DIBENZOCYCLO-OCTADIENE LIGNANS FROM KADSURA HETEROCLITA

DAO-FENG CHEN, GUO-JUN XU, XIU-WEI YANG,* MASAO HATTORI,*† YASUHIRO TEZUKA,* TOHRU KIKUCHI* and TSUNEO NAMBA*

China Pharmaceutical University, 24 Tong Jia Xiang, Nanjing, China; *Research Institute for Wakan-Yaku, Toyama Medical and Pharmaceutical University, 2630 Sugitani, Toyama 930-01, Japan

(Received 10 June 1991)

Key Word Index—Kadsura heteroclita; Schizandraceae; stems; heteroclitin; dibenzocyclo-octadiene lignan.

Abstract—From the stems of *Kadsura heteroclita*, new dibenzocyclo-octadiene lignans, named heteroclitins A–E, were isolated together with the known compounds, kadsurin and interiorin. Their structures were determined by spectroscopic means.

INTRODUCTION

The stems of Kadsura heteroclita have been used in traditional Chinese medicine. The drug is said to promote vital energy and blood circulation, to expel wind-evil and to remove wetness-evil in terms of the traditional medicine, and has been used for the treatment of gastric and duodenal ulcers, acute and chronic gastroenteritis, dysmenorrhea, postpartum abdominal pain and trauma. As regards the chemical constituents of this plant, a few studies have been conducted and the isolation of some triterpenes has been reported [1-3]. We describe the isolation of five new lignans from stems of K. heteroclita together with the two known lignans, kadsurin and interiorin.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An ethanol extract of the stems of K. heteroclita was fractionated into hexane- and chloroform-soluble fractions. Repeated column chromatography of these fractions followed by preparative TLC led to the isolation of seven compounds. The structures of these compounds were determined by spectroscopic means.

Compound 1, $C_{25}H_{30}O_8$, was identified as kadsurin, which has been isolated previously from K. *japonica* [4, 5], by comparisons of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR, CD spectra and optical rotation with those reported [4–7].

Compound 2 was assigned the molecular formula $C_{28}H_{36}O_8$ by HR mass spectrometry. The UV spectrum showed λ_{max} at 218, 254 and 278 nm, characteristic of a biphenyl chromophore, in which rotation of the phenyl rings is restricted by the condensed acyclic ring [8], and an intense negative CD band at 255 nm ($[\Theta] - 45000$) was indicative of an S-biphenyl configuration [8]. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the presence of four methoxy, one methylenedioxy and two sec-methyl groups and two isolated aromatic protons, as well as two methines ($\delta 1.95-2.15$, m), one benzylic methylene ($\delta 2.64$, d, J

= 4.2 Hz) and one benzylic methine substituted by oxygen (δ 5.66, br s), whose protons appeared at positions quite similar to those of 1. In addition, the presence of a 2methylbutyryl group was also shown by ¹H and ¹³C NMR (Table 1), instead of the acetyl group in 1. In double-resonance experiments, irradiation at $\delta ca 2.0$ (H-7, 8) changed the three doublet signals at δ 0.93 (H₃-18), 1.05 (H₃-17) and 2.64 (H₂-6) to three singlet signals, and irradiation at δ 5.66 (H-9) increased the signal intensity at δ ca 2.0, suggesting that **2** possesses the same structure as in 1, except for the acyl group attached to OH-9. On treatment with LiAlH₄, 2 gave a deacylated compound, whose R_{f} on TLC and R_{t} on HPLC were identical to those of deacetylkadsurin (1a) obtained from 1 [5]. These findings led us to conclude that the structure is 2; the compound is a new natural product named heteroclitin Α.

Compound 3 was assigned the molecular formula $C_{28}H_{34}O_8$ by HR mass spectrometry. On treatment with LiAlH₄, 3 gave 1a. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Table 1) of 3 were quite similar to those of 1 except for the characteristic signals due to an angeloyl group, instead of the acetyl group in 1. The CD spectrum showed an intense negative band at 264 nm ($[\Theta] - 21100$), indicating an S-biphenyl configuration as in 1 and 2 [8]. The structure is 3 which was supported by double-resonance experiments. The compound was named heteroclitin B.

Compound 4 was assigned the molecular formula $C_{28}H_{34}O_8$ by HR mass spectrometry. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral analysis revealed that 4 is a dibenzocyclo-octadiene lignan similar to 1-3, but a tigloyl group is attached to OH-9. On treatment with LiAlH₄, 4 afforded 1a. The CD spectrum was indicative of an Sbiphenyl configuration in 4 [8]. Thus, the structure is 4 and the compound was named heteroclitin C.

Compound 5, $C_{27}H_{30}O_8$, was identified as interiorin, previously isolated from *K. interior*, by comparing its spectral data with those reported [9].

Compound 6 was assigned the molecular formula $C_{27}H_{30}O_8$ by HR mass spectrometry. Its CD spectral features were quite different from those of 1–5, and intense bands were observed at 242 (+3400), 320

[†]Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.



 $(-11\ 800)$ and $367\ (+5100)$ nm. The presence of a characteristic AB quartet signal centred at $\delta 4.39\ (J=8.7\ Hz)$ in the ¹H NMR spectrum and of a quaternary carbon at $\delta 64.6\ (s)$ in the ¹³C NMR spectrum suggested that **6** is a dibenzocyclo-octadiene lignan having a spirobenzofuranoid skeleton [10]. The ¹H NMR spectral patterns were

quite similar to that of oxokadsurane derivatives [10]. However, the acyl group was replaced by an angeloyl group in 6. In the ¹H-¹H COSY experiment, shiftcorrelations were observed among the following signals: H-7 (δ 1.79) vs H₃-17 (δ 1.00), Ha-6 (δ 2.22) and Hb-6 (δ 2.56); H-8 (δ 1.96) vs H₃-18 (δ 0.86) and H-9 (δ 5.69); Hb-

Table 1. ¹³C NMR spectral data for compounds 1-7 (in CDCl₃)

c	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	150.8 s	151.0 s	151.0 s	151.1 s	168.3 s	195.0 s	195.0 s
2	139.6 s	139.6 s	139.7 s	140.0 s	153.9 s	150.3 s	150.2 s
3	151.4 s	151.6 s	151.5 s	151.5 s	184.0 s	156.2 s	155.1 s
4	110.4 d	110.3 d	110.4 d	110.3 d	131.6 d	120.8 d	124.0 d
5	133.1 s	133.1 s	132.8 s	132.8 s	150.6 s	146.8 s	145.9 s
6	38.7 t	38.9 t	38.5 t	38.7 t	37.7 t	40.3 t	81.7 d
7	34.7 d	34.7 d	34.4 d	34.5 d	32.0 d	31.6 d	38.4 d
8	41.8 d	41.9 d	41.6 d	41.8 d	42.9 d	42.6 d	42.4 d
9	82.1 d	82.2 d	82.2 d	82.0 d	79.4 d	78.3 d	78.1 d
10	134.7 s	135.1 s	134.9 s	135.0 s	135.4 s	132.5 s	133.1 s
11	102.2 d	102.6 d	102.6 d	102.6 d	100.9 d	101.2 d	100.9 d
12	148.6 s	148.6 s	148.3 s	148.5 s	144.6 s	144.2 s	144.5 s
13	135.9 s	135.9 s	135.9 s	136.0 s	130.2 s	130.1 s	130.2 s
14	141.2 s	141.2 s	141.1 s	141.3 s	130.2 s	129.1 s	128.5 s
15	120.5 s	120.9 s	120.5 s	120.5 s	120.9 s	122.2 s	121.6 s
16	123.3 s	123.1 s	123.7 s	123.1 s	58.0 s	64.6 s	63.7 s
17	19.4 q	19.4 q	19.3 q	19.4 q	21.6 q	21.6 q	20.4 q
18	14.6 q	15.1 q	14.5 g	14.7 q	10.0 q	9.7 q	10.0 q
19	101.0 t	101.1 t	100.9 t	101.0 t	102.1 t	102.0 t	101.9 t
20		_	_		83.2 t	78.1 t	79.6 t
MeO	59.5 q	59.5 q	59x3 q	59.5 q	61.2 q	59.1 q	58.9 q
MeO	60.5 q	60.5 q	60.1 q	60.5 q	60.0 q	58.4 q	58.6 q
MeO	55.9 q	56.0 q	55.8 q	56.0 q	-		
MeO	59.5 q	59.3 q	59.5 q	59.5 q			_
1′	169.8 s	175.9 s	166.4 s	167.0 s	165.7 s	168.3 s	168.3 s
2′	20.5 q	40.0 d	126.9 s	127.5 s	127.6 s	127.9 s	127.9 s
3'		26.4 t	140.2 d	135.9 d	138.2 d	135.4 d	135.3 d
4'		11.1 q	15.3 q	11.6 q	15.7 q	15.5 q	15.5 q
5'	-	15.1 q	20.2 q	13.9 q	20.8 q	20.4 q	20.4 q



Fig. 1. NOE of compound 6.

6 vs H-4 (δ 6.09); H-3' (δ 5.74)vs H₃-4' (δ 1.66) and H₃-5' (δ 1.73); Ha-6 vs Hb-6; Ha-19 (δ 5.97) vs Hb-19 (δ 6.02); Ha-20 (δ 4.25) vs Hb-20 (δ 4.52). Furthermore, in the NOE experiment, appreciable NOE were observed between H-11 (δ 6.38) and H-9, H-4 and Ha-6, or H-4 and MeO-3 (δ 4.01) (Fig. 1). In addition NOE was also observed between the methyl signals (δ ca 1.7; H₃-4' and H₃-5') of the angeloyl group and the signals due to MeO-2 (δ 3.68), MeO-3, H-9 and H-4. This spectroscopic evidence strongly supported its structure of **6** and it was named heteroclitin D. The ¹³C NMR spectral data also supported this structure (Table 1), when referred to the reported data of analogous compounds [10].

Compound 7 was assigned the molecular formula $C_{27}H_{30}O_9$. Its UV and CD spectra were quite similar to those of 6, suggesting that 7 is also a dibenzocyclooctadiene lignan having a spirobenzofuranoid skeleton. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the presence of two secmethyls, two methines, two benzylic methines substituted by oxygen, two methoxyls, one methylenedioxyl, one methylene substituted by oxygen ($\delta 4.56$ and 5.04, AB quartet, J = 8.3 Hz) and two aromatic protons. In addition, an angeloyl group was shown to be present in the molecule on the basis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR (Table 1) spectral evidence. In double-resonance experiments, on irradiation at δ 1.81 (H-7), the two doublet signal at δ 1.04 and 4.12 (H₃-18 and H-6, respectively) were changed to two singlet signals, and the multiplet signals at $\delta 2.10$ increased in intensity. On irradiation at $\delta 2.10$ (H-8), the doublet signals at δ 1.01 and 5.68 (H₃-17 and H-9, respectively) became two singlet signals as well as an appreciable increase of signal intensity at δ 1.81, indicating the presence of the partial structure Ph-CH(OAng)-CH(Me)-CH(Me)-CH(OH)-Ph' (but with Ang = Angeloyl). The coupling constants of $J_{6,7} = 10.3$ Hz and $J_{8,9} = 5.6$ Hz revealed that H-6 and H-9 possessed α - and β -orientations, respectively. These findings led us to conclude that its structure is 7 and we named it heteroclitin E.

EXPERIMENTAL

Mp: uncorr. ¹H and ¹³C NMR: 270 and 22.5 MHz, respectively. EIMS: 70 eV. Optical rotations and CD spectra were measured at 25°.

Chromatography. Wakogel C-200 was used for CC. TLC was performed on Merck Kieselgel 60 F_{254} plates with solvent system A, hexane-Me₂CO-C₆H₆ (14:5:1). Spots were observed under UV light and visualised by spraying with anisal-dehyde-H₂SO₄ reagent followed by heating.

Materials. Stems of K. heteroclita (Roxo.) crait. were collected in Feng-Qing county, Yunnan Province of China, in August 1989. The plant was identified by Guo-Jun Xu and voucher specimens are deposited at the Herbarium of Materia Medica of China Pharmaceutical University and the Museum of Materia Medica of Toyama Medical and Pharmaceutical University.

Extraction and fractionation. Dried and pulverised stems (4 kg) were extracted \times 5 with boiling 95% EtOH (700 ml) for 8 hr. The combined solns were evapd in vacuo to give a residue (114 g; 2.8%). The residue was suspended in 90% MeOH (1.5 l) and extracted with hexane to give a hexane-sol. fr. (36.5 g; 0.9%). The 90% MeOH phase was evapd to give a residue (82.5 g), which was suspended in H₂O (1000 ml) and extracted with CHCl₃ (2 l \times 5) to give another fr. (48.1 g).

The hexane-sol fr. was applied to a silica gel column (3.8 cm i.d \times 80 cm), which was successively eluted with hexane containing increasing amounts of CHCl₃. Frs eluted with hexane-CHCl₃ (4:1) were subjected to repeated CC and prep. TLC to yield compounds 1 (1137 mg), 2 (32 mg), 3 (78 mg) and 4 (144 mg). Similarly, frs eluted with hexane-CHCl₃ (2:1) gave 5 (459 mg) and 6 (499 mg).

The CHCl₃-sol. fr. was chromatographed on a silica gel column (3.8 cm i.d \times 80 cm) with hexane-CHCl₃ (1:1) and CHCl₃. Frs eluted with CHCl₃ were subjected to repeated CC and prep. TLC to give 7 (27 mg).

Kadsurin (1). Needles from MeOH, mp $157-158^{\circ}$. $[\alpha]_{D} - 23.7^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃, *c* 1.01). CD (MeOH, *c* 2.0×10^{-4} g ml⁻¹) (nm): -39800 (261), -3700 (shoulder, 290); UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm (*z*): 219 (27400), 254 (6700), 278 (1800). IR ν_{max}^{Khr} cm⁻¹: 1735 (ester C=O), 1620, 1595, 1460 (aromatic). EIMS *m/z*: 458 [M]⁺; HRMS: Found, *m/z* 458.1942 [M]⁺, Calcd for C₂₅H₃₀O₈: 458.1941. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.92 (3H, *d*, *J* = 7.1 Hz, H₃-18), 1.07 (3H, *d*, *J* = 7.1 Hz, H₃-17), 1.57 (3H, *s*, AcO-9), 1.95-2.15 (2H, *m*, H-7, 8), 2.65 (2H, *d*, *J* = 3.9 Hz, H₂-6), 3.63 (3H, *s*, MeO), 3.80 (3H, *s*, MeO), 3.87 (3H, *s*, MeO), 3.89 (3H, *s*, MeO), 5.63 (1H, *br*, *s*, H-9), 5.95, 5.98 (each 1H, ABq, *J* = 1.5 Hz, H₂-19), 6.46 (1H, *s*, H-11), 6.57 (1H, *s*, H-4).

Reduction of compound 1 with LiAlH₄. Compound 1 (25 mg) was treated with LiAlH₄ (10 mg) in THF (5 ml) for 12 hr at room temp. The reaction mixt. was filtered and the filtrate neutralised with 5% HCl and extracted with EtOAc. After solvent was evapd *in vacuo*, the residue was chromatographed on a TLC plate with solvent system A to give deacetylkadsurin (1a; 4 mg). $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_D$ – 29.6° (CHCl₃; c 0.4); ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta 0.93$ (3H, *d*, J = 7.3 Hz, H₃-18), 1.16 (3H, *d*, J = 7.1 Hz, H₃-17), 1.91 (1H, *m*, H-7), 2.09 (1H, *m*, H-8), 2.64 (2H, *d*, J = 4.6 Hz, H₂-6), 3.66 (3H, *s*, MeO), 3.83 (3H, *s*, MeO), 3.88 (3H, *s*, MeO), 3.90 (3H, *s*, MeO) 4.61 (1H, *d*, J = 9.0 Hz, H-9), 5.97 (2H, *s*, H₂-19), 6.33 (1H, *s*, H-11), 6.58 (1H, *s*, H-4).

Reduction of compounds 2-4 with LiAlH₄ Compounds 2-4 (2 mg each) were treated in a similar manner to that described above. The product had the same R_f value (0.75) on TLC (solvent system A) and R_f (4.7 min) on HPLC (LichroCART 250-4 ODS; solvent, 70% MeOH; flow rate, 0.5 ml min⁻¹; detection, 254 nm) with those of 1a.

Heteroclitin A (2). Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_{D} + 29.2^{\circ}$ (MeOH; c 0.66). CD (MeOH, $c 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ g ml⁻¹) (nm): -45 000 (255), -3 000 (shoulder, 290); UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm (e): 218 (30 900), 254 (7600), 278 (2600). IR v_{Max}^{MBT} cm⁻¹: 1725 (ester C=O), 1615, 1590, 1455 (aromatic). EIMS m/z (rel. int.) 500 [M]⁺ (100), 398 [M $-C_5H_{10}O_2$]⁺ (52); HRMS m/z 500.2415 [M]⁺, Calcd for $C_{28}H_{36}O_8$: 500.2410. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.74 (3H, dd, J = 7.3, 7.6 Hz, H_3 -4'), 0.87 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H_3 -5'), 1.10-1.44 (3H, m, H-2', H_2 -3'), 0.93 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H_3 -18), 1.05 (3H, d, J= 6.8 Hz, H_3 -17), 1.95-2.15 (2H, m, H-7, 8), 2.64 (2H, d, J= 4.2 Hz, H_2 -6), 3.68 (3H, s, MeO), 3.78 (3H, s, MeO), 3.85 (3H, s, MeO), 3.89 (3H, s, MeO), 5.66 (1H, br s, H-9), 5.94, 5.97 (each 1H, ABq, J = 1.5 Hz, H₂-19), 6.48 (1H, s, H-11), 6.55 (1H, s, H-4).

Heteroclitin B (3). Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_D + 58.3^{\circ}$ (MeOH; c 3.92). CD (MeOH; c 3.0×10^{-4} g ml⁻¹) (nm): -21 100 (264), -3 600 (shoulder, 290). UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm (e): 219 (56 300), 255 (12 000), 280 (3000). IR v_{max}^{MB} cm⁻¹: 1715 (conj. ester C=O), 1621, 1600, 1500 (aromatic). EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 498 [M]⁺ (100), 398 [M - C₅H₈O₂]⁺ (59); HRMS: Found, m/z 498.221 [M]⁺, Calcd for C₂₈H₃₄O₈: 498.2253. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃); 80.99 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H₃-18), 1.04 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H₃-17), 1.27 (3H, dq, J = 2.9, 1.5 Hz, H₃-5'), 1.88 (3H, dq, J = 7.2, 1.5 Hz, H₃-4'), 2.0-2.15 (2H, m, H-7, H-8), 2.65 (2H, d, J = 3.9 Hz, H₂-6), 3.48 (3H, s, MeO), 3.79 (3H, s, MeO), 3.83 (3H, s, MeO), 3.87 (3H, s, MeO), 5.69 (1H, br s, H-9), 5.91 (1H, m, H-3'), 5.94, 5.98 (each 1H, ABq, J = 1.5 Hz, H₂-19), 6.54 (1H, s, H-11), 6.57 (1H, s, H-4).

Heteroclitin C (4). Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_D - 12.0^{\circ}$ (MeOH; c 3.61). CD (MeOH, c 2.9×10^{-4} g ml⁻¹) (nm): -52 800 (253), -6500 (290). UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm (ε): 218 (39 700), 254 (8200), 278 (2400). IR γ_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 1710 (conj. ester C=O), 1620, 1600 (aromatic). EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 498 [M]⁺ (100), 398 [M -C₅H₈O₂]⁺ (55); HRMS: Found, m/z 498.2291 [M]⁺, Calcd for C₂₈H₃₄O₈: 498.2253. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.98 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H₃-18), 1.08 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H₃-17), 1.48 (3H, br s-like, H₃-5'), 1.60 (3H, br dq, J = 7.1, ca, 1 Hz, H₃-4'), 2.0–2.15 (m, H-7, H-8), 2.68 (2H, d, J = 4.1 Hz, H₂-6), 3.38 (3H, s, MeO), 3.80 (3H, s, MeO), 3.84 (3H, s, MeO), 3.91 (3H, s, MeO), 5.80 (1H, br s, H-9), 5.94, 5.97 (each 1H, ABq, J = 1.5 Hz, H₂-19), 5.88 (1H, qq, J = 7.1 Hz, ca, 1.0 Hz, H-3'), 6.52 (1H, s, H-11), 6.61 (1H, s, H-4).

Interiorin (5). Pale yellow prisms from EtOAc, mp 163–165°. $[\alpha]_D - 38.0^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃; c 1.0), -23.7 (MeOH, c 0.87). CD (MeOH, $c 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ g ml⁻¹) (nm): +8700 (261), -21700 (290); UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm (ϵ): 219 (42 800), 245 (16 000), 295 (4200). IR v_{max}^{Mer} cm⁻¹: 1715 (conj. ester C=O), 1650 (conj. C=O), 1640, 1595. EIMS: m/z(rel. int.) 482 [M]⁺ (100). HRMS: Found, m/z 482.1970 [M]⁺, Calcd for C₂₇H₃₀O₈: 482.1941. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta 0.89$ (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H₃-18), 1.03 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, H₃-17), ca 1.7 (1H, m, H-7), 1.75 (3H, dq, J = 1.5, 1.5 Hz, H₃-5'), 1.83 (3H, dq, J = 7.3, 1.5 Hz, H₃-4'), 1.96 (1H, dq, J = 7.1, 7.3 Hz, H-8), 2.28 (1H, dd, J = 15.6, 12.0 Hz, Ha-6), 2.63 (1H, dd, J = 15.6, 7.8 Hz, Hb-6), 3.79 (3H, s, MeO), 3.71 (3H, s, MeO), 4.44, 4.57 (each 1H, ABq, J = 8.8 Hz, H₂-20) 5.56 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-9), 5.94 (1H, qq, J = 7.3, 1.5 Hz, H-3'), 6.01, 6.05 (each 1H, ABq, J = 1.5 Hz, H₂-19), 6.24 (1H, d, J = ca, 1 Hz, H-4), 6.38 (1H, s, H-11).

Heteroclitin D (6). Yellowish powder. $[\alpha]_D - 93.9^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃; c 1.02), -29.4° (MeOH; c 0.88). CD (MeOH; c 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ g ml⁻¹) (nm): +3400 (242), -11 800 (320), +5100 (367). UV λ_{maOH}^{maOH} nm (ε): 221 (32 700), 276 (2200), 332 (4000). IR ν_{max}^{EBP} cm⁻¹: 1720 (conj. ester C=O), 1655 (conj. C=O), 1650. EIMS m/z(rel. int.): 482 [M]⁺ (54), 382 [M-C₅H₈O₂]⁺(100); HRMS: Found, m/z 482.1938 [M]⁺, Cacld for C₂₇H₃₀O₈: 482.1939. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.86 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H₃-8), 1.00 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, H₃-17), 1.66 (3H, br d-like, H₃-4'), 1.73 (3H, br s-like, H₃-5'), 1.79 (1H, m, H-7), 1.96 (1H, m, H-8), 2.22 (1H, dd, J = 15.7, 12.1 Hz, Ha-6), 2.56 (1H, dd, J = 15.7, 2.8 Hz, Hb-6), 3.68 (2H, s, MeO-2), 4.01 (3H, s, MeO-3), 4.25, 4.52 (each 1H, ABq, J = 8.7 Hz, H₂-20), 5.69 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-9), 5.74 (1H, m, H-3'), 5.97, 6.02 (each, 1H, ABq, J = 1.5 Hz, H₂-19), 6.09 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, H-4), 6.38 (1H, s, H-11).

Heteroclitin E (7). Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_{\rm D} - 38.0^{\circ}$ (MeOH; c 0.79). CD (MeOH; c 2.0×10^{-4} g ml⁻¹) (nm); -5200 (220), -2400 (319), +1300 (367). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ nm (ε): 219 (42100), 279 (2600),329 (3400). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 1715 (conj. ester C=O), 1655 (conj. ester C=O), 1625, 1620, 1615 (aromatic). EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 498 [M]⁺ (100), 398 [M - C₅H₈O₂]⁺ (51). HRMS: Found, *m/z* 498.1920 [M]⁺, Calcd for C₂₇H₃₀O₉: 498.1950. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.01 (3H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H₃-17), 1.04 (3H, *J* =6.8 Hz, H₃-18), 1.68 (3H, *br s*-like, H₃-5'), 1.70 (3H, *br d*-like, H₃-4'), 1.81 (1H, *m*, H-7), 2.10 (1H, *m*, H-8), 3.70 (3H, *s*, MeO), 4.10 (3H,*s*, MeO), 4.12 (1H, *d*, *J* = 10.3 Hz, H-6), 4.56, 5.04 (each 1H, ABq, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H₂-20), 5.68 (1H, *d*, *J* = 5.6 Hz, H-9), 5.73 (1H, *m*, H-3'), 5.97, 6.02 (each 1H, ABq, *J* = 1.5 Hz, H₂-19), 6.24 (1H, *s*, H-4), 6.38 (1H, *s*, H-11).

REFERENCES

- Kangouri, K., Miyoshi, T., Kawashima, A., Ikeda, A., Mizutani, T., Omura, S., Li, L. and Xue, H. (1989) *Planta Med.* 55, 297.
- Li, L., Xue, H., Ge, D., Kangouri, K., Miyoshi, T. and Omura, S. (1989) Planta Med. 55, 300.
- Li, L., Xue, H., Kangouri, K., Kawashima, A. and Omura, S. (1989) Planta Med. 55, 548.
- Chen, Y., Liu, R., Hsu, H. and Yamamura, S. (1973) Tetrahedron Letters 43, 4257.
- Chen, Y., Liu, R., Hsu, H. Yamamura, S., Shizuri, Y. and Hirata, Y. (1977) Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 50, 1824.
- Gottleb, H. E., Mervic, M., Ghera, E. and Frolow, F. (1982) J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 2353.
- 7. Mervic, M. and Ghera, E. (1977) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 7633.
- Ayres, D. C. and Loike, J. D. (1990) in Lignans, Chemical, Biological and Clinical Properties, pp. 170, 257. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Shide, L., Zhikuei, D., Mayer, R., Ruecker, G. Will, G., Kirfel, A., and Langen, R. (1988) *Planta Med.* 440.
- 10. Li, L. and Xue, H. (1990) Phytochemistry 29, 2730.