bulletin of the chemical society of Japan, vol. 47(1), 244-245(1974)

The Structure of the Pechmann Condensation Product of p-Orsellinic Acid with Ethyl Acetoacetate

Toshifumi Hirata and Takayuki Suga*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University, Higashisenda-machi, Hiroshima 730 (Received September 10, 1973)

Synopsis. The product obtained by the Pechmanr condensation, followed by the decarboxylation, of p-orsellinic acid with ethyl acetoacetate has been established not to be such 4,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxycoumarin as previously reported, but to be 2,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxychromone.

In the course of the structural determination of aloenin, 1) a new bitter glucoside isolated from Aloe species, we carried out the Pechmann condensation of p-orsellinic acid (I) with ethyl acetoacetate, followed by the decarboxylation, with a view to obtaining 4,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxycoumarin (II) following the method in a literature. 2) However, all physico-chemical and chemical examinations indicated the product to be 2,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxychromone (III), but not the coumarin. We now wish to describe evidences leading to the chromone structure (III) for the product.

COOH HO OH HO OH Me Me Me OH Me Me OH Me Me Me II III:
$$R_1 = R_2 = H$$
 V IV: $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = H$ VII: $R_1 = COOH$, $R_2 = H$ VII: $R_1 = COOH$, $R_2 = H$ VIII: $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = Ac$

Results and Discussion

We repeated the treatment of p-orsellinic acid (I) with ethyl acetoacetate in the presence of sulfuric acid, followed by the decarboxylation, in accordance with Sethna's report²) and obtained an O-heterocyclic compound (III), $C_{11}H_{10}O_3$, whose properties are identical with those reported by Sethna and Shah. The compound exhibited the IR and UV spectra characteristic of a chromone;³,4) v_{max} (dioxane) 1663 cm⁻¹ (C=O) and λ_{max} (EtOH) 291 nm (log ε 4.08), 250 (4.31), and 241 (4.22). The alkaline degradation of its monomethyl ether (IV) yielded 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-6-methylacetophenone (V). As the formation of the acetophenone derivative by such a degradation is also characteristic of a chromone,⁵) the heterocyclic compound (III) appeared to be 2,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxychromone.

The chromone structure was proved by the mass spectrum, which exhibited a base peak of the parent ion at m/e 190 and two significant peaks capable of demonstrating the chromone skeleton at m/e 150 and 122. As shown in Scheme 1, the occurrence of the m/e 150 peak is caused by the retro-Diels-Alder cleavage, which has not been observed for coumarin derivatives

yet,^{6,7)} with loss of acetylene and retention of the charge on the fragment. The fragment of mass 122 is formed by the sequential loss of a CO molecule from the m/e 150 ion. These fragments were completely characterized by high-resolution mass spectrum measurements.

The NMR spectrum of the monomethyl ether (IV) in a deuterochloroform solution showed signals of two methyl (at 2.25 and 2.77 ppm), one methoxy, two aromatic, and one ethylenic protons. The signal at 2.77 ppm was assigned to the C(5)-methyl group, because it is strongly deshielded by the adjacent carbonyl group.8) This assignment was proved by the benzene-induced downfield shifts⁹ ($\Delta \delta = -0.16 \text{ ppm}$) observed only for the C(5)-methyl group. No such chemical shift and solvent effect in the NMR spectrum can be explained for 4,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxycoumarin (II) proposed previously by Sethna and Shah.2) Thus, all evidences have established the condensation product (III) to be 2,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxychromone. Such a formation of the chromone is a unique example in the Pechmann condensation in the presence of sulfuric acid, although Simonis and Remmert¹⁰⁾ have described the formation of chromones in the presence of phosphorus pentoxide.

Experimental

The NMR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi Perkin-Elmer R-20 spectrometer. Mass spectral analyses were performed on a Hitachi RMU-7L high-resolution mass spectrometer and a Hitachi RMS-4 mass spectrometer, ionizing at the order of 70 eV.

The Condensation of p-Orsellinic Acid (I) with Ethyl Acetoacetate. Following the method in a literature, ²⁾ p-orsellinic acid (I) (800 mg; mp 166—167 °C; prepared¹¹⁾ from orcinol) was stirred with ethyl acetoacetate (640 mg) at 65—70 °C for 4 hr in the presence of sulfuric acid (8.4 ml) and the reaction mixture was poured into water to give a solid product, which then was ground up with a 5% sodium bicarbonate solution. The solution, on acidification, gave 2,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxychromone-8-carboxylic acid (VI) (342 mg): mp 222—225 °C (effervescence); IR (Nujol): 3500—2500 (OH and COOH), 1650 (C=O) and 1580 cm⁻¹ (C=C); UV (EtOH): 304 nm (log ε 3.94), 282 (4.03), and 248 (4.41); MS: m/e (rel. intensity) 234 (M⁺, 64), 216 (94), 190 (18), 188 (18), 160 (100), and 120 (28).

^{*} To whom all inquiries regarding this paper should be addressed.

Found: C, 61.38; H, 4.51%. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{10}O_5$: C, 61.54; H, 4.30%.

The Dimethyl Derivative (VII). The treatment of the acid (VI) with diazomethane as usual gave the dimethyl derivative (VII): mp 147—148 °C; IR (Nujol): 1730 (COOMe), 1650 (C=O), and 1600 cm⁻¹ (C=C); NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.30 (s, C(2)–Me), 2.83 (s, C(5)–Me), 3.91 (s, COOMe), 3.94 (s, OMe), 6.01 (s, C(3)–H), and 6.69 ppm (s, C(6)–H); (C₆H₆) δ 1.52 (s, C(2)–Me), 2.97 (s, C(5)–Me), 3.18 (s, COOMe), 3.67 (s, OMe), 5.80 (s, C(3)–H), and 6.19 ppm (s, C(6)–H); MS: m/e (rel. intensity) 262 (M⁺, 94), 230 (100), and 191 (51).

The Decarboxylation of the Acid (VI). The acid (VI) (100 mg) was maintained at 230 °C for 10 min, melting with the effervescence. The crystalline mass obtained after cooling was crystallized from ethanol to give the heterocyclic compound, 2,5-dimethyl-7-hydroxychromone (III) (48 mg): mp 245 °C (decomp.); IR (Dioxane): 1663 (C=O), 1615 and 1585 (C=C); UV (EtOH), described above; NMR (C₅H₅N): δ 2.06 (s, C(2)–Me) and 2.97 ppm (s, C(5)–Me); MS: m/e (rel. intensity) 190 (M⁺, 100), 175 (3), 162 (33), 161 (43), 150 (18), and 122 (23); High-resolution MS: m/e 190.0636 (calcd for C₁₁H₁₀O₃: 190.0630), 162.0654 (C₁₀H₁₀O₂: 162.0680), 161.0594 (C₁₀H₉O₂: 161.0601), 150.0299 (C₈H₆O₃: 150.0316), and 122.0361 (C₇H₆O₂: 122.0366).

The Acetyl Derivative (VIII). Mp 117—118 °C; IR (Nujol): 1765, 1659, 1630, and 1615 cm⁻¹; (CHCl₃) 1769, 1654, and 1611 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.30 (s, C(2)–Me and OAc), 2.83 (s, C(5)–Me), 6.07 (s, C(3)–H), 6.83 (d, J=2.0 Hz, C(6)–H), and 7.06 ppm (d, J=2.0 Hz, C(8)–H).

The Monomethyl Ether (IV). The treatment of the chromone (III) with diazomethane gave the ether (IV): mp 116—117 °C (recrystallized from ethyl acetate); IR (KBr): 3050, 1655, 1628, 1610, and 1569 cm⁻¹; (CHCl₃) 1657, 1612, and 1570 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.25 (s, C(2)–Me), 2.77 (s, C(5)–Me), 3.81 (s, OMe), 5.95 (s, C(3)–H), 6.60 (s, C(6)– and C(8)–H); (C₆H₆) δ 1.64 (s, C(2)–Me), 2.93 (s, C(5)–Me), 3.24 (s, OMe), 5.84 (s, C(3)–H), 6.40 (d, J= 2.5 Hz, C(8)–H), and 6.51 ppm (d, J=2.5 Hz, C(6)–H); MS: m/e (rel. intensity) 204 (M+, 100), 189 (2), 176 (9), 175 (10), 164 (7), and 161 (31).

The Alkaline Degradation of the Monomethyl Ether (IV). The monomethyl ether (IV) (36 mg) was refluxed in a mixture of 50% potassium hydroxide (4 ml) and ethanol (2 ml) for 2 hr in a current of nitrogen. The reaction mixture, after acidification, was extracted with ether and the ether extract was washed with a 5% sodium bicarbonate solution. Removal of the solvent afforded 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-6-methylacetophenone (V) (25 mg): mp 78.0—78.5 °C (lit, 12) mp 79 °C); IR (CHCl₃): 1610 and 1585 cm⁻¹; UV (EtOH): 279 nm (log ε 4.13) and 231 (4.05); NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.53 (s, C(6)–Me), 2.58 (s, COCH₃), 3.77 (s, OMe), 6.25 (s, aromatic 2H), and 13.48 ppm (s, phenolic OH); MS: m/e (rel. intensity) 180 (M+, 28) and 165 (100); High-resolution MS: m/e 180.0779 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₂O₃: 180.0785) and 165.0532 (C₉H₉O₃: 165.0550).

Found: C, 66.53; H, 6.58%. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}O_3$: C, 66.65; H, 6.71%.

References

- 1) T. Suga, T. Hirata, and M. Odan, *Chem. Lett.*, **1972**, 547.
- 2) S. M. Sethna and R. C. Shah, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 17, 211 (1940).
- 3) F. M. Dean and D. R. Randell, J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 798.
- 4) J. H. Looker and W. W. Hanneman, J. Org. Chem., 27, 382 (1962).
 - 5) W. Baker, J. Chem. Soc., 1925, 2349.
- 6) H. Budzikiewicz, J. I. Brauman, and C. Djerassi, *Tetrahedron*, **21**, 1855 (1965).
- 7) M. M. Badawi, M. B. E. Fayez, T. A. Brice, and R. I. Reed, *Chem. Ind.* (London), **1966**, 498.
 - 8) C. Bonsall and J. Hill, J. Chem. Soc., C, 1967, 1836.
- 9) D. H. Williams and N. S. Bhacca, *Tetrahedron*, **21**, 2021 (1965).
- 10) H. Simonis and P. Remmert, *Chem. Ber.*, **47**, 2229 (1914).
- 11) A. Robertson and R. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc., 1927, 2196.
- 12) K. Hoesch, Chem. Ber., 48, 1122 (1915).