

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Physica B 364 (2005) 218-224



www.elsevier.com/locate/physb

# Thermoelectric properties of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si semiconductors

Jun-ichi Tani\*, Hiroyasu Kido

Department of Electronic Materials, Osaka Municipal Technical Research Institute, 1-6-50 Morinomiya, Joto-ku, Osaka 536-8553, Japan

Received 25 February 2005; received in revised form 11 April 2005; accepted 11 April 2005

## Abstract

The thermoelectric properties of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si (Mg<sub>2</sub>Si:Bi = 1:x) fabricated by spark plasma sintering process have been characterized by Hall effect measurements at 300 K and by measurements of electrical resistivity ( $\rho$ ), Seebeck coefficient (S), and thermal conductivity ( $\kappa$ ) between 300 and 900 K. Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si samples are n-type in the measured temperature range. The electron concentration of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si at 300 K ranges from  $1.8 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for the Bi concentration x = 0.001 to  $1.1 \times 10^{20}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for x = 0.02. The solubility limit of Bi in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is estimated to be about 1.3 at% and first-principles calculation revealed that Bi atoms are expected to be primarily located at the Si sites in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. The electrical resistivity, Seebeck coefficient, and thermal conductivity are strongly affected by the Bi concentration. The sample of x = 0.02 shows a maximum value of the figure of merit, ZT, is 0.86 at 862 K. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 72.20.Pa; 71.55.-i

Keywords: Semiconductor; Thermoelectric material; Hall effect; Mg<sub>2</sub>Si; First-principles calculation

#### 1. Introduction

The intermetallic compounds such as  $Mg_2X$ (X = Si, Ge, Sn) and their solid solutions are semiconductors having the antifluorite structure and have been proposed to be good candidates for high-performance thermoelectric materials, because of their superior features such as its large Seebeck coefficient, low electrical resistivity, and

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +81669638081; fax: +81669638099.

E-mail address: tani@omtri.city.osaka.jp (J. Tani).

low thermal conductivity [1-4]. There have been some attempts to dope additives into Mg<sub>2</sub>(Si, Ge, Sn) to control its semiconducting properties. The conduction types are p-type, produced by doping with Ag and Cu and n-type, produced by doping with Sb and Al [2–7].

For thermoelectric materials, a large Seebeck coefficient, *S*, a small electrical resistivity,  $\rho$ , and a small thermal conductivity,  $\kappa$ , are required. These quantities determine the so-called thermoelectric figure of merit,  $Z = S^2/\rho\kappa$ . A low lattice thermal conductivity and a high carrier mobility are desirable for improvement of the figure of merit.

<sup>0921-4526/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.physb.2005.04.017

Vining [8] pointed out that a factor A' = (T/300) $(m^*/m_e)^{3/2}m\kappa_{\rm ph}$ , where  $m^*$  is the carrier effective mass, *m* is the mobility in cm<sup>2</sup>/V s, and  $\kappa_{\rm ph}$  is the lattice thermal conductivity in mW/cmK, is a larger value of 3.7–14 for Mg<sub>2</sub>(Si, Ge, Sn), as compared with 1.2–2.6 for SiGe and 0.05–0.8 for  $\beta$ -FeSi<sub>2</sub> and therefore Mg<sub>2</sub>(Si, Ge, Sn) system will achieve higher ZT with further development.

Recently, Kajikawa et al. [9,10] and Umemoto et al. [11] reported thermoelectric properties of Mg<sub>2</sub>Si fabricated by spark plasma sintering (SPS), which is a novel process because it is reported that the diffusion velocity becomes extremely large even at low temperatures due to pulse electric field superposed on DC. In case of Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, SPS plays two roles: (a) solid-state reaction process between Mg and Si, (b) densification process in a short time at relatively low temperatures, which would be effective to suppress the volatilization of Mg as well as dopants with low melting point. Bi has a low melting point of 545 K [12] and belongs to same Vb group as Sb, which is n-type dopant of Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. For Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, a low thermal conductivity might be possible because Bi has a larger radius than other n-type dopants such as Al and Sb. However, to our knowledge, there has been no research concerning the thermoelectric properties of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si.

In this paper, the thermoelectric properties of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si fabricated by SPS process have been characterized by Hall effect measurements at 300 K and by measurements of electrical resistivity, Seebeck coefficient, and thermal conductivity between 300 and 900 K. Finally, an optimum composition giving the largest ZT value in the present system is determined. We have also performed quantum-mechanical first-principles calculations of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si within density functional theory to obtain information on the preferential site occupation of Bi in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si.

### 2. Experiment and details of the calculations

Powders of high purity, Mg (>99.9%), Si (>99.99%), and Bi (>99.9%), were used as starting materials. The Mg and Si were mixed in 2:1 ratio and varying an amount of Bi (the molar

ratio of Mg<sub>2</sub>Si:Bi = 1:x) were added to each charge. They were ground together, and then heated in a graphite die (15 mm in diameter) at 1023–1053 K for 15 min at 30 MPa under a vacuum of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  Torr by the SPS method with a heating rate of 60 K/min. The density of the annealed samples was more than 99% of the theoretical value.

X-ray diffraction by Cu Ka radiation of the samples detected only the anti-fluorite type structure. The Hall coefficient  $(R_{\rm H})$  was measured for 1.5-cm-diameter, 0.1-cm-thick samples using the Toyo Corporation Resitest 8320. The contacts between the samples and lead Au wires were formed by soldering with In. The Hall effect was measured at 300 K using an alternating current (AC) magnetic method, under an applied magnetic field of 0.39 T at a frequency of 200 mHz. The carrier concentration (n) of the samples was determined by the factor  $1/e|R_{\rm H}|$ . The Seebeck coefficient (S) was measured by the standard technique using Pt electrodes in a He gas atmosphere in the temperature range of 300-900 K using an ULVAC ZEM-1S. The temperature gradient across the length of the sample was about 5 K. The electrical resistivity  $(\rho)$  was also measured concurrently by the four-probe DC method. The thermal diffusion coefficients of the samples were measured by the conventional laser flash method using a thermal constant analyzer (ULVAC TC-7000). The disk specimen was set in an electric furnace and heated to 900 K under vacuum. After the temperature was stabilized, the front surface of the specimen was irradiated by a ruby laser pulse. The temperature variation at the surface was monitored with a Pt-Pt 13%Rh thermocouple and an InSb infrared detector. The density was measured by the Archimedes method. The thermal conductivity was calculated from the experimental thermal diffusivity as well as density values and a previous reported specific heat capacity data of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si investigated by Riffel and Schilz [13].

In order to investigate geometrical structure of Bi-doped  $Mg_2Si$ , density functional theory (DFT) calculations within the pseudopotential and generalized gradient approximations (GGAs) were performed using the computer program CASTEP

(Cambridge Serial Total Energy Package in Cerius2, Accelrys Inc.) [14]. We constructed a supercell containing 48 atoms ( $Mg_{32}Si_{16}$ ) with the space group  $Fm\bar{3}m$  and replaced one of the 48 sites of the Mg or Si atoms by Bi. We expanded the valence electronic wave functions in a plane-wave basis set up to an energy cutoff of 400 eV, which converges the total energy of the unit cell to better than 1 meV/atom. The Brillouin zone integrations were preformed at the  $\Gamma$  point for the 48-atom unit cell. The electron-ion interaction is described by a Vanderbilt's ultrasoft pseudopotentials [15]. The lattice constant was determined through calculations for the primitive cell, using a plane-wave cutoff energy of 400 eV and the calculated value is 99.9% of the experimental value reported for Mg<sub>2</sub>Si [16]. The positions of the atoms within the second nearest neighbors of the impurity were allowed to relax under a constant volume condition by total energy minimization, until the residual forces for the relaxed atoms were  $< 0.1 \, eV/Å$ .

## 3. Results and discussion

Table 1 lists the results of the Hall effect and electrical resistivity measurements at 300 K of Bidoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, compared to those of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. The sign for  $R_{\rm H}$  of nondoped and Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is negative, indicating that the conductivity is mainly due to electrons. The Hall mobility  $(m_{\rm H} = R_{\rm H}/\rho)$  at 300 K of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is lower than the value 204 cm<sup>2</sup>/V s of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si.  $m_{\rm H}$  of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si shows composition dependence and shows the highest value of 92.4 cm<sup>2</sup>/V s

at x = 0.005in the composition range  $0.001 \le x \le 0.02$ . The  $m_{\rm H}$  of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is larger than the reported values  $41.5 \,\mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{Vs}$ for 0.6 mol% Sb-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si investigated by Kajikawa [9]. The carrier concentration of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is  $4.3 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, while that of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is  $1.8 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for x = 0.001to  $1.1 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for x = 0.02. In the Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, the carrier concentration is almost proportional to the doping concentration within the composition range of  $0.000 \le x \le 0.01$ . If Bi atom is soluble in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, carrier concentration should correspond to the Bi atom concentration. Therefore, a solid solution of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si exists at least in the range of  $0.000 \le x \le 0.01$ . However, the carrier concentration for x = 0.02 is much lower than a value from a straight line in Fig. 1. This fact suggests that Bi atoms are not completely soluble for x = 0.02. From the plot in Fig. 1, the solubility limit is estimated to be 1.3 at%.

There is no information on the preferential site occupation of Bi in  $Mg_2Si$ . So, we performed quantum-mechanical first-principles calculations of Bi-doped  $Mg_2Si$  within density functional theory to obtain information on the preferential site occupation of Bi in  $Mg_2Si$ .

$$Mg_{32}Si_{16} + Bi \rightarrow Mg_{31}Si_{16}Bi + Mg, \qquad (1)$$

$$Mg_{32}Si_{16} + Bi \rightarrow Mg_{32}Si_{15}Bi + Si.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The energetic difference between reactions (1) and (2) is estimated:

$$\Delta E_{t} = \{E_{t}(Mg_{31}Si_{16}Bi) + \mu_{Mg}\} - \{E_{t}(Mg_{32}Si_{15}Bi) + m_{Si}\}.$$
(3)

Table 1 Electrical properties of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si (Mg<sub>2</sub>Si: Bi = 1:x (0.000  $\leq x \leq$  0.020)) at 300 K

<i>x</i> (at%)	Conduction type	Carrier concentration (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Mobility (cm <sup>2</sup> /V s)	Resistivity (Ωcm)
0.0	N	$4.3 \times 10^{17}$	204	$7.14 \times 10^{-2}$
0.1	N	$1.8 \times 10^{19}$	85.7	$3.97 \times 10^{-3}$
0.3	N	$3.1 \times 10^{19}$	91.5	$2.22 \times 10^{-3}$
0.5	Ν	$4.1 \times 10^{19}$	92.4	$1.64 \times 10^{-3}$
1	Ν	$8.5 \times 10^{19}$	63.5	$1.16 \times 10^{-3}$
2	Ν	$1.1 \times 10^{20}$	64.0	$8.58 \times 10^{-4}$



Fig. 1. Bi atom concentration (x) dependence of carrier concentration (n) of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si at 300 K.

The chemical potentials of  $m_{Mg}$  and  $m_{Si}$  can be varied within a range limited by the three constraints:

$$m_{\rm Mg} \le m_{\rm Mg}$$
 (bulk), (4)

$$m_{\rm Si} \le m_{\rm Si} \,({\rm bulk}),$$
 (5)

$$2m_{\rm Mg} + m_{\rm Si} = m_{\rm Mg,Si} \,(\text{bulk}),\tag{6}$$

where  $m_{Mg,Si}$  (bulk), the chemical potential of the bulk Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, is a constant value calculated as the total energy per Mg<sub>2</sub>Si unit formula. The formation energies were calculated under the two extreme conditions, the Si-rich limit  $(m_{Mg} =$  $1/2(m_{\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}(\text{bulk})} - m_{\text{Si}(\text{bulk})})$  and  $m_{\text{Si}} = m_{\text{Si}(\text{bulk})}$ and the Mg-rich limit  $(m_{\rm Si} = m_{\rm Mg,Si\,(bulk)} 2m_{Mg(bulk)}$  and  $m_{Mg} = m_{Mg(bulk)}$ ). The calculated total energies of the Mg<sub>2</sub>Si perfect crystal, the Bidoped crystals, Si atom calculated from the Si crystal (space group  $Fd\overline{3}m$ , cubic structure), and Mg atom calculated from the Mg crystal (space group  $P6_3$ /mmc, hexagonal structure) are shown in Table 2. A comparison of the computed total energies revealed that  $\Delta E_t$  is 1.25 and 2.06 eV at the Si-rich and Mg-rich limit, respectively. There-

Table	2
raoie	_

The calculated total energies of  $Mg_2Si$  perfect crystal, the Bidoped crystals, Si atom calculated from the Si crystal, and Mg atom calculated from the Mg crystal

Models	Total energy (eV)
Mg <sub>2</sub> Si	-2066.309
Mg <sub>31</sub> BiSi <sub>16</sub>	-32234.84
Mg <sub>32</sub> Si <sub>15</sub> Bi	-33106.15
Mg	-978.519
Si	-108.728

fore, the substitution at the Si sites is lower than that for substitution at the Mg sites. Therefore, Bi atoms are expected to be primarily located at the Si sites in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. The n-type conduction can be interpreted as a result indicating that Bi atoms (Vb group) in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si are substituted by Si atoms (IVb group) and act as donors. Kajikawa et al. [9] reported that Sb is estimated to be replaced to Si site from the results of electron probe microanalysis (EPMA). Taking into account that Bi is the same group Vb as Sb and Sb-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si shows same n-type behavior, this calculation result seems reasonable.

Fig. 2 shows the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity ( $\rho$ ) of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, as compared with that of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si.  $\rho$  of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si decreases with increasing x.  $\rho$  of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si has an almost constant value at 300-380 K, but increases gradually with increasing temperature at 380-900 K. LaBotz et al. [1] and Noda et al. [4] reported that the temperature dependence of mobility in  $Mg_2Si_xGe_{1-x}$ indicates  $m \propto T^{-3/2}$  and the acoustic lattice scattering is the predominant mechanism. Therefore, the increment of  $\rho$  at 380–900 K will be explained by the decrease of mobility with increasing temperature. On the other hand, the  $\rho$ of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si increases, reaching a maximum at 470 K, and then decrease with increasing temperature. The decrease of  $\rho$  at high temperatures is explained by a result that the intrinsic conduction will occur due to the band gap of 0.77 eV [17,18].

Fig. 3 shows the temperature dependence of the Seebeck coefficient (S) of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si,



Fig. 2. Electrical resistivity ( $\rho$ ) of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si (Mg<sub>2</sub>Si:Bi = 1:x (0.001 \le x \le 0.020)) and nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si as a function of temperature.

as compared with that of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. The polarity of *S* for Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is negative, indicating that the conductivity is mainly due to electrons. At 300 K, the polarity of *S* is in good agreement with the sign of  $R_{\rm H}$ , and the absolute value of *S* corresponds to the value of electron concentration. The absolute *S* for x = 0.003, 0.005, 0.01 and 0.02 increases with increasing temperature. The absolute *S* of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si as well as x = 0.001, however, increases, reaching a maximum at 470 and 750 K, respectively and then decrease with increasing temperature.

Fig. 4 shows the temperature dependence of thermal conductivity ( $\kappa$ ) of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, as compared with that of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si.  $\kappa$  decreases with increasing temperature at 300–660 K, and shows a almost constant value above 660 K. At low temperatures,  $\kappa$  depends strongly on x and decreases with increasing x. The  $\kappa$  is the sum of the contributions arising from the lattice ( $\kappa_{ph}$ ), and the electronic ( $\kappa_{el}$ ) components. In order to understand the thermal conductivity behavior of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, it is necessary to determine the temperature and composition



Fig. 3. Seebeck coefficient (S) of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si (Mg<sub>2</sub>Si:Bi = 1:x (0.001 $\leq x \leq 0.020$ )) and nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si as a function of temperature.



Fig. 4. Thermal conductivity ( $\kappa$ ) of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si (Mg<sub>2</sub>Si:Bi = 1:x (0.001  $\leq x \leq 0.020$ )) and nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si as a function of temperature.

dependences of  $\kappa_{\rm ph}$  and  $\kappa_{\rm el}$ . We can calculate  $\kappa_{\rm el}$ using the Wiedemann–Franz law [19],  $\kappa_{\rm el} = L_0 \sigma T$ ( $L_0$ , Lorentz number  $2.45 \times 10^{-8} \text{ V}^2/\text{K}^2$ ,  $\sigma$ , electrical conductivity, T, absolute temperature). It is possible to calculate  $\kappa_{ph}$  by subtracting  $\kappa_{el}$  from  $\kappa$ .

Fig. 5 shows the temperature dependence of  $\kappa_{\rm ph}$ and  $\kappa_{\rm el}$  in the thermal conductivity of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si and nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si.  $\kappa_{\rm ph}$  decreases with an increase in x and temperature. However,  $\kappa_{\rm el}$ increases with an increase in x and temperature. For x = 0.001, the ratio of  $\kappa_{\rm el}$  to  $\kappa_{\rm ph}$  at 300 K is



Fig. 5. Carrier contribution ( $\kappa_{el}$ ) and lattice contribution ( $\kappa_{ph}$ ) in the thermal conductivity of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si (Mg<sub>2</sub>Si:Bi = 1:x) (0.001  $\leq x \leq 0.020$ )) and nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si.

about 1/50, and it is about 1/15 at 860 K. For x = 0.02, the ratio of  $\kappa_{el}$  to  $\kappa_{ph}$  at 300 K is about 2/13, and it is about 1/2 at 860 K. Therefore, the thermal conductivity of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is mainly influenced by  $\kappa_{ph}$ . The  $\kappa_{ph}$  of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si and nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is proportional to  $T^{-1}$ , indicating that phonon–phonon interactions are the primary source of thermal resistance. This mechanism is in good agreement with an early work of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si investigated by LaBotz and Mason [20].

Fig. 6 shows the temperature dependence of ZT of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, as compared with that of nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. The value of ZT increases with increasing temperature. An optimum composition giving maximum ZT value in the present system is x = 0.02. The value of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is 0.86 at 862 K, which is 1.5 times larger than an earlier result (ZT = 0.57 at 856 K) reported for Al-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si by Umemoto et al. [14]. In case of Al-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, the solubility limit of Al in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is around 0.15 at%, which is much lower than the solubility limit of 1.3 at% of Bi in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si and the carrier concentration of 0.1 at. % Al-doped sample is reported to be  $1.4 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at room temperature, which is one magnitude lower than Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. Therefore, the reason why the higher ZT is



Fig. 6. Dimensionless figure of merit (*ZT*) of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si (Mg<sub>2</sub>Si:Bi = 1:x (0.001  $\leq x \leq 0.020$ )) and nondoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si as a function of temperature.

achieved in Bi-doped  $Mg_2Si$  will be explained as a result that the high carrier concentration is obtained because of higher solubility limit of Bi in  $Mg_2Si$ .

#### 4. Conclusions

The thermoelectric properties of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si fabricated by the spark plasma sintering process have been characterized by Hall effect measurements at 300 K and by measurements of electrical resistivity, Seebeck coefficient, and thermal conductivity between 300 and 900 K. Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si samples are n-type in the measured temperature range. The electron concentration of Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si at 300 K ranges from  $1.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for the Bi concentration x =0.001 to  $1.1 \times 10^{20}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for x = 0.02. The solubility limit of Bi in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is estimated to be about 1.3 at% and first-principles calculation revealed that Bi atoms are expected to be primarily located at the Si sites in Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. The electrical resistivity, Seebeck coefficient, and thermal conductivity are strongly affected by the Bi concentration. The sample of x = 0.02 shows a maximum value of ZT is 0.86 at 862 K, which is 1.5 times larger than an earlier result (ZT = 0.57 at 856 K) reported for Aldoped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. Therefore, Bi-doped Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is a good candidate material for thermoelectric conversion in the middle-temperature range.

## References

 R.J. LaBotz, D.R. Mason, D.F. O'Kane, J. Electrochem. Soc. 110 (1963) 127.

- [2] E.N. Nikitin, V.G. Bazanov, V.I. Tarasov, Sov. Phys. Solid State 3 (1962) 2648.
- [3] Y. Noda, H. Kon, Y. Furukawa, N. Otsuka, I.A. Nishida, K. Masumoto, Mater. Trans. JIM 33 (1992) 845.
- [4] Y. Noda, H. Kon, Y. Furukawa, N. Otsuka, I.A. Nishida, K. Masumoto, Mater. Trans. JIM 33 (1992) 851.
- [5] R.G. Morris, R.D. Redin, G.C. Danielson, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 1909.
- [6] R.G. Morris, R.D. Redin, G.C. Danielson, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 1916.
- [7] M.W. Heller, G.C. Danielson, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 23 (1962) 601.
- [8] D.M. Rowe, CRC Handbook of Thermoelectrics, CRC Press, New York, 1995, p. 277.
- [9] T. Kajikawa, K. Shida, S. Sugihara, M. Ohmori, T. Hirai, Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Thermoelectrics (ICT'97), IEEE, 1997, p. 275.
- [10] T. Kajikawa, K. Shida, K. Shiraishi, T. Ito, M. Ohmori, T. Hirai, Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Thermoelectrics (ICT'98), IEEE, 1998, p. 362.
- [11] M. Umemoto, Y. Shirai, K. Tsuchiya, Proceedings of the Fourth Pacific Rim International Conference on Advanced Materials and Processing (PRICM4), The Japan Institute of Metals, 2001, p. 2145.
- [12] D.R. Lide, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 84th ed., CRC Press, New York, 2003, pp. 4–132.
- [13] M. Riffel, J. Schilz, Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Thermoelectrics (ICT'97), IEEE, 1997, p. 283.
- [14] CASTEP Users Guide, Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA, 2001.
- [15] D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 41 (1990) 892.
- [16] J.G. Barlock, L.F. Mondolfo, Z. Metall. 66 (1975) 605.
- [17] G. Busch, U. Winkler, Helv. Phys. Acta 26 (1953) 359.
- [18] G. Busch, U. Winkler, Physica 20 (1954) 1067.
- [19] See, for example, N. W. Ashcroft, N. D. Mermin, Solid State Physics, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, New York, 1976, p. 20, and references therein.
- [20] R.J. LaBotz, D.R. Mason, J. Electrochem. Soc. 110 (1963) 121.