1791

J. Edgar Anderson,^{*,a} Daniele Casarini,^b and Lodovico Lunazzi^{*,b}

^a Chemistry Department, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK ^b Dipartimento di Chimica Organica, 'A. Mangini', Universita Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 4, 40136-Bologna, Italy

From the temperature dependence of NMR spectra, two separate conformational processes can be distinguished and studied in *N*,*N*-diisopropylneopentylamine. A high-barrier inversion/rotation process involves eclipsing of t-butyl and isopropyl groups, while a rotation process with a lower barrier involves lesser eclipsing interactions. Molecular mechanics calculations help illustrate the ground state conformations involved. Barriers are smaller when the neopentyl group is replaced by less branched primary alkyl groups.

Inversion of nitrogen configuration in alkylamines always involves some rotation about carbon-nitrogen bonds to achieve the energy minimum in the new configuration, for otherwise a staggered Me-NR¹R² bond would become an eclipsed R^1R^2N -Me bond. Interconversion of rotational conformations² which may be separated by less than 120° of rotation or by as much as 360°, may often be facilitated by some flattening of nitrogen pyramidality or even complete inversion of nitrogen, for by such means three more-or-less simultaneous sets of eclipsing interactions can be avoided. However, if two large groups have to pass each other to interconvert rotational conformations, nitrogen inversion does not provide a magic way of avoiding this.

A reliable procedure is to consider any process being studied as an interconversion of enantiomeric, degenerate or, occasionally, different sets of structures where neither nitrogen inversion nor bond rotation alone is the process, but rather each is part of the mechanism of the process.

Some aspects of molecular structure or spectral behaviour may indicate that the high-energy point in the process has a near-to-planar nitrogen configuration, in which case the inversion/rotation process is inversion-dominated. If the highenergy point is one of rotational instability (*e.g.* eclipsing), the inversion/rotation process is rotation-dominated. While most commonly some intermediate combination of rotation and inversion will be involved, it is improbable that a molecule will favour a process that simultaneously maximises inversion and rotational destabilisation. Occasionally these may be of comparable importance consecutively.

Increasing steric congestion around a nitrogen atom leads to lower barriers to nitrogen inversion ^{3,4} but potentially to higher barriers to rotation. Much elegant recent dynamic NMR work ⁵ has probed such inversion-dominated processes in simple trialkylamines, N,N-dimethylethylamine⁶ being the simplest, where rotation is likely to be less important. In some cases, however,^{5,7} two processes, the second a discrete rotational interconversion, can be demonstrated.

In the search for rotation dominated processes, we have recently^{8a} been studying neopentylamines t-butyl-CH₂-NR¹R², since whatever the mechanism, interconversion of enantiomeric structures $1 \implies 2$ requires an R-group to eclipse a t-butyl group along an N-CH₂ bond. We herein report on N,N-diisopropylneopentylamine **3a** and other members of the series **3**. The usefulness of diisopropylamines in such studies has previously been shown,^{8b,c} since different components of



rotation and inversion make the two alkyl groups, and the two diastereotopic groups within one alkyl group, equivalent on the NMR timescale. Molecular mechanics calculations⁹ provide





Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the experimental (right hand side) ¹H NMR spectrum (300 MHz) of the methyl groups of $Pr_{2}^{i}NCH_{2}-Bu^{i}$ obtained by decoupling the NCH signal. The lineshape had to be simulated (left hand side) by taking into account both the rate constants (reported in s⁻¹) for *N*-inversion (k_i) and *N*-Prⁱ rotation (k_r). The k_r values match those obtained from the corresponding ¹³C spectrum (see Fig. 2). From the ¹H spectrum two k_i values (22 and 30 s⁻¹) could also be determined (respectively at -101.5 and -98 °C). (a) -65 °C, $k_r \ge 5 \times 10^4$, $k_i \ge 2 \times 10^3$; (b) -98 °C, $k_r = 1250$, $k_i = 30$; (c) - 120 °C, $k_r = 55$, $k_i = 0$; (d) -135 °C, $k_r = 0$, $k_i = 0$.



Fig. 2. ¹³C NMR spectrum (75.5 MHz) of $Pr_{2}^{i}NCH_{2}-Bu^{i}$ at (a) -30 and (b) -120 °C. The single line of the two NCH groups and that of the four methyl groups are split, respectively, into two and four lines of equal intensity. This indicates restriction of both N-inversion and N-Prⁱ rotation. From the lineshape of the ¹³C spectrum only the rate constant for the slower of the two processes (rotation) could be determined (see text).

information on stable conformations of these molecules as a basis for discussing such points of interest.

In a series of such molecules we may reasonably hope to distinguish two different interconversions of equivalent structures, see Scheme 1. While the dihedral angles shown are as suggested by our calculations, conformations about N-isopropyl bonds also need to be considered just as in alkyl diisopropylmethanes recently studied.¹⁰



Fig. 3. Minimum energy conformation of 3a viewed along the N-CH₂ bond, as calculated by molecular mechanics. (\bigcirc) methyl groups; (\bigcirc) tertiary or quaternary carbon atoms.

Results

N,N-Diisopropylneopentylamine **3a**.—Both the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of this compound are temperature dependent as described in Table 1 and shown in Figs. 1 and 2, and show that two processes, successively, become slow on the NMR timescale.

At room temperature, the proton NMR spectrum shows singlets for the t-butyl and methylene groups, and one doublet and one septet (J = 7.2 Hz) for the isopropyl groups. On cooling below about -50 °C the methyl doublet broadens, see Fig. 1, and splits at -96 °C to two broad signals, apparently of equal intensity, indicating a process with a barrier $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 8.9$ kcal mol⁻¹ (1 kcal = 4.184 kJ) at -98 °C. On further cooling, further broadening, now of all but the t-butyl signal takes place. Eventually the signals split at temperatures around -115 °C, so that finally at -140 °C, the methylene protons appear as an AB quartet, the methine protons as two equal multiplets, and the isopropyl methyl protons as a complex signal, presumably four equal overlapping doublets. These changes indicate that a process with a barrier $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 7.7$ kcal mol⁻¹ at -120 °C is taking place.

In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, on cooling, corresponding behaviour is observed. Splitting of the isopropyl methyl signal due to the high barrier process has not occurred, however, before further broadening due to the second process obscures that change. Eventually, at -120 °C the two equal methine carbon signals, and four equal methyl carbon signals are seen for the isopropyl group, with no changes in the neopentyl group signal, see Fig. 2. Barriers calculated on the basis of these changes agree with those found from the ¹H NMR spectra.

Molecular mechanics calculations are reported in detail later, but in the case of **3a** suggest that the confirmation around the nitrogen centre is as in 8 (Fig. 3), and that Scheme 1 serves as a basis for discussion, where **4**, **6** and **7** are degenerate or enantiomeric forms of $5 \equiv 8$. Previous experience ^{8a,10} shows good agreement between known structures of amines and molecular mechanics calculations and some aspects of the above NMR changes support this.

The low-temperature limit NMR spectrum shows no signs of a second set of signals that could be attributed to molecules with different conformations for either the CH_2R group or the isopropyl group. From the structure and the nature of the spectral changes the higher barrier process is inversion/rotation $4 \implies 5$ and $6 \implies 7$. On the NMR timescale at -100 °C, the isopropyl groups are still equivalent due to the rotation process

Table 1. NMR spectra of compounds 3a-d at various temperatures.

1	7	9	3
		,	~

Compound	Solvent	Observed nucleus (MHz)	<i>T/</i> °C	NCH ₂	NCH	Me(Pr ⁱ)	Group R
3a	CHF ₂ Cl	C-13 (75.5)	-35	57.95	50.15	21.85	q, 33.65 methyl 29.3
			-120	57.6	55.2, 44.95	26.85, 22.8, 20.4, 17.5	33.75 29.5
		H-1 (300)	-65	2.19	2.96	0.99	0.87
			-130	2.11, 2.04 J = 14 Hz	2.92, 2.75	0.98, 0.86, 0.86, 0.84	0.75
3h	CHF.Cl-	C-13 (100.6)	- 75	60.2	51.0	22.4	a. 36.0. 'CH' 30.3. 'CH ₂ ' 38.75. 43.2
•-	CHECL	0 11 (1111)	-135	59.5	55.8. 45.0	27.0. 23.0. 20.65, 17.7	35.3 29.5 37.95 42.3
	0	H-1 (400)	+ 20	2.05	2.88	0.92	'CH' 1.89, 'CH ₂ ' 1.675, 1.605, 1.46 J = 11 Hz
			- 140	1.99, 1.94 J = 14 Hz	2.92, 2.68	0.97, 0.83, 0.83, 0.82	1.86 1.59, 1.53, 1.435, 1.28 J = 11 Hz $J = 11 Hz$
3c	CHF ₂ Cl	C-13 (75.5)	+ 25	53.2	48.0	20.4	'CH' 27.5 'CH ₃ ' 20.25
	Z - ···	()	-135	56.3	51.2	22.6, 22.6, 19.1, 19.1	31.0 22.0
	CHFCl	H-1 (400)	+25	2.08	2.90	0.88	1.54 0.76
	CHF₂CÌ		-135	1.93	2.83	0.87, 0.80	1.48 0.72
3d		C-13	+ 25	48.49	49.92	21.19	127.50, 129.37, 145.04
		H-1	+ 25	3.65	3.03	1.05	7.12–1.45



Fig. 4. ¹³C NMR spectrum (75.5 MHz) of $Pr_{2}^{i}NCH_{2}-Pr^{i}$ at (a) +25; (b) -128; (c) -155; (d) -165 °C. Below -120 °C the line of the two equivalent methyl groups is still a singlet whereas that of the four equivalent methyl groups is split into a 1:1 doublet, owing to the effect of a slow N-inversion. The upfield line of this doublet (indicated by an arrow) broadens considerably at -155 °C and disappears at -165 °C, having reached the coalescence region. The barrier for this second process (N-CH₂ rotation) has been estimated to be 4.7 \pm 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹ (see text).

 $4 \longrightarrow 6$ and $5 \longrightarrow 7$, but geminal methyl groups are diastereotopic due to the asymmetry of substitution at slowly inverting nitrogen. However, they spend equal times *gauche* and *anti* to the lone pair, and this is reflected in the small relative chemical shift observed at intermediate temperatures for these methyl groups. The low barrier process is the rotation $4 \longrightarrow 6$ or $5 \Longrightarrow 7$, which makes the isopropyl groups and the methylene protons non-equivalent, as is observed in the NMR spectrum at -140 °C, where methyl group chemical shifts are much larger since methyl groups are either *gauche* or *anti* to the lone pair on the NMR timescale.

N,N-Diisopropyl-C-(1-adamantyl)methylamine **3b**.—The changes in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **3b** indicated in Table 1 are analogous to those for **3a**, except that the equivalent methylene protons of the adamantyl group become diastereotopic along with the N-CH₂ protons in a way now quite expected.¹¹ From this the barriers to inversion/rotation and rotation are as reported in Table 2.

N,N-Diisopropylisobutylamine 3c.—This compound shows a doubling of the N-isopropyl methyl signal below about -95 °C in both the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, corresponding to inversion/rotation becoming slow on the NMR timescale, as for **3a**. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum there is subsequent broadening of N-isopropyl and methine signals but even at the lowest temperature attainable, splitting corresponding to slow rotation was not quite achieved, see Fig. 4. These changes are described in detail in Table 1 and yield a barrier of 7.8 kcal mol⁻¹ for inversion/rotation at -110 °C. Reasonable assumptions ¹² as to chemical shift values and likely coalescence temperatures suggest that the barrier to the rotation process is 4.7 kcal mol⁻¹ at -165 °C.

N,N-Diisopropylbenzylamine 3d.—The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of this compound described in Table 1 do not change significantly with temperature, suggesting that the barrier to inversion/rotation and to any rotation process is less than about 5 kcal mol⁻¹.

N,N-Diisopropylethylamine **3e** and N,N-Diisopropylmethylamine **3f**.—The temperature dependence of the ¹³C NMR spectrum of these compounds has been described elsewhere,^{8b,c} and the results have been incorporated in Table 2. Inversion/rotation becomes slow on the NMR timescale with a barrier somewhat lower than that observed for **3a**–c, but there is no report of a second, rotation process becoming slow on the NMR timescale.

Compound		Nucleus (MHz)	Signal simulated	Barrier to inversion/rotat	ion	Barrier to rotat		
	R			$\Delta T/^{\circ}C$	$\Delta G^{\ddagger}/\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$	<i>T/</i> °C	$\Delta G^{\ddagger}/\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$	Mean
3a	Buʻ	C-13 (75.5)	NCH			-107, -90	7.7	
		C-13 (75.5)	Me4			-108, -97	7.65	
		H-1(300)	NCH ₂			-120, -115	7.65	7.6
		H-1 (300)	Me ₄	-101, -98	8.85	-120, -115	7.55	
3b	Adamantyl	C-13 (100.6)	NCH			-119 104	7.2	
	•	H-1 (400)	NCH			-119	7.4	
		H-1 (400)	NCH ₂			-120	7.1	7.3
		H-1 (400)	CH _{2Ad}			-120, -115	7.45	
		H-1 (400)	Me ₄	-99	8.8	-129, -114	7.25	
3c	Pr ⁱ	C-13 (75.5)	Me₄	-113, -101	7.95	-165	4.7	
		C-13 (50.3)	Me ₄	-118, -110	7.65			
3d	Ph				< 5.5			< 5.5
3e	Ме		Ь		6.8	Ь	d	
			с		6.5	c,	,d	
3f	н		с		6.0	c	d	
			С		6.0	c,	,d	
11	'RCH ₂ ' ^a		b		< 6.0	Ь		9.2

Table 2. Barriers to inversion/rotation and rotation in compounds 3 and 11.

^a 'RCH₂' = CH(CH₂CH₃)₂. ^b Ref. 8(b). ^c Ref. 8(c). ^d Not observed.

Table 3. Molecular mechanics calculations of conformational minima for compound 3a (see Scheme 1, 5)^a.

Conformation of		Conformational energy ^c		Dihedral angle/°			
α α	β	Absolute	Relative	- Neopentyl group ^d	Isopropyl group ¤ ^e	Isopropyl group β ^e	
 +g	 + g	25.39	0.00	-22.3	73.0	80.2	
+g	+g	25.71	0.32	-49.6	60.0	83.8	
+g	g	27.75	2.36	-17.2	78.1	- 54.0	
+g	-g	29.11	3.72	50.1	49.9	-71.9	
+g	a	26.53	1.14	-15.0	77.4	-173.1	
-g	+g	30.30	4.91	-1.6	- 82.8	57.1	
-g	-g	30.37	4.98	-31.5	-62.2	-100.2	
-g	ä	26.80	1.41	+ 1.0	- 55.4	-177.6	
a	+g	26.80	1.41	-1.0	+177.6	+ 55.4	
а	-g	33.06	7.67	-42.6	+ 165.1	90.4	
а	a	32.10	6.71	-7.7	-135.4	-177.0	

^a High enthalpy conformations of type 9 were not calculated. There exists a matching set of enantiomeric conformations where each dihedral angle has a sign opposite to that given here. ^b See 10 for conformation and absolute configuration. ^c The final steric energy of the MM282 program.^{9 d} The dihedral angle lone pair-N-C-t-butyl. ^e The dihedral angle lone pair-N-C-H.

Molecular Mechanics Calculations.—Such calculations have been simplified by considering only one conformation about the RCH_2 -N bond, to obtain the set of conformations 5 with



the -gauche arrangement of the lone pair-N-C-R dihedral angle. The entiomeric +gauche set 4 will show equal energies. The *anti* set of conformations 9 has the three alkyl groups

gauche to each other and is expected to be of so high an energy as to be little-populated. The excess enthalpy of the most stable such *anti*-conformation for **3a** compared with the most stable *gauche*-conformation is calculated to be almost 7 kcal mol⁻¹.

Within the set 5 there are various combinations of conformations of the two isopropyl groups which have to be considered, as 10 suggests, using the obvious terms -g, +g and *a* to indicate the location of the methine proton with respect to the lone pair, in the isopropyl groups α and β respectively. Table 3 lists these conformations for 3a, giving the dihedral angle about each carbon-nitrogen bond and the enthalpy as calculated using Allinger's MM282 program.⁹ As is common with relatively highly branched compounds,¹³ there are two different minima between eclipsed conformations, librated to either side of a perfectly staggered conformation to reduce long-range interactions. These minima need to be sought when

Table 4. Molecular mechanics calculations of conformational minima for compounds 3b and 3c (see 5).^a

	~ •		~ •		Dihedral angles/°				
	Conformation of isopropyl groups ^b		Conformational energy '/kcal mol ⁻¹		N-Isopropyl groups		RCH ₂ group		
Compound	α	β	Absolute	Relative	αď	βď	First ^e	Second ^e	
 3b	+ g	+g	39.91	0.00	74.1	80.2	-22.1		
	+g	+g	40.33	0.42	58.1	82.2	-49.7		
	+g	a	40.89	0.98	77.6	-173.4	-14.7		
	+g	-g	42.17	2.26	77.1	- 54.6	-15.1		
3c	+ g	+g	20.52	0.00	59.2	44.4	53.9	-63.0	
	+g	+g	20.95	0.43	83.2	63.7	- 52.2	-68.3	
	-g	-g	22.54	2.02	-64.3	-92.2	-47.6	-62.8	
	+g	a	22.71	2.19	72.6	-173.6	- 59.4	- 70.6	

^a Only conformations within 2.5 kcal mol⁻¹ of the global minimum are shown. There exists a matching set of enantiomeric conformations where each dihedral angle has a sign opposite to that shown here. ^b See 10 for conformation and absolute configuration. ^c The final steric energy of the MM282 program.⁹ ^d The dihedral angle lone pair-N-C-H. ^e First is the dihedral angle lone pair-N-CH₂-R, second is the dihedral angle N-C-C-H for 3c.

determining significantly-populated conformations, and in Table 3 we include the two such librational isomers we have found, which are within 4 kcal mol^{-1} of the global minimum.

As one might expect,^{10b} the most stable overall conformation for **3a** has an isopropyl hydrogen directed toward the t-butyl group, and the second isopropyl hydrogen directed towards the methyl groups of the first one, see Fig. 3. In the next most stable conformation the second isopropyl group has rotated *anti*, which again fits well with previous experience.^{10b} The least stable conformations are ones in which the neopentyl group and isopropyl methyl groups are close, competing for the same space.

Calculations of the conformations of **3b** seem, not unexpectedly, to mimic those for **3a**. The three most stable conformations are reported in Table 4.

Calculations on the isobutyl compound 3c were simplified as for 3a, looking at only the set of conformations with the *C*-isopropyl group -gauche with respect to the lone pair. Calculations showed that of the three possible conformations about the Pr^{i} -CH₂N bond, the one with the H-C-C-N bond -gauche is the most stable, so the conformation was fixed thus in the set of conformations calculated. Table 4 shows the four most stable conformations, other librational and rotational conformations being at least 2.9 kcal mol⁻¹ less stable than the global minimum.

Discussion

The conformational behaviour of **3a** can thus be discussed in terms of Scheme 1, although each structure may be modified by the presence of a small concentration of a second conformation exchanging rapidly on the NMR timescale as explained above. At room temperature, the interconversions of Scheme 1 all take place rapidly on the NMR timescale.

The process with a barrier of 8.9 kcal mol⁻¹, slow on the NMR timescale, at -98 °C is the inversion/rotation process (4 \implies 5 or 6 \implies 7), and as a result of the fixing of the nitrogen configuration, the two methyls of any isopropyl group become non-equivalent. Since the rotations 4 \implies 6 and 5 \implies 7 are still fast on the NMR timescale, methylene protons remain equivalent as do isopropyl groups. The latter rotations becoming slow on the NMR timescale induces the low temperature changes in the NMR spectrum of 3a corresponding to a barrier of 7.7 kcal mol⁻¹.

Scheme 1 focuses on the nitrogen to neopentyl group bond and shows how the high energy inversion/rotation process is associated with eclipsing of the group R with an isopropyl group, whereas the low barrier process eclipses alkyl groups with hydrogen or a lone pair. If an alternative view is taken along a nitrogen to isopropyl group bond, only alkyl group/hydrogen eclipsing need take place during the high barrier process, whereas alkyl groups must eclipse each other during the low barrier process. Thus although both processes require some rotation about all bonds, the high barrier inversion/rotation process is most affected by rotation of the primary RCH₂ group, while the lower barrier rotation process reflects principally rotation of the isopropyl groups.

Table 2 shows that the low barrier rotation process is directly influenced by the size of the group R, as expected. That the inversion/rotation barrier shows a similar trend along the series shows the importance of the rotational contribution to that process.

The results for 3e and 3f are taken from an earlier report which also reported strikingly different behaviour for a diisopropylamine 11 with a 3-butyl group $(Et)_2CH$ - as the third substituent. On changing from an amine with two secondary and one primary alkyl groups as substituent to one with three secondary alkyl groups, the relationship between enantiomeric and degenerate conformations changes, as do the processes which can be studied. The behaviour within our present set 3a-f seems consistent and points to the need to continue exploring different sets of trialkylamines in a corresponding way.

Experimental

All the tertiary amines **3a-d** were prepared similarly by lithium aluminium hydride reduction of the corresponding amide as described below for **3a**.

N,N-Diisopropylpivalamide.—Diisopropylamine (13.7 g, 135 mmol) was mixed with pivaloyl chloride in an autoclave and the temperature was raised to 180 °C for 18 h. The crude product was extracted with ether and the extract was washed with water and dried. Removal of the ether yielded a crystalline material (10 g, 89%). NMR, $\delta_{\rm C}(\rm CDCl_3$, 25.16 MHz) 28.2 (Me_2 C), 20.4 (Me_3 C), 39.1 (C_q), 47.7 and 46.3 (both CH), and 176.0 (C=O); $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm CDCl_3$, 100 MHz) 3.75 (1 H, m, CH), 2.65 (1 H, CH), and 1.1–0.7 (br complex, 21 H, 3 × Me). Broad signals are due to rotation about the N–CO bond at an intermediate rate on the NMR timescale.

N,N-Diisopropylneopentylamine **3a**.—A solution of diisopropylpivalamide (5 g, 27 mmol), in dry tetrahydrofuran

1795

(THF), was added to a suspension of lithium aluminium hydride (1 g, 27 mmol) in THF (25 cm³), and the mixture was refluxed for 24 h under nitrogen gas. After cooling to 0 °C the reaction was completed by dropping the mixture into a saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride. The exothermic reaction was easily controlled and the resultant precipitate was extracted several times with ether. The ether extract was dried and the solvent was removed to give a liquid residue from which the amine **3a** was distilled under reduced pressure to yield 3 g, 65%. NMR spectra are described in Table 1. (Found: m/z 171.197 96. Calc. for $C_{11}H_{25}N$: *M*, 171.198 70). Compounds **3b** (Found: m/z 249.244 94. Calc. for $C_{10}H_{31}N$: *M*, 249.245 65) and **3c** (Found: m/z 157.183 06. Calc. for $C_{10}H_{23}N$: *M*, 157.183 04) were obtained similarly.

Dynamic NMR spectra were obtained using Bruker CXP300 and Varian VXR400 spectrometers, for *ca.* 0.05 mol dm⁻³ solutions in solvents recorded in Table 1. Barriers were calculated from rate constants determined by matching experimental and complete line-shape calculated spectra.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to NATO for financial support for this collaboration under its scientific programme (RG 86/0200).

References

- Conformational Studies by Dynamic NMR, Part 41. For part 40 see S. Davalli, L. Lunazzi and D. Macciantelli, J. Org. Chem., in press.
- 2 C. H. Bushweller, W. G. Anderson, P. E. Stevenson, D. L. Burkey and J. W. O'Neil, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 3892.
- 3 S. J. Brois, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 4242.

- 4 J. M. Lehn, Top. Curr. Chem., 1970, 15, 311; A. Rauk, L. C. Allen and K. Mislow, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1970, 9, 400; J. B. Lambert, Top. Stereochem., 1971, 6, 19; P. W. Payne and L. C. Allen, in Applications of Electronic Structure Theory, ed. H. F. Schaefer, Plenum Press, New York, 1977, vol. 4.
- 5 C. T. Danehy, Jr., G. L. Grady, P. R. Bonneau and C. H. Bushweller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 7269.
- 6 D. Casarini, S. Davalli, L. Lunazzi and D. Macciantelli, J. Org. Chem., 1989 54, 4616.
- 7 C. H. Bushweller, S. H. Fleischman, G. L. Grady, P. McGoff, C. D. Rithner, M. R. Whalon, J. G. Brennan, R. P. Marcantonio and R. P. Domingue, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 6224.
- 8 (a) J. E. Anderson, D. Casarini and L. Lunazzi, to be published; (b) L. Lunazzi, D. Macciantelli and L. Grossi, *Tetrahedron*, 1983, **39**, 305; (c) J. Reny, C. Y. Wang, C. H. Bushweller and W. G. Anderson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, 503.
- 9 S. Profeta, Jr. and N. L. Allinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 1907.
- 10 (a) J. E. Anderson, K. H. Koon and J. E. Parkin, *Tetrahedron*, 1985, 41, 561; (b) J. E. Anderson and B. R. Bettels, *Tetrahedron*, 1990, 46, 5353.
- 11 J. McKenna, J. M. McKenna and B. A. Wesby, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1970, 867.
- 12 From the broadening of the line observed at -155 °C, the chemical shift difference must be larger than 1.5 ppm (113 Hz at 75.5 MHz) and from the literature (L. Lunazzi, D. Macciantelli, F. Bernardi and K. U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, **99**, 4573) the largest shift difference of methyls made non-equivalent by restricted rotation is less than 10 ppm (755 Hz at 75.5 MHz). The value of G* is thus expected to lie between 4.5 and 4.9 kcal mol⁻¹.
- 13 H.-D. Beckhaus, C. Rüchardt and J. E. Anderson, *Tetrahedron*, 1982, 38, 2299.

Paper 0/03057K Received 6th July 1990 Accepted 19th July 1990

1796