## INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF INSECT ANTIFEEDANT CLERODANES THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF $\pm$ 4-epi Ajugarin

J M. Luteijn and Ae.de Groot\*

Agricultural University, Department of Organic Chemistry, De Dreijen 5, 6703 BC Wageningen, The Netherlands

Summary Starting from alcohol 3, a stereospecific synthesis of carboxylic acid  $\underline{2}$  is described. This acid  $\underline{2}$  is a key intermediate in the total synthesis of ajugarins and its conversion into  $\underline{+}$  4-epi ajugarin is reported.

A number of clerodane diterpenes, as represented by ajugarin  $I^{1,2}$ , (<u>1</u>) and clerodin<sup>3</sup>, possess insect antifeedant activity. During the last few years several papers on synthetic approaches towards these compounds<sup>4,5,6</sup> and of several types of model compounds<sup>7,8</sup> have appeared. Recently we published the synthesis of an interesting model compound for ajugarin I<sup>9</sup>. The communication of Kende and Roth<sup>6</sup> on the total synthesis of ajugarin IV prompts us to report on our synthesis of 4-epi ajugarin I and on our efforts towards the total synthesis of ajugarin I, following the scheme outlined below.



The alcohol  $\underline{3}^{*}$  was chosen as the starting material<sup>10</sup>. Oxidation with Jones' reagent afforded the carboxylic acid in high yield, which was converted into the methyl ester  $\underline{4}$  on treatment with diazomethane Allylic oxidation (chromic acid in acetic acid, 60% yield) of  $\underline{4}$  gave the enone  $\underline{5}$ , which was hydrogenated in quantitative yield (Pd/C) to the ketone  $\underline{6}$  Reduction of this compound with lithium tri-t-butoxy aluminium hydride gave a mixture of the equatorial and axial alcohols

86-87<sup>0</sup>C) in 71% yield and the axial acetate 8 (m p 121-123<sup>0</sup>) in 18% yield



a Jones' reagent, b:  $CH_2N_2$ , c  $CrO_3$ , AcOH; d:  $H_2Pd/C$ , e  $LiH(tBuo)_3A1$ ; f·  $H_3O^+$ ; g: Py, Ac<sub>2</sub>O, DAP.

Treatment of the acetate  $\underline{7}$  with pyridine hydrochloride in refluxing acetic anhydride<sup>11</sup> gave the chlorodiacetate  $\underline{9}$  in high yield. Dehydrohalogenation of this compound with DBN in refluxing xylene afforded the carboxylic acid  $\underline{10}$  (m.p.  $165-166^{\circ}$ C) in relatively low yield (40%). On reaction of  $\underline{7}$  with pyridine hydrobromide the olefin  $\underline{11}$  was obtained in 66% yield next to some starting material (30%), thus avoiding the troublesome DBN reaction.



a PyHCl, Ac<sub>2</sub>O,  $\triangle$ , b DBN,  $\delta$ , c. PyHBr, Ac<sub>2</sub>O,  $\triangle$ ; d: OH<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O, MeOH, e: Py, Ac<sub>2</sub>O, DAP, f H<sub>2</sub>O, Py

Hydrolysis followed by reacetylation gave <u>10</u> This carboxylic acid was converted into its potassium salt and reacted with oxalyl chloride to give the acid chloride <u>12</u> Reaction of this compound with diazomethane followed by hydrolysis of the intermediate diazoketone gave the hydroxy ketone <u>13<sup>12</sup></u>. The overall yield of these conversions (*i.e.* 10  $\rightarrow$  13) was 73% Treatment of 13 with triphenylphosphoranylidene ketene gave the butenolide <u>14</u> (m.p. 165-167<sup>o</sup>C) in 92% yield<sup>13</sup>



In our previous paper we reported the epoxidation of the  $9\beta$ -methyl analogue of  $\underline{14}^9$ . In that reaction both epimeric spiro epoxides were formed using *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid. It was expected that in the present case again both isomers would be formed, thus leading to  $\underline{15}$  and ajugarin I Epoxidation of  $\underline{14}$  with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid in ether however afforded the epoxide  $\underline{15}$  (m p 170-171<sup>0</sup>C) as sole product.

Alternatively the stereospecific epoxidation reaction using  $V^{5^+}$  and *t*-butylhydroperoxide could be used to bring about the right stereochemistry at C-4<sup>14</sup> Prior to this the acetates in <u>14</u> have to be hydrolysed. Unfortunately both acid and base catalysed hydrolysis of <u>14</u> gave rise to the formation of numerous products. The butenolide proved unstable under basic conditions, while acidic conditions caused rearrangement of the olefinic bond

Attempts to protect the butenolide in  $\underline{14}$  were unsuccesful and therefore we tried to circumvent the problem by performing the acetate hydrolysis in an earlier stage of the synthesis



a: MED, TSOH, b OH<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O, c H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, d Ph<sub>3</sub>P=C=C=O, e V<sup>5+</sup>, t-BuOOH, f AC<sub>2</sub>O, Py, DAP

Base catalysed hydrolysis of the hydroxy ketone 13 was unsuccessful as well since a Favorskii rearrangement occurred<sup>15</sup> Acid catalysed hydrolysis was expected to give the same complications

as in the case of <u>14</u> The ketone <u>13</u> was therefore protected as the ethylene ketal <u>16</u> and the acetates were reduced with lithium aluminium hydride to give, after hydrolysis, the triol <u>17</u>. Treatment of this product with triphenylphosphoranylidene ketene<sup>13</sup> was expected to give the butenolide <u>18</u> since steric factors would probably favour reaction at the hydroxyl of the side chain. However, only a very small amount of impure material could be obtained after extensive chromatography. This product was further reacted with V<sup>5+</sup> and *t*-butylhydroperoxyde and then acetylated. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of this compound revealed the presence of a small amount of ajugarin I. Improvement of this procedure is currently under investigation.

## References and Notes

- \* This and subsequent products are pairs of enantiomers All intermediates had Mass, NMR and IR spectra in accord with their expected structures. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of compounds <u>7</u>, <u>14</u> and <u>15</u> will be published in Organic Magnetic Resonance, 1982. Compounds <u>12</u>, <u>13</u>, <u>17</u> and <u>18</u> were obtained as oils. All other compounds were crystalline and showed correct elemental analysis
- 1 I Kubo, Y-W.Lee, V Balogh-Nair, K Nakanishi and A Chapya, J Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm, 949 (1976)
- 2 I.Kubo, M.Kido and Y.Fukuyama, J Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., 897 (1980).
- 3 D.H R.Barton, H.T.Cheung, A.D.Cross, L M Jackman and M Martin-Smith, J. Chem Soc., 5061 (1961)
- 4 D J.Goldsmith, G Srouji and C.Kwong, J. Org. Chem., 43, 3182 (1978).
- 5. S Takahashi, J. Kusumi, H.Kakizawa, Chemistry Lett., 515 (1979).
- 6 A.S.Kende and B Roth, Tetrahedron Lett, 1751 (1982)
- 7 Y.Kojima and N.Kato, Tetrahedron Lett., 5033 (1980).
- 8. S.V Ley, N.S.Simpkins and A J.Whittle, J. Chem. Soc Chem. Comm, 1001 (1981).
- 9. J.M Luteijn and Ae de Groot, J. Org. Chem., 46, 3448 (1981)
- 10 J.M.Luteijn and Ae.de Groot, Tetrahedron Lett., 789 (1981)
- 11 Y.Bessière-Chrétien and C.Grison, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 2499 (1975)
- 12 H H Inhoffen W.Kreiser and R.Panenka, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem, 749, 117 (1971).
- 13. K Nickisch, W.Klose and F Bohlmann, Chem. Ber, 113, 2038 (1980)
- 14 K B Sharpless and R C Michaelson, J. Am. Chem. Soc , 95, 6136 (1973)
- 15. J.C.Craig, A.Dinner and P.J Mulligan, J. Org. Chem., <u>37</u>, 3539 (1972)

(Received in UK 7 June 1982)

3424