

Phytochemistry, Vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 899-903, 1995 Copyright © 1995 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved 0031-9422/95 89.50 + 0.00

# IRIDOIDAL GLYCOSIDES FROM JASMINUM SAMBAC

YING-JUN ZHANG, YU-QING LIU, XIANG-YU PU\* and CHONG-REN YANG†

Laboratory of Phytochemistry, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204. China; †Yunnan Institute of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Kunming 650223, China

(Received 10 November 1993)

Key Word Index—Jasminum sambac; Oleaceae; flower; iridoidal glycosides; molihuasides A-E.

**Abstract**—Besides a known trimeric iridoidal glycoside, sambacoside A, five new oligomeric iridoidal glycosides, molihuasides A-E were isolated from the flowers of *Jasminum sambac*. Their structures were determined by spectral and chemical evidence. Among them, molihuasides A and C-E are new dimeric iridoidal glycosides and molihuaside B is a new trimeric iridoidal glycoside.

#### INTRODUCTION

Jasminum sambac (L.) Ait., is a famous oleaceous plant originating in India. As a fragrant plant, it is now widely cultivated in tropical, subtropical and temperate zones of the whole world, and its flowers are used for the preparation of an essential oil and for making jasmine tea (or Mo-Li-Hua-Cha). In addition, the flower can be used as a drug for the treatment of diarrhoea, abdominal pain, conjuctivitis and dermatitis. The leaves and roots of this plant are used for treating diarrhoea and fever, and as an anaesthestic and an analgesic, respectively [1, 2]. Some chemical studies that have been reported on this plant: from its leaves and stems, triterpenoids [3], flavonoids [4] and iridoidal glycosides [5-7] have been isolated, and the constituents of the essential oil of its flowers have been determined by GC-MS [8]. In China, besides infusing 'jasmine tea' with the flower fragrance, it is common to mix the flowers with tea in boiling water to drink. We investigated further the water-soluble fraction of the flowers of J. sambac and isolated six oligomeric iridoidal glycosides. We now report on the structure elucidation of these compounds.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Five new oligomeric iridoidal glycosides (1-5) and sambacoside A (6) the *n*-butanol-soluble fraction of a methanol extract from the flowers of *J. sambac*. Sambacoside A was identified by comparing its physical data (FAB-MS, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) with the reported values [7].

Compound 1 was assigned the molecular formula  $C_{44}H_{64}O_{24}$  (negative FAB-MS:  $[M-H]^-$  m/z 975). Comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 1 with that of 6

\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

revealed that it possessed the same basic skeleton as 6. The difference between them was the absence of an iridoidal glycoside unit (A: oleoside methyl ester unit) at the C-10" position of the tetraol part in 1, causing the downfield shift of the C-8" signal of the tetraol part from  $\delta$ 44.4 in 6 to  $\delta$ 47.5 in 1, and the upfield shift of the C-10" signal of the tetraol part from  $\delta 64.4$  in 6 to  $\delta 61.9$  in 1. The absence of an oleoside methyl ester unit at the C-10" position of the tetraol part of 1 was further confirmed by the demonstration that on alkaline hydrolysis 6 gave rise to 1 and 11. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 11 with that of 6 revealed that 11 had only one unit of A linked to the C-5" position of the tetraol part, causing the downfield shift of the C-2" signal from  $\delta$ 49.4 in  $\delta$  52.3 in 11 and of the C-8" signal from  $\delta$ 44.4 in 6 to  $\delta$ 47.9 in 11, and the upfield shift of the C-7" signal from  $\delta$ 68.1 in 6 to  $\delta 65.8$  in 11 and of the C-10" signal from  $\delta 64.4$  in 6 to  $\delta$ 62.3 in 11, determining the structure of 11. Therefore, the structure of 1 was established, and named molihuaside A. Compound 1 is a new iridoidal glycoside that has not been reported before.

Compound 2 was assigned the molecular formula  $C_{61}H_{86}O_{34}$  (negative ion FAB-MS:  $[M-H]^- = m/z$ 1361). Comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum with that of 6 revealed that they had the same basic skeleton, in which three iridoidal glycoside units were linked to a tetraol part and the linkage positions in the tetraol part in 2 were the same as those of 6. The difference between them was that, besides two units of A, 2 had another iridoidal glycoside unit, a secoxyloganin unit (B), which was determined by comparing the 13C NMR spectrum with that of the secoxyloganin [8]. On partial alkaline hydrolysis, 2 afforded compound tetraol (7) and 9-11. The negative ion FAB-mass spectrum of 9 showed that its molecular formula was  $C_{44}H_{64}O_{24}$ ,  $([M-H]^- = m/z)$ 975). Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 9 with those of 1 revealed that 9 was very similar to 1, i.e. two iridoidal glycoside units were linked to the C-5" and

the C-7" position of the tetraol part. The only difference between them was that 9 had two kinds of iridoidal glycoside unit (A and B). Since 11 only had I mol of A linked at C-5", the unit of **B** in **9** should be linked at C-7". Thus, the structure of 9 was established. The negative ion FAB-mass spectrum of 10 showed that its molecular formula was  $C_{61}H_{86}O_{34}$ , ([M – H]<sup>-</sup> = m/z 1361). The spectral data of this compound indicated that it was an epimer of 2. On comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 10 with that of a known compound, sambacoside F [7], which is an epimer of 6 and its three iridoidal glycoside units are linked to C-5", C-7" and C-9" positions of the tetraol part, revealed that they had the same basic skeleton, and the linkage positions of three iridoidal glycoside units on the tetraol part in 10 and sambacoside F were the same. The difference between them was that besides two units of A, 10 had another iridoidal glycoside unit as B. Since 10 was the product of partial alkaline hydrolysis of 2, the position of B in 10 was the same of that of 2. From the above evidence, the structure of 2 was determined, and named molihuaside B. The structure of 10 was also determined. Both compounds 9 and 10 are new oligomeric iridoidal glycosides that have not been reported before.

Compounds 4 and 5 were both assigned the molecular formula  $C_{44}H_{64}O_{24}$  (negative FAB-MS:  $[M-Me]^- = m/2\ 961$  for 4 and  $[M-H]^- = m/2\ 975$  for 5). Alkaline hydrolysis of 5 afforded tetraol (7) and oleoside (8) which

were identified by comparison of their spectral data with those of the reported values, indicating that 5 was composed of tetraol and oleoside methyl ester moieties. The UV, IR <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral features of 4 and 5 were closely similar, but their  $[\alpha]_D$  values and  $R_f$  values on reverse phase TLC (Rp-8) were different. Comparison of their <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra with that of 6 revealed that both 4 and 5 lacked 1 mol of A at the C-5" position of the tetraol part, causing the signals of C-1" and C-4" of the tetraol part to shift downfield and the signal of C-5" of the tetraol part to shift upfield. Thus, 4 and 5 had 1 mol of A linked at the C-7" position of the tetraol part, and 1 mol of A attached to the C-9" or 10" position of the tetraol part, which was the difference between glycosides 4 and 5. The absolute configuration of C-8" was different in 4 and 5. Thus 4 and 5 are epimers. The CD spectra of 4 and 6 were very similar, indicating that 4 was like 6, and the absolute configuration of all the chiral carbons (C-1", C-2", C-3", C-5", C-8", etc.) were the same as those of 6. Thus, one of the iridoidal glycoside moieties (A) was linked at the C-10" position of the tetraol part. Accordingly, the structure of 4 was determined, and named as molihuaside D. Since 1 mol of A was linked at C-10" in 4, the mol of A in 5 was linked at the C-9" position of the tetraol part. The CD spectrum of 5 was different with those of 4 and 6 and indicated that the absolute configuration of C-8" of the tetraol part was of the opposite configuration. Thus, the mol of A was determined to be

linked at the C-9" position of the tetraol part in 5. Accordingly, the structure of 5 was established, and named as molihuaside E.

Compound 3 was assigned the molecular formula  $C_{44}H_{64}O_{24}$  (negative FAB-MS:  $[M-Me]^- = m/z$  961). The spectral data of this compound indicated that it was an isomer of 1, 4 and 5. Comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3 with that of 6 revealed that they possessed the same basic skeleton. The difference between them was the absence of an iridoidal glycoside unit (A) at the C-7" position of the tetraol part in 3, causing the downfield shift of the signal of C-2" of the tetraol part from  $\delta$ 49.7 in **6** to  $\delta$  52.2 in **3** and the upfield shift of the signal of C-7" of the tetraol part from  $\delta 68.1$  in 6 to  $\delta 65.2$  in 3. Thus, the 2 mol of A were linked at C-5" and the C-10" or C-9" position of the tetraol part in 3. Comparing the CD spectrum of 3 with that of 6 revealed that 3 was like 6, and, therefore the absolute configuration of all the chiral carbons (C-1", C-2", C-3", C-5", C-8", etc.) were the same as those of 6. Thus, one of the iridoidal glycoside moieties (A) was linked at the C-10" position of the tetraol part. Accordingly, the structure of 3 was established, and named molihuaside C.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

MPs: uncorr.; UV: EtOH; IR: KBr; <sup>1</sup>H (400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup> NMR: CD<sub>3</sub>OD with TMS as int. standard; FAB-MS: JAB-HS mass spectrometer.

Extraction and isolation. Dried flowers of Jasminum sambac (2.18 kg) were refluxed with MeOH. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue (500 g) was suspended in H<sub>2</sub>O and then successively extracted with petrol, CHCl<sub>3</sub> and n-BuOH. The n-BuOH layer was concd in vacuo to give a viscous residue (126.5 g), of which 80 g was chromatographed on a silica gel column, eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (40:10:1-10:10:1) to give 6 frs (1-6). Fr. 3 (19.5 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column, eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (9:1-7:3) and then repeatedly chromatographed on a reverse phase column of RP-8, eluting with aq. MeOH (63 or 43%) to give 6 (3.208 g), 1 (4.739 g) and 3 (1.017 g). Fr. 4 (5 g) was chromatographed on a Sephadex column eluting with aq. MeOH (57%) and then repeatedly chromatographed on a reverse phase column of RP-8 eluting with aq. MeOH (57 or 43%) to afford 2 (90 mg), 4 (80 mg) and 5 (200 mg).

Molihuaside A (1). A yellowish powder from MeOH, mp 121–124°;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  – 203.4° (MeOH; c 0.23); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log ε): 238 (4.29), 201 (3.76); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 1705, 1625, 1435, 1305, 1075; FAB-MS m/z: 975 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 1.05 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-6"), 1.75 (6H, br d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-10 × 2), 3.72 (6H, s, OMe × 2), 4.81 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1' × 2), 5.93 (2H, br s, H-1 × 2), 6.11 (2H, br q, J = 7.0 Hz, H-8 × 2), 7.53 (2H, s, H-3 × 2); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1.

Molihuaside B (2). An amorphous powder from MeOH, mp 128–131°;  $[\alpha]_D^{2.5}$  – 176.2° (MeOH; c 0.32); UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm (log ε): 233 (4.35), 202 (4.09); IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  cm <sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 1705, 1625, 1435, 1305, 1705; FAB-MS m/z: 1361 [M – H] <sup>-</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ1.06 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-

6"), part A:  $\delta$ 1.75 (6H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-10 × 2), 3.72 (6H, s, OMe × 2), 4.82, 4.81 (each 1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.94, 5.93 (each 1H, s, H-1), 6.11 (2H, br q, J = 7.0 Hz, H-8 × 2), 7.54, 7.53 (each 1H, s, H-3); part **B**:  $\delta$ 3.68 (3H, s, OMe), 4.66 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.25 (2H, dd, J = 18, 10 Hz, H-10), 5.48 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz, H-1), 5.64 (1H, m, H-8), 7.48 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz, H-3);  $^{13}$ C NMR: Table 1.

Molihuaside C (3). An amorphous powder from MeOH, mp 140–143°;  $[\alpha]_{2}^{25}$  – 180.9° (MeOH; c 0.28); IR ν<sub>max</sub> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 1700, 1625, 1432, 1300, 1075; FAB-MS m/z: 961 [M – Me]  $^-$ ; CD:  $[\theta]_{195}$  – 4.88 × 10 $^7$ ,  $[\theta]_{255}$  – 7.59 × 10 $^7$  (MeOH; c 0.074%);  $^1$ H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 1.04 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-6"), 1.74 (6H, br s, H-10 × 2), 3.72 (6H, s, OMe × 2), 4.81 (2H, br d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-1' × 2), 5.94, 5.90 (each 1H, br s, H-1), 6.09 (2H, m, H-8 × 2), 7.53, 7.48 (each 1H, s, H-3);  $^{13}$ C NMR: Table 1.

Molihuaside D (4). A yellowish amorphous powder from MeOH, mp 151–154°;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  –153.3° (MeOH; c 0.26); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 235 (4.24), 200 (4.11); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 1700, 1625, 1430, 1300, 1075; FAB-MS m/z: 971  $[M-Me]^-$ ; CD:  $[\theta]_{198}$  –4.96 × 10<sup>7</sup>,  $[\theta]_{227}$  –6.77 × 10<sup>7</sup>,  $[\theta]_{231}$  –6.81 × 10<sup>7</sup> (MeOH; c 0.06%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 1.04 (3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-6"), 1.75 (6H, br d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-10 × 2), 3.71 (6H, s, OMe × 2), 4.81 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1' × 2), 5.93 (2H, br s, H-1 × 2), 6.10 (2H, m, J = 7.0 Hz, H-8 × 2), 7.53, 7.52 (each 1H, s, H-3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR; Table 1.

Molihuaside E (5). A yellowish amorphous powder from MeOH, mp 123–126;  $[\alpha]_{2}^{25}$  –190.8° (MeOH; c 0.26); UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 237 (4.36), 201 (3.88); IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  cm  $^{-1}$ : 3400, 1705, 1625, 1435, 1305, 1075; FAB-MS m/z: 975 [M – H] $^-$ ; CD:  $[\theta]_{208}$  – 2.60 × 10 $^7$ ,  $[\theta]_{250}$  – 8.4 × 10 $^6$ ,  $[\theta]_{256}$  –1.10 × 10 $^7$  (MeOH; c 0.05%);  $^1$ H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 1.04 (3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-6"), 1.75 (6H, br d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-10 × 2), 2.49 (2H, dd, J = 9.0, 14.0 Hz, H<sub>A</sub> –6 × 2), 2.72 (2H, dd, J = 4.0, 14.0 Hz, H<sub>B</sub>-6 × 2), 3.72 (6H, s, OMe × 2), 4.81 (2H, d, d) = 8.0 Hz, H-1' × 2), 5.93 (2H, d) d0 s, H-1 × 2), 6.11 (2H, d) d0 f = 7.0 Hz, H-8 × 2), 7.53 (2H, d) d1 s, H-3 × 2); d3 C NMR: Table 1.

Sambacoside A (6). An amorphous powder from MeOH, mp 145–147°;  $[\alpha]_D^{28} - 187.8^\circ$  (MeOH; c 0.82); UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm (log ε): 237 (4.54), 201 (4.00); IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 1705, 1625, 1435, 1302, 1705; FAB-MS m/z: 1361 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>; CD:  $[\theta]_{195} - 9.90 \times 10^7$ ,  $[\theta]_{229} - 1.41 \times 10^8$ ,  $[\theta]_{233} - 1.41 \times 10^8$  (MeOH; c 0.06%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 1.06 (3H, d, d) = 7.0 Hz, H-6"), 1.75 (9H, br d, d) = 7.0 Hz, H-10 × 3), 3.72 (9H, d), OMe × 3), 4.82 (3H, d), d0 = 8.0 Hz, H-1' × 3), 5.93 (3H, d0 s, H-1 × 3), 6.09 (3H, d0 s, d1 = 7.0 Hz, H-8 × 3), 7.54 (3H, d3, d3, H-3 × 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1.

Partial alkaline hydrolysis of 2. A soln of 2 (84 mg) in 0.5 ml diethyl amine/100 ml MeOH (3 ml) was heated at 60° for 28 hr, and then neutralized with Amberlite MB-3. The resulting residue, after concn in vacuo, was subjected to a reverse phase of RP-8 column, eluting with aq. MeOH (57%) to give 9 (10 mg), 10 (12 mg) and 2 (20 mg).

Compound 9. Powder, mp  $122-124^{\circ}$ ;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}-161.8^{\circ}$  (MeOH; c 0.22); FAB-MS m/z: 975 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ 1.04 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-6"); part A:  $\delta$ 1.75

Table 1.  $^{13}\text{C}\,\text{NMR}$  spectral data of 1–11 (in CD<sub>3</sub>OD)

						•								
C	-	က	4	S	9	7	œ	=======================================	7		6		9	
Tetraol														
1,,	44.3	<b>4</b>	46.6	46.5	44.1	46.2		43.6	44.2		44.2		44.2	
2"	49.6	52.2	49.6	49.4	46.4	52.0		52.3	49.7		49.8		49.6	
3″	39.7	39.4	39.0	38.9	39.9	38.2		39.4	40.0		39.7		40.7	
4″	34.8	35.1	36.9	36.8	34.7	37.7		35.3	34.7		34.9		34.9	
5"	83.4	83.3	9.62	79.5	83.2	9.62		83.7	83.2		83.4		83.1	
.,9	19.1	19.0	18.4	18.4	19.0	18.6		19.0	18.9		18.9		18.8	
7,,	68.5	62.3	68.4	68.3	68.1	66.1		8.59	9.79		0.89		8.79	
.,,	47.5	43.3	6.44	8.4	4.4	48.4		47.9	<u>4</u> .		47.3		44.3	
6,,	62.8	65.2	64.5	62.4	62.4	63.1		63.2	9.79		63.4		8.59	
10′′	61.9	64.5	62.6	64.4	64.4	62.5		62.3	64.4		62.1		61.0	
Iridoidal part									part A	part B	part A	part B	part A	part B
1	95.1	95.2	95.2	95.1	95.1		95.3	95.3	95.2	97.6	95.2	9.76	95.3	97.6
3	155.2	155.1	155.1	155.1	155.2		153.9	155.1	155.1	153.7	155.1	153.7	155.1	153.7
4	109.4	109.4	109.5	109.4	109.4		111.7	109.5	109.5	110.0	109.5	110.0	109.5	110.0
5	31.9	31.9	31.9	31.9	31.9		32.5	31.9	32.0	29.1	31.9	29.1	31.8	29.1
9	41.3	41.3	41.3	41.3	41.3		45.8	41.3	41.3	35.6	41.4	35.6	41.4	35.6
7	173.1	173.1	173.4	173.2	173.2		177.1	173.1	173.0	174.3	173.0	174.3	173.2	174.3
∞	124.9	124.9	124.9	124.8	124.8		124.0	124.7	124.9	135.6	124.7	135.6	125.0	135.6
6	130.8	130.8	130.8	130.6	130.8		131.5	130.9	130.8	45.4	130.4	45.4	130.7	45.4
10	13.8	13.7	13.7	13.8	13.8		13.7	13.9	13.8	120.7	13.7	120.7	13.8	120.7
=	168.7	168.6	168.7	9.891	168.0		171.4	168.7	168.8	168.8	168.8	168.8	168.8	168.7
ОМе	52.0	52.0	52.0	52.0	52.0			51.9	52.0	51.8	52.0	51.8	52.0	51.8
Glucose														
1,	100.8	100.8	100.9	100.8	100.8		101.0	100.9	100.9	100.1	100.9	100.1	100.9	100.1
2,	74.8	74.8	74.8	74.7	74.7		74.8	74.8	74.6	74.8	74.7	74.8	74.6	74.8
3,	78.4	78.4	78.4	78.4	78.4		78.3	78.5	78.4	78.4	78.4	78.4	78.4	78.4
<b>'</b> 4	71.4	71.5	71.5	71.4	71.5		71.5	71.5	71.6	71.6	71.6	71.6	71.6	71.6
5,	77.9	78.0	78.0	6.77	6.77		6.77	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0
,9	62.8	62.7	62.8	62.7	62.8		62.7	8.79	62.8	67.9	67.9	67.9	62.8	8.29

(3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-10), 3.71 (3H, s, OMe), 4.80 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.92 (1H, br s, H-1), 6.10 (1H, br q, J = 7.0 Hz, H-8), 7.52 (1H, s, H-3); part **B**:  $\delta$ 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 4.65 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.24 (2H, dd, J = 17, 10 Hz, H-10), 5.48 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz, H-1), 5.61 (1H, m, H-8), 7.48 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz, H-3);  $^{13}$ C NMR: Table 1.

Compound 10. Powder, mp 137–140°;  $[\alpha]_D^{2.5} - 152.2^\circ$  (MeOH; c 0.38); FAB-MS m/z: 1361  $[M-H]^-$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ 1.06 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-6"); part A:  $\delta$ 1.75 (6H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-10 × 2), 3.71 (6H, s, OMe × 2), 4.81, 4.80 (each 1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.94, 5.92 (each 1H, s, H-1), 6.10 (2H, br q, J = 7.0 Hz, H-8 × 2), 7.53, 7.52 (each 1H, s, H-3); part B:  $\delta$ 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 4.65 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.23 (2H, m, H-10), 5.48 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz, H-1), 5.61 (1H, m, H-8), 7.48 (1H, d, J = 1.5 Hz, H-3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1.

Alternative partial alkaline hydrolysis of 2. A soln of 2 (50 mg) in 0.5% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-100 ml MeOH (4 ml) was heated at 60° for 5 hr, and then neutralized with Amberlite MB-3. The resulting residue, after concn in vacuo, was subjected to a silica gel column, eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (7:3:1, lower phase) and a reverse phase of RP-8 column, eluting with 42% aq. MeOH to give 7 (2 mg) and 11 (8 mg).

Tetraol (7). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ 1.04 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-6"); <sup>13</sup>C NMR data see Table 1.

Compound 11. Powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ 1.03 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-6"), 1.74 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-10), 2.47 (1H, dd, J = 9.0, 1.4 Hz, H<sub>A</sub>-6), 2.66 (1H, dd, J = 4.0, 14 Hz, H<sub>B</sub>-6), 3.71 (3H, s, OMe), 4.80 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1"), 5.93 (1H, s, H-1), 6.10 (1H, d, d) = 7.0 Hz, H-8), 7.52 (1H, d), d0 (1H, d), d1.30 NMR: Table 1.

Alkaline hydrolysis of 5. A soln of 5 (100 mg) in 0.5 M NaOH (2.5 ml) was stirred for 16 hr at room temp. and then neutralized with Amberlite MB-3. The resulting residue (110 mg), after concn in vacuo, was subjected to prep. TLC (CH<sub>3</sub>Cl-MeOH, 4:1) to give tetraol (7) (28 mg) and oleoside (8) (45 mg).

Oleoside (8). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ 1.76 (3H, br d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-10), 2.30 (1H, dd, J = 10.0, 14.0 Hz, H<sub>A</sub>-6), 2.78 (1H, dd, J = 4.0, 14.0 Hz, H<sub>B</sub>-6), 5.93 (1H, s, H-1), 6.08 (1H, q, H-8), 7.47 (1H, s, H-3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1.

Partial alkaline hydrolysis of 6. A soln of 6 (200 mg) in 0.5% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/100 ml MeOH (5 ml) was heated at 60° for 1 hr, and then neutralized with Amberlite MB-3. The resulting residue, after concn in vacuo, was subjected to a silica gel column (eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 7:3:1 lower phase) and a reverse phase of RP-8 column (eluting with 57% aq. MeOH) to give 2 (12 mg) and 11 (9 mg).

Acknowledgements—We are grateful to Prof. Dr Kasai Ryoji, Hiroshima University, Japan for the negative ion FAB-MS measurements, Dr Bing-Jun Li for measuring  $[\alpha]_D$  and the instrument group of our Laboratory for measuring NMR, IR, UV and CD spectra.

## REFERENCES

- Kunming Institute of Botany (1986) Flora Yunnanica Tomus 4, 668. Academica Sinica.
- Jiangsu New Medical College (1977) The Dictionary of Traditional Chinese Medicines, p. 297. Shanghai People Press, Shanghai.
- 3. Dan, S. and Dan, S. S. (1985) Indian Drugs 22, 625.
- 4. Ross, S. A. et al. (1982) Fitoterapia 53, 91.
- Ross, S. A. and Abdel-Hafir, M. A. (1985) Egypt J. Pharm. Sci. 26, 163.
- Takao Tanahasi, T. and Nagakrua, N. (1987) Chem. Pharm. Bull. 35, 5032.
- Tanahashi, T. and Nagakrua, N. (1988) Tetrahedron Letters 29, 1793.
- Boros, C. A. and Stermitz, F. R. (1991) J. Nat. Prod. 54, 1173.