AN ARISTOLOCHIC ACID DERIVATIVE FROM ARISTOLOCHIA LONGA

J. DE PASCUAL TERESA, JULIO G. URONES and ALVARO FERNANDEZ

Departamento de Quimica Organica, Fac. Quimica, Universidad de Salamanca, Spain

(Received 21 March 1983)

Key Word Index—Aristolochia longa; Aristolochiaceae; aristolochic acid derivatives; 2-(phenanthro[3,4-d]-1,3-dioxole-6-nitro-5-carboxamido)propanoic acid; aristolochic acids I, II, III and IV.

Abstract—2-(Phenanthro[3,4-d]-1,3-dioxole-6-nitro-5-carboxamido)propanoic acid, a new aristolochic acid derivative, was isolated as the methyl ester from roots of *Aristolochia longa*. The known aristolochic acids III (as its corresponding methyl ester), I, II and IV were also characterized, the latter being isolated for the first time in the free form.

INTRODUCTION

Aristolochic acids, derivatives of phenanthro [3,4-d]-1,3-dioxole-6-nitro-5-carboxylic acid, occur widely in many plants of the Aristolochiaceae and belong, in addition to chloramphenicol and a few other natural compounds, to substances rarely found in nature, containing a nitro group [1, 2], all of them with biological activity. The structures of aristolochic acids I (1) [3], II (2) [4], III (3) [5], III-a (4) [5], IV (5) [5], IV-a (6) [5], 7-hydroxy-aristolochic acid I (7) [6] and 7-methoxyaristolochic acid I (8) [6] have been established.

Aristolochic acids increase phagocytosis of leucocytes and exhibit tumour inhibitory activity [7, 8]. Up to the present time, all attempts to synthesize them have failed in providing an efficient method which could be used on an industrial scale, and the only source of these substances is the plant itself.

Aristolochia longa has been used in folk medicine in the Mediterranean area [9]. In our study on aristolochic acid components present in the roots of the plant, a new companion 2-(phenanthro[3,4-d]-1,3-dioxole-6-nitro-5-carboxamido)propanoic acid (9) (isolated as its methyl ester 9a), together with aristolochic acid IV (5) (isolated for the first time) and aristolochic acids I (1), II (2) and III (3), was identified from the benzene extracts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The plant was extracted successively with hexane and benzene. The solid which separated out after leaving the benzene extract to stand overnight at room temperature was chromatographed on silica gel, and two fractions, F_1 and F_2 , were separated. F_1 was pressure-chromatographed on silicic acid—Celite, yielding three components, 1, 2 and 5, which were purified by crystallization. Compounds 1 and 2 were identified by comparison of their mp and spectroscopic properties as aristolochic acids I and II, respectively [10, 11]. The spectroscopic properties of their methyl esters also agreed with these structures, 1a and 2a [11]. The IR spectrum of the more polar 5 [3300–2400, 1680 (COOH); 1530, 1350 (NO₂)] also corresponded to an aristolochic acid. According to the molecular formula $C_{18}H_{13}NO_8$, $[M]^+$ m/z 371, and

the presence in the 1 H NMR spectrum of two methoxyls and four aromatic protons, two in the *meta* position (J = 2 Hz), it was assigned the structure aristolochic acid IV (5). The mp and spectroscopic properties (UV, IR, 1 H NMR) of its methyl ester agreed with those described for the methyl ester of aristolochic acid IV (5a) [5, 10] also described in A. manshuriensis [12] and A. clematitis [5].

From the mixture of methyl esters obtained by careful esterification of F₂, first by silica gel CC and then by preparative TLC, **3a** (the methyl ester of aristolochic acid III [5]) and **9a** were obtained. The IR spectrum of this latter substance, with a formula of C₂₀H₁₆N₂O₇,[M]⁺ m/z 396, showed the presence of -COOR (1750 cm⁻¹), -CONH- (1635, 1515 cm⁻¹) and nitro groups (1350 cm⁻¹). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **9a** (CDCl₃, Table 1),

	\mathbf{R}_1	R_2	R_3	R ₄
1	Н	Н	OMe	ОН
1a	H	H	OMe	OMe
2	Н	Н	Н	ОН
2a	H	Н	H	OMe
3	OMe	Н	Н	ОН
3a	OMe	Н	Н	OMe
4	ОН	Н	Н	ОН
5	OMe	Н	OMe	ОН
5a	OMe	Н	OMe	OMe
6	OH	Н	OMe	ОН
7	Н	ОН	OMe	ОН
8	Н	OMe	OMe	ОН
9	Н	Н	Н	NH-CH(CH ₃)-COOH
9a	Н	Н	Н	NHCH(CH ₃)-COOMe

(MHz)	1* (60)	1a† (200)	2* (60)	2a † (200)	3a† (200)	5* (60)	5a † (200)	9a* (60)	9a† (200)	
H-4	7.76 s	7.77 s	7.79 s	7.76 s	7.77 s	7.70 s	7.73 s	7. 6 0 s	7.53 s	
H-7	8.48 s	8.83 s	8.50 s	8.31 s	8.60 s	8.32 s	8.74 s	8.45 s	8.22 s	
H-8		****	8.19m	7.97 d (7.5)	7.91 d (8.2)		\$ 00 to 00	8.17 m	7.93 d (7.2)	
H-9	7.22 d (8)	7.11 d (7.9)	7.78 m	7.75 m	7.34 d (8.2)	6.78 d (2)	6.70 d (2)	7.78 m	7.70 m	
H-10	7.70 t (8)	7.75 dd (9.7, 7.9)	7.78 m	7.75 m				7.78 m	7.70 m	
H-11	8.45 d (8)	8.71 <i>d</i> (9.7)	8.96 m	9.12 d (7.8)	8.31 s	7.84 <i>d</i> (2)	8.13 <i>d</i> (2)	9.02 m	9.08 d (7.9)	
OMe-8	4.04 s	4.06 s				3.97 s	4.01 s			
OMe-10					4.02 s	3.88 s	$3.98 \ s$			
OCH ₂ O	6.45 s	6.38 s	6.47 s	6.39 s	6.40 s	6.39 s	6.34 s	6.49 s	6.35 s	
5-COOMe		3.87 s		$3.88 \ s$	3.88 s	* * * * *	3.86 s			
Other								9.02 m	6.74 d (7.0)	-CONH-
								4.45 m	4.79 m	-CH
								3.70 s	3.82 s	-COOMe
								1.45 d (7)	1.59 d (7.0)	CH ₃ -CH

Table 1. ¹H NMR spectral data of aristolochic acids and derivatives

which gave signals for $-O-CH_2-O-\delta$ 6.35 (2H, s) and six aromatic protons, was similar to that of aristolochic acid II (2). Furthermore, it showed signals for $-COOMe \delta$ 3.82, $CH_3-CH \delta$ 1.59 (3H, d), $-CONH-\delta$ 6.74 (1H, d) and $-CH-\delta$ 4.79 (1H, m). By double-resonance of the signal at δ 4.79, the doublets at δ 6.74 and 1.59 were converted into singlets. Double-resonance of the doublet centred at δ 1.59 transformed the multiplet at δ 4.79 into a quartet (J=7 Hz) and that of the doublet at 6.74 into a doublet (J=7 Hz), revealing the presence of the grouping -CONH-CH(Me)-COOMe. The characteristic fragments of the mass spectrum (Scheme 1) confirmed the structure proposed for 9a as the methyl ester of 2-(phenanthro[3,4-d]-1,3-dioxole-6-nitro-5-carboxamido)propanoic acid.

Comparison of the optical rotation of 9a with that described in the literature [13] suggests the possibility of a derivative of L-(+)-alanine.

EXPERIMENTAL

General. Mps are uncorr. IR: KBr disc. 1 H NMR: 60 or 200 MHz, DMSO- d_6 and CDCl $_3$, TMS as int. standard. EIMS: heated inlet system, 70 eV. UV: EtOH. TLC, prep. TLC (0.75 mm) and CC: silica gel (Merck). Pressure CC: silicic acid (Mallincrodt) and Celite 545 (Fisher) 5:1. Rotations were determined in CHCl $_3$.

Plant material. Roots of A. longa were collected in La Bouza (Salamanca, Spain) in June and identified by Professor Casaseca Mena. A herbarium specimen has been deposited in the Dept of Botany, University of Salamanca.

Extraction and separation. The dried, ground material (3.5 kg) was extracted successively with hexane (10.1 g) and C_6H_6 (31.7 g). The hot C_6H_6 extract was left at room temp, overnight and the

dark-brown powder which separated out (10.7 g) was filtered. This powder (5.01 g) was chromatographed over silica gel (248 g). Fraction F_1 , eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (4:1) (3.31 g), and fraction F_2 (7:3) (0.46 g) were obtained. F_1 (0.50 g) was rechromatographed over silicic acid-Celite (300 g) under pres. (3 kg/cm^2) and 750 ml fractions were collected: with CHCl₃-MeOH (99.5:0.5) fractions 3-20 and with CHCl₃-

Scheme 1. Mass spectral fragmentation of 9a.

^{*}Recorded in DMSO-d₆.

[†]Recorded in CDCl3.

Coupling constants (Hz) in parentheses

MeOH (97.5:2.5) fractions 21–23, to give 2 (fractions 3–7, 169 mg), 1 (fractions 10–19, 287 mg) and 5 (fraction 21, 59 mg).

 F_2 (0.46 g) methylated with excess CH_2N_2 in Et_2O yielded 5 esters (revealed by TLC), 1a, 2a, 5a, 3a (3 mg) and 9a (40 mg), which were separated by silica gel CC (eluted with CHCl₃) and prep. TLC (developed with CHCl₃ several times).

Aristolochic acid I (1). Yellow needles (DMF- $\rm H_2O$), mp 276–281° (decomp.). IR $\rm v_{max}^{KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 3400–2400, 1685 (COOH), 1595 (aromatic ring), 1525 and 1355 (NO₂), 1042 (ether). ¹H NMR: Table 1. EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 341 [M] ⁺ (19), 311 (19), 295 [M – NO₂] ⁺ (83), 280 (20), 265 (58), 83 (100). Esterification (CH₂N₂–Et₂O) of I gave aristolochic acid I methyl ester (1a). Yellow crystals (CHCl₃), mp 281–282°. IR $\rm v_{max}^{KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 1712, 1590, 1515, 1372, 1135, 1038, 740. ¹H NMR: Table 1. EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 355 [M] ⁺ (18), 325 (38), 324 (3), 309 (36), 294 (31), 279 (100), 266 (13), 264 (79).

Aristolochic acid II (2). Orange–yellow crystals (Me₂CO), mp 270–272° (decomp.). IR v_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 3400–2400 and 1690 (COOH), 1595 (aromatic ring), 1525 and 1355 (NO₂). ¹H NMR: Table 1. EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 311 [M]⁺ (15), 295 (7), 265 (53), 208 (24), 163 (30), 151 (46), 83 (100). Esterification (CH₂N₂–Et₂O) of **2** gave aristolochic acid II methyl ester (**2a**). Yellow crystals (CHCl₃), mp 277°. IR v_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 1715, 1600, 1530, 1355, 1050. ¹H NMR: Table 1. EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 325 [M]⁺ (32), 294 (7), 279 (100), 264 (75), 208 (35).

Aristolochic acid III methyl ester (3a). Yellow crystals (CHCl₃), mp 265–266°. IR v_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 1715, 1620, 1530, 1350. ¹H NMR: Table 1. EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 355 [M]⁺ (35), 324 (8), 309 (100), 294 (98), 279 (53).

Aristolochic acid IV (5). Deep-red prisms (DMF- $\rm H_2O$). mp 268-270° (decomp.). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 3300-2400 and 1680 (COOH), 1600 (aromatic ring), 1530 and 1350 (NO₂), 1060 (ether). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EOH}$ nm: 223, 251, 317, 389. ¹H NMR: Table 1. EIMS 70 eV m/z (rel. int.): 371 [M]⁺ (6), 341 (39), 325 (8), 311 (10), 295 (100), 280 (27). Esterification (CH₂N₂-Et₂O) of 5 gave aristolochic acid IV methyl ester (5a). Orange-brown crystals (CHCl₃), mp 238-240°. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 1715, 1610, 1520, 1375,

1045. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EIOH}}$ nm: 223, 257, 397. ¹H NMR: Table 1. EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 385 [M]⁺ (71), 354 (40), 339 (100), 324 (50), 309 (41).

2-(Phenanthro [3,4-d]-1, 3-dioxole-6-nitro-5-carboxamido)propanoic acid methyl ester (9a). Pale-yellow crystals (MeOH), mp 252–253° (decomp.). [α]_D – 133° (CHCl₃; c 0.57). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KB}$ cm $^{-1}$: 3320 (NH), 1750 (COOR), 1635 and 1515 (CONH), 1350 (NO₂), 1053 (ether). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EIOH}$ nm: 218, 251, 297. 1 H NMR: Table 1. EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 396 [M] $^{+}$ (8), 380 (1), 365 (3), 350 (100), 294 (25), 290 (96), 263 (20), 248 (5), 220 (10).

REFERENCES

- Rebstock, M. C., Crooks, H. M., Controulis, J. and Bartz, Q. R. (1949) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 2458.
- Moyer, B. G., Pfeffer, P. E., Valentine, K. M. and Gustine, D. L. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 111.
- Pailer, M., Belohlav, L. and Simonitsch, E. (1956) Monatsh. Chem. 87, 249.
- 4. Pailer, M. and Schleppnik, A. (1957) Monatsh. Chem. 88, 367.
- Pailer, M., Bergthaller, P. and Schaden, G. (1965) Monatsh. Chem. 96, 863.
- Zhong-Liang, C. and Bao-Shan, H. (1981) Hua Hseh Hsueh Pao 16, 56; Chem. Abstr. 95, 175618w.
- Munavalli, S. and Viel, C. (1969) Ann. Pharm. Fr. 27, 449, 519, 601.
- Kupchan, S. M. and Doskotch, R. W. (1962) J. Med. Pharm. Chem. 5, 657
- 9. Font Quer, P. (1973) Plantas Medicinales. Labor, Barcelona.
- Kupchan, S. M. and Meiranos, J. J. (1968) J. Org. Chem. 33, 3735.
- Carboni, S., Livi, O., Segnini, D. and Mazzanti, C. (1966) Gazz. Chim. Ital. 96, 641.
- Nakanishi, T., Iwasaki, K., Nasu, M. Miura, I. and Yoneda, K. (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 1759.
- Karrer, Escher, and Widmer, (1926) Helv. Chim. Acta 9, 321 (B 4 II 811).