4-dimethoxy-6-carbomethoxy-pyrimidine (9) which had a mp 108-109° identical to that reported in the




literature (17). Gershon (17) had previously described the preparation of compound 9 by treatment of orotic acid with phosphorus oxychloride followed by reaction with sodium methoxide. Because of the low cost of orotic acid, we adopted Gershon's procedure for the preparation of compound 9.

Iodination of compound **9** with *N*-iodosuccinimide (Scheme II) in refluxing glacial acetic acid and acetic anhydride (5:1) yielded 2,4-dimethoxy-5-iodo-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (**10**) in 30% yield. However, when the

© HeteroCorporation

iodination was performed in a mixture of trifluoroacetic acid and trifluoroacetic anhydride, the yield increased to 95-97%. The substitution at C-5 of compound 9 was readily confirmed by the disappearance of the singlet due to H-5 at δ 7.05 in the nmr spectrum of compound 10. Compound 10 also displayed a molecular ion at m/e 324 consistent with its structural formula, which was further confirmed by its elemental analysis.

Condensation of compound 10 with copper(I) acetylenide (4) in dry pyridine, under argon at reflux temperature resulted in 80-85% yield of 2,4-dimethoxy-5-(3'-tetrahydropyranyloxyprop-1'-yn)-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (11), which was characterized by its elemental analysis and spectroscopic data. Although infrared spectrum showed a very weak $C \equiv C$ triple bond absorption at 2100 cm⁻¹, the nmr spectrum, in addition to showing the resonances due to methoxy groups, displayed resonances of the protons of the side chain attached to the $C \equiv C$ triple bond. In particular, the low field distinct singlet at δ 4.5 integrating for two hydrogens was readily assigned to the methylene hydrogens between $C \equiv C$ triple bond and the oxygen atom (C = C-CH₂-O-). The broad resonance at δ 4.9, integrating for one hydrogen, was attributed to -O-CH-O- of the tetrahydropyranyloxy ring.




Acidic hydrolysis of 11 with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in refluxing methanol for $\frac{1}{2}$ hour gave the corresponding

acetylenic-alcohol (12) in almost quantitative yeild. The disappearance of the broad resonance at δ 4.9 and the multiplet resonance at δ 1.65 in compound 12 was indicative of the removal of the tetrahydropyranyloxy group.

The transformation of compound 12 into the acetylenicaldehyde (13) was accomplished in 70-84% yield by reaction with a reagent prepared by the treatment of oxalyl chloride with dimethyl sulfoxide in dichloromethane at -78°, followed by reaction with triethylamine (18). The mass spectrum of 13 showed a correct molecular ion and the nmr spectrum displayed a singlet at δ 9.5, integrating for one hydrogen, which is diagnostic of the presence of an aldehydic proton.

Treatment of compound 13 with dry sodium methoxide in dry tetrahydrofuran, under argon, afforded 2,4-dimethoxy-5-ethynyl-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (14), in 70% yield. The presence of the ethynyl proton was readily recognized in the nmr spectra of compound 14, which exhibited a singlet at δ 3.55. The infrared spectrum of compound 14 showed a weak, but sharp, absorption at 2095 cm⁻¹, characteristic of a C = C triple bond. Except for the acetylenic-aldehyde, 13, the infrared spectra of all these compounds displayed rather a weak absorption due to the C = C triple bond.

A trialkylsilyl group (19-21) was shown to provide a satisfactory means of protection of terminal acetylenic moiety. Thus, silylation of compound 14 was conducted by reacting its tetrahydrofuran solution at -78° with t-butyllithium, under argon, followed by addition of chlorotrimethylsilane, to afford 2,4-dimethoxy-5-(trimethylsilylacetylene)-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (15) in low yield (25%). Unfortunately, the use of other bases, such as lithium diisopropylamide (22) and lithium bis(trimethylsilyl) amide (23) did not improve the yield. In each case a mixture of several products was obtained. The desired product, compound 15, had an Rf slightly higher than the starting material, while all other products moved to the solvent front. Because of the very similar Rf values of the faster moving products, their resolution could not be effected. However, we believe that one of the faster moving products, probably the major product, was the one formed by the concomitant nucleophilic attack of the base on the ester group. For example, when 2,4-dimethoxy-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (17) was reacted with *t*-butyllithium under the same reaction conditions, followed by the addition of chlorotrimethylsilane, the sole product obtained was the tertiary butyl ketone (18) which was identified by its nmr and mass spectra.



June 1981

Selective de-O-methylation of compound 15 was accomplished according to the procedure of Jung, et al. (24). A carbon tetrachloride solution of 15 in a sealed nmr tube was reacted with iodotrimethylsilane at 52° for four days. The progress of the reaction was monitored by nmr spectroscopy. Disappearance of the singlets due to methoxy groups at δ 4.05 in the nmr spectrum and the appearance of a methyl iodide singlet around δ 2.0 was indicative of the completion of the reaction. The addition of water or methanol to the residue left after removing the solvent gave the deblocked methyl ester, 16, in 52% yield.

Treatment of a methanol solution of compound 16 with aqueous sodium hydroxide for 2 hours at room temperature after neutralization with 0.1N hydrochloric acid to pH 5.5, gave the desired 5-ethynylorotic acid (1) in 40% yield, which was characterized by its spectroscopic data and elemental analysis.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Mass spectra were recorded by Dr. H. Boettger of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA on an MS-9 instrument at 70 eV and a source temperature of 150-200°. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were determined on a Varian EM-390 90 MHz spectrometer in the solvents indicated, using tetramethylsilane as an internal indictor. Unless otherwise mentioned, ir spectra were recorded as potassium bromide plates on a Beckman IR-10. The uv spectra were performed on a Beckman Model-25 spectrophotometer. Copper(I) 3-tetrahydro-pyranyloxyprop-1-ynide (4) was prepared according to the method of Owsley and Castro (25). The elemental analyses of all new compounds were performed by Spang Microanalytical Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI. Unless otherwise mentioned, tlc was performed on Eastman chromagram sheets (6060 silica gel with fluorescent indicator) in solvents as indicated. Tlc's of reaction mixtures in pyridine or acetic acid solution were taken after completely removing the solvent by co-evaporation with toluene.

2,4-Dimethoxy-5-iodo-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (10).

A mixture of compound 9 (17.38 g, 87.8 mmoles) was refluxed for 20 minutes with trifluoroacetic acid (150 ml) and trifluoroacetic anhydride (30 ml). N-Iodosuccinimide (39.5 g, 175 mmoles) was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 8 hours when tlc (chloroform-ethyl acetate, 15:1) showed that the starting material had disappeared, giving rise to a faster moving product. After allowing the reaction mixture to cool to room temparature, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue left was dissolved in chloroform (600 ml), and successively washed with water (50 ml), saturated sodium bicarbonate (2 x 40 ml), saturated sodium thiosulfate (2 x 40 ml) and water (2 x 40 ml). The chloroform solution was dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated to dryness to give 27.53 g (97%) of compound 10, mp 110-112°. For most purposes compound 10 was used without any further purification. An analytical sample prepared by crystallizing 10 from hot methanol showed mp 112-113°; nmr (deuteriochloroform): δ 3.95 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.0 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.05 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ms: m/e 324 (M⁺), 309 (M-CH₃); ir: v max 1715 cm⁻¹ (COOCH₃); uv (methanol): λ max 283 nm (ϵ 4,080), 231 nm (ϵ 7,800).

Anal. Calcd. for C₆H₉IN₂O₄: C, 29.62; H, 2.78; N, 8.64. Found: C, 29.74; H, 2.79; N, 8.65.

2,4-Dimethoxy-5-(3'.tetrahydropyranyloxyprop-1'.yn)-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (11).

A suspension of 10 (3.24 g, 10 mmoles) and copper(I) 3-tetrahydropyranyloxyprop-1-ynide (4) (2.42 g, 12 mmoles) in dry pyridine (50 ml) under argon was refluxed for 2 hours. Tlc (chloroform-ethyl acetate, 15:1) showed that the starting material had disappeared and that a slower moving product was formed. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and most of the pyridine was evaporated under vacuum. The resulting dark residue was poured into ice-water (100 ml) and extracted with chloroform (3 x 150 ml). The combined chloroform solution was washed with cold 1N hydrochloric acid (2 x 50 ml), water, saturated sodium bicarbonate and again with water. After drying (magnesium sulfate), the chloroform solution was concentrated to dryness to give a greenish mobile syrup. Elution on a column of silica gel (300 g) with chloroform-ethyl acetate (50:1) afforded 11 (2.85 g, 85%); mp 80-81°; nmr (deuteriochloroform): 8 1.65 (m, 6H, 3 x CH₂), 3.55 (t, 2H, OCH₂ of tetrahydropyran ring), 3.95 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.05 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.08 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.5 (s, 2H, C = C-CH₂-O), 4.9 (broad, 1H,-O-CHO-); ms: m/e 336 (M⁺), 321 (M-CH₃), 278 (M-COOCH₂), 235 (M-C₄H₄O₂); ir: 2100 $(C \equiv C)$, 1728 cm⁻¹ (COOCH₃); uv (methanol); λ 304 nm (ϵ 5,100), 244 nm (e 11,100).

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{22}N_2O_6$: C, 57.14; H, 5.95; N, 8.33. Found: C, 57.20; H, 6.03; N, 8.35.

2,4-Dimethoxy-5-(3'-hydroxyprop-1'-yn)-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (12).

A mixture of 11 (6.7 g, 19.9 mmoles) and p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (0.377 g, 1.988 mmoles) in methanol (80 ml) was refluxed for 1 hour when tlc (chloroform-ethyl acetate, 8:1) showed completion of the reaction. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and treated with anhydrous potassium carbonate (1.38 g, 10 mmoles). After stirring for 5 minutes, the reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in chloroform (300 ml) and washed with water (3 x 20 ml). After drying over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, the chloroform solution was concentrated to dryness to yield 12 in almost quantitative yield, and was used as such for most purposes. An analytical sample was prepared by crystallizing a small amount from dichloromethane-petroleum ether, mp 136-137°; nmr (deuteriochloroform): δ 3.95 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.05 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.1 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.5 (s, 2H, C = C-CH₂-O); ms: m/e 252 (M⁺), 237 (M-CH₃), 194 (M-COOCH₂); ir: 3450 cm⁻¹ (OH), 2100 cm⁻¹ (C = C), 1720 cm⁻¹ (COOCH₃); uv (methanol): λ max 295 nm (ϵ 6,050), 245 nm (ϵ , 11,000). Anal. Calcd. for C11H12N2O5: C, 52.38; H, 4.76; N, 11.11. Found: C,

62.32; H, 4.77; N, 11.02.

2,4-Dimethoxy-5-(2'-formyl-1'-ethynyl)-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (13).

To a cooled (-78°) solution of oxalyl chloride (4.77 ml, 54.68 mmoles) in dichloromethane (30 ml) under argon was added dropwise with stirring a dichloromethane solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (7.75 ml, 109.35 mmoles). Ten minutes after the addition was over, the resulting solution was slowly treated with a solution of 12 (10.6 g, 42.06 mmoles) in dichloromethane (170 ml) and dimethyl sulfoxide (10 ml). After stirring for 1/2 hour at -78°, triethylamine (36 ml) was added and the reaction mixture was slowly allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (300 ml) and washed consecutively with water (2 x 50 ml), saturated sodium chloride (2 x 80 ml) and water (2 x 30 ml). The dichloromethane solution was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated in a rotary evaporator to a pinkish residue, which was eluted on a silica gel column with chloroform-ethyl acetate (40:1). Crystallization from dichloromethane-petroleum ether gave 7.5 g (71%) of 13, m.p. 156-158; nmr (deuteriochloroform): δ 4.0 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.09 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.12 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 9.5 (s, 1H, CHO); ms: m/e 250 (M^{*}), 235 (M-CH₃); ir: 2195 cm⁻¹ (C = C), 1728 cm⁻¹ (COOCH₃), 1640 cm⁻¹ (C = C-CHO); uv (methanol): $\lambda \max 295 \text{ nm} (\epsilon 6,100), 245 \text{ nm} (\epsilon, 11,800).$ Anal. Calcd. for C11H10N2O5: C, 52.80; H, 4.00; N, 11.2. Found: C, 52.68; H, 3.98; N, 11.2.

2,4-Dimethoxy-5-ethynyl-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (14).

To a solution of 13 (2.60 g., 10 mmoles) in dry tetrahydrofuran (100 ml) was added dry sodium methoxide (0.648 g, 12 mmoles) and stirred under argon at room temperature for 1 hour. Tlc (chloroform-ethyl acetate, 10:1) showed that all of the starting material had been consumed and that a faster moving product had appeared. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness. The residue was taken up in chloroform (250 ml)

and washed with saturated ammonium chloride (2 x 20 ml) and then with water 2 x 25 ml). The chloroform solution was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated to dryness in a rotary evaporator. The resulting reddish-brown residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column with chloroform-ethyl acetate (50:1). Crystallization from carbon tetrachloride afforded 1.54 g (70%) of **14**, mp 135-137°; nmr (deuterio-chloroform): δ 3.55 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.95 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.05 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.1 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ms: m/e 222 (M⁺); ir: 3225 (C = C-H), 2095 (C = C), 1720 cm⁻¹ (COOCH₃); uv (methanol): λ max 290 nm (ϵ 5,900), 242 nm (ϵ 11,900).

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{10}H_{10}N_2O_4$: C, 54.05; H, 4.50; N, 12.61. Found: C, 53.87; H, 4.41; N, 12.47.

2,4-Dimethoxy-5 (trimethylsilylethynyl)-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (15).

To a cooled (-78°) solution of 14 (0.44 g, 2 mmoles) in dry tetrahydrofuran (50 ml) under argon, was added t-butyllithium (1.1 ml of 2M solution, 2.2 mmoles) and was stirred for 3-4 minutes. Trimethylsilyl chloride was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Tlc (chloroform ethyl acetate, 15:1) showed completion of the reaction, with one spot moving slightly faster than the starting material and several other spots moving to the solvent front. After diluting with ether (200 ml), the reaction mixture was washed with water (3 x 20 ml). After drying over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, the ether solution was concentrated to dryness. The residue was eluted on a silica gel column with chloroform-ethyl acetate (50:1) to give 15 (0.147 g, 25%), mp 57-58°; nmr (deuteriochloroform): δ 0.22 [s, 9H, Si-(CH₃)₃], 3.9 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.0 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.05 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ms: m/e 279 (M-CH₃), 249 (M-CH₃-OCH₂); ir (chloroform): 2150 cm⁻¹ (C = C), 1730 cm⁻¹ (COOCH₁); uv (methanol): λ max 299 nm (ϵ 4,300), 250 nm (ϵ , 8,200). Anal. Calcd. for C13H18N2O4Si: C, 53.06; H, 6.14. Found: C, 52.99; H,

6.11. Following the above procedure and under identical conditions 2,4-dimethoxy-6-carbomethoxypyrimidine (17 (0.198 g, 1 mmole) was reacted with t-butyllithium (0.55 ml of 2 M solution, 1.1 mmoles) and chlorotrimethylsilane (1.5 mmoles). After working up the reaction as described above for compound 15, 196 mg (87.5%) of 2,4-dimethoxy-6-t-butylacylpyrimidine (18), was obtained, mp 59-60°; nmr (deuteriochloroform); δ 1.4 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃], 4.0 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.05 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.8 (s, 1H, H-5); ms: m/e 224 (M⁺), 209 (M-CH₃); uv (methanol): λ max 268 nm (ϵ 3,200), 284 nm (ϵ 3,000).

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{11}H_{16}N_2O_3$: C, 68.92; H, 7.4; N, 12.5. Found: C, 58.88; H, 7.31; N, 12.48.

Methyl 5-(Trimethylsilylethynyl)orotate (16).

To a solution of **15** (0.078 g, 0.265 mmoles) in carbon tetrachloride (0.7 ml) in a nmr tube was added iodotrimethylsilane (0.136 ml, 0.955 mmole). The nmr tube was tightly sealed and heated at 52° for 4 days or until the nmr analysis indicated the disappearance of O-methoxy resonances. The reaction contents were then poured into a small round bottom flask, and the solvent was evaporated under a stream of argon. Any residual iodotrimethylsilane was removed on a vacuum pump. The resulting residue was treated with methanol (0.5 ml). The crystalline precipitate was filtered and washed with a small amount of methanol and ether to give **16** (0.037 g, 52%), mp 170-173 dec.; nmr (DMSO-d₈): $\delta 0.18$ [s, 9H, Si (CH₃)₃], 3.8 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 11.5 (broad, 2H, NH's); ms: m/e 251 (M-CH₃), 193 (M-CH₃-COOCH₂); ir: 2090 (C = C), 1715 cm⁻¹ (COOCH₃); uv (methanol): λ max 311 nm (ϵ 5,000), 232 nm (ϵ 9,000).

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{11}H_{14}N_2O_4Si: C, 49.73; H, 5.26; N, 10.52$. Found: C, 49.63; H, 5.02; N, 10.19.

5-Ethynylorotic Acid (1).

A solution of 16 (0.053 g, 0.2 mmole) in methanol (1 ml) was treated with aqueous sodium hydroxide (40 mg, 1 mmole) dissolved in 1 ml of water and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The on silica gel (chloroform-methanol, 8:1) showed that the starting material had disappeared. The on cellulose (2-propanol-ammonium hydroxide-water, 7:1:3) showed a spot with Rf = 0.38. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in water and acidified to pH 5.5 by adding 0.1N hydrochloric acid. This solution was applied on the top of a DEAE-cullulose column (ammonium formate form) and eluted with water to remove inorganic salts. The product was then eluted with a gradient of ammonium formate (20 mmoles to 200 mmoles) to afford, after lyophilization, **1**, as its ammonium salt (0.016 g, 40%); nmr (deuterium oxide-SDSS): δ 3.75 (s, 1H, C = CH); ms: m/e 180 (M⁺); ir: 2110 (C = C), 3228 cm⁻¹ (C = C-H); uv (water): λ max 288 nm (ϵ , 6,100), 224 nm (ϵ 13,200).

Anal. Calcd. for $C_7H_7N_3O_4$: C, 42.63; H, 3.55. Found: C, 42.28; H, 3.21.

Acknowledgement.

This investigation was supported by Contract NO1-CM-67084, from the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of H.H.S.

We thank Mr. Robert P. Hertzberg for technical assistance and Dr. H. Boettger of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA for the mass spectral data.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

(1) Presented in part before the Division of Medicinal Chemistry, the Second Chemical Congress of the North American Continent, the meeting of the ACS, Las Vegas, Nevada, August 24, 1980; (b) present address: City of Hope Medical Center, Molecular Genetic Section, Duarte, CA 91010; (c) School of Pharmacy and Cancer Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, Ca. 90033; (d) Present address: Division of Pharmacology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38101; (e) Departments of Biochemistry and Pathology, and Cancer Center, School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90033.

(2) R. Duschinsky, E. Pleven and C. Heidelberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79, 4559 (1957).

(3) C. Heidelberger, in "Antineoplastic and Immunosuppressive Agents", A. C. Sartorelli and D. G. Johns, Eds., Vol. 2, Springer Verlag, 1975, pp. 193-231.

(4) C. Heidelberger and D. H. King, in "Antiviral Agents", D. Shugar, Ed., "Pharmacol. Thera"., Vol. 6, Pergamon Press, Oxford, England, 1974, pp. 427-442.

(5) J. N. Davidson, "The Biochemistry of Nucleic Acids," Methuen and Co., Ltd., London, 1957, p. 161.

(6) H. Arvidson, N. A. Eliasson, E. Hammersten, P. Reichard, H. V. Ubisch and S. Bergstrom, J. Biol. Chem., 179, 169 (1949).

(7) L. L. Weed, Cancer Res., 11, 470 (1951).

(8) L. D. Wright, K. A. Valentic, S. D. Spicer, J. W. Huff and H. R. Skeggs, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 75, 293 (1950).

(9) J. Keneti, E. Golovinsky, I. Yukhnovsky and D. Genchev, *Theoret.* Biol., 26, 19 (1970).

(10) A. Cihak, J. Vegely and F. Sorm, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 33, 1778 (1968).

(11) R. R. Rando, Science, 185, 320 (1979).

(12) J. Perman, R. A. Sharma and M. Bobek, Tetrahedron Letters, 2427 (1976).

(13) M. Bobek and A. Bloch, "Chemistry and Biology of Nucleosides and Nucleotides", Academic Press, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1978, p. 135.

(14) M. M. Kwatra, D. Z. Simon, R. L. Salvador and P. D. Cooper, J. Med. Chem., **21** 253 (1978).

(15) R. E. Atkinson, R. F. Curtis, D. M. Jones and J. A. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc. C. 2173 (1969).

(16) G. D. Daves, Jr., F. Bajocchi, R. K. Robins, and C. C. Cheng, J. Org. Chem., 26, 2755 (1961).

(17) H. Gershon, ibid., 27, 3507 (1962).

- (18) A. J. Mancuso, S. L. Huang, and D. Swern, *ibid.*, 43, 2480 (1978).
 (19) D. R. M. Walton, "Protective Groups in Organic Chemistry", J.
- F. W. McOmie, Ed., Plenum Press., London, 1973, chapter 1.

(20) R. Eastwood, T. R. Johnson and D. R. M. Walton, *Tetrahedron*, **28**, 4601 (1972).

- (21) S. J. Morris and D. R. M. Walton, ibid., 34, 1037 (1978).
- (22) C. Celia and C. Ainsworth, Tetrahedron Letters, 93 (1979).
- (23) M. W. Rathke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 3222 (1970).
- (24) M. E. Jung and M. A. Lyster, J. Org. Chem., 42, 3761 (1977).
- (25) D. C. Owsley and C. E. Castro, Org. Synth., 52, 128 (1972).