A Transition Metal Catalysed Photoreaction. Ferrocene-sensitized Photoalkoxycarbonylation of Azulene

By TATSUZO ISHIGAMI, TAKEO AKIYAMA, HANIO WATANABE, TAKAO KATO, and AKIRA SUGIMORI* (Department of Chemistry, Sophia University, Chiyoda, Tokyo, Japan)

Summary Azulene undergoes a novel ferrocene-catalysed photoalkoxycarbonylation in carbon tetrachloride-alcohol solutions.

FERROCENE has been reported to photocatalyse *cis-trans* isomerisation and dimerisation and intramolecular cyclo-

addition of olefins.¹ We report a novel type of ferrocenecatalysed photo-induced alkoxycarbonylation of azulene in carbon tetrachloride–alcohol solution.

When a solution of azulene, ferrocene, and sodium acetate in carbon tetrachloride-ethanol (1:1 v/v) was irradiated (365 or 313 nm) ethyl 1-azulenecarboxylate $(1)^2$

was obtained (52%). Ferrocene and azulene were recovered almost quantitatively, and no ethyl ferrocenecarboxylate, the photo-product³ in the absence of azulene, was detected. Formation of (1) was suppressed by the removal of sodium acetate from this system, and 1-chloroazulene (2) was produced. No trace of (1) could be detected in the absence of ferrocene. When 254 nm light was employed, the reaction above gave (1) (33%), (2) (10%), and diethyl 1,3azulenedicarboxylate (2%).

Other alcohols including t-butyl alcohol, which afforded the corresponding ester (20%), were also effective in this reaction. When the reaction was carried out in a mixture of chloroform and ethanol, 1-formylazulene⁴ was obtained (3%). But no reactions were observed when dichloromethane was used.

The photoreaction of azulene possesses the following three characteristics: (1) no effects of dissolved oxygen are observable in the initial stage of the reaction; (2) the addition of a radical scavenger such as cyclohexene or hydroquinone shows no effects on the reaction; (3) the u.v. and n.m.r. spectra suggest the formation of a complex between azulene, ferrocene, and CCl4. Ethyl- and acetylferrocene and other transition-metal compounds such as $Fe(acac)_3$, $FeCl_2$, $FeCl_3$ and $NiCl_2$ were less efficient as catalysts for this reaction.

(Received, 16th July 1973; Com. 1026.)

¹ J. H. Richards, J. Paint Tech., 1964, 31, 1443; ibid., 1967, 39, 569; J. J. Dannenberg and J. H. Richards, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 1626.
² W. Treibs, H.-J. Neupert, and J. Hiebsch, *Chem. Ber.*, 1959, 92, 1216.
³ Y. Hoshi, T. Akiyama, and A. Sugimori, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1970, 1485.
⁴ W. Treibe, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1967, 4707.