

0040-4039(95)01124-2

Conversion of 2-Alkenylcyclopropylcarbene-Chromium Complexes to 5-Alkenyl-2cyclopentenones: A Stereospecific Three- to Five-Carbon Ring Expansion Reaction James W. Herndon*, David K. Hill, and Leonard A. McMullen Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742-2021

Summary: Upon thermolysis, 2-alkenylcyclopropylcarbene-chromium complexes are converted to 5-alkenyl-2-cyclopentenones. The reaction appears to proceed with retention of configuration in the absence of steric factors.

The conversion of vinylcyclopropane derivatives (1) to cyclopentene derivatives (2) (the vinylcyclopropane rearrangement, Scheme 1) has proven to be a very valuable reaction for the synthesis of five-membered rings.¹ One disadvantage of this reaction is that it typically proceeds with loss of stereochemistry at the migrating carbon atom (carbon 5). This is due either to isomerization of the vinylcyclopropane derivative followed by stereospecific rearrangement² or rearrangement via a radical mechanism.³ There are a few notable examples of vinylcyclopropane derivatives which undergo a stereospecific ring expansion reaction.⁴ We recently reported a novel three- to five-carbon ring expansion reaction involving the thermal conversion of 2-alkenylcyclopropylcarbene-chromium complexes (3) to 5-alkenyl-2-cyclopentenones (4), which appeared to proceed with retention of configuration in two of the examples studied (Scheme 2).⁵ In this communication, evidence is provided which suggests that ring expansion with retention of configuration is an intrinsic mechanistic feature of this reaction.





SCHEME 2



Ring-fused compounds **5A** and **B** appeared to undergo the ring expansion reaction with retention of configuration (Scheme 2),⁵ however examination of the trans ring fusion stereoisomer was not attempted due to perceived synthetic difficulties. Compound **7** (mixture of isomers at the asterisked carbon) underwent ring expansion to cyclopentenone **8**, which had partially isomerized to the α , β -unsaturated cyclopentenone **9** under the reaction conditions. Unisomerized

cyclopentenone 8 appeared to be exclusively the trans cyclopentenone based on the crude ¹H NMR spectrum.⁶ Although all of the compounds in Scheme 2 underwent ring expansion with retention of configuration at the migrating carbon atom, the stereochemical fate of the ring expansion process is ambiguous since the opposite diastereomer has not been tested for each compound.

Appropriately-substituted carbene complexes from which the stereospecificity of the ring expansion could be delineated were prepared. Carbene complexes 10 and 12 were prepared from commercially available E- and Z 3- methyl-1,3-pentadiene (Scheme 3). Ring expansion of compound 10 proceeded readily at 100 °C, and provided cyclopentenone 11 as the only product of the reaction. Ring expansion of compound 12 was considerably slower, and ultimately provided a 60:40 mixture of cyclopentenones 13 and 11. The equilibrium ratio for compounds 13 and 11 was also 60:40. Stereospecifically isotopically labelled compound 14 (Scheme 4) was prepared from bromostyrene according to the route in Scheme 5; deuterium incorporation in diene 18 was 90%, and completely stereospecific.⁸ Thermolysis of compound 14 at 100 °C led to cyclopentenone derivative 15 in 35% yield. Purification of the reaction mixture was difficult in this case due to the formation of arene complexes, which did not undergo clean decomplexation reactions. The protio analog 16 underwent a similar conversion. In compound 17 H_A and H_B appear as doublets of doublets at δ 2.75 and δ 2.45 respectively. In compound 15 the ratio of the resonances at δ 2.75 is 2.45 was 9:1. The resonance at δ 2.75 was a broad singlet, while the resonance at δ 2.45 is due to the undeuterated impurity (*i.e.* 17) and not the opposite diastereomer. The assignment of stereochemistry for compound 15 is supported by enhancement in the intensity of the vinylic hydrogens upon irradiation of H_A.





SCHEME 4

	$H_{\rm T}CH_{\rm 3II}$	NOE Enhancements In Cyclopentenone 15			
	\sim $\downarrow^{\rm D}$ $\downarrow^{\rm OCH_3}$	H Irradiated HA	<u> Hc</u>	Н <u>D</u>	<u>CH3</u>
OCH ₃		H _A	3%	9%	0%
¹	H_{A}^{C} H_{B}^{T} H_{B}^{T} H_{B}^{T} H_{C}^{T}	CH ₃ 0%	5%	9%	
16 (H)	17 (11)	5			

SCHEME 5



The result in Scheme 4 definitively establishes that the ring expansion reaction proceeds with retention of configuration at the migrating carbon atom. The stereochemical ambiguity presented in Scheme 3 is puzzling, and can possibly be attributed to steric interactions between the carbene complex and the methyl group in complex 12 (isomer where carbene complex and alkene are cis). Previous mechanistic studies have established that ring expansion occurs primarily from the isomer where carbene complex and alkene are cis, that the carbene complex undergoes epimerization at elevated temperatures and in basic solvents, and that dissociation of carbon monoxide is required in the reaction.⁵ The mechanistic argument depicted in Scheme 6 might account for the differing degrees of stereospecificity observed for ring expansion of stereoisomers 10 and 12. First, loss of carbon monoxide, alkene complexation, and conversion to internally-coordinated π -allyl complex 23 occurs.⁹ Carbon monoxide insertion and reductive elimination affords cyclopentenone derivatives 11 and 13. If the double bond and carbene complex are in the exo orientation, a trans double bond in a five-membered ring must ultimately result (*e.g.* 24). Only the endo reacting conformation can eventually lead to the observed cis cyclopentenone derivatives 11 and 13. In the endo conformation of compound 12 there is significant steric interaction between the methyl group (R₂) and the carbene complex which would suppress formation of this conformation, and thus cyclopropane stereoisomerization can compete with ring expansion. A similar argument has been used to explain steric effects in the related divinylcyclopropane rearrangement.¹⁰





In summary, the conversion of 2-alkenylcyclopropylcarbene-chromium complexes to 5-alkenyl-2cyclopentenones proceeds with retention of configuration in the absence of steric interactions which suppress the preferred reacting conformation. Further examination of the conformational factors affecting the ring expansion process is presently underway in these laboratories.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Petroleum Research Fund, Administered by the American Chemical Society, and the National Institutes of Health (GM-40777) for financial support of this research project.

References

- a. Hudlicky, T.; Kutchan, T.M.; Naqvi, S.M. Organic Reactions 1985, 33, 247-335. b. Goldschmidt, Z.; Crammer, B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1988, 17, 229-267.
- 2. For a recent example supporting this viewpoint, see Gajewski, J.J.; Olson, L.P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7432-7433.
- 3. For a recent example supporting this viewpoint, see Baldwin, J.E.; Villarica, K.A.; Freedberg, D.I.; Anet, F.A.L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10845-10846.
- a. Danheiser, R.L.; Martinez-Davila, C.; Auchus, R.J.; Kadonaga, J.T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2443-2446. b. Danheiser, R.L.; Bronson, J.J.; Okano, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4579-4581. c. Swenton, J.S.; Wexler, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 3066-3068.
- 5. Herndon, J.W.; McMullen, L.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6854-56.
- 6. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.27 (d, 1 H, J = 3.2 Hz), 5.72 (dq, 1 H, J = 16.0, 7.1 Hz), 5.41 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.0, 8.0 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 2.64 (ddq, 1 H, J = 3.4, 3.2, 8.0 Hz), 2.56 (br d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz), 1.78 (br d, 3 H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.24 (d, 3 H, J = 8.0 Hz). The coupling constant between the hydrogens at C-4 and C-5 (IUPAC numbering) is 3.4 Hz, which is more consistent with the trans orientation of cyclopentenone substituents.⁷
- 7. Tumer, S.U.; Herndon, J.W.; McMullen, L.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8394-8404.
- 8. Van Horn, D.E.; Negishi, E.-I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2252-2254.
- For similar Cope-like rearrangements of organometallics, see: a. Casey, C.P.; Voejpka, P.C.; Underiner, T.L.; Slough, G.A.; Gavney, J.A. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6680-6688. b. Casey, C.P.; Shusterman, A.J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 763-744. c. Trost, B.M.; Dyker, G.; Kulawiec, R.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7809-7811.
- a. Schneider, M.P.; Rau, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4426-4428. b. Davies, H.M.L.; Clark, T.J.;
 Smith, H.D. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3817-3824. c. Harvey, D.F.; Lund, K.P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5066-5068.

(Received in USA 23 May 1995; accepted 15 June 1995)

5690