## Accepted Manuscript

Efficient synthesis of a 6-deoxy-talose containing tetrasccharide found in *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732<sup>T</sup>

Yiren Xu, Tianheng Xu, Jianjun Zhang

PII: S0008-6215(17)30909-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.carres.2018.01.002

Reference: CAR 7506

To appear in: Carbohydrate Research

- Received Date: 12 December 2017
- Revised Date: 11 January 2018
- Accepted Date: 11 January 2018

Please cite this article as: Y. Xu, T. Xu, J. Zhang, Efficient synthesis of a 6-deoxy-talose containing tetrasccharide found in *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732<sup>T</sup>, *Carbohydrate Research* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2018.01.002.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## Efficient synthesis of a 6-deoxy-talose containing tetrasccharide

# found in *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732<sup>T</sup>



### Efficient synthesis of a 6-deoxy-talose containing tetrasccharide

## found in *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732<sup>T</sup>

Yiren Xu, Tianheng Xu, Jianjun Zhang \*

Department of Applied Chemistry, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, PR China.

Author. E-mail: ryandota@sina.com (Y.X.); 1310060111@cau.edu.cn (T.X.) \*Corresponding author. Tel/Fax: 86 10 62732219; E-mail: zhangjianjun@cau.edu.cn (J.Z.);

#### Abstract

Synthesis of the 6-deoxy-talose (6-dTal) containing tetrasaccharide, naturally found in *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732T, has been described. The synthetic method utilized an allyloxyethylidene group for protecting the 1-OH and 2-OH groups of rhamnopyranose and a redox reaction for synthesizing 6-deoxy talose, which eventually formed a disaccharide containing  $\alpha$ -Glc*p*-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)-6dTal*p* configured glycosidic bonds using a [2+2] synthetic strategy.

Keywords: *Franconibacter helveticus*; Lipopolysaccharides; O-specific polysaccharide; Tetrasaccharide; Synthesis

#### 1. Introduction

Lipopolysaccharides (LPSs) are the structural components of the outer cell membranes of Gram-negative bacteria. The chemical structure of LPS is comprised of three distinct domains: lipid A, a core oligosaccharide, and the O-polysaccharide (O-PS) [1-4]. Among these domains, the O-PS, which is exposed to the external environment, is significantly involved in host-pathogen cross-talk[5-7]. The inner structure of the O-PS typically consisted of multiple repeating units of 1-4 monosaccharide residues. The antigenic nature of these glycans renders them suitable as targets for vaccine development [8-11]. Recent reports showed that the repeating units of the O-chain polysaccharide (OPS) of the lipopolysaccharides of *Franconibacter* LMG23732<sup>T</sup> possess an unusual structural feature that consists of three 6-deoxy sugars [6-deoxy-talose (6-dTal)] in the main chain and one D-Glcp as the terminal branch [12] (scheme 1):



Scheme 1. The repeating unit of LPSs from the bacterium *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732<sup>T</sup> (1) and the synthesized oligosaccharide (2).

6-Deoxy-L-talose is present in many biologically important glycopeptidolipids (GPLs)[13-17] and antigenic bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPSs) [18-23], where the O-2 or O-3 is glycosylated by other sugar units. The active involvement of these carbohydrates in bacterial physiology and pathogenesis inspired many scientists to synthesize LPS oligosaccharides and study their structure-bioactivity relationships. The present investigation was aimed at synthesizing a well-defined tetrasaccharide repeating unit (scheme 1) of LPSs present in the outer cell membrane of the bacterium *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732T.

#### 2. Results and discussion



Scheme 2. The retrosynthesis strategy for synthesizing target tetrasccharide (2)

The synthesis strategy of tetrasccharide 2 is outlined in Scheme 2. Since Fraser-Reid and co-workers observed that O-allyl substitution could be selectively deprotected by N-bromosuccinimide[24], the amount of glycoside synthesis were involved in allyl group as a protection strategy[25-28]. The allyl glycoside was stable and easily prepared [29-32]; moreover, it could be selectively oxidized to O-formylmethyl glycoside, which was an important intermediate in the synthesis of many activite molecules [33-35]. Therefore, we selected the allyl moiety as the anomeric protected group and the allyl glycoside as the end of the target oligosaccharide in this study, which might also be used in future investigations on this tetrasaccharide. The retrosynthetic analysis indicates that tetrasccharide 2 can be prepared through a convergent strategy involving [2+2] glycosylation of two disaccharides: the disaccharide donor 3 and the disaccharide acceptor 4. These two disaccharides 3 and 4 can be synthesized from glucose- or talose-derived building blocks (5, 6, 7 and 8). The compound 8 can be transformed to 6 or 7 by suitable modifications, and utilized for synthesizing the disaccharides 3 and 4.



Scheme 3. The synthesis of glucose- or talose-derived monosaccharide building blocks

L-talosyl acceptor 6 and L-talosyl donor 7 were prepared from the same intermediate 9 following the synthetic strategy as described in the scheme 3. The intermediate 3 was synthesized following seven steps from the commercially available L-rhamnose[36]. The compound 9 was treated with allyl alcohol in the presence of 2,4-lutidine and tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB) to obtain 10. The crude product was deacetylated using MeOH-NH<sub>3</sub>, and the reaction mixture was concentrated and co-evaporated with toluene to obtain 11. The C-3 hydroxyl group of 11 was selectively acylated with benzoyl chloride in dichloromethane at  $-25^{\circ}$  C, in the presence of catalytic amounts of 4-dimethylaminopyridine(DMAP) pyridine and to obtain 3-O-benzoyl-1,2-O-allyloxyethylidene- $\beta$ -L-talopyranose (12) (yield: 72%). The regioselectivity was not surprising since the equatorially oriented 3-OH is more reactive than the axial 2-OH or 4-OH. Similar regioselective protection was observed for rhamno- and taloside derivatives.[17, 20] The equatorial 4-OH of the compound 12 was oxidized to ketone using dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), triethylamine, and phenylphosphonic dichloride, and the ketone was subsequently reduced to axial 4-OH by treating with NaBH<sub>4</sub> in the presence of MeOH (one-pot-reaction method)[17] to obtain compound 13 (yield: 54%). These structural modifications were confirmed by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum: the H-3 coupling constants of compound **12** (dd, J 4.1 Hz and 9.6 Hz at  $\delta$  5.16–5.21) were compared to that of the compound 13 (t, J 2.5 Hz at  $\delta$  5.63–5.64) for confirming the correct relative configuration. Benzoylation of the 4-OH of compound 13 yielded the 3,4-di-O-benzoyl-1,2-O-allyloxyethylidene- $\beta$ -L-talopyranoside 14. A previous study of orthoester rearrangement reaction showed that 1,2-O-allyloxyethylidene- $\beta$ -L-rhamnopyranose can be intermolecularly rearranged to produce a disaccharide with  $\alpha$ -L-Rhap-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)-L-Rhap configured glycosidic bonds when treated with trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (Shown in Figure 1)[37, 38].



Figure 1. Possible mechanism of TMSOT fpromoted transformation of rhamnosyl orthoester[38]

In this study, we hope to replicate a similar reaction with orthoester **14** and expected to obtain taloside disaccharide **22** (scheme 3 and scheme 4); however, the objective was not achieved as we received monosaccharide **8** as the main reaction product. The possible mechanism is shown in Figure **2**. There were two paths for the TMSOTf-catalyzed transformation of talopranosyl orthoester; path 1 was the normal rearrangement generating the corresponding monosaccharide, which yielded the same result as rhamnosyl orthoester. In path 2, the larger steric effect of the axial 4-OBz in talosyl orthoester compared to equatorial 4-OBz in rhamnosyl orthoester hindered the reaction between the axial 2-OTMS and the anomeric carbon of intermediate, because of which, the talosyl orthoester rearrangement could not form the disaccharide.



Figure 2. Possible mechanism of TMSOTf-promoted transformation of talosyl orthoester

Using compound **8**, the 2-OH L-talosyl acceptor **6** was obtained conveniently in a solution containing AcCl-methanol (1: 50 v/v) [39]; in addition, the PdCl<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed removal of the allyl group in compound **8**, followed by anomeric trichloroacetimidation, yielded 72% donor **7** in two-steps[40]. The glucosyl donor **5** was synthesized via a two-stepped reaction from the reported product **16**[41]. The anomeric acetate was selectively removed by treating with ethylene diamine: AcOH (1: 1) in THF to obtain **17** with 77% yield. Trichloroacetimidation of **17** with CCl<sub>3</sub>CN in the presence of 1,5-diazabicyclo(5,4,0)undec-5-ene (DBU) produced the trichloroacetimidate **5** with satisfactory yield.



Scheme 4. The synthesis of disaccharide building blocks

The disaccharide acceptor **4** and disaccharide donor **3** were synthesized conveniently using monosaccharide building blocks **5**, **6** and **7** (Scheme 4). The compound **5** was glycosylated with the compound **6** in TMSOTf to obtain the corresponding  $\alpha$ -(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)-linked disaccharide **18** with 74% yield. The 1,2-cis configuration was confirmed by analyzing the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signal of H-1-Glc*p* at 4.94 ppm (d, 1H, *J* 3.6 Hz). The allyl group in **18** was cleaved with palladium chloride (PdCl<sub>2</sub>), debenzylated with Pd/C under H<sub>2</sub> in MeOH, and then acetylated with acetic anhydride in pyridine to produce the compound **20** with 79% yield. The disaccharide donor **3** was synthesized from **20** with 73% yield using a two-stepped reaction involving selective de-1-O-aetylation, followed by trichloroacetimidate formation. The acetyl (Ac) group at the C-2 in L-talosyl donor **7** activated the  $\alpha$ -(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)-linkage to glycosylate L-talosyl acceptor **6** and finally produce disaccharide **22** with 75% yield. Further, treating **22** with acetyl chloride in MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1: 1 v/v) at 0°C[42] to remove the acetyl group produced disaccharide acceptor **4** with 83% yield.



Scheme 5. The synthesis of target tetrasccharide 2

Finally, tetrasccharide **2** was synthesized following Scheme 5. The disaccharide acceptor **4** and the disaccharide donor **3** underwent Schmidt glycosylation to produce the completely protected tetrasccharide **23**, and the success of the reaction was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals at  $\delta$  5.24 (H<sub>1</sub>-Tal*p*<sub>1</sub>), 5.13 (H<sub>1</sub>-Tal*p*<sub>2</sub>), 4.75 (H<sub>1</sub>-Tal*p*<sub>3</sub>), and 4.68 (H<sub>1</sub>-Glc*p*), and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals at  $\delta$  97.2 (C<sub>1</sub>-Tal*p*<sub>3</sub>), 97.5 (C<sub>1</sub>-Tal*p*<sub>2</sub>), 100.4 (C<sub>1</sub>-Tal*p*<sub>1</sub>), and 100.7 (C<sub>1</sub>-Glc*p*), which indicated the presence of four anomeric carbons. Complete removal of the protecting groups in **23** was achieved by hydrolyzing the compound with saturated NH<sub>3</sub>–MeOH to obtain the desired tetrasaccharide **2** 

with 70% yield. The structures were confirmed by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals at  $\delta$  5.06 (H<sub>1</sub>-Tlap<sub>1</sub>), 5.06 (H<sub>1</sub>-Talp<sub>2</sub>), 4.94 (H<sub>1</sub>-Glcp), and 4.87 (H<sub>1</sub>-Talp<sub>3</sub>) which were the characteristic peaks of anomeric protons. The signals at  $\delta$  5.93–5.81 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>) and 5.31–5.22 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>) were the characteristic peaks of the allyl group; In <sup>13</sup>C NMR, the signals at  $\delta$  100.7 (C<sub>1</sub>-Talp<sub>1</sub>), 100.4 (C<sub>1</sub>-Talp<sub>2</sub>), 97.5 (C<sub>1</sub>-Glcp), and 97.2 (C<sub>1</sub>-Talp<sub>3</sub>) were the characteristic peaks of anomeric carbons, and the signals at  $\delta$  132.9 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>) and 118.6 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>) were the characteristic peaks of the allyl group, as was evident from the <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H HSQC spectrum. Although one of the characteristic peaks of the allyl group was sheltered by other peaks in <sup>1</sup>H NMR with signals at  $\delta$  3.8–4.2, the high resolution mass spectrometry report yielded the precise molecular mass of **2** (676.3035), which was indicative of anomeric integrity.

#### 3. Conclusion

In summary, we reported a convergent total synthesis of a tetrasccharide analogue **2**, which is related to the repeating unit of O-specific polysaccharide present in the outer cell membrane of *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732<sup>T</sup>. This efficient synthesis features the preparation of a key monosaccharide intermediate, L-taloside **8** from 1,2-*O*-allyloxyethylidene-L-rhamnose derivative via orthoester rearrangement, and the monosaccharide can be easily converted to the monosaccharide donor **7** or acceptor **6**. The 1,2-cis glycosidic bonds,  $\alpha$ -Glc*p*-(1-2)-6dTal*p* of the disaccharide **18** was formed by glycosylating the monosaccharide donor **5** with monosaccharide acceptor **6** at low temperature. The three-stepped reaction from **18** to **20** was followed by a two-stepped reaction to finally generate the disaccharide donor **3** with good yield. This study will enrich the O-specific polysaccharide library and contribute towards future studies in the area of carbohydrate chemistry.

#### 4. Experimental

#### 4.1 Materials and methods

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded using the Bruker AVANCE600 spectrometers (<sup>1</sup>H NMR-300 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C NMR-75 MHz) with CDCl<sub>3</sub> or D<sub>2</sub>O as solvents. TMS ( $\delta$  0.000 ppm for 1H) and CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  77.00 ppm for 13C) were the internal references. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (app = apparent, parobsc = partially obscure, ovrlp = overlapping, s = singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m= multiplet) and coupling constants in Hertz. All <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded with complete proton decoupling. The high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded using the Bruker Daltonics Bio-TOF-Q III (ESIMS) spectrometer. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel HF254 plates, and detected by an UV detector or by charring with 15% (v/v) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in methanol. All commercially available reagents were purchased from Sinopharm, Shanghai, China, and used without further purification. All reactions were monitored by TLC. Column chromatography was conducted using a silica gel plug (200-300 mesh), and a mixture of ethyl acetate (EtOAc), and petroleum ether (bp 60-90 °C) was used as the eluent.

#### 4.2. 3-O-benzoyl-1,2-O-allyloxyethylidene- $\beta$ -L-rhamnopyranoside (12)

A solution of **11** (5.00 g, 20.35 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (250 mL) was mixed with pyridine (20 ml) and DMAP (0.05 g, 0.41 mmol) at  $-25^{\circ}$ C in a N<sub>2</sub> environment. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, and BzCl (2.34 ml, 20.32 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml) was added dropwise. The resultant mixture was stirred for another 3 h, and the completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 1:1) analysis. The reaction was then quenched with chilled H<sub>2</sub>O (300 mL), and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 150 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 2.5:1) to yield **11** (4.93 g, 72%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_D^{22} - 14.5^{\circ}$  (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.61–7.36 (m, 5H, ArH), 5.92–5.79 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.47 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.3 Hz, H-1), 5.26–5.07 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.21–5.16 (dd, 1H, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 9.6 Hz, H-3), 4.70 (dd, 1H, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 4.1Hz, H-2), 4.09–3.96 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.82 (t, 1H, *J* = 9.4 Hz, H-4), 3.45–3.53 (m, 1H, H-5), 1.76 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.40–1.38 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.1 Hz, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  166.4 (COPh), 133.8, 133.2, 132.9, 129.7, 128.1, 128.0, 123.7, 116.2, 97.0 (C-1), 74.1, 70.7, 69.9, 63.2, 24.8, 17.3 (C-6). ESI-HRMS [M + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>7</sub> 368.1709 found 368.1704.

#### 4.3. 3-O-benzoyl-1,2-O-allyloxyethylidene- $\beta$ -L-talopyranoside (13)

A solution of 12 (4.00 g, 11.42 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL) was mixed with DMSO (3.2 ml, 40.96 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (8 ml, 78.50 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, and phenylphosphonic dichloride (3.44 mL, 22.83 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 ml) was added dropwise. The resultant mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at room temperature, and the completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) analysis. Methanol (100 ml) and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1.20 g, 31.72 mmol) at 0°C was added to the reaction mixture. The resultant mixture was stirred with continuous addition of water (250 mL); the mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 100 mL); the organic layer was sequentially washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (250 mL) and brine (2  $\times$  200 mL), and then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 6:1) to obtain 13 (2.10 g, 54%) as a colorless syrup.  $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{22}$  -25.6° (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.04–8.01 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.65–7.60 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.56–7.46 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.97–5.84 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.64–5.63 (t, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz, H-3), 5.54 (d, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz, H-1), 5.31–5.15 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.21 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 2.0$  Hz,  $J_2 =$ 2.5 Hz, H-2), 4.14–4.08 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.01–3.97 (m, 1H, H-4), 3.57–3.52 (m, 1H, H-5), 2.46 (d, 1H, J = 12.7 Hz, OH), 1.81 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.36 (d, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) : δ 164.1 (COPh), 133.5, 133.4, 129.4, 128.3, 122.7, 96.36 (C-1), 74.1, 68.2, 67.9, 67.7, 67.6, 24.5, 16.1 (C-6). ESI-HRMS  $[M + NH_4]^+$  calculated for  $C_{18}H_{26}NO_7$  368.1709 found 368.1704.

#### 4.4. 3,4-di-O-benzoyl-1,2-O-allyloxyethylidene- $\beta$ -L-talopyranoside (14)

A solution of **13** (2.00 g, 5.71 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) was mixed with pyridine (10 mL) at 0°C in a nitrogenous environment. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min, and benzoyl chloride (0.79 mL, 6.90 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 ml) was added dropwise. The resultant mixture was stirred for another 4 h, and the completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) analysis. The reaction was then quenched with chilled  $H_2O$  (200 mL), and the reaction mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 150 mL). The organic phases were combined,

dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 10:1) to obtain **14** (2.28 g, 88%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  –37.7° (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.16–8.05 (m, 4H, Ar*H*), 7.64–7.45 (m, 6H, Ar*H*), 5.98–5.85 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>C*H*CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.72 (t, 1H, *J* = 2.3 Hz, H-3), 5.63 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.6 Hz, H-1), 5.31, 5.26, 5.18, 5.14, (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.10 (t, 1H, *J* = 1.2 Hz, H-4), 4.22–4.17 (m, 2H, H-2, H-5), 4.12–4.09 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.90 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.5 Hz, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  165.5 (COPh), 163.9 (COPh), 133.7, 133.5, 133.1, 129.7, 129.5, 129.1, 128.7, 128.3, 128.1, 123.5, 116.5, 96.2 (C-1), 67.9, 67.4, 66.7, 63.1, 25.2, 16.4 (C-6). ESI-HRMS [M + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>8</sub> 472.1971 found 472.1966.

#### 4.5. Allyl 2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-talopyranoside (8)

A solution of **14** (2.00 g, 4.4 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml) was mixed with 4 Å molecular sieves (0.5 g) at 0°C under N<sub>2</sub>. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, TMSOTf (10  $\mu$  L) was added and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h until TLC analysis (petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 5:1) showed that the reaction was complete. The reaction was quenched with Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.6 ml) at 0°C and the reaction mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Molecular sieves were filtered off and the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 12:1) to obtain **8** (1.32 g, 66%) as a colorless syrup. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>22</sup> –96.9° (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.20–8.17 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 8.11–8.08 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 7.64–7.57 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 7.50–7.43 (m, 4H, Ar*H*), 6.02–5.89 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>C*H*CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.41 (t, 1H, *J* = 2.7 Hz, H-3), 5.37–5.21 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.17 (t, 1H, *J* = 2.2 Hz, H-4), 5.03 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.98 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.63–4.57 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.15–4.06 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.34–4.28 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.01 (s, 3H, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.32 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  169.0 (COMe), 165.1 (COPh), 164.4 (COPh), 133.4, 133.1, 129.7, 129.6, 129.3, 129.1, 128.1, 117.1, 96.7 (C-1), 68.7, 68.3, 67.2, 66.9, 62.1, 20.4, 15.7 (C-6). ESI-HRMS [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NaO<sub>8</sub> 477.1525 found 477.1521.

#### 4.6. Allyl 3,4-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-talopyranoside (6)

A solution of **8** (1.20 g, 2.64 mmol) in methanol (50 mL) was mixed with acetyl chloride (1.00 mL, 14.06 mmol) at 0°C in a nitrogenous environment. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h, and the completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 6:1) analysis. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (50 mL), diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 150 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 8:1) to obtain **8** (0.76 g, 71%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  –99.56° (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.05–8.02 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 7.92–7.89 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 7.62–7.56 (m, 1H, Ar*H*), 7.50–7.34 (m, 3H, Ar*H*), 7.33–7.28 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 6.00–5.87 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.66 (t, 1H, *J* = 1.9 Hz, H-3), 5.54 (t, 1H, *J* = 3.5 Hz, H-4), 5.37–5.22 (4m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.05 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.5 Hz, H-1), 4.34 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.29–4.21 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.11–4.02 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.95 (d, 1H, *J* = 10.4 Hz, OH), 1.27 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.5 Hz, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  165.3 (COPh), 165.0 (COPh), 133.2, 132.8, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.4, 127.9, 117.5, 99.0 (C-1), 77.2, 76.8, 76.3, 71.6, 68.3, 68.0, 67.9, 64.7, 16.0 (C-6). ESI-HRMS [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NaO<sub>7</sub> 435.1420 found 435.1414.

#### 4.7. 2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-O-benzoyl-L-trichloroacetimidate (7)

A solution of 8 (700 mg, 1.56 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was mixed with PdCl<sub>2</sub> (100 mg).

The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, and TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) was performed to identify the completion of the reaction. The residue was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1) to acquire intermediate compound 15 (600 mg, 80%). A solution of 15 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) at 0°C under nitrogenous environment was mixed with trichloroacetonitrile (0.40 mL, 3.91 mmol) and DBU (0.05 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, and the TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 6:1) indicated the completion of the reaction; the reaction mixture was then concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 10:1) to obtain 7 (0.70 g, 90%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} - 20.7^{\circ}$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.78 (s, 1H, ONHCCl<sub>3</sub>), 8.21-8.16 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.80-7.77 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.64-7.59 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.51–7.46 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.34–7.28 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.45 (d, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz, H-1), 5.72–5.68 (m, 2H, H-3, H-4), 5.54–5.52 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.59–4.53 (m, 1H, H-5), 2.07 (s, 3H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.33 (d, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6);<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  169.4 (COMe), 165.4 (COPh), 164.8 (COPh), 159.7, 133.1, 132.9, 129.7, 129.3, 128.9, 128.1, 128.0, 95.5 (C-1), 90.5(OCNHCCl<sub>3</sub>), 68.5, 67.9, 66.2, 65.3, 20.4, 16.0 (C-6). ESI-HRMS  $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for  $C_{24}H_2Cl_3NNaO_8$ 580.0309 found 580.0313.

#### 4.8. 2-O-benzyl-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-D-trichloroacetimidate (5)

A solution of ethylene diamine: CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.85 mL: 0.85mL v/v) in THF (250 mL) at 0°C was prepared and a solution of the compound 16 (5.00 g, 11.42 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added dropwise to the previous solution. The resultant mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature, and the TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 2:1) indicated the completion of the reaction. The reaction was then quenched with 1M HCl (200 mL), and the reaction mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (200 mL), and brine ( $2 \times 200$  mL), then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) to obtain 17 (3.42 g, 77%) as a colorless syrup. Subsequently, the solution of 17 (3.42 g, 8.62 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) at 0°C was mixed with trichloroacetonitrile (1.26 mL, 12.60 mmol) and DBU (0.1 mL) under a nitrogenous environment. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and the completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 3:1) analysis. The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) to obtain 5 (4.19 g, 90%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  10.2° (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.69 (s, 1H, OCNHCCl<sub>3</sub>), 7.37–7.27 (m, 5H, BnH), 6.50 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz, H-1), 5.51 (t, 1H, J = 9.7 Hz, H-3), 5.09 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, H-4), 4.65 (d, 2H, J = 12.3 Hz,  $CH_2Ph$ ), 4.31-4.25 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.23-4.17 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.11-4.06 (m, 1H, H-6), 3.79 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 3.6$ Hz,  $J_2 = 9.9$  Hz, H-2), 2.06, 2.05, 2.02 (3s, 9H, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  170.5, 169.9, 169.7, 161.0, 137.3, 128.5, 128.0, 127.7, 93.34 (C-1), 90.9, 75.8, 72.9, 71.5, 69.9, 68.0, 61.6, 20.7, 20.6, 20.6. ESI-HRMS  $[M + NH_4]^+$  calculated for  $C_{21}H_{28}Cl_3N_2O_9$  557.0860 found 557.0851.

# 4.9. Allyl 2-O-benzyl-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-talopranoside (**18**)

A mixture of 5 (1.00 g, 2.43 mmol), 6 (1.35 g, 2.50 mmol), and 4 Å MS (0.4 g) in anhydrous

CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The mixture was cooled to  $-25^{\circ}$ C, and then TMSOTf (10  $\mu$  L) was added. Again, the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 8 h. The completion of the reaction was identified by TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 3:1). The reaction was quenched with Et<sub>3</sub>N, and the mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL), filtered through a pad of celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) to yield **18** (1.93 g, 74%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  8.2° (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.24-8.21 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.95-7.92 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.52-7.46 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.39-7.27 (m, 9H, ArH), 5.95–5.82 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.60–5.57 (m, 2H, H-3, H-4), 5.37 (t, 1H, J =9.7 Hz, H-3), 5.28–5.18, (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.09 (s, 1H, H-1-Talp), 4.94 (d, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz, H-1), 4.80 (t, 1H, J = 10.2 Hz, H-4), 4.66 (dd, 2H,  $J_1 = 11.7$  Hz,  $J_2 = 11.7$  Hz,  $CH_2$ Ph), 4.32–4.25 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.22-4.16 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.09-4.03 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.99-3.93 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.88–3.85 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.65–3.54 (m, 2H, H-6), 3.17 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 2.0$  Hz,  $J_2 =$ 12.5 Hz, H-2), 1.98, 1.86, 1.78, (3s, 9H, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.28 (d, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75) MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ) :  $\delta$  169.9 (COMe), 169.3 (COMe), 169.2 (COMe), 166.2 (COPh), 166.1 (COPh), 137.4, 133.3, 132.9, 132.5, 129.9, 129.5, 129.2, 129.2, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 127.7, 117.3, 96.98 (C-1), 96.72 (C-1), 72.9, 72.9, 71.9, 68.3, 68.0, 67.9, 67.5, 67.2, 64.9, 60.7, 20.5 (COMe), 20.3 (COMe), 20.1 (COMe), 16.0 (C-6). ESI-HRMS [M + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>50</sub>NO<sub>15</sub> 808.3180 found 808.3170.

# 4.10. 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha/\beta$ -L-talopranoside (20)

A solution of 18 (1.60 g, 2.02 mmol) in methanol (25 mL) was mixed with  $PdCl_2$  (180 mg). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The completion of the reaction was identified by TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 2:1). The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) to obtain 19 (1.31 g, 86%). The resultant compound 19 was dissolved in methanol (75 ml), and mixed with 10% Pd/C (0.4 g). Hydrogen gas was passed through the mixture and the reaction was continued for 48 h. The completion of the reaction was identified by TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 1:2). The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite, and the filtrate was concentrated to obtain a yellow syrup. The resultant syrup was subsequently dissolved in pyridine (20 mL) at 0°C in a N<sub>2</sub> environment. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min, and acetyl chloride (0.59 mL, 8.35 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 ml) was added dropwise. The resultant mixture was stirred for another 4 h at room temperature, and TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 2:1) was performed to identify the completion of the reaction. The reaction was then quenched with chilled  $H_2O$  (50 mL), and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 ×25 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ , and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 3:1) to obtain **20** (1.13 g, 70% from **18**) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  14.1° (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.29–8.21 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.90–7.82 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.58–7.25 (m, 6H, Ar*H*), 6.19 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>a</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>a</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>a</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>a</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>a</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>a</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>a</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.65 (d, 0.5 H, J = 1.0 Hz, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.77 (s, 0.5H, H<sub>8</sub>-1-Talp), 5.75 (s, 0.5H, H\_8) 4.0Hz, H-1-Glcp), 5.24 (d, 0.5 H, J = 4.0 Hz, H-1-Glcp), 2.15–1.73 (m, 15H, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 96.25 (C-1-Talp), 94.68 (C-1-Talp), 92.33 (C-1-Glcp), 91.64 (C-1-Glcp). ESI-HRMS  $[M + NH_4]^+$  calculated for  $C_{36}H_{44}NO_{17}762.2609$  found 762.2611.

4.11. 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-

trichloroacetimidate (3)

A solution of ethylene diamine: CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.17 mL: 0.17 mL v/v) in THF (40 mL) was prepared and a solution of compound 20 (0.70 g, 0.99 mmol) in THF (10 ml) was added dropwise at 0°C to the previous solution. The resultant mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The completion of the reaction was identified by TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1). The reaction was then quenched with 1M HCl (200 mL), and the reaction mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (200 mL), and brine ( $2 \times 200$  mL), then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 8:1) to obtain compound 21 (0.60 g, 87%). Subsequently, a solution of 21 (0.55 g, 0.78 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) at 0°C in a N<sub>2</sub> environment was mixed with trichloroacetonitrile (0.195 mL, 1.95 mmol) and DBU (10 µL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and then concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) to obtain **3** (0.55 g, 95%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  16.6° (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.70 (s, 1H, OCNHCCl<sub>3</sub>), 8.30–8.28 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.93-7.90 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.62-7.50 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.38-7.33-7.38 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.38 (s, 1H, H-1-Talp), 5.69 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.64 (t, 1H, *J* = 4.1 Hz, H-3), 5.46 (t, 1H, *J* = 9.7 Hz, H-3), 5.37 (d, 1H, J = 4.1 Hz, H-1-Glcp), 4.95–4.88 (m, 2H, H-6), 4.54 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 5.9$  Hz,  $J_2 = 13.7$  Hz, H-2), 4.30 (d, 1H, J = 4.5 Hz, H-5), 3.92–3.86 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.52 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 3.7$  Hz,  $J_2 = 12.6$  Hz, H-2), 3.12 (dd, 1H, J<sub>1</sub> = 2.1 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 12.6 Hz, H-2), 2.18, 2.02, 1.86, 1.79 (4s, 12H, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (d, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6);<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  170.0 (COMe), 169.9 (COMe), 169.4 (COMe), 168.9 (COMe), 166.0 (COPh), 164.9 (COPh), 133.3, 132.9, 129.7, 129.5, 129.0, 129.8, 128.6, 128.3, 96.11 (C-1-Talp)), 96.07 (C-1-Glcp), 90.37 (OCNHCCl<sub>3</sub>), 71.1, 70.6, 70.2, 68.3, 68.2, 67.7, 67.1, 66.8, 60.3, 20.38 (2×COMe), 20.12 (COMe), 20.09 (COMe), 16.05 (C-6). ESI-HRMS  $[M + NH_4]^+$  calculated for  $C_{36}H_{42}Cl_3N_2O_{16}$  863.1600 found 863.1591.

# 4.12. Allyl 2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-talopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-talopyranoside (22)

A mixture of 6 (0.50 g, 1.21 mmol), 7 (0.71 g, 1.25 mmol), and 4 Å MS (0.2 g) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The mixture was cooled to 0°C, followed by addition of TMSOTf (2 µL). The resultant mixture was stirred at 0°C for 3 h. The completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1). The reaction was then quenched by adding  $Et_3N$ . The reaction mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL), filtered through a pad of celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 7:1) to obtain **22** (0.76 g, 75%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$  –51.0° (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.09-8.05 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.88-7.86 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.77-7.74 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.57-7.47 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.42–7.26 (m, 8H, ArH), 6.02–5.89 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.66–5.63 (m, 2H, H-3, H-4), 5.60–5.58 (m, 2H, H-3, H-4), 5.41–5.27 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.15 (d, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz, H-2), 5.08 (s, H, H-1), 5.09 (s, 2H, H-1), 4.55-4.48 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.38-4.26 (m, 2H, H-2, H-5), 4.16-4.10 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.88 (s, 3H, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.32,1.27 (2d, 6H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) : δ 169.0 (COMe), 166.1(COPh), 165.5 (COPh), 165.1 (COPh), 164.8 (COPh), 133.05, 133.0, 132.9, 132.8, 132.7, 129.6, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.2, 129.0, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 117.65, 100.14 (C-1), 98.05 (C-1), 73.3, 69.1, 68.9, 67.9, 67.8, 66.7, 66.5, 64.8, 20.4, 16.0 (C-6, C-6). ESI-HRMS [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>45</sub>NaO<sub>14</sub> 831.2629 found 831.2633.

4.13. Allyl 3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-talopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-talopyranoside (4)

A solution of 22 (600 mg, 0.78 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (30 mL) was mixed with acetyl chloride (1.0 mL) portion-wise at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h, until the TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 4:1) showed that the reaction was complete. The solution was neutralized with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and extracted with dichloromethane  $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The organic phases were combined, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 6:1) to obtain 4 (0.50 g, 83%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} - 13.7^{\circ}$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.04–8.02 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.96-7.89 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.60-7.49 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.45-7.34 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.30-7.23 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.17–7.12 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.03–5.90 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.72 (d, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz, H-4), 5.65 (t, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz, H-3), 5.59 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.50 (t, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz, H-3), 5.41–5.27 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.19 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.10 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.55–4.51 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.37–4.26 (m, 2H, H-2, H-5), 4.16–4.07 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.75 (dd, 1H, J<sub>1</sub> = 1.9 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 10.4 Hz, H-2), 2.75 (d, 1H, J = 10.4 Hz, OH), 1.31, 1.27, (2d, 6H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) : δ 165.9 (COPh), 165.2 (COPh), 165.1 (COPh), 164.9 (COPh), 133.2 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 133.1, 132.9, 132.7, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 117.6 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 102.63 (C-1), 98.17 (C-1), 73.4, 71.3, 69.2 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 68.2, 67.9, 65.2, 64.8, 16.0, 15.9. ESI-HRMS  $[M + NH_4]^+$  calculated for  $C_{43}H_{46}NO_{13}$  784.2969 found 784.2974.

# 4.14. Allyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-talopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-talopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-talopyranoside (**23**)

A mixture of **3** (0.50 g, 0.59 mmol), **4** (0.44 g, 0.58 mmol), and 4 Å MS (0.2 g) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL) was stirred at 25°C for 30 min under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and cooled to  $-10^{\circ}C$ , followed by addition of TMSOTf (5  $\mu$ L) to the mixture. The mixture was stirred at  $-10^{\circ}$ C for 12 h until the TLC analysis (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1) indicated completion of the reaction. The reaction mixture was neutralized with  $Et_3N$ , diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL), filtered through a pad of celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 3:1) to obtain 23 (0.54 g, 66%) as a colorless syrup.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$  -86.4° (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.08–7.95 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.92-7.83 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.57-7.48 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.48-7.42 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.39-7.27 (m, 10H, ArH), 6.02-5.89 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.64-5.61 (m, 4H, H-3, H-3, H-4, H-4), 5.54-5.49 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.50–5.32 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.39–5.36 (t, 1H, J = 4.2 Hz, H-3), 5.34–5.27 (m, 2H, H-4, H-4), 5.24 (s, 1H, H-1-Tal $p_1$ ), 5.13 (s, 1H, H-1-Tal $p_2$ ), 4.87–4.81 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, H-2), 4.75 (s, 1H, H-1-Talp<sub>3</sub>), 4.68 (d, 1H, J = 3.9 Hz, H-1-Glcp), 4.63–4.54 (m, 2H, H-2, H-2), 4.42-4.27 (m, 3H, H-2, H-5, H-5), 4.17-4.15 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.89-3.88 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.82-3.81 (m,1H, H-5), 3.72-3.68, 3.48-3.43 (2m, 2H, H-6), 1.94, 1.83, 1.72, 1.61, (4s, 12H, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (d, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6), 1.32 (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz, H-6), 0.73 (d, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-6);  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  167.8 (COMe), 169.2 (COMe), 169.1 (COMe), 168.9 (COMe), 165.9 (COPh), 165.8 (COPh), 165.7 (COPh), 165.5 (COPh), 165.0 (COPh), 164.7 (COPh), 133.1 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 133.0, 129.7, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 128.3, 128.3, 117.8 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 101.6 (C-1-Talp<sub>1</sub>), 99.7 (C-1-Talp<sub>2</sub>), 97.9 (C-1-Talp<sub>3</sub>), 95.1 (C-1-Glcp), 76.9,

74.8, 74.6, 72.3, 70.0 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 69.4, 68.9, 68.5, 68.2, 67.9, 67.7, 67.3, 67.2, 66.7, 65.4, 65.3, 64.9, 60.2, 20.3, 20.2, 20.1, 20.0, 19.8, 16.0, 15.5. ESI-HRMS  $[M + NH_4]^+$  calculated for  $C_{77}H_{82}NO_{28}$  1468.5023 found 1468.5074.

4.15. Ally  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $\alpha$ -L-talopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $\alpha$ -L-talopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $\alpha$ -L-talopyranoside (2)

A solution of **23** (400 mg, 0.28 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was mixed with 40 mLsaturated MeOH-NH<sub>3</sub> solution. The resultant mixture was stirred for 14 days and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain **2** (127 mg, 70%) as a yellow syrup.  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  –20.5° (*c* 1.0, MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  5.93–5.81 (m, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.31–5.22 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.06 (s, 2H, H-1-Tal*p*<sub>1</sub>, H-1-Tal*p*<sub>2</sub>), 4.94 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.7 Hz, H-1-Glc*p*), 4.87 (s, 1H, H-1-Tal*p*<sub>3</sub>), 4.17–3.32 (m, 19H), 1.20–1.16 (m, 9H, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) :  $\delta$  132.9 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 118.6 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 101.7 (C-1-Tal*p*<sub>1</sub>), 100.4 (C-1-Tal*p*<sub>2</sub>), 97.5 (C-1-Glc*p*), 97.2 (C-1-Tal*p*<sub>3</sub>), 76.8, 76.7, 73.6, 72.4, 71.8, 71.2, 71.0, 70.8, 70.7, 69.3, 67.9, 67.6, 67.3, 66.8, 65.6, 65.2, 65.1, 60.2, 15.24, 15.19. ESI-HRMS [M + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>calculated for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>50</sub>NO<sub>18</sub> 676.3028 found676.3035.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the NSFC (21772230) and the National S & T Pillar Program (2015BAK45B01) of China.

#### **Supplementary Data**

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://~~.

#### References

 B. Lugtenberg, L. Vanalphen, Molecular architecture and functioning of the outer-membrane of escherichia-coli and other gram-negative bacteria, Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 737 (1983) 51-115.
J. Smit, Y. Kamio, H. Nikaido, Outer membrane of salmonella-typhimurium -

chemical-analysis and freeze-fracture studies with lipopolysaccharide mutants, J. Bacteriol. 124 (1975) 942-958.

[3] H.-M. Chen, Z. Armstrong, S.J. Hallam, S.G. Withers, Synthesis and evaluation of a series of 6-chloro-4-methylumbelliferyl glycosides as fluorogenic reagents for screening metagenomic libraries for glycosidase activity, Carbohydr. Res. 421 (2016) 33-39.

[4] Y. Kamio, H. Nikaido, Outer membrane of salmonella-typhimurium - accessibility of phospholipid head groups to phospholipase-c and cyanogen-bromide activated dextran in external medium, Biochemistry. 15 (1976) 2561-2570.

[5] S.R. Stowell, C.M. Arthur, R. McBride, O. Berger, N. Razi, J. Heimburg-Molinaro, L.C. Rodrigues, J.-P. Gourdine, A.J. Noll, S. von Gunten, D.F. Smith, Y.A. Knirel, J.C. Paulson, R.D. Cummings, Microbial glycan microarrays define key features of host-microbial interactions, Nat. Chem. Biol. 10 (2014) 470-476.

[6] C. March, V. Cano, D. Moranta, E. Llobet, C. Perez-Gutierrez, J.M. Tomas, T. Suarez, J. Garmendia, J.A. Bengoechea, Role of Bacterial Surface Structures on the Interaction of Klebsiella pneumoniae with Phagocytes, Plos One. 8 (2013).

[7] A.I. Martin-Martin, N. Vizcaino, L. Fernandez-Lago, ganglioside GM(1) and class A scavenger receptor contribute to infection by Brucella ovis and Brucella canis in murine macrophages, Microbes. Infect. 12 (2010) 246-251.

[8] S.M. Baliban, M. Yang, G. Ramachandran, B. Curtis, S. Shridhar, R.S. Laufer, J.Y. Wang, J. Van Druff, E.E. Higginson, N. Hegerle, K.M. Varney, J.E. Galen, S.M. Tennant, A. Lees, A.D. MacKerell, Jr., M.M. Levine, R. Simon, Development of a glycoconjugate vaccine to prevent invasive Salmonella Typhimurium infections in sub-Saharan Africa, Plos. Neglect. Trop. D. 11 (2017).

[9] N. Ravenscroft, M.A. Haeuptle, M. Kowarik, F.S. Fernandez, P. Carranza, A. Brunner, M. Steffen, M. Wetter, S. Keller, C. Ruch, M. Wacker, Purification and characterization of a Shigella conjugate vaccine, produced by glycoengineering Escherichia coli, Glycobiology. 26 (2016) 51-62.

[10] M.M. Kaempf, M. Braun, D. Sirena, J. Ihssen, L. Thoeny-Meyer, Q. Ren, In vivo production of a novel glycoconjugate vaccine against Shigella flexneri 2a in recombinant Escherichia coli: identification of stimulating factors for in vivo glycosylation, Microb. Cell. Fact. 14 (2015).

[11] M.N. Burtnick, C. Heiss, A.M. Schuler, P. Azadi, P.J. Brett, Development of novel O-polysaccharide based glycoconjugates for mmunization against glanders, Front. Cell. Infect. Mi. 2 (2012).

[12] S. Szulta, M. Czerwicka, S.J. Forsythe, K. Ossowska, H. Dziadziuszko, Z. Kaczynski, Structural characterization of the O-polysaccharide isolated from *Franconibacter helveticus* LMG23732<sup>T</sup>, Carbohydr. Res. 431 (2016) 39-41.

[13] D. Chatterjee, C. Bozic, G.O. Aspinall, P.J. Brennan, Glucuronic acid-containing and branched sugar-containing glycolipid antigens of mycobacterium-avium, J. Biol. Chem. 263 (1988) 4092-4097.

[14] G.O. Aspinall, N.K. Khare, R.K. Sood, D. Chatterjee, B. Rivoire, P.J. Brennan, Synthesis of allyl glycosides for conversion into neoglycoproteins bearing epitopes of mycobacterial glycolipid antigens, Carbohydr. Res. 216 (1991) 337-355.

[15] J.M. Daubenspeck, H.D. Zeng, P. Chen, S.L. Dong, C.T. Steichen, N.R. Krishna, D.G. Pritchard, C.L. Turnbough, Novel oligosaccharide side chains of the collagen-like region of BclA, the major glycoprotein of the Bacillus anthracis exosporium, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 30945-30953.

[16] N. Fujiwara, N. Nakata, T. Naka, I. Yano, M. Doe, D. Chatterjee, M. McNeil, P.J. Brennan, K. Kobayashi, M. Makino, S. Matsumoto, H. Ogura, S. Maeda, Structural analysis and biosynthesis gene cluster of an antigenic glycopeptidolipid from Mycobacterium intracellulare, J. Bacteriol. 190 (2008) 3613-3621.

[17] X. Cai, G. Zong, Y. Xu, J. Zhang, X. Liang, D. Wang, Efficient synthesis of a 6-deoxytalose tetrasaccharide related to the antigenic O-polysaccharide produced by Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans serotype c, Carbohydr. Res. 345 (2010) 1230-1234.

[18] M.B. Perry, L.M. Maclean, J.R. Brisson, M.E. Wilson, Structures of the antigenic O-polysaccharides of lipopolysaccharides produced by Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans serotypes a, c, d and e, Eur. J. Biochem. 242 (1996) 682-688.

[19] C. Wikraiphat, N. Saiprom, S. Tandhavanant, C. Heiss, P. Azadi, G. Wongsuvan, A. Tuanyok, M.T.G. Holden, M.N. Burtnick, P.J. Brett, S.J. Peacock, N. Chantratita, Colony Morphology Variation of Burkholderia pseudomallei Is Associated with Antigenic Variation and O-Polysaccharide Modification, Infect. Immun. 83 (2015) 2127-2138.

[20] G. Zong, X. Cai, X. Liang, J. Zhang, D. Wang, Facile syntheses of the disaccharide repeating unit of the O-antigenic polysaccharide of Burkholderia pseudomallei strain 304b and its dimer and trimer, Carbohydr. Res. 346 (2011) 2533-2539.

[21] B. Jann, A. Shashkov, V. Torgov, H. Kochanowski, G. Seltmann, K. Jann, NMR Investigation of the 6-deoxy-1-talose-containing o45, o45-related (o45rel), and o66 polysaccharides of escherichia-coli, Carbohydr. Res. 278 (1995) 155-165.

[22] C. Vanhaverbeke, A. Heyraud, W. Achouak, T. Heulin, Structural analysis of the exopolysaccharide from Burkholderia caribensis strain MWAP71, Carbohydr. Res. 334 (2001) 127-133.

[23] Y.A. Knirel, A.S. Shashkov, S.Y.N. Senchenkova, S. Merino, J.M. Tomas, Structure of the O-polysaccharide of Aeromonas hydrophila O : 34; a case of random O-acetylation of 6-deoxy-L-talose, Carbohydr. Res. 337 (2002) 1381-1386.

[24] D.R. Mootoo, V. Date, B. Fraserreid, An oxidative reductive, non-hydrolytic procedure for unraveling complex acetals (glycosides) - a possible chemical role for the exoanomeric effect, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. (1987) 1462-1464.

[25] G.J. Boons, B. Heskamp, F. Hout, Vinyl glycosides in oligosaccharide synthesis: A strategy for the preparation of trisaccharide libraries based on latent-active glycosylation, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. 35 (1996) 2845-2847.

[26] G.J. Boons, S. Isles, Vinyl glycosides in oligosaccharide synthesis. 2. The use of allyl and vinyl glycosides in oligosaccharide synthesis, J. Org. Chem. 61 (1996) 4262-4271.

[27] M. Johnson, C. Aries, G.J. Boons, Vinyl glycosides in oligosaccharide synthesis (part 5): A latent-active glycosylation strategy for the preparation of branched trisaccharide libraries, Tetrahedron. Lett. 39 (1998) 9801-9804.

[28] P. Wang, P. Haldar, Y. Wang, H. Hu, Simple glycosylation reaction of allyl glycosides, J. Org. Chem. 72 (2007) 5870-5873.

[29] T.K.M. Shing, Y.L. Zhong, T.C.W. Mak, R.J. Wang, F. Xue, Acyclic stereodifferentiation: Selective construction of tetrahydropyran/oxepane via intramolecular nitrone-alkene cycloaddition of acyclic 3-O-allylmonosaccharides, J. Org. Chem. 63 (1998) 414-415.

[30] S.L. Gholap, C.M. Woo, P.C. Ravikumar, S.B. Herzon, Synthesis of the Fully Glycosylated Cyclohexenone Core of Lomaiviticin A, Org. Lett. 11 (2009) 4322-4325.

[31] J. Lee, J.K. Cha, Selective cleavage of allyl ethers, Tetrahedron. Lett. 37 (1996) 3663-3666.

[32] M. Honda, H. Morita, I. Nagakura, Deprotection of allyl groups with sulfinic acids and palladium catalyst, J. Org. Chem. 62 (1997) 8932-8936.

[33] H.-W. Wang, P.-L. Jiang, S.-F. Lin, H.-J. Lin, K.-L. Ou, W.-P. Deng, L.-W. Lee, Y.-Y. Huang, P.-H. Liang, D.-Z. Liu, Application of galactose-modified liposomes as a potent antigen presenting cell targeted carrier for intranasal immunization, Acta. Biomater. 9 (2013) 5681-5688.

[34] C.H. Wong, F. MorisVara, S.C. Hung, T.G. Marron, C.C. Lin, K.W. Gong, G. WeitzSchmidt, Small molecules as structural and functional mimics of sialyl Lewis X tetrasaccharide in selectin inhibition: A remarkable enhancement of inhibition by additional negative charge and/or hydrophobic group, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 8152-8158.

[35] W. Yao, Y. Jiao, J. Luo, M. Du, L. Zong, Practical synthesis and characterization of mannose-modified chitosan, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 50 (2012) 821-825.

[36] X. Huang, B. Zhang, H. Xu, Synthesis of some monosaccharide-related ester derivatives as insecticidal and acaricidal agents, Bioorg. Med. Chem Lett. 27 (2017) 4336-4340.

[37] J.J. Zhang, Y.L. Zhu, F.Z. Kong, Synthesis of an L-rhamnose tetrasaccharide, the common and major structure of the repeating unit of the O-antigenic polysaccharide of a strain of Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas holci, Carbohydr. Res. 336 (2001) 229-235.

[38] Y.L. Zhu, F.Z. Kong, Concise and effective synthesis of alpha(1 -> 2)-linked manno- and rhamnopyranosyl oligosaccharides and related antigenic factor 4 and dominant of antigenic factor 6, Synlett. (2000) 1783-1787.

[39] L. Chen, S.D. Shi, Y.Q. Liu, Q.J. Gao, X. Yi, K.K. Liu, H. Liu, Studies on the stereoselective synthesis of a protected alpha-D-Gal-(1-->2)-D-Glc fragment, Carbohydr. Res. 346 (2011) 1250-1256.

[40] R.R. Schmidt, J. Michel, Facile synthesis of alpha-o-glycosyl and beta-o-glycosyl imidates - preparation of glycosides and disaccharides, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. 19 (1980) 731-732.

[41] Y. Cao, Y. Okada, H. Yamada, Facile and regioselective preparation of partly O-benzylated D-glucopyranose acetates via acid-mediated simultaneous debenzylation-acetolysis, Carbohydr. Res. 341 (2006) 2219-2223.

[42] Y.G. Du, G.H. Wei, R.J. Linhardt, Total synthesis of quercetin 3-sophorotrioside, J. Org. Chem. 69 (2004) 2206-2209.

#### Highlights:

- 1. we have reported a convergent total synthesis of a tetrasccharide analogue
- 2. Stereo- and regio-specific synthesis was achieved in Schmidt glycosylation employing appropriately protected L-talopyranosyl and D-glucopyranosyl building blocks to build a disaccharide containing  $\alpha$ -Glc*p*-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)-6dTal*p* configured glycosidic bonds.
- 3. The synthesis involves an allyloxyethylidene group for protecting rhamnopyranose 1-OH and 2-OH at the same time, a redox reaction of rhamnopyranoside derivative for the synthesis of 6-deoxy talopyranoside.