SESQUITERPENE LACTONES OF EUPATORIUM SCABRIDUM*

WERNER HERZ and PALIANAPPAN KULANTHAIVEL

Department of Chemistry, The Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, U.S.A.

(Received 18 February 1982)

Key Word Index—Eupatorium scabridum, Compositae; Eupatorieae; sesquiterpene lactones; guaianolides; germacradienolides.

Abstract—Eupatorium scabridum, a hybrid biotype presumed to arise by hybridization of E. rotundifolium and E. semiserratum furnished anti-tumor sesquiterpene lactones characteristic of the putative parents.

INTRODUCTION

As part of our continuing study [1, 2] of Eupatorium species sensu stricto which elaborate sesquiterpene lactones with cytotoxic and anti-tumor activity, we have examined the hybrid derivative biotype E. scabridum Ell. This taxon, a predominantly triploid member of what has sometimes been called the E. rotundifolium complex, is found in the coastal plain of northern Florida, southern Georgia, south Carolina to Louisiana and north into Arkansas and reflects hybridization between E. rotundifolium L. and E. semiserratum DC.[3]. Our material came from Arkansas where relatively large populations occur.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Eight previously known sesquiterpene lactones, as well as β -amyrin acetate and plant sterol glucosides, were isolated from *E. scabridum* and were identified spectroscopically (¹H NMR, mass spectra) or by

*Collections of so-called E. rotundifolium L. ssp. ovatum (Bigel.) Montg. and Fairbr. which gave [12, 16] 1a, 1b, 3b, 4, 6b, 11a, 11b, 11a, 11b and 12 actually were [11] E. cordigerum Fernald, a putative hybrid of diploid E. perfoliatum L. (pollen parent) and triploid E. rotundifolium (female parent)[3].

§The source given for this collection is surprising. The range of *E. rotundifolium* does not extend into Central America and the species is not listed, even as an adventive, by Williams, L. O. (1976) *Flora of Guatemala, Eupatorieae, Helenieae, Senecioneae, Fieldiana: Botany*, Vol. 24, Part XII.

comparison with known samples. The major lactone constituents were eupatundin (1a) [4, 5] and eupaserrin (2a) [6, 7]; smaller amounts of euparotin (3a) [6, 8, 9], eupatoroxin (4) [4, 5], eupachloroxin (5) [4, 5], deacetyleupaserrin (2b)[6, 7, 10], lactone 2c[7] and lactone 6b were also isolated.[†] Lactone 6b is the angelate analog of a substance (6a) from E. mohrii [11] and is identical with a lactone isolated from 'E. rotundifolium ssp. ovatum'[‡] which was originally [12] assumed to have an α -orientated 9hydroxyl group; we concur[11] with the recent revision[13] of its stereochemistry to 6b because of the observed value of $J_{8,9}(2 \text{ Hz})$. 270 MHz ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of those compounds for which such data are not available in the literature are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Oxidation with Jones' reagent converted 1a to 7 (dehydroeupatundin)[6]; oxidation of 1a with pyridinium dichromate, pyridinium chlorochromate or Collins reagent was accompanied by double bond migration to 8a (dehydroiseupatundin) whose spectral properties were similar to those of the recently reported guevariolide 8b[14].

E. scabridum contains sesquiterpene lactones found in E. rotundifolium and in E. semiserratum separately; thus the phytochemistry reflects the putative parentage of this hybrid biotype[3]. E. rotundifolium characteristically elaborates 1, 5-dihydroxy-8acyloxy-3, 4, 10, 14-guaidienolides, their epoxidation products or slight modifications thereof; thus E. rotundifolium from Florida gave [4, 6] 1a, 3a, 3b, 4a, 5, 9a, 9b and 10a and a collection from Guatemala§ furnished 10b and 10c in addition to unspecified 'previously isolated lactones from this species', but no germacradienolides [15]. On the other hand E. semiserratum contains only the germacradienolides **2a** and **2b**[6, 10] which we have now also found in E. scabridum. Lactone 2c has been isolated previously from E. mikanioides [7].

As expected the flavones to which we have ascribed responsibility for the characteristic yellow secretion which is observed when stems of E. *semiserratum* are broken[10] were not present in the extract of our E. *scabridum* which lacked this property.

^{*}Supported in part by a grant from the U.S. Public Health Service (CA-13121) through the National Cancer Institute.

[†]Lactones 1a, 2a, 2b, 3a, 4 and 5 were reported to be cytotoxic [5, 6]. Only 2a, 2b and 3 were tested *in vivo*; 2a, 2b exhibited activity against Walker 256 carcinoma[6] and 3a exhibited activity against P-388 leukemia in the mouse [5]. Previously untested compounds obtained in the present work have been submitted to the anti-cancer screen of the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute.



In R = H, R' = AngIb R = Ac, R' = Ang





3a R = H, R' = Ang3b R = Ac, R' = Ang



4





6a R = Me Bu 6b R = Ang





5

80 R = Ang 8b R = Tigl



7

10a R = H, R' = Ang**10b** R = Ac, R' = AngIOc R = Ac R' = Tigl

н OR' OH õ



9a R = H, R' = Ang**9b** R = Ac, R' = Ang



IIa R = Ac, R' = Ang or reverse IID R = H, R' = Tigl

12

EXPERIMENTAL

Extractions from E. scabridum. Above ground material of E. scabridum Ell. (2.2 kg) collected by Dr. R. K. Godfrey and Mr. D. Gage on 1 September 1979 near route 19 just east of Delight, Arkansas (RKG 77202 on deposit in the Herbarium of Florida State University), was extracted with CHCl₃ and worked-up in the usual fashion[17]. The crude

gum (115 g) was pre-absorbed on 200 g Si gel and chromatographed over 1 kg Si gel packed in C₆H₆. Fractions were collected as follows: fractions 1-12 (C₆H₆, 61.), 13-24 (C₆H₆-CHCl₃, 1:1, 61.), 25-36 (CHCl₃, 61), 37-40 (CHCl₃-MeOH, 99:1, 21), 41-50 (CHCl3-MeOH, 49:1, 51), 51-65 (CHCl3-MeOH, 19:1, 7-51.), 66-70 (CHCl3-MeOH, 9:1, 2.51.) and 71-75 (CHCl₃-MeOH, 4:1, 2-51.).

	1a	3a	4	S	લ	L	8a
H-1	2.72d(4.5)	- 1.9	1.55d(4.5)	2.42d(4)	5.08br dd (12, 4)	3.72br	1
H-2a	4.42d(4.5)	4.76dd (2, 6)	4.32d(4.5)	4.40d(4)	2.49ddd (12, 6, 4)	ļ	1
Н-2Ь	ł	ļ	ł	}	2.36ddd (12, 12, 10)	I	ł
H-3	3.40	5.75m	3.38	3.38	4.74brd(10)	3.48	3.57
H-5	1	í	ł	}	4.30 <i>dd</i> (10.6)	ļ	I
9-H	4.91d(7.5)	4.82d(8.5)	5.00d(8)	4.92 <i>d</i> (8)	5.15dd (8, 10)	4.54d(8)	4.34d(10.5)
Н-7	3.79m (7.5, 4, 3.5, 3)	4.14m (8.5, 4, 3.5, 3)	4.24m (8, 4, 3.5, 3)	4.58m (8, 4, 3.5, 3)	2.97m (8, 3.5, 3)	3.77 <i>m</i> (8, 4, 3.5, 3)	4.07 <i>m</i> (10.5, 3.5, 3, 2,)
8-H	5.51 <i>dt</i> (4, 8)	5.63 <i>dt</i> (4, 8)	5.55 <i>ddd</i> (8.5, 7.5, 4)	5.66dt (7.5, 4)	5.99br d (2)	5.53 <i>dt</i> (4, 9)	5.7br dd (6.5, 2)
H-9a	3.03 <i>dd</i> (14, 8)	2.72dd (15,8)	2.90dd (15, 7.5)	2.49§	4.27d(2)	3.11 <i>dd</i> (14, 9)	3.28br d(14)
46-H	2. <i>93dd</i> (14, 8)	2.29 <i>dd</i> (15, 8)	2.15dd (15, 8.5)		1	~ 1.9‡	2.71 <i>dd</i> (14, 6.5)
H-13a	6.32d(3.5)	6.38d(3.5)	6.33d(3.5)	6.29d(3.5)	6.36d(3.5)	6.36d(3.5)	6.24d(3.5)
H-13b	5.54d(3)	5.63d(3)	5.58d(3)	5.52d(3)	5.77d(3)	5.61 <i>d</i> (3)	5.51d(3)
H-14a	5.09 <i>br</i>	2.74§ (5)	2.62§ (5)	3.61§ (12)	1.58br†	5.29br	2.23†
H-14b	5.07br						
H-15‡	1.68 <i>br</i>	1.96br	1.66br	1.66br	1.794(1.5)	1.78 <i>br</i>	1.80 <i>br</i>
Н-3′	6.06br q(7.5)	6.04br q	6.04br q	6.05 br q	6.12 br q	6.07br q	6.12brq
H-4′†	1.92 <i>br d</i> (7.5)	1.89 <i>br d</i>	1.91 <i>br</i> d	1.91 <i>br</i> d	1.98 <i>br</i> d	1.89br d	1.90br d
†-S'†	1.80br	1.77br	1.80br d	1.78br d	1.89br d	1.77br	1.76br
*Run at 27 constants (in †Intensity of	0 MHz in COCl ₃ . ¹ parentheses) in H of three protons.	Unmarked signals lertz.	are singlets. Fre-	quencies ín ô valu	es downfield from	r TMS as internal	standard. Coupling

‡Obscured signal. §Intensity of two protons; center of AB system.

Sesquiterpene lactones of Eupatorium scabridum

Table 2.	Ъ,	NMR	spectra*
----------	----	-----	----------

	1a	3a	4	5	6b †	7	8a
1	58.09d‡	60.32d	57.24d	52.96d	122.90d	61.21d§	158.35
2	74.26d‡	74.40d	71.43 <i>d</i>	70.16 <i>d</i>	34.49t	204.21	194.48
3	64.32d‡	129.10d	64.10d	62.82 <i>d</i>	74.81d§	62.14 <i>d</i> §	64.64 <i>d</i>
4	67.13	149.84	67.31	67.25	143.60	68.35	66.16
5	80.26	84.37	80.80	80.95	127.75d	77.70	
6	78.51d‡	82.51d	78.54 <i>d</i>	79.38d	75.02d§	77.80d	ł
7	41.85 <i>d</i>	42.20d	41.98 <i>d</i>	41.10 <i>d</i>	49.19d	41.41 <i>d</i>	47.72d
8	68.70d‡	66.88 <i>d</i>	67.81 <i>d</i>	67.25d	78.50d	68.05 <i>d</i>	66.43 <i>d</i>
9	37.70t	36.99t	36.64t	36.53t	76.52d	35.91t	38.82t
10	139.15	55.68	55.17	74.01	137.04	133.22	133.98
11	134.47	134.14	134.63	135.19	136.30	134.56	134.74
12	169.42	169.44	169.53	169.67	168.74	168.85	167.94
13	122.43 <i>t</i> §	123.27t	122.56t	121.60t	121.35t	124.76t	120.05t
14	121.72 <i>t</i> §	54.78t	55.38t	55.57t	13.13g	123.78t	25.30q
15	15.83 <i>q</i>	13.59q	15.70 <i>q</i>	16.18 <i>q</i>	11.77q	15.34g	15.82 <i>q</i>
1'	167.27	166.94	167.13	167.27	165.88	166.89	166.66
2′	127.41	127.28	127.46	127.59	127.18	127.06	126.72
3′	138.69d	138.61d	138.42d	138.22d	137.21 <i>d</i>	139.10d	140.10 <i>d</i>
4'	20.52q	20.46 <i>q</i>	20.54 <i>q</i>	20.59 <i>q</i>	20.07 <i>q</i>	20.45q	20.48 <i>q</i>
5′	15.83 <i>q</i>	15.77 <i>q</i>	15.80 <i>q</i>	15.83 <i>q</i>	15.30q	15.84 <i>q</i>	15.82 <i>q</i>

*Run in CDCl₃ at 67.9 MHz unless specified otherwise. Values are in δ values. Unmarked signals are singlets.

† Run in DMSO- d_6 .

‡Assignments made by selective irradiation.

\$Assignments may be interchanged.

Signals obscured by solvent signals.

Purification of fraction 6 (1.2g) by Si gel chromatography and recrystallization from a CHCl₃-MeOH mixture gave β -amyrin acetate.

Fractions 44-48 on trituration with Et_2O afforded 6.3 g pure eupaserrin (2a), identified by mp and NMR.

Fractions 52-59 were rechromatographed over Si gel. The fractions eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH, (49:1) predominantly gave a single compound (8 g) whose purification by TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH-EtOAc, 8:1:1) afforded pure eupatundin (1a). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The combined fractions 61 and 62 on trituration with Et₂O gave 1.2 g euparotin (3a), identified by mp and NMR. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Fractions 64–69 (21 g) were rechromatographed over 250 g Si gel. Fraction 7, eluted with CHCl₃–MeOH (19:1), upon trituration with CHCl₃–hexane predominantly gave a single compound (2.1 g). Purification by TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH– EtOAc, 8:1:1) gave two bands. The major band gave pure eupatoroxin (4) (1.25 g); the minor band was repurified by TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH–EtOAc, 7:1:2, developed twice) to yield 90 mg gummy 6b. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Fractions 8 and 9 mainly showed two spots on TLC (EtOAc–hexane, 7:3). Purification by TLC with the above solvent system permitted isolation of 35 mg 2b and 4. Fractions 15–17 were purified by TLC (Et₂O–MeOH, 19:1) to yield crude eupachloroxin (5). Purification by TLC twice with the above solvent system yielded 80 mg pure 3. Once again the major band was found to be 4.

Purification of fractions 23-26 by TLC yielded two bands. The upper band yielded 4; the lower band on repurification by TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH-EtOAc, 15:2:3) yielded gummy 2c (60 mg).

Fraction 73 on trituration with MeOH gave 220 mg of a

mixture of β -sitosterol and stigmasterol- β -D-glucosides, identified by the ¹H NMR spectrum and MS of the tetraacetates and by the ¹H NMR spectrum and MS of the hydrolysed alcohols.

Oxidations of 2a. (a) A soln of 100 mg 2a in 15 ml Me₂CO was stirred with 1 ml Jones' reagent for 30 min at 0°. After addition of MeOH the soln was diluted with H₂O and extracted with Et₂O to yield 80 mg dehydroeupatundin (7) [6] as a gum. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

(b) A soln of 125 mg **2a** in 3 ml CH₂Cl₂ was stirred with 500 mg pyridinium dichromate for 32 hr. Work-up and purification by TLC (C_6H_6 -EtOAc, 3:1) yielded 45 mg dehydroisoeupatundin (**8a**) as a gum. It was also obtained by oxidation of **2a** with pyridinium chlorochromate and Collins reagent. [Calc. for $C_{20}H_{22}O_7$: MW, 374.1365. Found: MW (MS), 374.1365.] ¹H and ¹¹C NMR data are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

REFERENCES

- 1. Herz, W., Govindan, S. V. and Kumar, N. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 1343.
- 2. Herz, W. and Kulanthaivel, P. (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 2363.
- Sullivan, V. I. (1972) Ph.D. dissertation. Florida State University.
- Kupchan, S. M., Kelsey, J. E., Maruyama, M. and Cassady, J. M. (1968) Tetrahedron Letters 3517.
- Kupchan, S. M., Kelsey, J. E., Maruyama, M., Cassady, J. M., Hemingway, J. C. and Knox, J. R. (1969) J. Org. Chem. 34, 3876.
- Kupchan, S. M., Fujita, T., Maruyama, M. and Britton, K. W. (1973) J. Org. Chem. 38, 1260.

- 7. Herz, W., Kumar, N. and J. F. Blount (1980) J. Org. Chem. 45, 489.
- Kupchan, S. M., Hemingway, J. C., Cassady, J. M., Knox, J. R., McPhail, A. T. and Sim, G. A. (1967) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 465.
- 9. McPhail, A. T. and Sim, G. A. (1973) Tetrahedron 29, 1751.
- Herz, W., Govindan, S. V. and Kumar, N. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 1343.
- 11. Herz, W., Murari, R. and Govindan, S. V. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 1337.
- 12. Bohlmann, F., Mahanta, P. K., Suwita, A., Suwita, A.,

Natu, A. A., Zdero, C., Dorner, W., Ehlers, D. and Grenz, M. (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 1973.

- Bohlmann, F., Jakupovic, J., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 1613.
- Bohlmann, F., Dahr, A. K., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1981) Photochemistry 20, 1144.
- 15. Bohlmann, F., Zitzkowski, P., Suwita, A. and Fiedler, L. (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 2101.
- Bohlmann, F., Suwita, A., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 1233.
- 17. Herz, W. and Hogenauer, G. (1962) J. Org. Chem. 27, 905.