Chemistry Letters 1997 437

## Iridium-Catalyzed Redistribution of Hydrodisilanes *via* a Silyl(silylene)iridium(III) Complex: Synthesis of a Donor-Stabilized Silyl(silylene)iridium(III) Complex

Masaaki Okazaki, Hiromi Tobita,\* and Hiroshi Ogino\*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-77

(Received January 16, 1997; CL-970032)

Thermolysis of HMePhSiSiMe3 in the presence of a catalytic amount of  $Ir\{\eta^2-Me_2Si(CH_2)_2PPh_2\}(PMe_3)_3$  resulted in the redistribution of substituents to give its isomer HMe\_2SiSiMe\_2Ph. We propose the mechanism involving the 1,3-Me-shift on a silyl(silylene) complex, which was trapped as a donor-stabilized silyl(silylene)iridium(III) complex.

A silyl(silylene) complex has been considered as a key intermediate in transition-metal-mediated redistribution reactions of organosilicon compounds. 1 It was found that photo-chemical conversion of CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMeR<sub>2</sub> (R = Ph, Et, CD<sub>3</sub>) to  $CpFe(CO)_2SiMe_{3-n}R_n$  (n = 0, 1, 2) is accompanied by the scrambling of substituents on silicon atoms, and Pannell et al. and we proposed a mechanism involving the 1.3-migration of substituents on silyl(silylene) intermediates.<sup>2</sup> Recently, Pannell et al. reported that thermolysis of hydrodisilanes with a catalytic amount of  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)(SiMe_3)$  caused isomerization of disilanes, where they proposed a mechanism involving a silvl(silvlene)iron intermediate.<sup>3</sup> Tamao et al. described a Pd(0)catalyzed skeletal rearrangement of alkoxytrisilanes, and they proposed the mechanism involving a silyl(silylene)palladium(II) intermediate, which is stabilized by an intramolecular donor.<sup>4</sup> In these catalytic reactions, however, nothing has been explored on the isolation of the intermediate, i.e., the silyl(silylene) complex or its stabilized form. We report here an Ir(I) complex  $Ir\{\eta^2 - \eta^2 - \eta^2\}$ Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (1)<sup>5</sup> not only catalyzes the redistribution of hydrodisilanes but also forms a donor-stabilized bis(silylene)iridium complex, i.e., the stabilized form of the silyl(silylene) complex.

Treatment of 1 with 1 equiv. HSiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub> at room temperature gave a hydrido(disilanyl)iridium(III) complex Ir(H)(SiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) $\{\eta^2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> $\{PMe_3\}_2$  (2) (eq 1).<sup>6</sup> Recrystallization of 2 from toluene-hexane afforded colorless crystals of 2 in 89% yield. The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>29</sup>Si, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR data established that 2 possesses three phosphorous atoms in a *fac*-configuration as in eq 1.

In the presence of MeOH, 2 was converted, on heating to 45 °C for 2 h, to Ir(H)(SiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe){ $\eta^2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (3)<sup>7</sup> in 73% isolated yield (eq 2),<sup>8</sup> although 2 was thermally stable at this temperature in the absence of MeOH. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, a signal of SiOMe appears as a singlet at 3.45 ppm.

A plausible mechanism is illustrated in Scheme 1: The dissociation of a PMe<sub>3</sub> ligand followed by the reversible 1,2-silyl-shift gives a silyl(silylene) intermediate **A**. MeOH is known to work as an efficient trapping agent of silylene complex to give a hydrido(methoxysilyl) complex.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, MeOH adds to the Ir=Si double bond to give a seven-coordinate iridium(V) intermediate **B**, which then undergoes the reductive elimination of Me<sub>3</sub>SiH<sup>10</sup> and ligation of PMe<sub>3</sub> to give 3.

To confirm the existence of a silyl-silylene intermediate A, complex 1 was allowed to react with HMe<sub>2</sub>SiSiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe. The reaction proceeded cleanly at 45 °C for 8 h to give hydridobis(silylene) complex 4 (eq 3).<sup>11,12</sup> The geometry of 4 can be uniquely determined by the NMR data. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum shows an AX pattern at -60.6 ppm and 32.0 ppm (J(PPcis) = 28.9 Hz), which is consistent with the geometry as shown in eq 3. In the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectrum, the signals of two silylene ligands appeared inequivalently at 62.8 ppm (dd, J(SiPtrans) = 135.9 Hz, J(SiPcis) = 11.8 Hz) and 63.1 ppm (dd, J(SiPtrans) = 136.9 Hz, J(SiPcis) = 13.0 Hz), which are shifted to significantly downfield from those of previously reported silyliridium compounds.<sup>5,9b,13</sup> Moreover, the upfield shift of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signal for the SiOMe group (2.77 ppm) is characteristic of methoxy-bridged bis(silylene) complexes.<sup>14</sup>

1 
$$\xrightarrow{+HMe_2SiSiMe_2OMe}$$
  $\xrightarrow{Me_2Sir}$   $\xrightarrow{OMe}$   $\xrightarrow{Sim_2SiMe_2OMe}$   $\xrightarrow{HPh_2PMe_3}$   $\xrightarrow{Ph_2PMe_3}$   $\xrightarrow{HPh_2PMe_3}$ 

The formation of **4** can be also explained by the mechanism involving the 1,2-silyl-shift to the iridium center as shown in Scheme 1. In the case of HMe<sub>2</sub>SiSiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe, a silyl(silylene) intermediate corresponding to **A** can be stabilized by the bridging methoxy group to give a bis(silylene) complex **4**.

Reaction of 1 with 5 equiv. HPhMeSiSiMe<sub>3</sub> was carried out at room temperature for 2 h. Complex 1 reacted with 1 equiv. HPhMeSiSiMe<sub>3</sub> to give 5 via the rearrangement of substituents on a disilanyl ligand (eq 4).<sup>15</sup> A small amount of HMe<sub>2</sub>SiSiMe<sub>2</sub>Ph was also detected spectroscopically. The formation of 6, a simple oxidative addition product, was

confirmed in the course of the reaction, but 6 finally disappeared. 16 Furthermore, thermolysis of this solution at 45 °C underwent the rearrangement of hydrodisilane to give an isomeric mixture of HPhMeSiSiMe3 and HMe2SiSiMe2Ph. After 5 days, the molar ratio of HPhMeSiSiMe3 to HMe<sub>2</sub>SiSiMe<sub>2</sub>Ph became 2:3, and 5 finally decomposed.<sup>17</sup> We treated HMe2SiSiMe2Ph under the same conditions in the presence of 1, which resulted in the formation of a mixture of HPhMeSiSiMe<sub>3</sub> and HMe<sub>2</sub>SiSiMe<sub>2</sub>Ph in the ratio of 2:5. The decomposition of 5 formed in the reaction was also confirmed spectroscopically.<sup>17</sup> Each reaction led to the isomeric mixture of hydrodisilane in the different ratio. This means that an active catalyst 518 decomposed before achieving the equilibrium.17 This isomerization reactions can be explained by the mechanism shown in Scheme 2. This mechanism also involves the generation of a silyl(silylene) intermediate, which causes a 1,3-Me-shift and 1,2-silyl-shift. The resulting disilanyl complex eliminates an isomeric hydrodisilane.

To detect the silyl-silylene intermediate in Scheme 2, we employed HMe(MeO)SiSiMe<sub>3</sub> instead of HPhMeSiSiMe<sub>3</sub>. Thermolysis of 1 in the presence of HMe(MeO)SiSiMe<sub>3</sub> led to the clean formation of 4. This apparently demonstrates that the skeletal rearrangement of hydrodisilanes take place *via* the silyl(silylene) intermediate, which causes the 1,3-Me-shift as shown in Scheme 2.

In this paper, we firstly succeeded in the isolation of a donorstabilized silyl(silylene) species, which is a stabilized form of a key intermediate formed in the transition-metal-mediated redistribution of substituents of silicon atoms.

## References and Notes

- 1 H. K. Sharma and K. H. Pannell, Chem. Rev., 95, 1351 (1995).
- K. H. Pannell, J. Cervantes, C. Hernandez, J. Cassias, and S. Vincenti, Organometallics, 5, 1056 (1986). H. Tobita, K. Ueno, and H. Ogino, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 61, 2979 (1988).
- 3 K. H. Pannell, M. Brun, H. Sharma, K. Jones, and S. Sharma, Organometallics, 13, 1075 (1994).
- 4 K. Tamao, G. Sun, and A. Kawachi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 117, 8043 (1995).
- 5 M Okazaki, H. Tobita, and H. Ogino, Organometallics, 15, 2790 (1996).
- (1996). Selected data for 2:  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  -12.78 (dt, J(HPtrans) = 105.1 Hz, J(HPcis) = 17.1 Hz, 1H, IrH).  $^{29}$ Si NMR (59.6 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  -51.3 (ddd, J(SiPtrans) = 115.6 Hz, J(SiPcis) =

11.4, 12.7 Hz, IrSiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>), -13.6 (t, J(SiPcis) = 11.4 Hz, SiMe<sub>3</sub>), 10.5 (ddd, J(SiPtrans) = 116.2 Hz, J(SiPcis) = 11.5, 7.7 Hz, IrSiMe<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  -69.4 (t, J(PPcis) = 23.7 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>), -61.4 (dd, J(PPcis) = 23.7, 17.3 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>), 27.6 (dd, J(PPcis) = 17.3, 23.7 Hz, PPh<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Found: C, 43.29; H, 7.00%. Calcd for C<sub>2</sub>7H<sub>5</sub>4IrP<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>: C, 43.35; H, 7.28%. Selected data for 3: <sup>11</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  -12.82 (dt, Compared to the compared

Selected data for 3: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ -12.82 (dt, J(HPtrans) = 99.0 Hz, J(HPcis) = 18.0 Hz, 1H, IrH), 3.45 (s, 3H, OMe). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ -65.5 (dd, J(PPcis) = 23.1, 25.5 Hz, PMe3), -59.9 (dd, J(PPcis) = 20.2, 25.5 Hz, PMe3), 25.6 (dd, J(PPcis) = 20.2, 23.1 Hz, PPh<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Found: C, 42.94; H, 7.01%. Calcd for C<sub>2</sub>5H4gIrOP<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>: C, 42.53; H, 6.85%.
 Formation of Me<sub>3</sub>SiH was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. <sup>1</sup>H

8 Formation of Me<sub>3</sub>SiH was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of Me<sub>3</sub>SiH (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 4.16 (sep., *J*(HH) = 3.6 Hz, 1H, SiH), 0.00 (d, 9H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>).

 a) K. Ueno, H. Tobita, S. Seki, and H. Ogino, Chem. Lett., 1993, 1723.
 b) M. Okazaki, H. Tobita, and H. Ogino, Chem. Lett., 1996, 477.

- 10 There is another possibility that **B** eliminates HSiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe instead of HSiMe<sub>3</sub>, although the formation of HSiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe was not observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. This is attributable to the existence of the electron-withdrawing methoxy group on the silicon atom. An electron-withdrawing group on a silicon atom is known to strengthen a M-Si bond by increasing M-Si π-honding involving Si d or σ\* orbital
- bond by increasing M-Si  $\pi$ -bonding involving Si d or  $\sigma^*$  orbital.

  11 Selected data for 4:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  -9.88 (dd, J(HPcis) = 14.3, 19.7 Hz, 1H, IrH), 2.77 (s, 3H, SiOMe).  $^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR (59.6 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  12.5 (dd, J(SiPcis) = 9.2, 11.7 Hz, silyl), 62.8 (dd, J(SiPtrans) = 135.9 Hz, J(SiPcis) = 11.8 Hz, silylene), 63.1 (dd, J(SiPtrans) = 136.9 Hz, J(SiPcis) = 13.0 Hz, silylene).  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  -60.6 (d, J(PPcis) = 28.9 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>), 32.0 (d, PPh<sub>2</sub>). Exact mass (70 eV, DEI) m/z Calcd for C<sub>2</sub>4H<sub>4</sub>5IrOP2Si3: 688.1883. Found: 688.1889.
- 12 At room temperature, the reaction of 1 and HMe<sub>2</sub>SiSiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe gave Ir(H)(SiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe){ $\eta^2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> corresponding to 2. Spectroscopic data are similar to those for 2.
- to 2. Spectroscopic data are similar to those for 2.

  13 M. Okazaki, Y. Kawano, H. Tobita, S. Inomata, and H. Ogino, Chem. Lett., 1995, 1005.
- 14 a) H. Tobita, K. Ueno, M. Shimoi, and H. Ogino, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
   112, 3415 (1990). b) H. Tobita, H. Wada, K. Ueno, and H. Ogino,
   Organometallics, 13, 2545 (1994). c) T. Takeuchi, H. Tobita, and H.
   Ogino, Organometallics, 10, 835 (1991).
- Ogino, Organometallics, 10, 835 (1991).

  15 Selected data for 5: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ -12.79 (dt, J(HPtrans) = 104.4 Hz, J(HPcis) = 16.7 Hz, 1H, IrH). <sup>29</sup>Si NMR (59.6 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ -51.2 (ddd, J(SiPtrans) = 119.0 Hz, J(SiPcis) = 11.3, 12.8 Hz, IrSiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>Ph), -16.0 (t, J(SiPcis) = 11.8 Hz, SiMe<sub>2</sub>Ph), 10.3 (ddd, J(SiPtrans) = 115.6 Hz, J(SiPcis) = 7.5, 11.4 Hz, IrSiMe<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ -69.6 (t, J(PPcis) = 23.8 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>), -61.9 (dd, J(PPcis) = 17.1, 23.8 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>), 27.5 (dd, J(PPcis) = 17.1, 23.8 Hz, PPh<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Found: C, 47.20; H, 6.93%. Calcd for C<sub>3</sub>2H<sub>5</sub>6IrP<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>; C, 47.44; H, 6.97%.
- 16 Complex **6** is a mixture of diastereomers **6a** and **6b**.  $^{31}$ P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) data for **6a**:  $\delta$  28.1 (dd, J(PPcis) = 16.3 Hz, 24.2 Hz, PPh<sub>2</sub>), -63.5 (dd, J(PPcis) = 16.3, 24.2 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>), -72.6 (t, J(PPcis) = 24.2 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>). **6b**: 29.4 (dd, J(PPcis) = 17.1, 23.2 Hz, PPh<sub>2</sub>), -62.5 (dd, J(PPcis) = 17.1, 23.2 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>). **71**.8 (t, J(PPcis) = 23.2 Hz, PMe<sub>3</sub>).
- 17 Decomposition of **5** may be attributable to the reaction with a small amount of H<sub>2</sub>O or thermal evolution of the SiR<sub>2</sub> moiety. The decomposition resulted in the formation of *fac*-[Ir(H)(SiMe<sub>3</sub>){η<sup>2</sup>-Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], *fac*-[Ir(H)(SiMe<sub>2</sub>Ph){η<sup>2</sup>-Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], and some unidentified products.
- PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), and some unidentified products.

  18 In the presence of an isolated 5, the catalytic isomerization of the hydrodisilane, HPhMeSiSiMe<sub>3</sub> or HMe<sub>2</sub>SiSiMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, also took place in a similar manner. This means that 5 is one of active catalytic species.