

Article

Enantioselective Lewis Acid Catalysis in Intramolecular [2+2] Photocycloaddition Reactions: A Mechanistic Comparison between Representative Coumarin and Enone Substrates

Richard Brimioulle, Andreas Bauer, and Thorsten Bach

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b01740 • Publication Date (Web): 25 Mar 2015 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 7, 2015

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties

Enantioselective Lewis Acid Catalysis in Intramolecular [2+2] Photocycloaddition Reactions: A Mechanistic Comparison between Representative Coumarin and Enone Substrates

Richard Brimioulle,^a Andreas Bauer,^a and Thorsten Bach^{a*}

^a Department Chemie and Catalysis Research Center (CRC) Technische Universität München, D-85747 Garching, Germany

thorsten.bach@ch.tum.de

Received

Abstract. The intramolecular [2+2] photocycloaddition of three 4-(alk-4-enyl)coumarins and three 1-(alk-4-enoyl)-2,3-dihydropyridones was studied in the absence and in the presence of Lewis acids (irradiation wavelength $\lambda = 366$ nm). Spectral and kinetic data were collected for the respective parent compounds with a pent-4-enyl and a pent-4-enoyl chain. For the substrates with a methyl group in *cis*- or *trans*-position of the terminal alkene carbon atom (hex-4-enyl and hex-4-enoyl substitution), the stereochemical outcome of the [2+2] photocycloaddition was investigated. The mechanistic course of the uncatalyzed coumarin reactions was found to be a singlet pathway, whereas Lewis acid-catalyzed reactions proceeded with higher reaction rates in the triplet manifold. Contrary to that, the dihydropyridones underwent a fast triplet reaction in the absence of the Lewis acid. In the presence of a chiral Lewis acid the reactions slowed down but,

due to the high extinction coefficient of the Lewis acid/dihydropyridone complexes at $\lambda = 366$ nm, still resulted in high enantioselectivity.

Introduction

It has been known for a long time that Lewis acids can alter the course of [2+2]photocycloaddition reactions. More than a century ago, in 1910, Praetorius and Korn reported that dibenzylideneacetone was converted into a dimeric product when its solution in glacial acetic acid was exposed to sunlight.¹ The reaction – which was almost quantitative (4.5 g crystalline product from 5.0 g substrate) – proceeded only in the presence of stoichiometric quantities of uranyl chloride as the Lewis acid. In the absence of the uranyl salt, polymeric material was observed. The reaction could also be performed in the solid state upon irradiation of the 2:1:2 complex of dibenzylideneacetone, $(UO_2)Cl_2$ and acetic acid. The product was suggested to be a cyclobutane with head-to-tail regioselectivity ("truxillic" type dimer). Later it was shown that $SnCl_4$ shows a similar effect on the [2+2] photodimerization of dibenzylideneacetone² and the solid state reaction was further investigated by Alcock et al., who confirmed the structure of the [2+2] photodimer.³ While the influence of metal catalysts on various photochemical reactions was investigated in the 1970s and 1980s,⁴ specific studies regarding the influence of Lewis acids on [2+2] photocycloaddition reactions of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds in solution were reported by Lewis et al. in 1983.⁵ It was found that the photodimerization of coumarin was catalyzed by $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ and the syn head-to-tail dimer was obtained as a single product upon irradiation of an equimolar solution of coumarin and BF₃·OEt₂ in >85% yield. The regioselectivity was different from the uncatalyzed reaction, in which the syn head-to-head dimer is the major reaction product.⁶ The results were confirmed by Shim et al., who – like Lewis et al. - noted the increase in quantum yield upon Lewis acid addition from 10^{-3} (without BF₃·OEt₂) to 0.13 (with one equiv. $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$).⁷ In a simultaneously performed study by Ogawa et al.⁸ on the photodimerization of 2-cyclopentenone it was found that the addition of SnCl₄ as Lewis acid changes the regioselectivity but leads to a lower reaction rate.⁹ In 1989, Lewis and Barancyk observed that the Lewis acid catalysis by $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ and $EtAlCl_2$ was also applicable to [2+2] photocycloaddition reactions of coumarin and olefins such as cyclopentene and 2-butene.¹⁰ Based on the lack of stereospecificity in the $EtAlCl_2$ -catalyzed reactions at low 2-butene concentration (five-fold excess relative to coumarin) it was concluded that the reaction proceeded under these conditions mainly by a triplet-state mechanism. At higher concentrations a stereospecific singlet pathway was suggested to operate. In a comprehensive time-resolved UV-vis spectroscopy study, Görner and Wolff compiled evidence for the fact that the BF₃-catalyzed photodimerization of coumarin triplet formation increases from 0.03 to 0.3 upon addition of BF₃·OEt₂.

Figure 1



Figure 1. Structures of chiral Lewis acid 1 and of [2+2] photocycloaddition precursors 2 and 3.

The observation of a significant rate increase in coumarin [2+2] photocycloaddition chemistry invited attempts to achieve enantioselective reactions^{12,13} with appropriately chosen Lewis acids. In 2010, it was found in our laboratories that Lewis acid **1** was suitable to enantioselectively

(82% *ee*) catalyze the intramolecular [2+2] photocycloaddition of coumarin **2a** (Figure 1).¹⁴ The reaction was later extended to other coumarins (up to 90% *ee*) and investigations regarding the mode of action of the Lewis acid were performed.¹⁵

Given the above-mentioned observations that Lewis acids slow down the reaction rate of an enone dimerization,^{8,9} it was surprising that the same Lewis acid **1** was capable to induce also a high enantioselectivity (88% *ee*) in the intramolecular [2+2] photocycloaddition of dihydropyridone **3a**. This reaction is applicable to related dihydropyridones and it was successfully employed in the total synthesis of lupin alkaloids.¹⁶ Recently, it was shown in our laboratories that the [2+2] photocycloaddition of 3-alkenyloxy-2-cycloalkenones can be performed enantioselectively with an oxazaborolidine-based Lewis acid related to **1**¹⁷ and it was found by the Sivaguru group that the intramolecular [2+2] photocycloaddition of coumarin **1a** and related coumarins can be enantioselectively catalyzed by a chiral Brønsted acid.^{18,19}

The purpose of the present study was to disclose the similarities and differences of the two substrate classes **2a** and **3a** in [2+2] photocycloaddition reactions. Substrates **2b/3c** and **3b/3c** were used to investigate the stereochemical reaction course of the photocycloaddition reactions. Stereospecific reactions are indicative of a singlet-state mechanism while a non-stereospecific transformation is expected for photochemical reactions which occur in the triplet manifold.^{20,21} As achiral Lewis acids BF₃·OEt₂, BCl₃ and EtAlCl₂ were employed.²² Results of previous studies are implemented where required but are clearly marked.

Results and Discussion

Spectral data. Coumarin **2a** is in its physical properties very similar to the parent compound, which has been previously studied extensively.²³ To summarize the most relevant data, coumarin **2a** exhibits (c = 0.8 mM in CH₂Cl₂) two strong UV/Vis absorptions at $\lambda = 272$ nm ($\epsilon = 11100$ M⁻¹

cm⁻¹) and at $\lambda = 313$ nm ($\varepsilon = 6400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The extinction coefficients at $\lambda = 300$ nm are $\varepsilon = 5300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and at $\lambda = 366$ nm $\varepsilon \le 10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. At an excitation wavelength of $\lambda = 300$ nm there is essentially no fluorescence.¹⁵ Addition of Lewis acids changes the spectra in full agreement with the work of Lewis and Baranczyk.¹⁰ They had determined the equilibrium constant (K) for the coumarin-EtAlCl₂ complex in CD₂Cl₂ as 140 M⁻¹ at room temperature. The complex showed different UV/Vis spectra and a significant fluorescence. The UV/Vis spectra for the EtAlCl₂-complex of coumarin **2a** at various EtAlCl₂ concentrations are shown in the SI in comparison to the same data for 4-methylcoumarin. The absence of defined isosbestic points in the former data set – as compared to the latter – indicates the high photochemical reactivity of the complex (vide infra). The complex **2a**·EtAlCl₂ exhibits a strong UV/Vis absorption at $\lambda = 313$ nm ($\varepsilon = 15500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), while the intensity of the short wavelength absorption decreases. The extinction coefficient at $\lambda = 366$ nm is $\varepsilon = 84 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Lewis acid **1** is in situ prepared from the respective oxazaborolidine and AlBr₃. While satisfactory NMR spectra of the oxazaborolidine were obtained, the Lewis acid itself could – in line with previous experience²⁴ – not been fully characterized. The solution of **1** in CH₂Cl₂ is orange-colored and its UV/Vis spectrum is depicted in the SI. Upon treatment of coumarin **2a** with Lewis acid **1**, the absorption change in the long wavelength region of the UV/Vis spectrum is similar to the EtAlCl₂ complex. Due to a very broad band at $\lambda \approx 260$ nm ($\epsilon = 4600$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), the long wavelength region appears as a shoulder. The extinction coefficient (10 equiv. **1**) at $\lambda =$ 366 nm is $\epsilon = 3500$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.²⁵ Fluorescence of the complex is observed with an emission maximum at $\lambda = 436$ nm.¹⁵

The intermolecular [2+2] photocycloaddition of 2,3-dihydropyridin-4(1*H*)-ones has been studied by the group of Neier²⁶ and there is a detailed study on the *N*-methoxycarbonyl analogue of compound **3a** as chromophor in these reactions.²⁷ However, Lewis acid coordination of this compound class has not been previously investigated. The UV/Vis spectrum of **3a** in CH₂Cl₂ (c = 0.5 mM) reveals one strong absorption at 291 nm ($\epsilon = 17400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and a weak broad absorption at $\lambda \approx 360 \text{ nm}$ ($\epsilon = 70 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$).¹⁶ Upon addition of EtAlCl₂, a new strong band evolves at $\lambda = 343 \text{ nm}$ and the UV/Vis spectra reveal an isosbestic point at $\lambda = 311 \text{ nm}$ (Figure 2). In this concentration range, Lewis acid coordination occurs at the enone carbonyl oxygen atom but not at the amide carbonyl oxygen atom. Chemical shift changes in the ¹³C-NMR spectra are observed exclusively at the former but not at the latter position (Figure 2).



Figure 2. UV/Vis spectra of compound **3a** (c = 0.5 mM in CH₂Cl₂) in the presence of variable concentration of EtAlCl₂ and selected ¹³C-NMR data for **3a** and its complex with EtAlCl₂ (2.2 equiv.) in CD₂Cl₂.

The maximum absorption for the Lewis-acid induced band at $\lambda = 343$ nm was obtained with 20 equiv. EtAlCl₂ ($\epsilon = 21400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). With BCl₃ (20 equiv.) the band was observed at $\lambda = 348$ nm ($\epsilon = 24200 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). With chiral Lewis acid **1** (20 equiv.) the band appears at $\lambda = 346$ nm ($\epsilon = 19300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The extinction coefficient (10 equiv. **1**) at $\lambda = 366$ nm is $\epsilon = 12600 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. If the concentration of EtAlCl₂ was further increased, the absorption maximum was shifted

Journal of the American Chemical Society

to a slightly shorter wavelength and a defined isosbestic point could not be longer detected. It appears likely that coordination of a second equivalent $EtAlCl_2$ to the 1:1 complex $3a \cdot EtAlCl_2$ becomes feasible at high Lewis acid concentration. UV/Vis titration data corroborate this assumption and delivered an equilibrium constant (K) of 4300 M⁻¹ for the complex $3a \cdot EtAlCl_2$ in CH₂Cl₂ at ambient temperature (see the SI for further details). Apparently, the compound is significantly more Lewis basic than coumarin and shows a much higher affinity to Lewis acids.

Kinetic studies. Irradiation experiments with substrates **2a** and **3a** were performed at a substrate concentration of 20 mM and at an irradiation wavelength²⁸ of $\lambda = 366$ nm.²⁹ The reaction solution in CH₂Cl₂ was pre-cooled to -70 °C and the temperature was kept constant by a cryostat. These conditions were found optimal in previous experiments to achieve the highest enantioselectivity in the presence of Lewis acid **1** (50 mol%). The photocycloaddition reactions proceed for both substrates with excellent diastereoselectivity and the products are formed as single diastereoisomers. In the absence of a chiral source the racemic products *rac*-**4a** and *rac*-**5a** (Figure 3) are obtained, i.e. there is no selectivity for either enantiomer. The chiral catalyst induces a high enantioselectivity in favor of **4a** and **5a** as major enantiomers (vide infra).¹⁴

Figure 3



Figure 3. Structures of chiral [2+2] photocycloaddition products **4a** and **5a** and their respective enantiomers *ent*-**4a** and *ent*-**5a**.

Substrate **2a** showed a very slow reaction in the absence of the catalyst (Figure 4a). After an irradiation time of five hours the product concentration was 2.2 mM (11% conversion). Side reactions were not observed, as indicated by the equally low decrease in substrate concentration. In the presence of catalyst **1**, the reaction rate significantly increased (Figure 4b). 50% conversion was achieved after 25 min and the reaction followed a zero-order rate law in this time interval. Employing a ferrioxalate actinometer³⁰ to quantify the photon flux and assuming complete photon absorption by the substrate, the quantum yield for the latter reaction was determined as $\Phi = 0.09$. In the former reaction (Figure 4a) a lower barrier for the quantum yield was estimated to be $\Phi \ge 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$.³¹



Figure 4. Rate profile for the intramolecular [2+2] photocycloaddition of substrate **2a** in the absence (Figure 4a) and in the presence (Figure 4b) of chiral Lewis acid **1** (50 mol%; $\lambda = 366$ nm, T = -70 °C, c = 20 mM in CH₂Cl₂).

In stark contrast to substrate **2a**, substrate **3a** underwent a fast [2+2] photocycloaddition upon irradiation at $\lambda = 366$ nm (Figure 5a). The reaction was complete within one hour and followed a first-order rate law. No significant background reaction was observed. The quantum yield of the reaction was high and it was shown to exceed $\Phi \ge 0.23^{31}$ by ferrioxalate actinometry. For the intermolecular reaction of related dihydropyridones quantum yields between 0.5 and 0.9 have been reported at room temperature.²⁷ In the presence of Lewis acid **1**, the reaction rate slowed down significantly (Figure 5b). A zero-order reaction was observed and the conversion after ten hours was ca. 50%. The quantum yield was determined as $\Phi = 4 \cdot 10^{-3}$.



Figure 5. Rate profile for the intramolecular [2+2] photocycloaddition of substrate **3a** in the absence (Figure 5a) and in the presence (Figure 5b) of chiral Lewis acid **1** (50 mol%; $\lambda = 366$ nm, T = -70 °C, c = 20 mM in CH₂Cl₂).

Stereochemical reaction course. Irradiation experiments performed with the methyl substituted substrates **2b**, **2c**, **3b**, and **3c** lead to products which bear an additional stereogenic center as compared to products **4a** and **5a** (Figure 3). While the relative configuration around the

cyclobutane is determined by the rigidity of the attached rings, the methyl group at the additional stereogenic center can be positioned either *cis* to the photo-anellated ring (products **4b** and **5b**) or *trans* to it (products **4c** and **5c**). In Figure 6, the relative configuration is drawn for one enantiomer of the respective products.

Figure 6



Figure 6. Structures of chiral [2+2] photocycloaddition products 4b, 4c, 5b, and 5c.

Upon irradiation at $\lambda = 366$ nm, substrate **2b** with a *cis*-configuration of the olefinic double bond in the alkenyl tether, reacted slowly to form racemic products *rac*-**4** (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). At -70 °C (entry 1), a conversion of 55% was reached after 22 hours. The product was isolated in 53% yield and consisted mainly of *cis*-diastereoisomer *rac*-**4b** (d.r. = 92/8). The relative configuration of the recovered starting material was shown to be essentially unchanged (**2b/2c** = 87/13). At ambient temperature (entry 2), the reaction was interrupted after five hours resulting in 17% yield of *rac*-**4b** (d.r. = 92/8) and in 74% of recovered starting material (**2b/2c** = 98/2). If the *trans*-diastereoisomer **2c** was used (entry 3) under the same conditions (λ = 366 nm, ambient temperature), diastereoisomer *rac*-**4c** was shown to be the major product (d.r. = 14/86) and the starting material was recovered unchanged (**2b/2c** = 1/99). The reaction proceeded very slowly as already observed for the uncatalyzed photocycloadditions of coumarins **2a** and **2b**. Addition of

chiral Lewis acid changed the situation and the reactions of substrates 2b and 2c were complete after ten hours (entries 4, 5).¹⁵ Enantiomerically enriched products **4** were obtained. In contrast to the uncatalyzed reactions, both substrates delivered mainly the same product diastereoisomer, i.e. *trans*-product **4c**, in 72% and 78% *ee*, respectively. Although the diastereomeric ratio for products **4b/4c** varied slightly (38/62 vs. 23/77), the stereoconvergent reaction course is clearly evident.

Table 1. Yields and product configuration for the [2+2] photocycloaddition reactions of substrates **2b-2c** and **3b-3c** in the presence and absence of chiral Lewis acid **1**.

entry	substrate ^[a]	1 [mol%]	<i>t</i> [h]	yield [%]	d.r. ^[b]	<i>ee</i> ^[c] [%]
1	2b	_	22	53 ^[d]	92/8	[e]
2	2b		5 ^[f]	17 ^[g]	92/8	[e]
3	2c	—	10 ^[f]	22 ^[h]	14/86	[e]
4	2b	50	10	89	38/62	72
5	2c	50	10	85	23/77	78
6	3 b		1.5	70 ^[i]	<5/95	[e]
7	3c		1.5	75	<5/95	[e]
8	3 b	50	20	76	<5/95	87
9	3c	50	20	70 ^[j]	<5/95	53

^[a] Unless noted otherwise, the reactions were performed under anhydrous and oxygen-free conditions at an irradiation wavelength of $\lambda = 366$ nm and at a substrate concentration of 20 mM

in CH₂Cl₂ as the solvent at -70 °C. Entries 4 and 5 have been recorded in a previous study.¹⁵ ^[b] The diastereomeric ratio (d.r. = **4b/4c** for entries 1-5, **5b/5c** for entries 6-9) was determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy; the values were confirmed by chiral GC (**4b/4c**) and after derivatization by chiral HPLC (**5b/5c**). ^[c] The *ee* was calculated from the enantiomeric ratio, which in turn was determined for the respective major diastereoisomer (**4c** for entries 4,5; **5c** for entries 8,9) after derivatization by chiral HPLC. ^[d] 45% of the starting material (**2b/2c** = 87/13) was recovered. ^[e] Racemic products were obtained. ^[f] The reaction was performed at ambient temperature. ^[g] 74% of the starting material (**2b/2c** = 98/2) was recovered. ^[h] 74% of the starting material (**2b/2c** = 1/99) was recovered. ^[i] 27% of the starting material (**3b/3c** = >95/5) was recovered. ^[i] 16% of the starting material (**3b/3c** = <5/95) was recovered.

Perfect stereoconvergence was observed in all photocycloaddition reactions, in which dihydropyridones **3b** and **3c** were involved (entries 6-9). Irrespective of the substrate configuration only a single diastereoisomeric product **5c** was observed. In the absence of Lewis acid **1**, the reaction was fast. The conversion after 90 minutes was 73% for substrate **3b** (entry 6) and quantitative for substrate **3c** (entry 7). Recovered starting material in the former case was shown to be exclusively *cis*-configured (**3b**/**3c** = >95/5). The racemic product *rac*-**5c** was in both cases exclusively *trans*-configured (d.r. = <5/95). In the presence of chiral Lewis acid **1**, the reaction was notably retarded. Substrate **3b** reacted slightly faster (entry 8) than substrate **3c** (entry 9) and was completely converted to *trans*-product **5c** (d.r. = <5/95, 87% *ee*) after an irradiation time of 20 hours. Substrate **3c** (**3b**/**3c** d.r. = <5/95) was partially recovered after 20 hours (entry 9) and delivered the product with lower enantioselectivity (53% *ee*) but also exclusively as the *trans*-product **5c**.

Discussion. A clear mechanistic difference between the coumarin and dihydropyridone substrates in the uncatalyzed [2+2] photocycloaddition reaction is the fact that the former substrate class reacts via its singlet state while the latter substrate class reacts via its triplet state. As a result, stereospecific photocycloaddition products rac-4b, rac-4c were obtained from coumarins 2b, 2c (Table 1, entries 1-3). The dihydropyridones **3b**, **3c** produced stereoconvergently a single diastereoisomer rac-5c (Table 1, entries 6, 7). Both substrate classes absorb weakly at $\lambda = 366$ nm but the dihydropyridones still react efficiently (Figure 5a) because rapid intersystem crossing (ISC) enables them to access the typical reaction manifold of enones. The coumarins decay rapidly to the ground state by internal conversion avoiding an ISC.²³ Lewis acid coordination changes the nature of the respective excited states. The coumarin photocycloaddition becomes rapid (Figure 4b) and occurs with relatively high quantum yield at the triplet hypersurface (Table 1, entries 3, 4). As pointed out earlier by others, 10,11 the Lewis acid seems to stabilize the S₁ state against internal conversion and facilitates ISC. In the presence of a Lewis acid, the dihydropyridone photocycloaddition remains at the triplet hypersurface (Table 1, entries 8, 9) but the reaction rate drops significantly (Figure 5b). A possible explanation for the latter effect could be a decreased ISC rate. Lewis acid coordination occurs at the nonbonding oxygen orbitals and lowers their energy. Thus, the triplet energy of the $n\pi^*$ triplet state, which is in typical enones close to the triplet state of the $\pi\pi^*$ triplet state,^{21a} is significantly increased. As a consequence, ISC from the S_1 state, which has $\pi\pi^*$ character in the complex (vide infra) is not feasible since the energetically feasible ISC from $S_1(\pi\pi^*)$ to $T_1(\pi\pi^*)$ is symmetry forbidden according to El Saved's rule.³²





Figure 7. Excited state properties and reaction pathways for coumarins (a,b) and dihydropyridones (c,d) in the absence and presence of a Lewis acid (L.A.) at $\lambda = 366$ nm.

Regarding the bathochromic spectral shift upon Lewis acid coordination, it is evident that only the respective $\pi\pi^*$ transitions are concerned while any $n\pi^*$ transition will be shifted hypsochromically or will disappear. Simplistically, the effect is based mainly on a decrease of the energy of the π^* orbitals upon Lewis acid coordination.³³ Indeed, the extent of the bathochromic shift on coumarins and dihydropyridones is comparable for the $\pi\pi^*$ transition. For coumarin **2a**, the absorption at $\lambda = 272$ nm ($\varepsilon = 11100$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) is shifted upon EtAlCl₂ coordination to $\lambda =$ 313 nm ($\varepsilon = 15500$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). For dihydropyridone **3a**, the absorption at $\lambda = 291$ nm ($\varepsilon = 17400$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) is shifted upon EtAlCl₂ coordination to $\lambda = 343$ nm ($\varepsilon = 21400$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The wavelength difference is thus $\Delta \lambda = 43$ nm in the former and $\Delta \lambda = 52$ nm in the latter case. In the former case, however, the shift leads to an overlap with the relatively intense $n\pi^*$ absorption of the uncomplexed substrate at $\lambda = 313$ nm ($\varepsilon = 6400$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and in essence the bathochromic shift at longer wavelength is detectable but minor. At $\lambda = 366$ nm, the absorption of the Lewis Page 15 of 24

acid complex remains low. In stark contrast, the Lewis acid coordination of the dihydropyridone causes a high cross-section $\pi\pi^*$ transition at $\lambda = 366$ nm, which is eventually responsible for the enantioselective reaction course in the latter reaction. The otherwise rapid reaction of uncomplexed substrate (Figure 4a) is completely suppressed because excitation becomes impossible given its low extinction coefficient. Due to the high association constant of the complex **3a**·EtAlCl₂ (vide supra), the percentage of complexed vs. uncomplexed substrate in the typical concentration range of the reaction is close to 50%, even at room temperature. Upon initiation of the reaction at $\lambda = 366$ nm, the absorption of **3a**·EtAlCl₂ is thus 180 times higher than the absorption of uncomplexed substrate **3a**.³⁴

The absolute product configuration of enantioselective [2+2] photocycloaddition reactions has been elucidated in previous work.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ From these results, the conformations shown in Figure 8 are postulated to be responsible for enantioface differentation. The additional hydrogen bond between the respective α -CH bond of the carbonyl compound and the oxazaborolidine oxygen atom has been suggested in thermal Lewis acid-catalyzed process to account for the fixation of the substrate.³⁵ C-C bond formation is likely to occur first between the internal β -carbon atom of the α , β -unsaturated carbonyl chromophor and the internal carbon atom of the alkene.³⁶ The absolute configuration is determined in this step. A major difference between **2a**·**1** and **3a**·**1** is the fact that the tether which connects the alkene with the chromophor is positioned *exo* to the existing ring in the former case but *endo* in the latter case. The opposite arrangements may be possible but will be unproductive, because the resulting cyclobutane rings would be too strained. According to molecular models a substituent at the terminal carbon atom of the alkene interferes with the *ortho*-trifluoromethylphenyl group at the boron atom if positioned *cis* in the coumarin case or *trans* in the dihydropyridone case. Indeed, the enantioselectivity drops for substrates of

this type as seen for the reaction of coumarin **2b** (Table 1, entry 4) and dihydropyridone **3c** (Table 1, entry 9).

Figure 8



Figure 8. Suggested conformation of the substrates **2a** and **3a** in the complex with Lewis acid **1** prior to the first step of the [2+2] photocycloaddition.

Given the importance of enone [2+2] photocycloaddition reaction chemistry³⁷ for organic synthesis,³⁸ the observation that chiral Lewis acids can render these reactions enantioselectively is undoubtedly more important than the relatively limited enantioselective Lewis acid catalysis of coumarin photocycloaddition reactions. In search for ways to improve the catalytic performance of chiral Lewis acids, it is clear from the present study, that it would be desirable to identify enone substrates, which show a relatively slow [2+2] photocycloaddition in a wavelength region, which can be accessed by Lewis acid coordination and by a bathochromic shift of the $\pi\pi^*$ transition. More importantly, based on the assumption that the rate decrease caused by the Lewis acid is linked to a slow ISC, the ISC rate to the enone triplet needs to be enhanced. These considerations should be useful for the development of new chiral Lewis acids.

Conclusion

In summary, this investigation has revealed new information regarding the interaction of Lewis acids and [2+2] photocycloaddition substrates. Most notably, the hypothesis that the complexation of Lewis acids to typical enone substrates has other photochemical consequences than the complexation to coumarins was further corroborated. In the former case, the [2+2]photocycloaddition proceeds in the absence and in the presence of Lewis acids on the triplet hypersurface. In the latter case, the Lewis acid induces a change of the reaction mode (singlet/triplet) and it induces a rate increase. As already discussed in previous work,¹⁵ we assign the rate increase by the Lewis acid to several factors, i.e. a stabilization of the singlet state, a higher ISC rate and an enhanced absorption at the irradiation wavelength ($\lambda = 366$ nm). For dihydropyridone **3a** as a typical enone substrate, the mode of action of the chiral Lewis acid rests exclusively on the fact that the strong $\pi\pi^*$ transition of the complex overlays with the weak $n\pi^*$ transition of the uncomplexed substrate. This overlay channels the reaction in an enantioselective manifold with a highly selective C-C bond formation occurring in the Lewis acid complex. The enantioselective reaction occurs at the expense of a lower reaction rate, which is proposed to be due to a decreased ISC rate.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* (DFG) (*Graduiertenkolleg* GRK 1626 Chemical Photocatalyis) and by *Fonds der Chemischen Industrie* (Ph.D. scholarship to R. B.). The help of Olaf Ackermann, Marcus Wegmann and Florian Mayr in conducting the HPLC analyses is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Supporting Information. Detailed experimental procedures, characterization data for new compounds, rate profiles, and UV/Vis data. This material is

available free of charge via the Internet at <u>http://pubs.acs.org</u>.

1	Praetorius, P.; Korn, F. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1910, 43, 2744-2746.				
2	Stobbe, H.; Färber, E. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1925, 58, 1548-1553.				
3	Alcock, N. W.; Herron, N.; Kemp, T. J.; Shoppee, C. W. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm				
	1975 , 785-786.				
4	Reviews: (a) Salomon, R. G. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 485-575. (b) Moggi, L.; Juris				
	Sandrini, D.; Manfrin, M. F. Rev. Chem. Intermed. 1984, 5, 107-155.				
5	Lewis, F. D.; Howard, D. K.; Oxman, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3344-3345.				
6	(a) Ciamician, G. A.; Silber, P. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1902, 35, 4128-4131. (b) Scher				
	G. O.; Wilucki, I. von; Krauch, C. H. Chem. Ber. 1962, 95, 1409-1412.				
7	Shim, S. C.; Kim, E. I.; Lee, K. T. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1987, 8, 140-144.				
8	Ogawa, T.; Masui, Y.; Ojima, S.; Suzuki, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1987, 60, 423-425				
9	For a related observation in the photodimerization of 4,5',8-trimethylpsoralen, see: S				
	S. C.; Lee, S. S. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1989, 10, 324-326.				
10	Lewis, F. D.; Barancyk, S. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8653-8661.				
11	Görner, H.; Wolff, T. Photochem. Photobiol. 2008, 84, 1224-1230.				
12	For the enantioselective photodimerization of coumarin in the presence				
	stoichiometric chiral host, see: Tanaka, K.; Fujiwara, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1501-1503				
13	For reviews on enantioselective photochemistry, see: (a) Rau, H. Chem. Rev. 1983				
	535-547. (b) Inoue, Y. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 741-770. (c) Wessig, P. Angew. Chem				
	Ed. 2006, 45, 2168-2171. (d) Müller, C.; Bach, T. Aust. J. Chem. 2008, 62, 557-564				
	Yang, C.; Inoue, Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 4123-4143. (f) Brimioulle, R.; Lenhar				
	Maturi, M. M.; Bach, T. Angew. Chem Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3872-3890.				
14	Guo H : Herdtweck E : Bach T Angew Chem Int Ed 2010 49 7782-7785				

- ¹⁵ Brimioulle, R.; Guo, H.; Bach, T. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 7552-7560
- ¹⁶ Brimioulle, R.; Bach, T. *Science* **2013**, *342*, 840-843.
- ¹⁷ Brimioulle, R.; Bach, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2014**, *53*, 12921-12924.
- ¹⁸ Vallavoju, N.; Selvakumar, S.; Jockusch, S.; Sibi, M. P.; Sivaguru, J. Angew. Chem. Int.
 Ed. 2014, 53, 5604-5608.
- ¹⁹ For other recent approaches towards catalytic enantioselective [2+2] photocycloaddition reactions, see: (a) Müller, C.; Bauer, A.; Maturi, M. M.; Cuquerella, M. C.; Miranda, M.; Bach, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 16689-16697. (b) Du, J.; Skubi, K. L.; Schultz, D. M.; Yoon, T. P. *Science* 2014, *53*, 392-8396. (c) Alonso, R.; Bach, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 4368-4371. (d) Maturi, M. M.; Bach, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 4368-4371. (d) Maturi, M. M.; Bach, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 7661-7664.
- ²⁰ (a) Becker, D.; Nagler, M.; Hirsh, S.; Ramun, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 371-373. (b) Becker, D.; Nagler, M.; Sahali, Y.; Haddad, N. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4537-4543. (c) Becker, D.; Klimovich, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 261-264.
- ²¹ For reviews on the mechanism of the [2+2] photocycloaddition, see: (a) Schuster, D. I. *The photochemistry of enones* in *The chemistry of enones*; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, 1989, pp 623-756. (b) Schuster, D. I.; Lem, G.; Kaprinidis, N. A. *Chem. Rev.* 1993, 93, 3-22. (c) Schuster, D. I. *Mechanistic Issues in [2+2]-Photocycloadditions of Cyclic Enones to Alkenes* in *CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology*, 2nd ed.; Horspool, W., Lenci, F., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2004, pp 72/1-72/-24.
- ²² For selected references on the influence of Lewis acids on the photophysical and photochemical properties of other compound classes but coumarins and enones, see: (a) Lewis, F. D.; Howard, D. K.; Barancyk, S. V.; Oxman, J. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*,

3016-3023. (b) Cavazza, M.; Zandomeneghi, M.; Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 1336-1337. (c) Cavazza, M.; Cimiraglia, R.; Persico, M.; Zandomeneghi, M.; Pietra, F. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 1991, 61, 329-342. (d) Lewis, F. D.; Barancyk, S. V.; Burch, E. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3866-3870. (e) Fukuzumi, S.; Okamoto, T.; Otera, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 5503-5504. (f) Fukuzumi, S.; Satoh, N.; Okamoto, T.; Yasui, K.; Suenobu, T.; Seko, Y.; Fujitsuka, M.; Ito, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7756-7766. (g) Fukuzumi, S.; Ohkubo, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10270-10271. (h) Yuasa, J.; Ohkubo, K.; Guldi, D. M.; Fukuzumi, S. J. Phys. Chem. A 2004, 108, 8333-8340.

- ²³ For a review, see: Kuznetsova, N. A.; Kaliya, O. L. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *61*, 683-696.
- ²⁴ (a) Corey, E. J.; Shibata, T.; Lee, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 3808-3809. (b)
 Ryu, D. H.; Lee, T. W.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9992-9993. (c) Ryu, D.
 H.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6388-6390. (d) Ryu, D. H.; Zhou, G.;
 Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4800-4802. (e) Zhou, G.; Corey, E. J. J. Am.
 Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11958-11959. (f) Liu, D.; Canales, E.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 2007, 129, 1498-1499. (g) Canales, E.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12686-12687.
- ²⁵ Given that Lewis acid **1** has an extinction coefficient of ca. 430 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ at $\lambda = 366$ nm, most of the absorption is due to the Lewis acid.
- ²⁶ (a) Guerry, P.; Neier, R.; *Chimia* **1987**, *41*, 341-342. (b) Guerry, P.; Neier, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1989**, 1727-1728. (c) Aeby, D.; Eichenberger, E.; Haselbach, E.; Suppan, P.; Guerry, P.; Neier, R. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1990**, *52*, 283-292.
- ²⁷ Guerry, P.; Blanco, P.; Brodbeck, H.; Pasteris, O.; Neier, R. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1991, 74, 163-178.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

28	For an emission spectrum of the light source, see: Maturi, M. M.; Wenninger, M.; Alonso,
	R.; Bauer, A.; Pöthig, A.; Riedle, E.; Bach, T. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 7461-7472.
29	The water- and air-sensitivity of the Lewis acids and its complexes has not allowed us to
	acquire meaningful transient absorption spectra at -70 °C.
30	(a) Baxendale, J. H.; Bridge, N. K. J. Phys. Chem. 1955, 59, 783-788. (b) Kuhn, H. J.;
	Braslavsky, S. E.; Schmidt, R. Pure Appl. Chem. 2004, 76, 2105-2146.
31	A lower barrier is given because the criterion of complete photon absorption might in this
	case not be fulfilled due to the low extinction coefficient of the substrate at $\lambda = 366$ nm.
32	(a) El-Sayed, M. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, 1, 8-16. (b) Klán, P.; Wirz, J. Photochemistry
	of Organic Compounds; Wiley: Chichester, 2009, pp 38-39.
33	(a) Houk, K. N.; Strozier, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 4094-4096. (b) Alston, P. V.
	J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 1111-1116. (c) Guner, O. F.; Ottenbrite, R. M.; Shillady, D. D.;
	Alston, P. V. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 391-394. (d) Lewis, F. D.; Reddy, G. D.; Elbert, J.
	E.; Tillberg, B. E.; Meltzer, J. A.; Kojima, M. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5311-5318. (e)
	Lipshutz, B. H.; Aue, D. H.; James, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8471-8474. (f) Avalos,
	M.; Babiano, R.; Bravo, J. L.; Cintas, P.; Jiménez, J. L.; Palacios, J. C.; Silva, M. A. J.
	Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6613-6619.
34	If the catalyst concentration decreases this ratio also decreases and the racemic
	background reaction leads to a deterioration of enantioselectivity. For the reaction $3a \rightarrow$
	5a, the ee decreased to 64% ee if performed with 40 mol% of Lewis acid and to 50% ee
	with 30 mol%. For the influence of the light intensity on the ee , see ref. ¹⁷ .

³⁵ Review: Corey, E. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 2100-2117.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

(a) Srinivasan, R.; Carlough, K. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 4932–4936. (b) Liu,
R. S. H.; Hammond, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 4936–4944. (c) Maradyn, D.
J.; Weedon, A. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5359–5360.

Reviews: (a) Hehn, J. P.; Müller, C.; Bach T. Formation of a Four-Membered Ring: From a Conjugate Alkene in Handbook of Synthetic Photochemistry; Albini, A., Fagnoni, M., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009, pp 171-215. (b) Fleming, S. A. Photocycloaddition of Alkenes to Excited Alkenes in Molecular and Supramolecular Photochemistry; Vol. 12; Griesbeck, A. G., Mattay, J., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 2005, pp 141-160. (c) Margaretha, P. Photocycloaddition of Cycloalk-2-enones to Alkenes in Molecular and Supramolecular Photochemistry; Vol. 12; Griesbeck, A. G., Mattay, J., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 2005, pp 211-237. (d) Pete, J. P. [2+2]-Photocycloadditions of Cyclopentenones with Alkenes in CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology, 2nd ed.; Horspool, W., Lenci, F., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2004, pp 71/1-71/14. (e) Bach, T. Synthesis 1998, 683-703. (f) Pete, J.-P. Adv. Photochem. 1996, 21, 135-216. (g) Mattay, J.; Conrads, R.; Hoffmann R. [2+2] Photocycloadditions of α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds in Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl), 4th ed, Vol. E 21c; Helmchen, G., Hoffmann, R. W., Mulzer, J., Schaumann, E., Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1995, 3087-3132. (h) Crimmins, M. T.; Reinhold, T. L. Org. React. 1993, 44, 297-588.

Reviews: (a) Iriondo-Alberdi, J.; Greaney, M. F. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 4801-4815. (b) Hoffmann, N. *Chem. Rev.* 2008, 108, 1052-1103. (c) Bach, T.; Hehn, J. P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 1000-1045.

Table of content

