# ELECTRON SPIN RESONANCE STUDIES OF THE EFFECTS OF IONIZING RADIATION ON CYANAMIDE AND DIMETHYL CYANAMIDE

Harish CHANDRA, Shuddhodan P. MISHRA and Martyn C.R. SYMONS Department of Chemistry, The University, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK

Received 16 December 1986

A range of radicals have been obtained from pure  $H_2NCN$  and  $Me_2NCN$  and from solid solutions favouring electron capture or electron loss. Those well characterized by ESR spectroscopy include  $H_2N(H)C=N$ ,  $Me_2NCN^+$  and  $Me_2N^-$ .

#### **1. Introduction**

We know of no previous ESR studies of radicals formed from  $H_2NCN$  or  $Me_2NCN$ . The former is an important compound since it is formed under "primordial" conditions – that is, it is formed by the action of ionizing radiation on primitive atmospheres [1]. It is thought to be a precursor in the formation of amino acids and hence has considerable evolutionary significance [2]. It is also an important interstellar molecule [3], and has extensive industrial usage.

Its radiation chemistry has, nevertheless, been neglected, with the exception of a pulse radiolysis study of its solution in water [4,5]. In this work, conditions were controlled to give attack by solvated electrons,  $H \cdot$  atoms, or  $\cdot OH$  radicals, selectively. Electrons were said to add, to give  $H_2N\dot{C}N^-$ , on the basis of increased absorption in the 220 nm region, but all that can really be claimed is that reaction with electrons is efficient. Similarly,  $H_2NCN$  reacted rapidly with  $H \cdot$  and  $\cdot OH$ , but the structures of the resulting intermediates remain a matter of speculation.

We have undertaken an extensive study of the effect of ionizing radiation on  $H_2NCN$ , and included  $Me_2NCN$  also, since it was anticipated that the results for this compound would be easier to interpret and would give some useful clues in the task of spectral interpretation for  $H_2NCN$ .

Here we report those results which seem to be unambiguous; the remainder will be reported in full elsewhere.

0 009-2614/87/\$ 03.50 © Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland Physics Publishing Division)

#### 2. Experimental

Cyanamide (Fluka) purified by recrystallization, had a melting point of 43-44 °C. Its deuterated form, having the same melting point, was obtained by crystallization from  $D_2O$ . Samples were used directly after preparation because of the marked tendency for  $H_2NCN$  to dimerize. Tetramethyl cyanamide was used as supplied (Aldrich).

Samples, in the form of fine powders or dilute solutions in CD<sub>3</sub>OD or CFCl<sub>3</sub> were exposed to <sup>60</sup>Co  $\gamma$ -rays at 77 K in a Vickrad source for up to 2 Mrad. ESR spectra were measured on a Varian E-109 spectrometer at 77 K. Samples were annealed either using a Varian variable temperature insert, or by decanting the liquid nitrogen from the insert Dewar and recooling to 77 K at given temperature intervals, or when significant changes were observed in the ESR spectra.

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Pure $H_2NCN$ and $D_2NCN$

The major species detected by ESR spectroscopy gave well-defined spectra at  $\approx 110$  K (fig. 1). Below this temperature these features broadened, and were partially obscured by broad features from a second radical which were lost reversibly above  $\approx 100$  K.

The well-defined spectrum is assigned to the hydrogen adduct I.



Fig. 1. First-derivative X-band ESR spectrum for H<sub>2</sub>NCN after exposure to <sup>60</sup>Co  $\gamma$ -rays at 77 K and annealing to  $\approx 110$  K, showing features assigned to  $_{H_{2N}}^{H}$  C=N radicals.

able 1
lyperfine coupling parameters for radicals derived from H <sub>2</sub> NCN and Me <sub>2</sub> NCN together with those for related radicals

 Radical	Source/solvent	A( <sup>1</sup> H) (G)	<i>A</i> ( <sup>14</sup> N) (G)
$H_{H_2N} > C - \dot{N}$	H2NCN/H2NCN	70 ( <sup>2</sup> H:11)	38 $(N_1 \parallel)$ ; $\approx 0 (N_1 \perp)$ 10 $(N_2 \parallel)$ ; $\approx 10 (N_2 \perp)^{a}$
H H₃C <sup>&gt;C=Ń</sup>	CH3CN/CH3CN	85 ( <sup>2</sup> H:13)	33 (∥); ≈0 (⊥) ʰ)
Me₂N Me₃N	Me <sub>2</sub> NCN/CD <sub>3</sub> OD	26	42 (∥); ≈0 (⊥)
Me <sub>2</sub> NCN <sup>+</sup>	Me <sub>2</sub> NCN/CFCl <sub>3</sub>	22	22 $(N_1 \parallel); \approx 0 (N_1 \perp)$ 8 $(N_2 \parallel); \approx 0 (N_2 \perp)$

<sup>a)</sup> This datum (10 G) is not a principle value, but it is probable that the anisotropy for  $N_2$  is small.

<sup>b)</sup> Ref. [6].



Data for this radical are compared with those for similar species in table 1, and there can be little doubt about the identity and structure. The singly occupied molecular orbital is formally confined to the in-plane 2p orbital on nitrogen, but there is extensive  $\sigma -\pi$ delocalisation, especially into the N-H orbital of the added hydrogen atom, the estimated spin density on hydrogen being  $\approx 0.14$ . D<sub>2</sub>NCN gave the deuterated adduct with the correct spectral modifications.

There are two reasonable routes to this product, the most probable being shown in the reactions:

$$H_2NCN \rightarrow (H_2\dot{N}CH)^+ + e^-, \qquad (1)$$

$$H_2NCN + e^- \rightarrow H_2NCN^-, \qquad (2)$$

$$H_2 N\dot{C} N^- + H_2 NCN$$
  

$$\rightarrow H_2 NC(H)\dot{N} + HNCN^-.$$
(3)

Step (3) is expected since  $H_2NCN$  is relatively acidic. If this is correct, we conclude that the species with broad lines is either  $H_2\dot{N}CN^+$  or H $\dot{N}CN$  formed by proton loss, and that the dissociation reaction

$$H_2 N\dot{C} N^- \rightarrow H_2 \dot{N} + C N^- \tag{4}$$

is slower than the protonation reaction (3), since  $\cdot NH_2$  radicals were not detected.

#### 3.2. Pure Me<sub>2</sub>NCN

The best defined product on exposure at 77 K was



Fig. 2. First-derivative X-band ESR spectrum for Me<sub>2</sub>NCN in CD<sub>3</sub>OD after exposure to <sup>60</sup>Co  $\gamma$ -rays at 77 K and annealing to  $\approx 110$  K, showing features assigned to Me<sub>2</sub>N· radicals. (Only the parallel features are labelled in the stick diagram.)

the  $Me_2N$  radical. The ESR spectrum for this species was well defined, and the derived parameters are identical with those previously assigned to  $Me_2N$  radicals in the solid state [7–9]. Again, other less defined features were present, which remain unassigned at present. These radicals are clearly the result of dissociative electron capture:

$$Me_2NCN + e^- \rightarrow Me_2N + CN^-$$
. (5)

In this case the protonation route followed by  $H_2NCN$  is not available, and the radical anion is unstable.

## 3.3. Solutions in CD<sub>3</sub>OD

The same species were obtained from dilute solutions in CD<sub>3</sub>OD, thus confirming reactions (2), (3) and (5), since electron capture is the only major reaction expected to occur under these circumstances [10]. We conclude that the radical anion  $(H_2N-CN)^-$  is relatively stable, and acts as a base in CD<sub>3</sub>OD, whereas that of Me<sub>2</sub>NCN dissociates before protonation can occur. The latter is clearly favoured by the greater stability of the Me<sub>2</sub>N· radical relative to ·NH<sub>2</sub>.

So far, our attempts to identify the parent anion,  $(H_2N\dot{C}N)^-$ , claimed to be an important intermediate in pulse-radiolysis studies [4,5] have failed, despite the low temperatures at which we work. It is possible that the anion undergoes the unimolecular rearrangement

$$(\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{N}\dot{\mathrm{C}}\mathrm{N})^{-} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}\bar{\mathrm{N}}} \succ \mathbf{C} = \dot{\mathrm{N}}, \qquad (6)$$

since the resulting radical anion might have an ESR spectrum similar to that assigned to radical I.

These results contrast interestingly with those for radicals derived from the structurally similar compound CH<sub>3</sub>CN [11,12]. This gives a stable radical anion MeCN<sup>-</sup>, which shows no tendency to dissociate. However, photolysis ( $\lambda > 640$  nm) at 77 K gave  $\cdot$ CH<sub>3</sub>+CN<sup>-</sup>. Remarkably, this reaction was reversed on standing in the dark, for CD<sub>3</sub> + CN<sup>-</sup> ( $\cdot$ CH<sub>3</sub> radicals react preferably with the matrix).

In protic media at low temperatures these anions were protonated, giving II



with a large proton hyperfine coupling, comparable with that found for radical I (table 1).

## 3.4. Solutions in CFCl<sub>3</sub>

This solvent was chosen because dilute solutions of neutral compounds (A) commonly give the corresponding radical cations, A<sup>+</sup>, which are readily detected by ESR spectroscopy [13]. We were unable to study cyanamide because it is insoluble in this and related solvents, so attention was centred on  $Me_2NCN$ . There is little doubt that the resulting highly complicated ESR spectrum is due to  $Me_2NCN^{\dagger}$  radicals. A good fit for the major features was obtained using  $A({}^{1}\text{H}) = 22 \text{ G}, A_{\parallel}({}^{14}\text{N}_{1}) = 22 \text{ G}$ and  $A_{\parallel}(N_2) = 8$  G, with  $g_{\parallel} = 2.002$ . The fact that the low-field features are more intense than those at high field implies that at least one of the other g-values is > 2.002, but we have not been able to extract further data from the spectra. There may be some tendency for Me<sub>2</sub>NCN molecules to associate since a central triplet probably due to H<sub>2</sub>CN units were observed even in very dilute systems. This species might be  $H_2CN(Me)CNH^+$  formed by internal proton transfer, but this seems improbable to us.

## References

- C. Ponnamperuma, R.D. Brown, A.G. Cairns-Smith, S.P.S. Andrew and M.D. Brasier, Chem. Britain (1979) 560.
- [2] C. Ponnamperuma and E. Peterson, Science 147 (1965) 1572.
- [3] P.G. Wannier and R.A. Linke, Astrophys. J. 226 (1978) 817.
- [4] I.G. Draganić, Z.D. Draganić and K. Sehested, J. Phys. Chem. 82 (1978) 757.
- [5] I.G. Draganić and Z.D. Draganić, Radiat. Phys. Chem. 15 (1980) 195.
- [6] S.P. Mishra and M.C.R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II (1973) 394.
- [7] W.C. Danen and C.T. West, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93 (1971) 5582.
- [8] M.C.R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II (1973) 797.
- [9] M.C.R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1986) 11.
- [10] M.C.R. Symons, Pure Appl. Chem. 53 (1981) 223.
- [11] K. Takeda and F. Williams, Mol. Phys. 17 (1969) 677.
- [12] E.D. Sprague, K. Takeda, J.T. Wang and F. Williams, Can. J. Chem. 52 (1974) 2840.
- [13] M.C.R. Symons, Chem. Soc. Rev. (1984) 393.