TWO TRITERPENE GLYCOSIDES FROM ISERTIA HAENKEANA

F. JAVIER ARRIAGA, ANGEL RUMBERO and PURIFICACION VAZQUEZ*

Departamento de Química, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049-Madrid, Spain

(Received in revised form 12 May 1989)

Key Word Index—Isertia haenkeana, Rubiaceae; quinovic acid glycosides, cincholic acid glycosides, quinovic acid, ¹H, ¹³C NMR

Abstract—Two new triterpene glycosides, quinovic acid 3β -O-6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside and cincholic acid 3β -O- β -6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside, were isolated from aerial parts of *Isertia haenkeana*. Their structures were established on the basis of spectral data and chemical transformations.

INTRODUCTION

As a part of our investigations of *Isertia haenkeana*, we have previously reported the isolation and identification of secoiridoids from the leaves of this plant [1]. Many interesting glycosides of quinovic and cincholic acids have been obtained from the Rubiaceae family [2–4] We now describe the isolation and characterization of two new triterpene glycosides (5 and 10) and five known triterpene acids (1, 2, 4, 7 and 9).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The known triterpene glycosides 4, 7 and 9 were identified by means of physical constants and spectral data [5, 6]. The two new triterpene glycosides, 5 and 10, are saponins in which the aglycones are quinovic acid (3) and cincholic acid (8), respectively

Acid hydrolysis of compounds 4–7 gave the same aglycone which was characterized as quinovic acid by comparison with an authentic sample. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the triacetate derivative 4a indicated that 4 was composed of a D-quinovose subunit linked to C-3 of the aglycone (Tables 1 and 2) [6, 7]. Confirmation of the structure 4 was provided by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (Table 3) which established a β -configuration for the proton attached to C-3 in the aglycone [8], the other carbon chemical shifts being in accordance with those reported in the literature for quinovic acid [9]. In the present study, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are reported for the first time.

Alkaline hydrolysis of compound 7 gave compound 6 which was identified by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy as quinovin glycoside C [5, 6, 10] The ¹³C NMR spectrum of the acetate of compound 7 (Table 3) was identical to quinovic acid 3β -O-[β -D-glucopyranosil-(28 \rightarrow 1)- β -Dglucopyranosil] ester peracetyl methyl ester [6].

Compound 9 is a triterpene with an oleanane skeleton. Thus in its ¹H NMR spectrum H-18 appears at δ 2.89 (dd, J = 4.3 and 13 9 Hz), a typical value for this triterpene series, cf ursane skeleton which gives rise to a signal at $\delta 2.20$ (d, J = 12 Hz) [11] Alkaline hydrolisis gave a compound whose spectral data and physical constants are in accordance with those for quinovin glycoside **B**(9) previously reported [5]

Quinovic acid 3β -O- β -6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (5)

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2) indicated the presence of two sugar subunits: quinovose and glucose. The signal at $\delta 4$ 49 (d, J = 7.8 Hz) was attributed to the anomeric hydrogen of quinovose attached to C-3 of the aglycone and the chemical shift at $\delta 5.59$ (d, J = 7.8 Hz) was consistent with the anomeric proton of glucose in an ester linkage The glycosyl ester linkage was proposed to be at C-28 in view of ¹³C NMR spectrum which showed for C-27 in compounds 4 and 5, a chemical shift at $\delta 179.0$ and 179.3, respectively, although the signal for C-28 was shifted upfield by 3.9 ppm, a difference attributed to esterification The signal for C-3 was at $\delta 90.7$, compared with $\delta 77.8$ in quinovic acid (3) demonstrating an ether linkage at this carbon

The presence of a quinovose subunit was confirmed by comparative NMR spectroscopy analysis of the alkaline hydrolysis product of compound 5 with the ¹³C NMR data of compound 4 and the ¹H NMR data of compound 4a. Moreover, the disappearance of the glucose signals in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the alkaline hydrolysis product of this compound was consistent with the presence of a glucose molecule in a ester linkage

The 13 C NMR spectral data (Table 3) corresponding to the glucose carbons are in accordance with other similar triterpenes esterified with D-glucose at C-28. The other signals in the 13 C NMR spectrum are identical to those of quinovic acid All these considerations, led us to assign to compound 5 the structure of quinovic acid 3β -O- β -6deoxy-D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Cincholic acid 3β -O- β -6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (10)

The nature of the sugars subunits for this glycoside were determined in a similar manner to that just described above. The major difference between the two

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.



glycosides is the aglycone part. Acid hydrolysis of compound 10 gave cincholic acid (8). A comparative spectroscopy study between pentacyclic triterpenes of the α amyrin type (ursa-12-en-3 β -ol) and β -amyrin type (oleana-12-en-3 β -ol) [12] revealed significant differences between both series The ¹H NMR spectrum showed a signal at $\delta 2 82$ (dd, J = 4.3 and 13 9 Hz) for H-18, a typical shift in the oleanane series [11] However, the major differences were found in the ¹³C NMR spectra, especially in the chemical shifts for carbon atoms in ring E. A comparison in the $\Delta\delta$ between the olefinic carbon signals (C-12 and C-13) for both series indicated a higher $\Delta\delta$ value for the β -amyrin type [1, 13] Compound 5 (α series) showed a $\Delta\delta = 25$ (CD₃OD) and 37 pyridine- d_5 whereas compound 10 (β series) showed a $\Delta\delta = 98$ (CD₃OD) and 112 pyridine- d_5 . The other carbon chemical shifts for ring

H	4 a	5a	6a	7a	9a	10a
1′	4 50 d	4 49 d	4 53 d	4.53 d	4.50 d	4.44 d
2′	5 00 dd	5 00 dd	5 02 dd	5 03 dd	5 00 dd	493 dd
3'	5 15 dd	5 15 dd	5 20 dd	5.25 dd	5.15 dd	5 09 dd
4'	4.80 dd	4.80 dd	5 05 dd	5.06 dd	4.80 dd	4 74 dd
5'	3 50 dq	3 54 dq	3.69 ddd	3 68 ddd	3.50 dq	3 49 dq
CH ₃ -6'	1 30 d	1 22 d			1.30 d	1 17 d
6'A			4 24 dd	4 25 dd		
6' _B			4 12 dd	4 13 dd		
1‴		5 59 d		5 59 d		5 53 d
2''		5.13 dd		5.16 dd		5 11 dd
3″		5 25 dd		5.25 dd		5.20 dd
4″		5 11 dd		5 11 dd		5 06 dd
5″		3 80 ddd		3 81 ddd		3 75 ddd
6''_		4.27 dd		4 27 dd		4 21 dd
6''		4 03 dd		4 04 dd		3 97 dd

Table 1 ¹HNMR spectral data of compounds **4a-7a**, **9a** and **10a** (200 MHz; CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard)

Table 2 Coupling constants for the protons in compounds 4a-7a, 9a, and 10a

	4 a	5a	6a	7a	9a	10a
J _{1'2'}	78	78	79	79	78	78
$J_{2',3'}$	96	95	93	94	96	95
$J_{3', 4'}$	96	9.5	9.3	9.4	9.6	95
J4'.5'	96	95	93	94	96	95
J 5' .6'	63	63			63	62
$J_{5',6'}$			4.9	5.0		
J 5' .6'			24	24		
$J_{6',.6'n}$			122	124		
$J_{1'',2'}$		78		7.9		7.8
J 2". 3"		95		94		9.5
J 3" 4"		95		94		9.5
J4",5"		95		94		9.5
J 5" 6"		45		44		43
J 5".6"		21		20		20
$J_{6''_{\mathbf{A}},6''_{\mathbf{B}}}$		127		12.5		12.4

E are in accordance with the values reported in the literature for oleanane skeleton-based compounds [14, 15]. Finally, alkaline hydrolysis gave a compound which was identified with the quinovin glycoside B (9). Consequently, the structure of the compound 10 was concluded to be cincholic acid 3β -O- β -6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside.

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps, uncorr MS⁻ Hewlett-Packard GC-MS 5985B instrument ¹H and ¹³C NMR 200 and 50 3 MHz, respectively, TMS (δ =0) as int standard, the DEPT technique was used in the ¹³C NMR spectra. HPLC Polygosil 60-C₁₈ column.

Plant material Isertia haenkeana collected in February 1986 in Costa Rica (Palmar Norte). A voucher of the plant is deposited in the herbarium of the Natural History Museum of San Jose, N 3046

Extraction and isolation of triterpene glycosides The air-dried plant material (2 25 kg) was extracted with MeOH in a Soxhlet-

type extractor Evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* left a semisolid dark-green residue (379 g) The residual extract was extracted with NaHCO₃ (5%) and, upon acidification with HCl (5%), a ppt consisting mainly of triterpene glycosides was separated (147 g) A portion of the ppt was chromatographed in silica gel column and eluted successively with CHCl₃-EtOAc (1 1) to give ursolic acid (1) and oleanolic acid (2), and CHCl₃-MeOH (4 1) to give a mixture of triterpene glycosides which gave the following R_f data, TLC [(silica gel) (4) and (9): R_f 0 65, (5) and (10). R_f 0 34, and (7). R_f 0.23, CHCl₃-MeOH (4.1)]. This mixture was separated by semipreparative HPLC [column: Polygosil 60-C₁₈ (5 μ m); solvent MeCN-H₂O (3 7), 9 ml/min., detection UV].

Quinovic acid 3β -O- β -6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside (4). Mp 237-238° (lit), $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ + 57° (EtOH; c 1 00), IR v^{KBr} cm⁻¹ 2925, 1680; ¹³C NMR (50 3 MHz, CD₃OD, pyridine- d_5 , DEPT) see Table 3. Compound 4 was acetylated with Ac₂O-pyridine at room temp, work-up in the usual manner afforded **4a** Mp 115-118°, ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃). δ 5 75 (1H, m, H-12), 305 (1H, dd, J = 9 8 and 5 Hz, H-3), sugar protons (see Tables 1 and 2)

Table 3 ¹³C NMR spectral data of compounds 4–7 and 10 (50 32 MHz. TMS as int standard)

С	4*	4†	5*	5†	6*	7a‡	10*	10†
1	40 1	38 5	39 9	38 7	39 9	38 6	39 9	38 7
2	26 5	26 1	26 4	26 4	26 4	25 6	256	251
3	90 6	88 0	90 7	88 2	90 6	90.2	90 6	88.3
4	39 9ª	38 5ª	40 1ª	39 1*	40 1ª	39 7	40.14	39 2ª
5	56 9	55 2	56 8	55 5	56 9	55 1	56 9	55 6
6	193	18.1	192	18.2	193	18-1	19.3	18.5
7	38 0	36 9	38 0	371	38 0	36 8	37 8	36 9
8	40 7ª	39 4ª	40 8 ^a	39 8ª	40 7ª	36 7	40.64	39.84
9	479	46 6	48 0	46 9	48 1	46 4	48 1	47 3
10	378	36.4	37 8	36.6	37 8	367	37.8	36 9
11	238	22 7	23 9	23 0	23 8	22.4	24 0	23 3
12	1304	1284	1308	129 2	1304	130.4	127 7	126 1
13	133 9	133 4	133 3	132 9	133 8	130.8	137 5	137 3
14	573	56 2	57 2	56 3	57 3	55.4	573	56 5
15	27 0	257	27 1	25 7	27 0	24.24	27.1	26 5
16	257	24 9	258	251	25 7	24 9 ^r	25 1	24.6
17		48 1		48 6		48-3		47 8
18	55 5	54.3	55.3	54.3	55 5	53 5	44.6	43 8
19	40 3	38 8	40 2	38 7	40 3	38.6	44 6	43 5
20	38.3	371	38.2	371	38.3	36.5	31.5	30.5
2.1	312	30.0	311	29 9	31.2	29.4	34.7	336
22	376	36 4	37 0	36 0	37.6	35 2	327	31.9
23	28 5	27 4	28 5	27 6	28 5	27 5	28 5	27 8
24	16 8 ^b	15 9 ^b	17 0 ^b	16 3 ^b	16 9 ^ь	16.2	16 9 ^h	16 3 ^b
25	17 O ^b	16 4 ^b	17 1 ^ь	16 7 ^ь	17 l ^ь	16.2	17 O ^b	16 8 ^b
26	181	18 1°	18 2	18 4°	18-1	18 1	18.25	18 7
27	1790	177 4	179 3	177 8	179 0	1798	1801	178 7
28	1818	1796	177 9	176 2	181 5	1752	178.0	176 5
29	190	176	192.	177	191	170	33.6	32.9
30	214	20 7	21 5	20.8	21 5	20.9	24 0	23 5
1′	106 4	105 9	106 5	106 2	106 6	102 7	106 5	106 3
2′	759	75 1	75 8	753	75 6	70 8	758	75 5
3'	78 0	77 6	778	778	78.2	726	77.9	78.0
4′	77 0	76 1	76 9	76 4	717	68 5	77 0	76 5
5′	729	719	72 9	72 2	77 6	71 5	72 9	723
6′	18 l	18.3°	18.2	18.85	62.8	61 9	19 O ^c	18.5
1″			95 5	95 2		913	956	954
2"			73 8	73 6		69.8	73 9	73 9
3‴			78 5°	78 8 ^d		72 3 ^b	78 6 ^d	79 0 ^d
4″			711	70 7		67.8	711	70 9
5''			78 1°	78 3 ^d		72 6 ^b	78 2 ^d	78 5 ^d
6″			62 5	61 9		61 5	62 5	62 0

*In CD₃OD

†In pyridine-d5

‡In Cl₃CD

^{a-d}Assignments may be interchanged in each vertical column

Quinovic acid 3 β -O- β -6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -Dglucopyranoside (5) 902 g, mp 208-210°, $[\alpha]_D^{20} 20°$ (EtOH, c 1 00) IR v^{KBr} cm⁻¹ 3400, 2925, 1730, 1690, 1630, ¹³C NMR (50 3 MHz, CD₃OD, pyridine- d_5 , DEPT) see Table 3 (Found C, 63 5t, H, 828 C_{42} H₃₅ O_{14} cospaces C, 63 48, H, 83t%) Compound 5 was acetylated in the usual manner to give 5a, mp 143-145°; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5 73 (1H, m, H-12), 303 (1H, dd, J = 9 8 and 5 Hz, H-3), sugar protons (see Tables 1 and 2)

Quinovic acid 3 β -O-D-glucopyranoside (6) Mp 247-250° (lit), $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 62°$ (MeOH, c 100), IR v^{KBr} cm⁻¹ 2925, 1680, ¹³C NMR (50 3 MHz, CD₃OD, DEPT) (see Table 3) Compound **6a** Mp 270 272^c, ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5 75 (1H, *m*, H-12), 3 04 (1H, *dd*, J = 9.8 and 5 Hz, H-3), sugar protons (see Tables 1 and 2)

Quinovic acid 3β -O- β -D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (7) Mp 163-165 $[x_2]_{20}^{20} + 23'$ (EtOPE, c 1069, IR v^{KBr} cm⁻¹ 2970, 1740, 1690, 1620 Compound **7a** Mp 134-136', ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5 73 (1H, m, H-12), 3 05 (1H, dd, J = 9 8 and 5 Hz, H-3), sugar protons (see Tables 1 and 2), ¹³C NMR (50 3 MHz, CDCl₃, DEPT) see Table 3

Cincholic acid 3β -O- β -6-deaxt-D-glucopyranoside (9) Mp 193-196⁻ (lit), $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 78 (EtOH, ϵ 0 78), IR ν^{KBr} cm⁻¹ 2925, 1680 Compound 9a Mp 190-192 . ⁻¹H NMR (200 MHz. CDCl₃) $\delta 5 \, 80 \, (1H, m, H-12)$, 3 05 (1H, dd, J = 9.8 and 5 Hz, H-3), 2.89 (1H, dd, J = 13.9 and 4 3 Hz, H-18), sugar protons (see Tables 1 and 2)

Cincholic acid 3β -O- β -6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside-28-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (10) 2 25 g, mp 187–190°, $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 31°$ (EtOH, c 1 00); IR ν ^{KBr} cm⁻¹ 3400, 2925, 1730, 1690, 1630, ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CD₃OD, pyridine- d_5 , DEPT) see Table 3 (Found. C, 63 50, H, 8 29 C₄₂H₆₆O₁₄ requires C, 63 48, H, 8 31%) Compound 10a Mp 123–125°, ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta 5$ 78 (1H, m, H-12), 3.02 (1H, dd, J = 9 8 and 5 Hz, H-3), 2 82 (1H, dd, J = 13 9 and 4 3 Hz, H-18), sugar protons (see Tables 1 and 2).

Alkaline hydrolysis of 5, 7 and 10 Compound 5 (100 mg) in 5 ml MeOH and 15 ml 1 M KOH was heated for 3 hr at 100° The soln was acidified with HCl (6%) and twice extracted with EtOAc The organic layer was evapl to dryness to give 4 (87 mg). Hydrolysis of compounds 7 and 10 to give from 6 and 9, respectively, was performed in the same manner

Acid hydrolysis of 4–7 and 10. Compound 5 (500 mg) in 125 ml H_2SO_4 (7% EtOH) was heated for 4 hr at 100° The soln was evapt to 20 ml, H_2O was added to give a white ppt of quinovic acid (3) (250 mg) (identified by TLC, ¹H and ¹³CNMR) Quinovic acid was isolated in a similar way from compounds 4, 6 and 7, and cincholic acid from 10

Acknowledgements—Samples of Isertia haenkeana were kindly provided by Mr L Poveda (National Museum, San Jose, Costa Rica) We are grateful to Dr P Hoet for his active co-operation and to the late Dr J Borges for his encouragement at the beginning of this work Financial support by Rio Ródano, S A is also gratefully acknowledged

REFERENCES

- Rumbero-Sánchez, A and Vázquez, P (1988) Heterocycles 27, 2863
- 2 Raffauf, R F, Le Chuesne, P W and Ghosh, P C. (1978) J Nat. Prod 41, 432
- 3 Banerji, N. (1978) J Indian Chem Soc LV, 275
- 4 Hui, W H. and Yee, C W (1968) Aust. J Chem 21, 543.
- 5. Tschesde, R, Duphorn, I and Snatzke, G (1963) Ann 667, 151.
- Matos, M E. O, Sousa, M. P, Machado, M. I L. and Filho,
 R. B (1986) Phytochemistry 25, 1419
- 7 Sahu, N P, Mahato, S B, Banerji, N and Chakravarti, R N (1974) Indian J Chem. 12, 284
- 8 Book, K and Pedersen, C (1983) in Advances in Carbohydrate Chemistry and Biochemistry Vol 41, p 55 (Tipson, R S and Horton, D, eds), Academic Press, New York
- 9 Miana, G A and Al-Hazini, H M G (1987) Phytochemistry 26, 225
- 10 Adeoye, A. O. and Waigh, R D (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 975
- 11. Furuya, T, Orihara, Y and Hayashi, C (1987) Phytochemistry 26, 715
- 12 Knight, S A (1974) Org Magn. Reson 6, 603.
- Seo, S., Tomita, Y. and Tori, K. (1975) Tetrahedron Letters 7
 Schteingart, C. D. and Pomilio, A. B. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 2907.
- 15 Liu, C N., Chung, M I, Gau, K H and Chiang, J R. (1987) Phytochemistry 26, 2381