TRANS-ADDITION OF Br₂ TO A DOUBLE BOND

O. A. Nesmeyanova and G. A. Kudryatseva

UDC 541.124:542.955: 546.14:541.571.3

The ease of opening of the cyclopropyl cation to the allyl cation [1] makes cyclopropenes unique model compounds for distinguishing electrophilic addition to the double bond by a concerted mechanism and by a two-step mechanism involving a cationic intermediate [2].

We have shown that the addition of ${\rm Br}_2$ to 1-methylcyclopropene (I) at -15°C in ${\rm CCI}_4$ proceeds with opening of the three-membered ring leading exclusively to the dibromide (II) whose structure indicates two-step bromine addition:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & \\ \hline & &$$

The bromination of 3,3-dimethylcyclopropene (III) at -15° C in CCl₄, CH₃CN, and CH₃NO₂ led to trans-1,2-di-bromo-3,3-dimethylcyclopropane (IV) in 80% yield:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
& \text{Br}_{a} \\
\hline
& \text{CCl}_{4}, \text{ CH}_{a}\text{CN}, \text{ CH}_{a}\text{NO}_{2}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
& \text{Br} \\
& \text{IIV}
\end{array}$$

Since the position of the methyl substituents in (I) and (III) should give (III) an advantage relative to opening of the three-membered ring upon the intermediate formation of the corresponding cyclopropyl cations [3], our results indicate that the trans-addition of Br_2 to the unsubstituted double bond in (III) is a concerted electrocyclic process [2]. Support for this hypothesis is also found in the independence of the yield of (IV) of the nature of the solvent, while, in the case of the bromination of (I), replacing CCl_4 with $\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CN}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{NO}_2$ significantly lowered the yield of (II) due to the formation of unidentified products. The difference in the mechanisms for bromine addition to (I) and (III) is apparently the result of the effect of the methyl group at the double bond which creates steric hindrance for synchronous trans-addition and facilitates the two-step process by polarization of the double bond.

These results account for the difference in behavior for bromination of cyclopropene and 1,3,3-trimethyl-cyclopropene which had not previously found a satisfactory explanation in the literature [4].

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. P. Merlet, S. D. Peyerimhoff, R. I. Buenker, and S. Shih, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>96</u>, 959 (1974).
- 2. A. I. Ioffe, A. I. D'yachenko, and O. M. Nefedov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., 1126 (1975).
- 3. L. Random, P. C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, and P. R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 6531 (1973).
- 4. L. S. Surmina, V. A. Novoselov, and I. G. Bolesov, Zh. Org. Khim., <u>12</u>, 1594 (1976).

N. D. Zelinskii Institute of Organic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow. Translated from Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Khimicheskaya, No. 11, pp. 2629-2630, November, 1982. Original article submitted June 11, 1982.