amounts are subtracted from the total amount of each isomer found by infrared analysis; the differences are treated by the method of Ingold to give first approximations to the desired rate constant ratios. The process is repeated until sufficiently exact values have been obtained for these ratios. The final values are listed in Table II.

The data provide two independent tests of the accuracy of this treatment. One of these concerns the nearness to zero of the parenthetical values in cols. 6 and 7 of Table II. These isomers were absent initially, and thus owe their presence completely to the "parasitic" deuteroprotonation reaction. The mean of the parenthetical values is  $\pm 0.012$ , and the mean deviation is  $\pm 0.014$ . In view of the analytical precision,  $\pm 0.016$ , these values do not appear to be significantly larger than zero. The other, less sensitive, check of the treatment has to do with the good consistency of the pseudo first-order rate constants for *para*-protodedeuteration listed in the last column of Table II. These constants were obtained from the "corrected" concentrations shown in column 8 of Table II. If the method of correction were inappropriate, such consistency would hardly be expected.

Acknowledgments.—I am indebted to Prof. G. W. Wheland of this department for reading and criticizing this manuscript. Prof. R. S. Mulliken of the Department of Physics granted unlimited access to the infrared equipment. I am grateful to Dr. Earl A. Wilson, Jr., for thorough instruction in infrared techniques and also for the excellent optical and electrical adjustment of the infrared spectrophotometer.

CHICAGO 37, ILL.

## COMMUNICATIONS TO THE EDITOR

## GASEOUS OXIDES OF ALUMINUM, TUNGSTEN, AND TANTALUM<sup>1</sup>

Sir:

Brewer and Searcy<sup>2</sup> have concluded that  $Al_2O_3(l)$  vaporizes from a tungsten effusion cell at 2300–2600°K. according to the equation

$$Al_2O_3(1) \longrightarrow 2AlO(g) + O(g)$$
 (1)

They deduced that a reaction of the type

$$Al_{2}O_{3}(1) + ((3 - 2x)/y)W(s) \longrightarrow 2AlO_{x}(g) + ((3 - 2x)/y)WO_{y}(g) \quad (2)$$

does not occur by a comparison of the weight of effusate collected with a chemical analysis for aluminum contained therein. They inferred, since  $Al_2O_3$  is more volatile in a tantalum than in a tungsten cell, that the former reduces  $Al_2O_3$  to  $Al_2O(g)$  whereas the latter does not. Confident that stable tungsten oxide molecules exist at these temperatures, the authors have investigated directly the weight ratio of  $Al_2O_3$  to tungsten transported upon complete vaporization of the  $Al_2O_3$ .

The outgassed tungsten cell was mounted in an evacuated water-cooled fused silica condenser. No radiation shields were employed except annular shields directly on the top of the cell to control the temperature of the top relative to that of the bottom. Hence only an insignificant amount of the effusate experienced reflection.

In a preliminary experiment it was found by spectroscopic analysis that tungsten had been transported to the condenser but not to a target at about 450°. The weight of material on the target agreed approximately with that found by Brewer and Searcy. Subsequent experiments yielded a weight ratio of  $Al_2O_3$  to tungsten transported of  $1.17 \pm 0.02$  at 2587°K. No deposition occurred below the orifice plane. It was also observed that milligram quantities of tungsten were transported within the effusion cell from one region to another at a higher temperature. Since the vapor pressure of tungsten is negligible at these temperatures, one concludes that tungsten is transported out of the cell by a gaseous oxide which is sufficiently unstable to cause transportation of tungsten by dissociation within the effusion cell. Hence, some oxygen must effuse out of the cell and the experimental weight ratio of  $Al_2O_3$  to tungsten must be greater than that which one calculates assuming  $WO_y(g)$  to be undissociated. This fact definitely eliminates only the products  $AlO(g) + WO_3(g)$ . For the possible combinations,  $Al_2O(g) + WO(g)$ , AlO(g) + WO(g), and  $Al_2O(g) + WO_3(g)$  the weight ratios are 0.28, 0.55, and 0.83, respectively.

Langmuir<sup>3</sup> has shown indirectly that  $WO_3(g)$  exists at high temperatures. However, more detailed experiments are needed to distinguish among the other possibilities. In spite of this, the fact remains that  $Al_2O_4$  and tungsten do react at high temperatures and that the free energies measured by vaporization of  $Al_2O_3$  in a tungsten crucible correspond more closely to reaction (2) rather than (1).

The reaction with tantalum is more easily understood. The authors find that  $Al_2O_3$  appears to vaporize from a tantalum cell according to the reaction

$$Al_2O_3(s) + 3/x Ta(s) \xrightarrow{1700^\circ} 3/x TaO_x(s) + 2Al(g) + yTa(s) \xrightarrow{2250^\circ} (3/x + y)TaO(g) \quad (3)$$

on the basis of the metallic aluminum deposited on the condenser and the weight ratio of  $Al_2O_3$ to tantalum transported of 0.19.

Argonne National Laboratory R. J. Ackermann Lemont, Illinois R. J. Thorn

RECEIVED MAY 24, 1956

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>(2)</sup> L. Brewer and A. W. Searcy, This JOURNAL, 73, 5308 (1951).

<sup>(3)</sup> I. Langmuir, ibid., 35, 105 (1913).