												overall <sup>b</sup>	percent		product	product
	fluorine	e flow, m	ıL/min	helium d	'iluent, n	nL/min	react	ion temp	, °C	main helium carrier.	hydrocarbon throughput	stoichio- metrv	F <sub>2</sub> concn, final	reaction time. <sup>c</sup>	distrib- ution %	yield % theo-
starting compound	reactor	mod 1	mod 2	reactor	mod 1	mod 2	reactor	mod 1	mod 2	mL/min	mmöl/h	$hc:F_2$	stage	s	collected	retical
3,3-dimethyl-	10	20	30	150	150	150	-40	-30	10	600	2.8	1:52	3.6	49	23	12
2-butanone																
2, 2, 4, 4-	20	20	40	150	150	150	-30	$^{-20}$	10	600	$2.9^{e}$	1:67	4.8	49	71	$p_{6}$
tetramethyl-																
3-pentanone																
<sup>a</sup> See ref 1 and 25	for the si	ignificant	ce of the:	se parame	ters. b	One milli	iliter/min	ute of F	<sup>2</sup> delivers	2.44 mmol/h c	of F2. <sup>c</sup> React	or volume	/total flows	s; reactor	volume = 1	355

Typical Aerosol Fluorination Reaction Parameters $^a$ 

Table I.

<sup>e</sup> Total carrier flow through evaporator 550 mL/min (500 mL/min primary, 50 mL/min secondary

Product is F-2,2,5-trimethyl-3-hexanone.

mL.

 $C_4F_7$ ; 69 (75)  $CF_3$ : [EI] 447 (1)  $C_9F_{17}O$ , M – F; 247 (37)  $C_5F_9O$ ; 219 (43) C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>9</sub>; 69 (100) CF<sub>3</sub>. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (1% CFCl<sub>3</sub>/CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\phi_A$ -61.61 ppm (t of m),  $\phi_{\rm B}$  -109.32 (hexadec of doublets),  $\phi_{\rm C}$  -184.26 (m),  $\phi_{\rm D}$  -71.82 (t of d);  $J_{\rm AB} = J_{\rm BD} = 10.26$  Hz,  $J_{\rm AD} = 0.88$  Hz,  $J_{\rm AC} = 0$ ,  $J_{\rm BC} = 4.40$  Hz,  $J_{\rm CD} = 6.10$  Hz. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>9</sub>F<sub>18</sub>O: C, 23.19; F, 73.37. Found: C, 22.33; F, 71.16.

1-Fluoro-2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-3-pentanone, (CH<sub>3</sub><sup>A</sup>)<sub>3</sub>CC(O)C-(CH<sub>2</sub><sup>B</sup>F<sup>C</sup>)(CH<sub>3</sub><sup>D</sup>)<sub>2</sub>: IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2980 (m), 2950 (s), 2900 (m), 2870 (m), 1680 (s), 1475 (s), 1360 (s), 1290 (s), 970 (s). Major mass cations were [m/e (relative intensity) formula]: [CI] 161 (4)  $C_9H_{18}OF, M + H; 103 (28) C_5H_8OF; 101 (46) C_5H_6OF; 59 (100)$  $\begin{array}{c} \dot{C_2}F\dot{O}: \ \ [\acute{E1}] \ 69 \ (30) \ \dot{C_5}\dot{H_9}; \ 57 \ (23) \ \dot{C_4}\dot{H_9}; \ 44 \ (71) \ \dot{C_2}\dot{H_4}O; \ 32 \ (100) \\ \dot{CH_2}F. \ ^{19}F \ NMR \ (1\% \ CFCl_3/CDCl_3/0.2\% \ CHCl_3) \ \phi_C \ -221.76 \ ppm \\ \end{array}$ (t); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta_A$  +1.24 ppm (s),  $\delta_B$  = +4.40 (d),  $\delta_D$  +1.29 ppm (s);  $J_{\rm CH_2F} = 47.4$  Hz.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research whose support is gratefully acknowledged. Earlier support by the Research Corporation, Cottrell Research Fund, is also acknowledged.

Registry No. 3,3-Dimethyl-2-butanone, 75-97-8; 2,2,4,4tetramethyl-3-pentanone, 815-24-7; F-3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone, 88995-83-9; 3-(difluoromethyl)-F-3-methyl-2-butanone, 88995-84-0; 3,3-bis(difluoromethyl)-F-2-butanone, 88995-85-1; F-2,2,5-trimethyl-3-hexanone, 88995-86-2; 1-fluoro-2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-3pentanone, 88995-87-3.

## Isotriquinacene

#### Leo A. Paquette\* and James D. Kramer<sup>1</sup>

Evans Chemical Laboratories, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

#### Received November 8, 1983

Recent activity at the theoretical level by McKervey<sup>2</sup> as well as Schleyer<sup>3</sup> and at the experimental level by de-Meijere<sup>4</sup> has called attention to the strain relationships between the three all-cis tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{4,10}]$  decenes. The energetic costs associated with positioning the double bond at a bridgehead location as in 2 or a double bridgehead site as in 3 are 4.1 and 16.3 kcal/mol, respectively, relative to 1.



This ordering of stabilities holds particular fascination in the area of triquinacene chemistry where the only tricyclo[5.2.1.0<sup>4,10</sup>]decatriene reported to date, viz. triquinacene (4),<sup>5-9</sup> is unique in having no bridgehead double



(1) National Institutes of Health Postdoctoral Fellow, 1974-1975.

(2) McKervey, M. A; Rooney, J. J.; Samman, N. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1972, 1185. (3) Schleyer, P. v. R.; Maier, W., private communication cited in

footnote 4 of ref 4.

(4) Butenschön, H.; deMeijere, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4653. (5) Woodward, R. B.; Fukunaga, T.; Kelly, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 3162.

(6) Jacobson, I. T. Acta Chem. Scand. 1967, 21, 2235; 1972, 26, 2477; Chem. Scripta 1972, 2, 121, 127; 1974, 5, 134.

(7) deMeijere, A.; Kaufmann, D.; Schallner, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1971, 10, 417. Meyer, L.-U.; deMeijere, A. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110,

2545. deMeijere, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 1845.
(8) Mercier, C.; Soucy, P.; Rosen, W.; Deslongchamps, P. Synth. Commun. 1973, 3, 161.

bond. A number of years ago, a facile synthesis of  $5^{10}$  was uncovered in this laboratory. This finding, which forms the subject of this note, may serve to stimulate renewed interest in acepentalene (6), the most fully dehydrogenated, strained, and electronically perturbed member of this class of molecules.<sup>5</sup>

As Deslongchamps and co-workers first pointed out,<sup>8</sup> dimesylate 7 in dichloromethane solution readily undergoes twofold elimination when slurried with activated alumina at room temperature to give 4 in moderate yield. We have



observed that substitution of potassium tert-butoxide in anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide leads instead to a product mixture highly enriched in the less thermodynamically stable 5 in 69% crude yield (5:4 = 96:4). Following purification by preparative vapor-phase chromatography, 5 was isolated as a colorless oil, which, while relatively stable in dilute solution or under an inert atmosphere, polymerized on standing in air at room temperature for several hours. Its <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (see Experimental Section) are fully consistent with the unsymmetrical nature of the triene.

In the belief that 5 is formed under kinetically controlled conditions, attempts were made to induce its isomerization to 4 with activated alumina. However, reaction times up to 48 h led to no detectable double-bond isomerization (error limits  $\pm 1\%$ ). Isotriquinacene was thereby shown not to be a precursor of triquinacene under the Deslongchamps conditions. These results constitute an interesting dichotomy concerning the manner in which the two reagents in question enter into formal  $E_2$  elimination chemistry. Such differences may be more widespread than heretofore appreciated in conformationally rigid systems<sup>11</sup> and may offer insightful opportunities for developing proper synthetic strategies toward strained olefins.

## **Experimental Section**

Tricyclo[5.2.1.0<sup>4,10</sup>]deca-1,5,8-triene (Isotriquinacene, 5). To a solution of 7<sup>8</sup> (2.0 g, 6.2 mmol) in dry dimethyl sulfoxide (40 mL) was added potassium tert-butoxide (2.2 g, 19 mmol) in one portion. The reaction flask was purged with nitrogen and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The dark reaction mixture was poured into water (150 mL) extracted with ether  $(3 \times 100$ mL), dried, and evaporated to leave 560 mg (69%) of triene mixture as a reddish oil. VPC analysis (15% Carbowax 20M on Chromosorb P, 100 °C, 10 ft  $\times$  <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in) showed the mixture to be comprised of 4% of 4 and 96% of 5 (assuming the same detector response for the isomers). Preparative VPC isolation (5% SE-30 on Chromosorb P, 130 °C, 6 ft ×  $^{1}/_{4}$  in.) afforded 5 as a colorless liquid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.21 (dd, J = 5.7 and 0.5 Hz, H<sub>9</sub>), 6.07 (dd, J = 5.7 and 2.8 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>), 5.54 (dt, J = 5.4 and 0.6 Hz, H<sub>6</sub>), 5.41 (dt, J = 5.4 and 1.9 Hz, H<sub>5</sub>), 5.37 (dt, J = 3.7and 2.2 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>), 3.78 (m, H<sub>10</sub>), 3.45 (m, H<sub>7</sub>), 3.16 (dq, J = 5.8 and 2.0 Hz, H<sub>4</sub>), 3.00 (m, exo-H<sub>3</sub>), 2.55 (ddd, J = 16.6, 3.7, and 2.0 Hz, endo-H<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) ppm 155.87 (s), 139.16 (d), 136.09 (d), 128.43 (d), 127.91 (d), 117.94 (d), 59.92 (d), 52.01 (d), 47.72 (d), 42.73 (t).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>: C, 92.26; H, 7.74. Found: C, 91.84; H. 8.08.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (Grant AI-11490) for underwriting the costs of this research.

Registry No. 5, 89032-66-6; 7, 42501-47-3; potassium tertbutoxide, 865-47-4.

# **Biologically Oriented Organic Sulfur Chemistry.** 23. A Hydrodisulfide from a Sulfonamide Derivative of Penicillamine<sup>1</sup>

## Norman E. Heimer\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677

Lamar Field

Department of Chemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235

#### Received September 7, 1983

Hydrodisulfides (RSSH) are important intermediates in several biochemical and chemical systems. For example, they play essential roles in enzyme-catalyzed reactions<sup>2</sup> and are formed in the oxidation of phosphorothioates to phosphates (e.g., of parathion,  $p-O_2NC_6H_4OPS(OEt)_2$ , to paraoxon,  $p-O_2NC_6H_4OPO(OEt)_2)$  by cytochrome P-450.<sup>3</sup> In previous work,<sup>4</sup> a hydrodisulfide (1) derived from the



methyl ester of N-acetylpenicillamine was prepared in the hope that, as had been found with a thionitrite  $(2)^5$  and a sulfenyl iodide (3),<sup>6</sup> the hydrodisulfide would be relatively stable. We hoped that 1 thus might provide a product that would make the corresponding thiol a useful trap for sulfur atoms generated either photochemically from carbonyl sulfide<sup>7</sup> or from the oxidation of phosphorothioates with

<sup>(9)</sup> Wyvratt, M. J.; Paquette, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 433. Paquette, L. A.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Berk, H. C.; Moerck, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 5845. Paquette, L. A.; Kearney, F. R.; Drake, A. F.; Mason, S. F. *Ibid.* **1981**, *103*, 5064. (10) Tricyclo[5.2.1.0<sup>4,10</sup>]deca-1,5,8-triene, the proposed colloquial name

for which is isotriquinacene.

<sup>(11)</sup> Compare: Deslongchamps, P.; Cheriyan, U. O.; Lambert, Y.; Mercier, J.-C.; Ruest, L.; Russo, R.; Soucy, P. Can. J. Chem. 1978, 56, 1687.

<sup>(1)</sup> For paper 22, see: Bowman, G. T.; Field, L. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 222.

<sup>(2)</sup> Ploegmon, J. H.; Drent, G.; Kalk, K. H.; Hol, W. G. J.; Heinrickson, R. L.; Keim, P.; Weng, L.; Russell, J. Nature (London) 1978, 273, 124. Massey, V.; Edmondson, D. J. Biol. Chem. 1970, 245, 6595. Edmondson, Massey, V.; Palmer, G.; Beacham, L. M., III; Elion, G. B. *Ibid.* 1972, 247, 1597.
 Branzoli, U.; Massey, V. *Ibid.* 1974, 249, 4346.
 Cavallini, D.; DeMarco, C.; Mondovi, B.; Mori, B. G. *Enzymologia* 1960, 22, 161.
 Flavin, M. J. Biol. Chem. 1962, 237, 768.
 Loiselet, J.; Chatagner, F. Bull. Soc. Chim. Biol. 1966, 48, 595.

<sup>(3)</sup> Neal, R. A.; Kamataki, T.; Lin, M.; Ptashne, K. A.; Dalvi, R. R.; Poore, R. In "Biological Reactive Intermediates"; Jallow, D. J., Kocsis, J. J., Snyder, R., Vainio, H., Eds.; Plenum: New York, 1977; p 320. Neal, K. A. In "Sulfur in Pesticide Action and Metabolism"; Rosen, J. D., Magee, P. S., Casida, J. E., Eds.; American Chemical Society: Wash-ington, DC, 1981; pp 19-34, ACS Symposium Series, No. 158.
(4) Heimer, N. E.; Field, L.; Neal, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 1374.
(5) Field, L.; Dilts, R. V.; Ravichandran, R.; Lenhert, P. G.; Carnahan, O. D. J. Chem. Commun. 1978

<sup>G. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 249.
(6) Field, L.; White, J. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1973, 70, 328.</sup> Field, L.; White, J. E. Int. J. Sulfur Chem. 1976, 8, 539.