## NEW LINEAR PYRANOXANTHONES FROM CALOPHYLLUM APETALUM

Munekazu Iinuma,<sup>a\*</sup> Tetsuro Ito,<sup>a</sup> Hideki Tosa,<sup>a</sup> Toshiyuki Tanaka,<sup>a</sup> Ryoko Miyake,<sup>a</sup> and Veliah Chelladurai<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, Gifu Pharmaceutical University, 6-1 Mitahorahigashi 5 chome, Gifu 502, Japan, <sup>b</sup>Survey of Medicinal Plant Unit, Central Council for Research in Ayurveda and Siddha, Tirunelveli-627002, Tamil Nada, India

<u>Abstract</u> — The investigation of chemical constituents in stem bark and root of *Calophyllum apetalum* (Guttiferae) led to isolate sixteen xanthones and two coumarin derivatives. Among them, two linear pyranoxanthones [caloxanthones I (1) and J (2)] from the stem bark, and two xanthones [caloxanthone K (10) and 1,3,6,8-trihydroxy-2-methoxyxanthone (12)] from the root were new ones. The respective structures were determined by the spectral analysis.

*Calophyllum* (Guttiferae), which is morphologically classified to the same subfamily (Calophylloideae) as *Mammea* and *Mesua*, <sup>1</sup> is known to a rich source of xanthones,<sup>2</sup> coumarins<sup>3</sup> and biflavonoids.<sup>4</sup> A species of *Calophyllum apetalum* Wild. is a middle size tree distributed in the subtropical area, and the seed oil has been used for various medicinal purposes in India.<sup>5</sup> Although some chemical aspects of *C. apetalum* were mentioned,<sup>6,7</sup> the detail examination of phenolic constituents has not been tried yet. The structural elucidation of xanthones with C<sub>5</sub> chain(s) in *C. inophyllum*<sup>8</sup> and *C. austroidicum*<sup>9</sup> was dealt in our previous research works. In relation to phytochemical and chemotaxonomic interest in the genus, the chemical constituents in *C. apetalum* were examined.

Usual work-up of extraction of stem bark and root of *C. apetalum* and successive purification of the extract by chromatography resulted in the isolation of xanthones (1-7 and 10-18) including four new ones (1-4)



and coumarin derivatives (8 and 9).

Caloxanthone I (1), a yellow amorphous powder, reacted positively to FeCl<sub>3</sub> and Gibbs tests. The  $|M|^+$ observed at m/z 460.1807 in the high-resolution (HR) EIMS corresponds to the molecular formula of  $C_{28}H_{28}O_6$ . The UV and IR spectra indicated that 1 was a xanthone derivative.<sup>10</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed the presence of two hydroxyl groups including a chelated one [8 8.34 (1H, br s) and 13.45 (1H, s)] in addition to an isolated aromatic proton [8 7.42 (1H, s)]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum also exhibited the signals due to two dimethylpyrene rings fused to a benzene ring [ $\delta$  1.49 (6H, s), 5.72 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz), 6.69 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz) and  $\delta$  1.51 (6H, s), 5.89 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz), 6.57 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz)]. The assignment was confirmed by the HH COSY spectrum. The presence of an isoprenyl group in the xanthone was shown by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data [ $\delta$  1.65 (3H, s), 1.87 (3H, s), 3.53 (2H, br d) and 5.33 (1H, m)], which was supported by the observation of a base peak at m/z 405 [M<sup>+</sup> - 55] in the EIMS. All carbons bearing hydrogen atom(s) were assigned by the CH COSY spectrum (Table 1). On the other hand, in the HMBC (J = 10 Hz) spectrum (Figure 1), the chelated hydroxyl group caused cross peaks to three aromatic carbons ( $\delta$  103.6, 105.1 and 156.7), the former was further correlated to the two olefinic protons at  $\delta$  6.69 and 5.72 through  $^{2}J$  and  $^{3}J$ , respectively, indicating that one of the dimethylpyrene rings was fused to the xanthone in a linear form. The aromatic carbon with an O-function at  $\delta$  158.6 was correlated to the proton at  $\delta$  6.69 assigned to H-11 and to the methylene protons at  $\delta$  3.53 on the isoprenyl group, respectively. The methylene protons was then corresponding to a carbon at  $\delta$  22.0, the chemical shift of which implied that both *ortho*-positions of the isoprenyl group were substituted with an *O*-function.<sup>11</sup> These results showed that a partial structure of 1 can be drawn as A in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Partial structures (A and B), and nOes and HMBC spectrum of 1

<u>1</u> a)		1 <sup>a)</sup>	2 <sup>a)</sup>			3
No	δ <sub>C</sub>	δ <sub>H</sub>	δ <sub>C</sub>	δ <sub>H</sub>	δc <sup>b)</sup>	δ <sub>H</sub> a)
1	156.7		156.8 <sup>c)</sup>		157.8	
2	103.6		105.1		104.8	
3	158.6		156.8 <sup>c)</sup>		160.5	
4	108.4		108.4 <sup>d)</sup>		95.5	6.36 (1H, s)
5	134.5		133.6		132.1	
6	146.6		151.5		144.5 <sup>f)</sup>	
7	119.2		126.8		117.8	
8	113.2	7.72 (1H, s)	117.1	7.56 (1H, s)	113.5	7.42 (1H, s)
9	181.5		181.5		180.2	
<b>4</b> a	155.0		154.9		156.9	
8a	115.2		113.7		114.7	
9a	105.1		108.4 <sup>d)</sup>		103.0	
10a	146.9		146.3		145.0 <sup>f)</sup>	
11	116.2	6.69 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 9.8)	116.2	6.69 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 10.3)	115.5	6.68 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 10.0)
12	128.4	5.72 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 9.8)	128.4	5.72 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 10.3)	127.5	5.74 (1H, d, J = 10.0)
13	78.9		78.8		78.0 <sup>g)</sup>	
14, 15	28.5	1.49 (3H, s)	28.4	1.48 (3H, s)	28.4 <sup>h)</sup>	1.48 <sup>i)</sup> (3H, s)
16	22.0	3.53 (2H, br d)	21.8	3.56 (2H, d, J = 6.9)	121.4	6.85 (1H, d, $J = 10.0$ )
17	123.3	5.33 (1H, m)	122.6	5.24 (1H, m)	131.0	5.90 (1H, d, J = 10.0)
18	131.8		132.1 <sup>e)</sup>		79.0 <sup>g)</sup>	
19	26.0	1.65 (3H, s)	26.0	1.65 (3H, s)	28.5 <sup>f)</sup>	1.50 <sup>i)</sup> (3H, s)
20	18.2	1.87 (3H, s)	18.1	1.85 (3H, s)	28.5	1.50 (3H, s)
21	122.1	6.57 (1H, d, J = 9.8)	28.8	3.45 (2H, d, <i>J</i> = 7.3)		
22	132.5	5.89 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 9.8)	123.8	5.41 (1H, m)		
23	79.0		131.3 <sup>e)</sup>			
24	28.3	1.51 (3H, s)	26.6	1.75 (3H, s)		
25	28.3	1.51 (3H, s)	17.9	1.78 (3H, s)		
OH		8.34 (1H, br s)		8.75 (2H, br s)		
OH		13.45 (1H, s)		13.64 (1H, s)		

Table 1 NMR spectral data of xanthones (1 - 3)

a) Measured in acetone-d<sub>6</sub> (400 MHz), b) Measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (400 MHz). <sup>c-d</sup>) Overlapping. <sup>c-g</sup>) Interchangeable.

Another partial structure of the xanthone was determined as follows. An nOe was observed between one of olefinic protons at  $\delta$  6.57 and the *peri*-proton at  $\delta$  7.42 which was additionally correlated to a carbonyl carbon at  $\delta$  181.5 in HMBC spectrum. Consequently another dimethylpyrene ring was also fused to the xanthone in a linear form. Furthermore an aromatic carbon at  $\delta$  146.6 caused cross peak both to the olefinic proton and the hydroxyl group at  $\delta$  8.34 in the HMBC spectrum (Figure 1). Considering these results, another partial structure of 1 is depicted as **B**, which was supported by the spectral identity to pyranojacareubin (3) isolated from *Reedia gardneriana* <sup>12</sup> (Table 1). Thus the total structure of caloxanthone I was characterized as 1.

Caloxanthone J (2) was positive to FeCl<sub>3</sub> and had the molecular formula  $C_{28}H_{28}O_6$  supported by the HR-EIMS (m/z 462.2025). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum closely resembled that of 1 except for the signals due to dimethylpyrene rings and showed the presence of another isoprenyl group [ $\delta$  1.75 (3H, s), 1.78 (3H, s), 3.45 (2H, br d) and 5.41 (1H, m)]. Three phenolic hydroxyl groups were exhibited at  $\delta$  8.75 (2H, br s) and  $\delta$  13.64 (1H, s). In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, aromatic carbons with an O-function were observed at  $\delta$  133.6, 146.3, 151.5 and 154.9, 156.8 (C x 2), indicating that a xanthone of 2 was composed of a 1,2,3- and a 1,3,5-trioxygenated benzene ring as in the case of 1. The chemical shift of methylene carbon on an isoprenyl group in phenolic compounds is generally observed at the range of  $\delta$  20.7-24.0 when both *ortho*-positions of the group are substituted, to the contrary, the shift is at  $\delta$  27.7-29.8 in the case that one of *ortho*-positions is substituted with an O-function.<sup>11</sup> In the present case, the chemical shift ( $\delta$  28.4) was applicable to the latter case. Then the isoprenyl group in 2 was located at C-7. An irradiation of the olefinic proton ( $\delta$  6.69) showed an enhancement of the chelated hydroxyl proton (5 13.64) in the nOe spectrum (Figure 2), which supported that the dimethylpyrene ring was fused to the xanthone in a linear form and another isoprenyl group was located at C-4 such as 1. On the basis of these results, the structure of caloxanthone J was concluded to be 2. Caloxanthone K (10) had the molecular formula  $C_{19}H_{16}O_6$  supported by the HR-EIMS (m/z 340.0936). The UV and IR spectrum indicated that 10 is a xanthone derivative.<sup>10</sup> The presence of a methoxyl group [ $\delta$  3.90 (3H, s)], two ortho-coupled protons [ $\delta$  6.93 and 7.61 (1H each, d, J = 8.8 Hz)] and an isolated aromatic proton [ $\delta$  6.61 (1H, s)] was shown in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. The presence of a dimethylpyrene ring was also exhibited by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum [ $\delta$  1.47 (6H, s), 5.83 and 6.72 (1H each, d, J = 10.0 Hz)], which was substantiated by observation of a base peak at m/z 325 [M<sup>+</sup> - 15] in the EIMS. The chemical shifts due to the ortho-coupled protons in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum preferably implied that 10 was a 5.6dihydroxyxanthone, which was supported by comparison of the  ${}^{1}$ H and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectral data between 10 and 18 [1,5,6-trihyroxyxanthone]. An nOe was observed between the methoxyl proton at  $\delta$  3.90 and one of



Figure 2 nOes in DIFNOE spectrum of 2

10			11			12
No	δ <sub>C</sub>	δ <sub>H</sub>	δ <sub>C</sub>	δ <sub>H</sub>	δ <sub>C</sub>	δ <sub>H</sub>
1	159.2 <sup>a)</sup>		158.7		154.9	
2	110.7 <sup>b)</sup>		105.1 <sup>c)</sup>		132.0	
3	159.1 <sup>a)</sup>		161.0		154.0	
4	100.8	6.61 (1H, s)	95.5	6.34 (1H, br s)	95.2g)	6.45 (1H, s)
5	132.6		113.9 <sup>d)</sup>	6.99 (1H, br s)	95.2g)	6.38 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ )
6	150.8		146.9		99.4	
7	113.1	6.93 (1H, d, J = 8.8)	133.4		164.0	6.24 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.0)
8	116.3	7.61 (1H, d, $J = 8.8$ )	114.6 <sup>d)</sup>	7.63 (1H, br s)	115.8	
9	174.3		181.3		184.6	
<b>4</b> a	157.0		157.9		159.5 <sup>e)</sup>	
8a	112.7 <sup>b</sup> )		117.3		102.5 <sup>f)</sup>	
9a	117.0		103.5 <sup>c)</sup>		102.0 <sup>f)</sup>	
10a	145.8		152.5		159.0 <sup>e)</sup>	
11	117.7	6.72 (1H, d, J = 10.0)	115.8	6.68 (1H, d, J = 10.0)		
12	130.9	5.83 (1H, d, $J = 10.0$ )	128.6	5.73 (1H, d, $J = 10.0$ )		
13	78.3		78.9			
14,15	28.2	1.47 (3H, s)	28.4	1.47 (3H, s)		
OMe	62.6	3.90 (3H, s)			61.0	3.85 (3H, s)
OH-C-1				13.58 (1H, s)		12.12 (1H, s)
OH-C-8						11.94 (1H, s)

Table 2	NMR	spectral	data of	xanthones	(10 ·	-12)
---------	-----	----------	---------	-----------	-------	------

All protons and carbons were measured in acetone-d<sub>6</sub> (400 MHz). <sup>a-f)</sup> Overlapping. <sup>g)</sup> Interchangeable.

olefinic protons at  $\delta$  6.72. In addition, the chemical shift of the methoxyl group ( $\delta$  62.6) indicated that both *ortho*-positions of the methoxyl group were occupied with any substituent,<sup>13</sup> and the chemical shift of a carbonyl carbon ( $\delta$  174.3) showed that 10 had no chelated hydroxyl group. Therefore the structure of caloxanthone K was determined to be 10. When 10 was treated with BCl<sub>3</sub>, a demethylated compound (10a) was obtained.<sup>14</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 10a was well-agreed to that of jacareubin isolated from the wood of *Calophyllum austroindicum*.<sup>10</sup> Compound (11) reacted positively to FeCl<sub>3</sub>. The [M]<sup>+</sup> shown at *m/z* 326.0782 in the HR-EIMS is corresponding to the molecular formula C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>6</sub>. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum closely resembled that of 10a except for the signals due to two *ortho*-coupled protons and showed the

presence of two *para*-coupled protons [ $\delta$  6.99 (1H, br s) and 7.63 (1H, br s)]. Three carbon atoms with an *O*-function were observed at  $\delta$  133.4, 146.9 and 152.5 in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, indicated that a 1,2,4-trioxygenated benzene ring is composed of one side of the xanthone moiety. Compared the chemical shifts due to this moiety with those of a 6,7-dioxygenated xanthone such as 3-hydroxy-2-methoxyxanthone (= 6-hydroxy-7-methoxyxanthone) isolated from the stem bark of *Mammea acumiata*, <sup>15</sup> the oxygenation pattern of 11 was a 6,7-dioxygenated substitution. The structure was then concluded to be 11 which has been previously synthesized, <sup>16</sup> however, the occurrence of 11 is first reported as a natural product.

Compound (12), positive to FeCl<sub>3</sub> test, was a xanthone without C<sub>5</sub>-unit and had the molecular formula of C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. The chemical shifts of a carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  184.6) showed that 12 had two chelated hydroxyl groups [ $\delta$  11.94 (1H, s), and 12.12 (1H, s)] at *peri*-positions.<sup>13</sup> Considering the presence of two *meta*-coupled protons at  $\delta$  6.24 and 6.38 (1H each, d, J = 2.0 Hz) and an isolated proton at  $\delta$  6.45 (s), the substitution of the xanthone was alternatively either 1,3,6,8-tetrahydroxy-2-methoxy- or 1,3,6,8-tetrahydroxy-4-methoxyxanthone. The chemical shifts of 12 in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum compared with those of 16 (1,3-dihydroxy-2,5-dimethoxyxanthone) preferably supported that 12 had a 1,3-dihydroxy-2-methoxyl substitution. Therefore the structure of 12 was 1,3,6,8-tetrahydroxy-4-methoxyxanthone.

Other eleven xanthones isolated in the present experiment were characterized as pyranojacareubin (3), 1,5dihydroxy-6-isoprenyl- (4), 1,3,5-trihydroxy-2-methoxy- (5), 1,3,5-trihydroxy- (6), 1,3,6-trihydroxy-5methoxyxanthone (7), 6-deoxyjacareubin (13), 1,5-dihydroxy- (14), 3,8-dihydroxy-1,2-dimethoxy- (15), 1,3-dihydroxy-2,5-dimethoxy- (16), 1,3,7-trihydroxy- (17), and 1,5,6-trihydroxyxanthone (18), respectively. Two coumarins were also identified as apetalic acid (8) and isoapetalic acid (9). The respective structures were determined by the spectral analyses.

## EXPERIMENTAL

General. The following instruments were used: EIMS spectra, JEOL JMS-D300 (70 eV) instrument; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, JEOL JNM EX-400 (TMS as internal standard); UV spectra, Shimadzu UV-2200 spectrophotometer (in methanol solution); IR spectra, PERKIN ELMER FT-IR spectrophotometer 1720X (on KBr pallet). The following adsorbents were used for purification; analytical TLC: Merck Kieselgel 60  $F_{254}$ , column chromatography: Merck Kieselgel 60, Fuji Davison Silica gel BW-300, and Pharmacia Fine Chemicals AB Sephadex LH-20.

*Plant material.* Stem bark and root of *Calophyllum apetalum* were collected at Tamil Nadu, India, in August, 1995. The voucher specimens are deposited in the Herbarium of Gifu Pharmaceutical University.

*Extraction and isolation.* The dried and ground stem bark (1 kg) of *C. apetalum* was extracted successively with benzene, acetone and 70% MeOH under reflux. After concentration, the extracts gave respective residues [90 g (benzene), 80 g (acetone) and 120 g (70% MeOH)]. The benzene extract was suspended into MeOH and partitioned with *n*-hexane. The MeOH soluble extract (43 g) was subjected to chromatography on silica gel column eluted with a mixture of benzene-acetone increasing polarity to give six fractions (<sup>BB</sup>F. 1 - 6). <sup>BB</sup>F. 2 (10 : 1) was further subjected to column chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) to give three fractions (<sup>BB</sup>F. 2-1- 2-6). Compounds (8) (30 mg) and (9) (35 mg) were obtained from <sup>BB</sup>F. 2-2. <sup>BB</sup>F. 2-3 was further separated by vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) on silica gel eluted with an *n*-hexane-EtOAc system to give seven fractions (<sup>BB</sup>F. 2-3B (20 : 1), and <sup>BB</sup>F. 2-3C (15 : 1), <sup>BB</sup>F. 2-3D (10 : 1), respectively. The acetone extract (57 g) was also subjected to silica gel column eluted with a mixture of benzene-acetone increasing polarity to give a further purified by PTLC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-acetone = 10 : 1) to give (5) (16 mg), (6) (12 mg) and (7) (7 mg).

The dried and ground root (1.2 kg) of *C. apetalum* was extracted in same manners as the stem bark to give benzene (25 g), acetone (30 g) and 70% MeOH extract (50 g) after concentration. The benzene extract (22 g) was subjected to silica gel column eluted with a benzene-acetone system to give five fractions. The third fraction was further subjected to silica gel column eluted with an *n*-hexane-EtOAc system to give five fractions (RBF. A - E). Compounds (13) (8 mg) and (14) (12 mg) were obtained in a pure form after recrystallization (benzene-acetone) from RBF. B (5 : 1) and RBF. C (5 : 1), respectively. Compounds (15) (10 mg) and (16) (12 mg) were obtained from RBF. D (5 : 1). The acetone extract (57 g) was separated by silica gel column chromatography eluted with a benzene-acetone system to give seven fractions (RAF. 1 - 7). The second fraction (RAF. 2) was further purified by VLC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH = 15 : 1) and PTLC (benzene-EtOAc = 5 : 1) to give (12) (4 mg) and (17) (8 mg). Compounds (10) (5 mg), (11) (8 mg) and (18) (5 mg) were obtained from RAF. 5 (1 : 1), respectively.

Caloxanthone I (1): A yellow amorphous powder; HR-EIMS:  $[M]^+$  m/z 460.1878 (Calcd 460.1886 for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>6</sub>); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 460 (M<sup>+</sup>, 52), 445 (100), 417 (17), 405 (10), 230 (4), 215 (11), 187 (5); UV  $\lambda$  (nm): 239, 253 sh, 263 sh, 293 sh, 299, 347; IR  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3440, 2969, 2927, 2859, 1646, 1607, 1578; the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are listed in Table 1.

Caloxanthone J (2) : A yellow amorphous powder; HR-EIMS [M]<sup>+</sup> m/z 462.2025 (Calcd 462.2041 for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>6</sub>); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 462 (M<sup>+</sup>, 53), 447 (100), 445 (22), 419 (17), 407 (12), 391 (15), 363 (5), 335 (5), 215 (3), 188 (3), 165 (2), 115 (1), 69 (1); UV  $\lambda$  (nm): 204, 224 sh, 238, 283 sh, 288, 335; IR  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3426, 2971, 2928, 1651, 1627, 1606; the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are shown in Table 1. Caloxanthone K(10) : A yellow amorphous powder; HR-EIMS [M]<sup>+</sup> m/z 340.0936 (Calcd 340.0942 for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>6</sub>); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 340 (M<sup>+</sup>, 41), 325 (100), 295 (8), 288 (5), 273 (10), 259 (7), 231 (7); UV  $\lambda$  (nm): 257sh, 300 sh, 268, 350 sh; IR  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2975, 2930, 1654, 1637, 1605; the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are shown in Table 2.

Demethylation of 10: To a solution of dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) containing 10 (2 mg) added BCl<sub>3</sub> (0.5 mL) at -25°C. The reaction mixture was left at room temperature for 3 h and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by PTLC (*n*-hexane-EtOAc-MeOH = 8 : 2 : 1) to give 10a (1 mg).Compound (10a) (jacareubin): A pale yellow amorphous powder; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 326 (M<sup>+</sup>, 18), 311 (100), 156 (7), 78 (9), 63 (9); UV  $\lambda$  (nm): 207, 232 sh, 240, 257 sh, 277, 283 sh, 303 sh, 331; IR  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3460, 3120, 2970, 1650, 1615, 1585; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.48 (6H, s, H-14, 15), 5.74 (1H, d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, H-12), 6.35 (1H, s, H-4), 6.69 (1H, d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, H-11), 6.99 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, H-7), 7.64 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, H-8), 8.92 (2H, br s, OH-C-5 and -6), 13.56 (1H, s, OH-C-1).

Compound (11): A yellow amorphous powder; HR-EIMS [M]<sup>+</sup> m/z 326.0782 (Calcd 326.0786 for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>6</sub>); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 326 (M<sup>+</sup>, 23), 311 (100), 156 (8); UV  $\lambda$  (nm): 240, 276, 285 sh, 303 sh, 332; IR  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3468, 2975, 2927, 1654, 1618, 1585; the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are shown in Table 2.

*Compound (12)*: A pale yellow amorphous powder; HR-EIMS [M]<sup>+</sup> m/z 290.0439 (Calcd 290.0425 for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>7</sub>); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 290 (M<sup>+</sup>, 80), 275 (62), 272 (17), 261 (13), 247 (100), 218 (6), 190 (5), 163 (6), 153 (10), 163 (6), 153 (10), 136 (6), 109 (6), 93 (5); UV  $\lambda$  (nm): 209, 236, 253, 270 sh, 327; IR  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3377, 2853, 1646, 1606, 1572; the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are listed in Table 2.

Compound (18) : A yellow amorphous powder; HR-EIMS [M]<sup>+</sup> m/z 244.0382 (Calcd 244.0372 for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>5</sub>); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 244 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 243 (2), 216 (4), 215 (3), 187 (5), 131 (2), 108 (5); UV  $\lambda$  (nm): 207, 248, 286, 326; IR v (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3392, 1650, 1610, 1582; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 6.75 (1H, br d, H-2), 6.98 (1H, br d, H-4), 7.03 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-7), 7.65 (1H, t, J = 8.3 Hz, H-3), 7.68 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-7), 7.65 (1H, t, J = 8.3 Hz, H-3), 7.68 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-8), 9.05 (2H, br s, OH-C-5 and -6), 12.93 (1H, s, OH-C-1); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 107.7 (C-4), 109.0 (C-9a), 111.1 (C-2), 114.2 (C-7), 115.0 (C-8a), 117.8 (C-8), 133.4 (C-

5), 137.5 (C-3), 147.3 (C-10a), 152.8 (C-6), 157.2 (C-4a), 163.1 (C-1), 182.7 (C-9).

## REFERENCES

- 1. G. J. Bennet and H. Lee, Phytochemistry, 1989, 28, 967.
- 2. R. Somanathan and M. U. S. Sultanbawa, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1972, 1936.
- 3. G. H. Stout and K. D. Sears, J. Org. Chem., 1968, 33, 4185.
- A. A. L. Gunatilaka, A. M. Y. Jasmin de Silva, S. Sotheeswaran, S. Balasubramaniam, and M. I. M. Wazeer, *Phytochemistry*, 1984, 23, 323.
- 5. E. Blatter, J. F. Caius, and K. S. Mhaskar, Indian Medicinal Plants, 1991, Vol. 1, 272.
- 6. T. R. Govindachari, D. Prakash, and N. Viswanathan, Tetrahedron, 1968, 24, 6411.
- F. M. Dean, H. Khan, N. Minhaj, S. Praksh, and A. J. Zaman, Chem. Soc., Chem. Commn, 1980, 283.
- 8. M. Iinuma, H. Tosa, T. Tanaka, and S. Yonemori, Phytochemistry, 1995, 38, 725.
- 9. M. Iinuma, H. Tosa, N. Toriyama, T. Tanaka. T Ito, and V. Chelladurai, *Phytochemistry*, 1996 in press.
- 10. M. Afzai, J. M. Al-Hassan, N. Al-Masad, Heterocycles, 1979, 12, 269.
- 11. T. Fukai and T. Nomura, Heterocycles, 1989, 29, 2379.
- 12. G. D. Monache, F. D. Monache, P. G. Waterman, E. G. Clichton, and R. A. Lima, *Phytochemistry*, 1984, 23, 1757.
- 13. I. Miura, K. Hostettmann, and K. Nakanisi, Nouv. J. Chime, 1978, 2, 653.
- 14. I. Sala and M. V. Sargent, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1979, 2593.
- 15. M. Iinuma, H. Tosa, T. Tanaka, and S. Riswan, Phytochemistry, 1996, 42, 245.
- 16. C. S. Rukmanilyer and P. R. Lyer, Indian J. Chem., Sect. B, 1985, 24, 260.

Received, 30th September, 1996