2417 (1976); (b) M. Guggi, U. Fiedler, E. Pretsch, and W. Simon, Anal. Lett., 8.857 (1975) (6) N. E. Searle, "Organic Synthesis", Collect. Vol. IV, Wiley, New York, 1963,

- D 424
- (7) N. N. L. Kirsch, R. J. J. Funck, E. Pretsch, and W. Simon, Helv. Chim. Acta,
- (7) N. H. E. Kilsoff, H. G. M. Hillor, E. Freisoff, and W. Gintali, *Park. Commun. Commun*
- (10) N. Nae and J. Jagur-Grodzinski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 489 (1977)
- (11) L. M. Jackman and N. M. Szeverenyi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 4954 (1977).
- (12) J. Reuben, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 3534 (1973).
- (13) R. E. Lenkinski, G. A. Elgavish, and J. Reuben, J. Magn. Reson., 32, 367 (1978)
- (14) This is obviously an arbitrary assumption. Four stepwise equilibria are certainly involved. It seems, however, that the stability constants of for-mation of the (PMA)Li<sup>+</sup> and (PMA)<sub>2</sub>Li<sup>+</sup> complexes are very small and they cannot be calculated with a reasonable accuracy from our experimental data
- (15) D. A. Derenleau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 4044 (1969).
  (16) M. T. Rogers and J. C. Woodbrey, J. Phys. Chem., 66, 540 (1962).
  (17) G. Binsch, Top. Stereochem., 3, 121 (1969).
- (18) E. Pretsch, private communication.

## Dynamic Behavior and Aggregation of Propyllithium from <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li NMR at High Field

### Gideon Fraenkel,\*1a Mark Henrichs,1b James M. Hewitt,1b Biing Ming Su,1a and Michael J. Geckle<sup>1a</sup>

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, and the Research Laboratories, Eastman Kodak, Rochester, New York 14650. Received October 22, 1979

Abstract: High-field  ${}^{13}$ C NMR of propyllithium-1- ${}^{13}$ C-1- ${}^{6}$ Li (4) at equilibrium in cyclopentane shows splitting due to  ${}^{13}$ C-<sup>6</sup>Li spin coupling, and reveals the existence of several organolithium species with aggregation numbers of 6, 8, and 9 whose distribution varies with temperature and which all undergo fast intraaggregate carbon-lithium bond exchange. Equilibrium constants and thermodynamic data connecting the aggregates are given. Above 250 K mainly hexamer is present. Increasing temperature causes averaging of the <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>6</sup>Li coupling and chemical shifts due to progressively faster interaggregate carbon-lithium bond exchange. NMR line-shape analysis for the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data from 4 and for the <sup>6</sup>Li line shapes of propyllithium-1-<sup>6</sup>Li show exchange to result from the collision of two aggregates.

Alkyllithium compounds exist in solution as hexamers<sup>2</sup> or tetramers<sup>3</sup> and undergo fast inversion at carbon bonded to lithium<sup>4</sup> as well as interaggregate carbon-lithium bond exchange.5,6

Certain alkyllithium compounds, methyllithium<sup>7</sup> or nbutyllithium,<sup>8</sup> in ether exhibit directly bonded  ${}^{13}C{}^{-7}Li$  scalar coupling at low temperatures. This has been used to confirm the tetrahedral character of methyllithium and *n*-butyllithium etherates. Thus, in principle, where it can be observed, from the multiplicity of the splitting due to  $J({}^{13}C_{1}-{}^{7}Li)$ , one can identify the character of the carbon-lithium bridged bond and from its averaging the dynamics of carbon-lithium bond exchange. It turns out that in many cases, for *n*-alkyllithiums in hydrocarbon solvent, although <sup>13</sup>C NMR of carbon bonded to lithium undergoes broadening with decreasing temperature, splitting is not observed.<sup>9</sup> The proposal that the couplings are averaged out by <sup>7</sup>Li quadrupole-induced relaxation<sup>9</sup> was recently confirmed by comparing the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of propyllithium-<sup>7</sup>Li with that of propyllithium-<sup>6</sup>Li. The <sup>6</sup>Li sample showed splitting of the  $C_1$  carbon resonance at 240 K, while the <sup>7</sup>Li sample had only broad peaks.<sup>10</sup> Evidently, the quadrupole moment of <sup>6</sup>Li in this system is too insignificant to affect the NMR in a noticeable way.<sup>11</sup>

In this article, we show how high-field <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li NMR can be used to identify fluxional aggregates of alkyllithium compounds (in hydrocarbon solvent), some present only at low temperatures, and to identify their association numbers. It will be shown that <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li NMR of organolithiums enriched with <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li is the method of choice to investigate structure and dynamic behavior of organolithium compounds.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Isotopically enriched 1-bromopropane- $1-^{13}C$ , 90%, was prepared according to the scheme below. NMR data for the intermediates 1-3 are listed in Table I. Reaction of the bromide

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{CH_{3}CH_{2}MgBr} \xrightarrow{1. \ ^{13}\mathrm{CO}_{2}} & \mathrm{CH_{3}CH_{2}^{13}CO_{2}H} \\ \xrightarrow{1. \ \mathrm{Li} \ \mathrm{AlH}_{4}} & 1 \\ \xrightarrow{2. \ \mathrm{H}^{+}} & \mathrm{CH_{3}CH_{2}^{13}CH_{2}OH} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ph_{3}P}} & \mathrm{CH_{3}CH_{2}^{13}CH_{3}Br} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & &$$

3 in cyclopentane with lithium-6 shavings, 95.6% 6Li, ca. 4.4% <sup>7</sup>Li, cleanly gave the lithium compound **4** in 95% yield.

Figure 1 shows <sup>13</sup>C NMR line shapes for C<sub>1</sub> of propyllithium-1-13C-1-6Li (4), 0.5 M in cyclopentane, previously obtained at 22.526 MHz at several temperatures.<sup>10</sup> With decreasing temperature, the  $C_1$  resonance broadens and splits. and by 245 K two new resonances develop to lower field at the expense of the original absorptions. The same sample at 67.89 MHz gave the  $^{13}$ C resonance for C<sub>1</sub> of 4 shown in Figure 2. Notice how the lower field peaks seen at 22.625 MHz now consist of four distinguishable resonances. Use of a resolution enhancement technique (plotting of the first derivative) on two of these spectra, Figure 3, shows each of the resonances to be split. These results demonstrate the existence of at least five different propyllithium species.

We previously reported that the <sup>7</sup>Li NMR (23.32 MHz) of "normal" propyllithium, 1 M in cyclopentane, consists of a single line whose width increases from 2 Hz at 320 K to >60 Hz at 180 K, the result of 7Li quadrupole relaxation whose rate increases with decreasing temperature.<sup>10</sup> In contrast, <sup>6</sup>Li NMR at 39.73 MHz for the species  $(CH_3CH_2^{12}CH_2^6Li)_n$  (5) prepared 0.5 M in cyclopentane from normal 1-bromopropane and lithium-6 shavings, 96%, gives five sharp lines of width 0.5-2 Hz at 180 K over a span of 33 Hz; see Figure 4. With increasing temperature the highest field resonance increases in



Figure 1. NMR ( $^{13}$ C, 22.63 MHz) C<sub>1</sub> of propyllithium-1- $^{13}$ C-1- $^{6}$  Li, 0.5 M in cyclopentane at several temperatures.

 Table I. <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data for Intermediates

compd	$\delta(1)^a$	δ(2)	δ(3)	$J(1,2)^{b}$
1	180.9	27.40	8.7	55.5
2	65.53	25.84	10.14	39.6
3	46.99	26.11	11.65	35.4

<sup>a</sup> Parts per million from Me<sub>4</sub>Si. <sup>b</sup> Hertz.

intensity at the expense of the others and by 270 K this represents the main species present. Also above 210 K there is line broadening and coalescence indicative of some exchange process involving <sup>6</sup>Li taking place on the NMR time scale.

Comparison of the relative peak areas in the <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li spectra, Figures 2-4, reveals that there is a 1:1 correspondence between the summed areas of peaks C, D, and E in the <sup>6</sup>Li spectra and the total area of C, D, and E in the <sup>13</sup>C spectra. Also peaks A and B in the <sup>6</sup>Li spectra correspond to A and B, respectively, in the <sup>13</sup>C spectrum. These peaks may be assumed to come from *different propyllithium aggregates*. Thus, each aggregate gives one <sup>6</sup>Li peak and one for <sup>13</sup>C; see Table II.

Next, let us consider the significance of the splittings due to <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>6</sup>Li coupling observed in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. Most *n*-alkyllithiums in hydrocarbon solvents at 270-320 K consist of hexamers<sup>2</sup> with most likely an octahedral structure.<sup>12</sup> So the upfield resonance, A, for  $C_1$ , which is the predominant one at higher temperatures, probably represents a hexamer. In this structure, each C1 is symmetrically flanked by three <sup>6</sup>Li's. Thus, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum for C<sub>1</sub> should consist of a seven-line multiplet with relative intensities 1:3:6:7:6:3:1. But, in fact, one sees 9-11 lines whose intensity ratios are more consistent with a fluxional hexamer wherein each  ${}^{13}C_1$  is coupled on the average equally to all six lithiums (<sup>6</sup>Li). That would give, for  $C_1$ , 13 lines, 1:6:21:50:90:126:140:126:90: 50:21:6:1, of which we miss two to four lines due to their predicted weak intensities. If this interpretation is correct, the 3.35-Hz splitting observed for this high-field multiplet represents the average of three nearest-neighbor <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>6</sup>Li couplings with three couplings to the more distant lithiums in the back of the octahedron, the dotted triangle in 6. We can assume





Figure 2. NMR (<sup>13</sup>C, 67.89 MHz) C<sub>1</sub> of 4, 0.5 M in cyclopentane.

#### Table II

	peak				
K	E + D + C	В	A		
A. Relative M in Cyc	Areas of Peaks A-E <sup>a</sup> lopentane, 39.73 MH	in <sup>6</sup> Li NMR Sp z, at Different	pectrum of <b>4</b> , 0.5 Temperatures		
181	0.48	0.22	0.30		
195	0.37	0.24	0.39		
212	0.36		0.64		
227	0.22		0.77		
246	0.18		0.82		
B. Relative A M in Cyc	Areas of Peaks A~E <sup>b</sup> lopentane, 67.89 MH	in <sup>13</sup> C NMR Sp z, at Different	Dectrum of <b>4</b> , 0.5 Temperatures		
179	0.40	0.30	0.30		
197	0.37	0.25	0.38		
			$\sim$		

197	0.37	0.25	0,38	
		$\sim$	$\sim$	
211	0.30	0.	70	
226	0.18	0.82		
239	0.16	0.84		
253	0.13	0.87		

<sup>a</sup> See Figure 4. <sup>b</sup> See Figure 3.

the long-range coupling constants to be very small so the directly bonded  $J({}^{13}C{}^{-6}Li)$  is ca. 6.7 Hz in the hexamer. Translated to  $J({}^{13}C{}^{-7}Li)$  this would be 17.7 Hz, not much different from the values for methyllithium<sup>7</sup> and butyllithium<sup>8</sup> in ether of 14.7 and 15 Hz, respectively. These are known to be couplings around a four-center symmetrical C<sub>1</sub>-Li<sub>3</sub> bond in the tetrameric etherates.

By analogy to the hexamer all the aggregates in the system could be fluxional. If the 6.7-Hz nearest-neighbor  ${}^{13}C{}^{-6}Li$ coupling applies to them also, then the observed splittings result from averaging three couplings of 6.7 Hz over different numbers of lithiums; i.e., the splitting is  $3 \times 6.7/n$  where *n* is the association number. The result is that peaks C and D in the  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectrum represent nonamers while B applies to an octamer. Peak E, though weak, also shows the nonamer splitting; see Table III.

Aggregation numbers for alkyllithium compounds above six are not well known. However, the conclusions discussed



Figure 3. NMR ( $^{13}$ C, 67.89 MHz) C<sub>1</sub> of 4, 0.5 M, cyclopentane, at two temperatures with resolution enhancement.

above are reinforced by the results of  ${}^{13}C T_1$  measurements at 192 K using a 0.9 M solution of 4 in cyclopentane. The values of  $1/T_1$  for peaks A, B, and C are  $2.40 \pm 0.03$ ,  $4.10 \pm$ 0.38, and  $3.67 \pm 0.44 \, \text{s}^{-1}$  (least-squares fit), respectively. Since  $1/T_1$  is proportional to the correlation time for isotropically rotating molecules, the larger  $1/T_1$  values correspond to the higher association numbers. These come from the same resonances which prevail at lower temperatures. One of the few studies of alkyllithium association at low temperature using hydrocarbon solvents revealed association numbers exceeding six for 2-methylbutyllithium at 255 K.<sup>4</sup> The known variation in chemical behavior of alkyllithiums with temperature may also be the result of changes in aggregation.<sup>3</sup>

Further details about equilibria among aggregates of *n*propyllithium are revealed by use of <sup>13</sup>C peak areas for different concentrations of this reagent at different temperatures, together with the derived association numbers given in Table III. Table IV lists equilibrium constants  $K_{x,y}$ , defined for the system of equilibria as

$$\frac{6}{m}R_m \text{Li}_m \rightleftharpoons \frac{6}{n}R_n \text{Li}_n \tag{1}$$

where x, y are aggregates and m, n their respective association numbers. The experimental results are consistent with the system of equilibria, (1), with a least-squares deviation of 10%, which is satisfactory considering the assumptions taken and inherent experimental errors. The temperature dependence of these results gives thermodynamic data in Table V.

The NMR spectra described above also provide information on the dynamic behavior of propyllithium. The narrowing which takes place at the higher temperatures in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **4** is clearly the result of fast interaggregate carbon-lithium bond exchange. The new resonances which develop downfield of that for the hexamer only become significant below 240 K. By this temperature interaggregate carbon-lithium bond exchange is too slow to change the frequencies of all these resonances. Exchange affects only the line shapes. The onset of splitting in peaks A-E takes place at quite different temperatures, and each peak broadens out again at a lower set of temperatures, all different. This behavior implies that the in-



Figure 4. NMR (<sup>6</sup>Li, 39.73 MHz) *n*-propyllithium-<sup>6</sup>Li, 0.6 M in cyclopentane, at different temperatures with calculated line shapes and rate constants.

**Table III.** Association Numbers,<sup>*a*</sup> n, of Propyllithium in Cyclopentane from <sup>13</sup>C NMR

peak no.	E	D	С	В	Α
exptl splitting	2.22	2.22	2.22	2.48	3.35
$\pm 0.07 \text{ Hz}$	9	9	.9	8	6

<sup>a</sup> Defined in text.

Т

ble IV					
site	В	С		D	E
A. E	Equilibrium Propyl	Constants, K <sub>x,y</sub> lithium, 253 K,	, among Agg in Cyclopent	regates of <i>n</i> - ane	
A	0.36	0.21	0.25	1.07	
	В	0.58		0.47	
		С	1.20	0.82	
			D	0.69	
B. E	quilibrium Propyl	Constants, <i>K<sub>x,y</sub></i> lithium, 197 K,	, among Agg in Cyclopent	regates of <i>n</i> - ane	
Α	1.05	0.77	0.60	0.36	
	В	0.73	0.63	0.34	
		С	0.86	0.44	
			D	0.55	

**Table V.** Equilibria among *n*-Propyllithium Aggregates,  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  Values

			$\Delta H$ ,	, kcal/m	ol RLi	
		Ā	В	C	D	E
	Α		-1.7	-1.7	-1.3	-0.9
	В	-8.2		0.0	0.3	0.8
$\Delta S_{x,v}$ , eu	С	-9.2	-0.9		0.4	0.8
	D	-7.4	-0.7	1.8		0.4
	E	-6.6	1.6	2.6	0.8	

teraggregate exchange processes involving different species take place at different rates.

The multiplicity of the splitting within each resonance and the relative intensities of the peaks within the multiplets are consistent with, vide supra, a species undergoing fast intraaggregate carbon-lithium bond exchange. The eventual fading of this fine structure on further cooling implies a slowing down of the internal exchange. However, the expected spectra for slowly rearranging aggregates are not observed, either because the exchange rate is still too fast or the viscosity becomes too large at the lowest temperature to allow observation of fine structure.

The lithium-6 spectra of the species *n*-propyllithium-*l*- ${}^{12}C{}^{-6}Li$  show effects from lithium exchange among different species. In sum, *n*-propyllithium at equilibrium undergoes two

A simplified treatment of the intermolecular exchange can be made by using the <sup>13</sup>C NMR line shapes for C<sub>1</sub> of 4 over the temperature range in which hexamer predominates. We consider the overall carbon-lithium bond exchange process to involve the mutual exchange of two propyl groups between two hexamers. As far as the <sup>13</sup>C NMR of C<sub>1</sub> of 4 is concerned, process 2 may be modeled as one in which a <sup>13</sup>C coupled

equally to six lithium-6 atoms (I = 1) exchanges places with another <sup>13</sup>C similarly situated in another aggregate, or

$${}^{13}C^*Li_6^* + {}^{13}C^6Li_6 \stackrel{k_2}{\rightleftharpoons} {}^{13}C^6Li_6^* + {}^{13}C^{*6}Li_6 \qquad (3)$$

Density matrix equations

$$\dot{\tilde{\rho}} = -i[\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\rho}] + \tilde{\rho}/T_{\rm OD} + E\tilde{\rho}$$
(4)

for the hypothetical molecule  ${}^{13}C^6Li_6$  undergoing exchange process 3 were derived in the product representation using the permutation of indexes (PI) method based on the density matrix theory for NMR line shapes of exchanging systems.<sup>13</sup>  $T_{OD}$  is just the phenomenological line width parameter. The Hamiltonian contains the  ${}^{13}C$  and  ${}^{6}Li$  shifts and  ${}^{13}C{}^{-6}Li$ coupling constant, under the weak coupling approximation.

To calculate the  $C_1$  absorption, one needs all elements of the density matrix diagonal in <sup>6</sup>Li<sub>6</sub> and off-diagonal in <sup>13</sup>C:

$$\langle m | \tilde{\rho} | n \rangle = \langle \alpha \phi_{1} | \tilde{\rho} | \beta \phi_{1} \rangle \tag{5}$$

where

$$\phi_{l} = \prod_{i=1}^{i=6} \phi_{li} \tag{6}$$

 $\phi_1$  is the product function for six lithium-6 atoms, label *i*. One takes the appropriate  $\langle m | \tilde{\rho} | n \rangle$  elements of the density matrix equation. Fortunately, the resulting 728 equations can be simplified by summing all equations for which the  $\phi_1$ 's in (5) have the same  $\sum_i m_{zi} = M$  value and similarly redefining the density matrix elements:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{M} = \sum_{l} \langle \alpha \phi_{l(M)} | \tilde{\rho} | \beta \phi_{l(M)} \rangle$$
(7)

The density matrix elements are summed in 13 groups of 1, 6, 21, 50, 90, 126, 140, 126, 90, 50, 21, 6, and 1 each for *M* values of 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5, and -6, respectively. For instance, when M = 5, there are six product functions,  $\phi_1$ . The resulting 13 coupled equations are solved in the usual way<sup>14</sup> using computer program SPECTRAL<sup>14</sup> where input is the fluctionally averaged  $J({}^{13}C-{}^{6}Li)$ ,  $1/T_{OD}$  (the line width), and the frequency. Comparison of experimental with calculated line widths yields the result that  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 4.3$  kcal and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -36$  eu (see Table VI) for the interaggregate exchange process. These parameters are quite similar to values for exchange among diastereomeric aggregates of 2-methylbutyl-lithium, based on high-field <sup>1</sup>H NMR line shapes.<sup>6</sup>

Line-shape analysis of the <sup>6</sup>Li NMR was accomplished by using a model in which lithium exchanges among magnetically nonequivalent aggregates via bimolecular steps

$$ALi + BLi^* \rightleftharpoons^{k} ALi^* + BLi \tag{8}$$

where A and B represent the entire aggregate save for the last lithium. The mean lifetime of a  $^{6}$ Li at site N before exchange

to site M is

$$1/\tau_{N \to M} = k(M) \tag{9}$$

and the exchange contribution to the density matrix equation is

$$E\rho^{N} = \sum_{\substack{M \ M \neq N}} k(M)(\rho^{M} - \rho^{N}) \tag{10}$$

where <sup>6</sup>Li in aggregate N exchanges to four other magnetically nonequivalent sites, M. All these second-order rate constants are taken to be the same and the quantities (M) represent total concentrations of <sup>6</sup>Li in aggregate M. The latter were assigned from the <sup>13</sup>C spectra since <sup>13</sup>C resonances for different aggregates do not average below 255 K.

Finally, a set of five coupled density matrix equations is compiled for <sup>6</sup>Li in the five kinds of aggregates. This has the appearance of a five-site uncoupled exchanging system. The treatment produces the line shapes shown, together with the experimental ones, in Figure 4. Table VI lists the results of both <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li NMR line-shape analysis.

In the temperature range wherein both <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li line shapes can be used, the rate constants needed to fit the spectra of *n*-propyllithium are remarkably similar. This implies that the same mechanism is responsible for exchanging alkyl groups and lithiums among aggregates, as might be expected. The results (Figure 5),  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ , are 4.3 kcal and -36 eu from the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data and 4.0 kcal and -37 eu from the <sup>6</sup>Li spectra.

At this point it is appropriate to comment on the significance of these NMR line shape fits. Where the <sup>13</sup>C line shape is most sensitive to the rate constant, the dominant species is hexamer, so the detected exchange of alkyl groups is between hexamers. The <sup>13</sup>C line shape analysis yields the mean residence time of a propyl group in a hexamer. In contrast, the <sup>6</sup>Li line shapes were determined for a sample of propyllithium 96% <sup>6</sup>Li and only natural abundance <sup>13</sup>C at C<sub>1</sub> of propyl. There is no contribution from <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>6</sup>Li coupling. The <sup>6</sup>Li line shape analysis produces the mean lifetimes of <sup>6</sup>Li atoms between particular exchanges among different aggregates. Clearly, these lifetimes are most likely quite different from one another. By choosing to assume that the lifetimes are given by eq 9, we can reproduce the experimental <sup>7</sup>Li line shapes.

Thus, qualitatively, the line-shape changes observed are the result of the exchange of alkyl groups and lithiums between fluxional aggregates. This has been modeled as taking place in one step via an exchange between aggregates. However, a dissociation-recombination mechanism, first proposed by Brown<sup>15</sup> between hexamer, tetramer, and trimer or between hexamer and trimer (the latter in low concentration) would also move RLi from one aggregate to another and produce the same line-shape changes as the model first described. Thus, line-shape analysis does not distinguish between the two mechanisms. However, the large negative entropy of activation does support the biaggregate exchange step.

$$R_6Li_6 \rightleftharpoons R_4Li_4 + R_2Li_2$$

$$R_6^*Li_6^* \rightleftharpoons 2R_3^*Li_3^*$$

$$R_6Li_6 \rightleftharpoons 2R_3Li_3$$

$$R_3^*Li_3^* + R_3Li_3 \rightleftharpoons R_3^*R_3Li_3^*Li_3$$

Further interpretation of the above results requires some knowledge of the structures of alkyllithium clusters. Since we are dealing with fluxional aggregates, the NMR data do not provide any information on this point. However, the results do provide detailed insight into dynamic processes involving carbon-lithium bond exchange as well as on the association numbers of the aggregates.

**Table VI.** Summary of Exchange Data for *n*-Propyllithium from  ${}^{13}C$  and  ${}^{6}Li$  NMR

<i>Т</i> , К	k( <sup>13</sup> C)	Т, К	k(6Li)
205	1.0	180	0.3
226	4.0	196	0.9
235	10.0	214	2.9
252	15.0	230	5.1
298	40.0	244	6.0
	- / -	259	9.5

This paper demonstrates the utility of <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of an enriched alkyllithium compound. Further applications of this powerful technique are currently under study.

### **Experimental Section**

NMR Equipment. A Bruker WH270 multinuclear NMR spectrometer with Nicolet 1180 computer and disk system was used in the FT mode to obtain <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li spectra with simultaneous proton decoupling, 270 MHz.

 $^{13}$ C NMR was accomplished at 67.887 MHz with ~200 pulses of 10- $\mu$ s duration (<40° flip) repeated every 2.719 s. A 16K (16 384 words) transform was carried out over a spectral width of 3000 Hz.

Approximations to generate derivative spectra for resolution enhancement were obtained by offsetting the absorption spectrum in computer memory by several data points and then subtracting from the original spectrum. This procedure has been shown to be related<sup>16</sup> to the technique of multiplying the free-induction delay by a "sine bell" function.<sup>17</sup> As a rule, the spectra were offset by two or three data points before subtraction.

<sup>6</sup>Li NMR was carried out at 38.730 223 MHz with  $\sim$ 50 pulses of 15-20  $\mu$ s, repeated every 16.384 s. A 16K transform was used with spectral width of 500 Hz. Both phase-alternating pulse-sequencing (PAPS) and quadrature detection were used to improve the signal/noise ratio.

Relaxation measurements for  $T_1$  were accomplished using the inversion recovery technique, delay-180°- $\tau$ -90° at 192 K.

**Propionic Acid-1-**<sup>13</sup> $\dot{C}$ . Ethylmagnesium bromide was prepared bromoethane (7.3 g, 0.087 mol) and magnesium turnings (1.62 g, 0.067 mol) in 75 mL of diethyl ether. The apparatus consisted of a 500-mL three-neck round-bottom flask equipped with addition funnel (topped by a condenser), overhead Teflon paddle stirrer, and CO<sub>2</sub> inlet. A round-bottom flask with attached addition funnel and side arm bearing stopcock served as CO<sub>2</sub> generator. Carbon dioxide leaving the side arm was dried with a U-tube trap cooled by dry ice/2-propanol and then passed into the inlet neck of the Grignard reaction vessel. Short of the inlet was an attached Hg U-tube manometer.

The apparatus was flamed out under a current of argon and then evacuated to about 250 mmHg pressure. Carbon dioxide (13C) was generated by slow dropwise addition of concentrated sulfuric acid to powdered barium carbonate (13C, 91%, 12 g, 0.060 mol), with stirring. The apparatus was filled with <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> gas to a pressure of ca. 650 mmHg. The Grignard solution was transferred to the dropping funnel and introduced dropwise into the 500-mL three-neck flask at -10 °C with stirring. More  ${}^{13}\text{CO}_2$  was generated alternating with the addition of the Grignard solution, keeping the <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> pressure at ca. 650 mmHg. At no time was the internal pressure allowed to exceed 675 mmHg. After the addition of <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> and Grignard was completed, the system was allowed to stir for 15 min. The reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with concentrated aqueous HCl and water. The pH of the water phase was adjusted to 6. This mixture was continuously extracted with diethyl ether for 72 h. Fractional distillation of this extract through a 10 by 1.5 cm column packed with glass helices removed most of the solvent. Pentane was added to the concentrated ether solution, and the mixture was dried over MgSO4 and fractionally distilled (pentane azeotroped out any remaining water). Final distillation was accomplished through a short-path condenser and product was collected over the range 110-140 °C. A total yield of 3.77 g (84%) of propionic acid was collected, based on barium carbonate. NMR data are listed in Table I.

**1-Propanol-**1- $1^{3}C$ . A solution of propionic acid-1- $1^{3}C$  (2.15 g, 0.0286 mol) in 10 mL of freshly dried THF was slowly dropped into an ice-cold slurry of lithium aluminum hydride (1.56 g, 0.0411 mol)



Figure 5. Eyring plots for carbon-lithium bond exchange from <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>6</sup>Li NMR.

in 50 mL of dry THF over a period of 30 min. On completion of this addition, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 20 h. The excess lithium aluminum hydride was hydrolyzed by slow addition of 10 mL of 20% aqueous potassium tartrate solution. More water and ether were added to this mixture and the system was continuously extracted into ether for 62 h. The extract was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and fractionated through a column, 10 by 1.5 cm, of glass helices. The higher boiling residue was distilled through a short-path system to give 1.43 g of product, bp 95–100 °C (760 Torr), in 82% yield. NMR data are listed in Table I.

1-Chloropropane- $1^{-13}C$ . To a solution of hexachloroethane (4.66 g, 0.020 mol) and triphenylphosphine (5.17 g, 0.020 mol) in 25 mL of mesitylene contained in a 100-mL round-bottom flask was added with stirring 1-propanol- $1^{-13}C$  (0.93 g, 0.015 mol). Immediately after the addition, a short-path condenser with distillate receiver was attached to the above reaction vessel. Several milliliters of mesitylene were introduced into the pot which was then cooled to 0 °C with ice water. The reaction mixture was heated to boiling. After 1.5 h, 1-chloropropane had distilled out and mesitylene had begun to distill. Heating was discontinued when the stillhead temperature reacted the boiling point of mesitylene. The 1-chloropropane and mesitylene were then dried and neutralized with solid sodium carbonate and MgSO<sub>4</sub> with swirling until gas evolution ceased. Distillation gave 0.83 g of 1-chloropropane- $1^{-13}C$  in 67% yield. NMR data are listed in Table L.

1-Bromopropane-1-13C. To a round-bottom flask cooled to 0 °C. containing a magnetic stir bar, carbon tetrabromide (11.9 g, 0.036 mol), and triphenylphosphine was added 1-propanol- $1-^{13}C$  (1.93 g, 0.0316 mol). A short-path condenser with preweighed receiver was immediately attached to the neck of the flask. The ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. Note: an exothermic reaction ensued. The contents of the flask were heated, with vigorous stirring, until liquid refluxed in the neck of the flask. The mixture was allowed to reflux for 1 h. Then the temperature was increased to allow 1-bromopropane to distill over into the collection flask and cooled to 0 °C. Altogether, 3.85 g was collected of material consisting of 1-bromopropane and HBr. This mixture was swirled with several milliliters of saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate until evaluation of CO2 ceased. The 1-bromopropane was pipetted out of the mixture, dried with P2O5, and distilled, giving 3.3 g of product in 84% yield.

**Propyllithium**-1-1<sup>3</sup>C-1-<sup>6</sup>Li. The apparatus consisted of a two-neck 10-mL pear-shaped flask containing a magnetic stir bar. One neck was fitted with a 2-mm straight-bore stopcock on a side arm protected by a serum cap. The other neck was closed with a glass stopper. This system was flamed out under vacuum and then flushed with dry argon. Cyclopentane (2 mL), freshly distilled from lithium aluminum hydride, was syringed into the flask via the stopcock. A rod of lithium-6 (96%, Oak Ridge National Laboratory) was cleaned with 2-propanol and then cyclopentane. Fresh scrapings of <sup>6</sup>Li (0.08 g, 0.013 mol), obtained under cyclopentane, were rapidly dropped into the flask through the stoppered opening under a current of argon. The mixture in the flask was allowed to stir for several minutes. Then all the cyclopentane was removed by syringe through the stopcock side arm and replaced by dry, oxygen-free cyclopentane. This process was repeated two times. The last 3-mL aliquot of cyclopentane was left in the flask.

Dry, degassed 1-halopropane-1-13C (0.63 g, 0.0049 mol) was introduced by syringe. The reactant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 14 h, by which time it had turned purple and developed a large amount of finely divided gray solid. The solution was centrifuged and the supernatant liquid transferred by syringe for modified Gilman titration, purification procedures on the vacuum line, and NMR investigation. Modified Gilman titration showed 92% conversion to propyllithium with <2% alkoxide present.

All propyllithium samples were evacuated to a solid on the vacuum line to remove unreacted halide and coupling products. In certain cases propyllithium was distilled across an inverted Y-shaped tube protected at the stem with a straight-bore stopcock. Pure cyclopentane was distilled into the receiver side of the Y and this solution transferred via syringe to the 8-mm NMR tube, protected by a straight-bore stopcock. This assembly was transferred to the vacuum line, and the solution degassed by four freeze-thaw cycles and then sealed off.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant CHE76-10909A02.

#### **References and Notes**

(1) (a) The Ohio State University. (b) Eastman Kodak.

(2) Margerison, D.; Newport, J. P. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1963, 59, 2058-2063.

- (3) Wakefield, B. J. "The Chemistry of Organolithium Compounds"; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1974.
- (4) Witanowski, M.; Roberts, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 737-741. Fraenkel, G.; Dix, D. T.; Carlson, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 579-582
- (5) Brown, T. L. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1965, 3, 365–395.
  (6) Fraenkel, G.; Beckenbaugh, W. E.; Yang, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976,
- 98. 6878-6885. McKeever, L. D.; Waack, R.; Doran, M. A.; Baker, E. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- McKeever, L. D.; Waack, R. Chem. Commun. 1969, 750–751. Bywater, S.; Lachance, P.; Worsfold, D. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1975, 79, 2148–2153. (8)
- (9) Beckenbaugh, W. E.; Geckle, J. M.; Fraenkel, G. Chem. Scr., in press. Van Dongen, J. C. P., Ph.D. Thesis, University of Utrecht, 1974. (10)Fraenkel, G.; Fraenkel, A. M.; Geckle, M. J.; Schloss, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 1979. 101. 4745-4747.
- (a) Pople, J. A.; Schneider, W. G.; Bernstein, H. J. "High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance"; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1961; p 480. (b) Wehrli, F. J. Magn. Reson. 1978, 30, 193-209. Org. Magn. Reson. 1978, 11, 106.
- (12) Zerger, R.; Rhine, W.; Stucky, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 6048–6055.
   Schaaf, T. F.; Butler, W.; Gullck, M. D.; Oliver, J. P. Ibid. 1974, 96, 7593-7594.
- (13) Kaplan, J. I.; Fraenkel, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2907-2912. (14) Computer program, SPECTRAL ANALYSIS, Instruction and Research Computer
- Center, The Ohio State University, 1977. (15) M. Y. Darensbourg, B. Y. Kimura, G. E. Hartwell, and T. L. Brown, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 1236–1242.

- (16) Guéron, M. J. Magn. Reson. 1978, 30, 515.
   (17) DeMarco, H.; Wüthrich, J. J. Magn. Reson. 1976, 24, 201.

# <sup>31</sup>P Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies of Polymer-Anchored Rhodium(I) Complexes

## Aart J. Naaktgeboren, Roeland J. M. Nolte, and Wiendelt Drenth\*

Contribution from the Department of Organic Chemistry of the University, 3522 AD Utrecht, The Netherlands. Received April 18, 1979

Abstract: Poly(4-diphenylphosphinostyrene) (1), poly(4-dicyclohexylphosphinostyrene) (2), and poly[1-(4-diphenylphosphinophenyl)ethyliminomethylene] (3) were obtained by polymerization of the corresponding monomers. The polymers were treated with (RhClL<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and with (RhClL')<sub>2</sub>, where L and L' are ethene and 1,5-cyclooctadiene, respectively. These complexes were added stepwise and during this process the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were observed. <sup>31</sup>P chemical shifts and <sup>103</sup>Rh-<sup>31</sup>P coupling constants were compared with those of monomeric analogues which were treated in the same way.

Recently, considerable interest has been shown in the anchoring of homogeneous catalysts to organic polymers.<sup>1,2</sup> For instance, polymer-bound rhodium complexes have been synthesized and used as hydrogenation catalysts. Apart from a recent EXAFS study<sup>3</sup> concerning the catalytic site of the polymer-bound Wilkinson catalyst, there has been hardly any other study relating to characterization of anchored catalysts.

It has been shown that <sup>31</sup>P NMR can help to elucidate the structures of metal-phosphine complexes.<sup>4</sup> Both the <sup>31</sup>P chemical shift and the metal-phosphorus coupling constant can provide information concerning the stereochemistry of these complexes and their coordination number.

Grubbs et al.<sup>5</sup> have tried to use <sup>31</sup>P NMR as a tool for studying polymer-supported rhodium complexes, but failed to observe any signals of coordinated phosphines. They attributed this failure to increased relaxation times due to complexation of the phosphine to the metal center. We consider this explanation to be less likely, because without rhodium the phosphine in polystyrenes gives a clear <sup>31</sup>P NMR signal<sup>5-7</sup> and therefore will have normal relaxation time, while coupling to rhodium is expected to decrease rather than increase the relaxation time.8

So far,<sup>2</sup> nearly all supports used to anchor homogeneous catalysts have a low phosphorus content and phosphine is unevenly distributed over the polymer chain. This is very unfavorable for a <sup>31</sup>P NMR study because the signal strength is low and appreciable variations occur in the environment of the phosphorus in the polymer. Therefore, we prepared three polymers which have a phosphine function in each repeating unit: poly(4-diphenylphosphinostyrene) (1), poly(4-dicyclohexylphosphinostyrene) (2), and poly[1-(4-diphenylphosphinophenyl)ethyliminomethylene] (3). These polymers were obtained by polymerization of their phosphine-containing monomers. Polymers 1 and 2 will have a more or less random

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{H_{2}}c_{H} & \hline & P_{P_{1}} \\ 1 & 5 & P_{C_{2}}P_{P_{1}} \\ \hline & 1 & 5 & P_{C_{2}}P_{P_{1}} \\ \hline & c_{H_{2}}c_{H} & \hline & P_{C_{2}} \\ \hline & 2 & 7 & P_{P_{1}}O_{P_{1}} \\ \hline & 2 & 7 & P_{P_{1}}O_{P_{1}}O_{P_{1}} \\ \hline & 2 & 7 & P_{P_{1}}O_{P_{1}}O_{P_{1}} \\ \hline & 3 & 9 & m_{P_{2}}P_{P_{1}}O_{P_{1}}C_{H_{2}$$

0002-7863/80/1502-3350\$01.00/0

© 1980 American Chemical Society