6-(3-Methylbut-3-enylamino)purine: a Highly Active Cytokinin

By NELSON J. LEONARD* and SIDNEY M. HECHT

(*Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois* 61801)

THE finding that 6-(3-methylbut-2-enylamino)-purine (I)[1,2] is a highly active,[3] naturally occurring cytokinin[4] suggested that its double-bond isomer, 6-(3-methylbut-3-enylamino)purine (II), might exhibit similar activity, especially since (II) bears the same relation to (I) that, in the mevalonic acid sequence, isopentenyl pyrophosphate bears to 3-methylbut-2-enylpyrophosphate.[5] We have now synthesized 6-(3-methylbut-3-enylamino)purine (II), and initial tobacco bioassay tests show that it has cytokinin activity, of the same order as that of (I).[6]



(I)



(II)

The purine (II) was synthesized by a sequence analogous to that used for (I).[7] 3-Methylbut-3-en-1-ol was converted to 3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl toluene-*p*-sulfonate and condensed with potassium phthalimide to give *N*-(3-methylbut-3-enyl)phthalimide, m.p. 51—53°. Hydrazinolysis and subsequent acidification with hydrochloric acid yielded 3-methylbut-3-enylamine hydrochloride, m.p. 186—188°, which was condensed with 6-chloropurine in n-butanol at reflux to give 6-(3-methylbut-3-enylamino)purine (II), m.p. 180·5—182°. Satisfactory analyses and n.m.r. spectra have been obtained for all compounds.

The synthesis of the riboside of (II), 6-(3-methylbut-3-enylamino)-9-β-D-ribofuranosylpurine and a comparison of its biological activity with that[8] of the riboside of (I) is in progress.

(*Received, July* 21*st*, 1967; *Com.* 751.)

[1] A. Cavé, Doctor of Natural Sciences Thesis, University of Paris, 1962.
[2] N. J. Leonard and T. Fujii, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 1964, **51**, 72.
[3] A. Cavé, J. A. Deyrup, R. Goutarel, N. J. Leonard, and X. G. Monseur, *Ann. pharm. franc.*, 1962, **20**, 285; N. J. Leonard, *Trans. Morris County Res. Council*, 1965, **1**, 11; J. H. Rogozinska, J. P. Helgeson, and F. Skoog, *Physiol. Plantarum*, 1964, **17**, 165; G. Beauchesne and R. Goutarel, *ibid.*, 1963, **16**, 630; N. J. Leonard, S. Achmatowicz, R. N. Loeppky, K. L. Carraway, W. A. H. Grimm, A. Szweykowska, H. Q. Hamzi, and F. Skoog, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 1966, **56**, 709.
[4] D. Klämbt, G. Thies, and F. Skoog, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 1966, **56**, 52; J. P. Helgeson and N. J. Leonard, *ibid.*, p. 60.
[5] F. Lynen, H. Eggerer, U. Henning, and I. Kessel, *Angew. Chem.*, 1958, **70**, 739; H. C. Rilling and K. Bloch, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1959, **234**, 1424; J. W. Cornforth and G. Popjak, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1959, No. 19, 29.
[6] Private communication from Professor F. Skoog, Department of Botany, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
[7] S. M. Hecht, J. P. Helgeson, and T. Fujii in "Synthetic Procedures in Nucleic Acid Chemistry", John Wiley, Interscience, New York, 1967, in the press.
[8] H. G. Zachau, D. Dütting, and H. Feldmann, *Angew. Chem.*, 1966, **78**, 392; *Z. physiol. Chem.*, 1966, **347**, 212; H. Feldmann, D. Dütting, and H. G. Zachau, *Z. physiol. Chem.*, 1966, **347**, 236; K. Biemann, S. Tsunakawa, J. Sonnenbichler, H. Feldmann, D. Dütting, and H. G. Zachau, *Angew Chem.*, 1966, **78**, 600; R. H. Hall, M. J. Robins, L. Stasiuk, and R. Thedford, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1966, **88**, 2614; M. J. Robins, R. H. Hall, and R. Thedford, *Biochemistry*, 1967, **6**, 1837; J. T. Madison, G. A. Everett, and H.-K. Kung, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1967, **242**, 1318; J. T. Madison and H.-K. Kung, *ibid.*, 1967, **242**, 1324; F. Skoog, D. J. Armstrong, J. D. Cherayil, A. C. Hampel, and R. M. Bock, *Science*, 1966, **154**, 1354; F. Skoog, H. Q. Hamzi, A. M. Szweykowska, N. J. Leonard, K. L. Carraway, T. Fujii, J. P. Helegson, and R. N. Loeppky, *Phytochemistry*, in the press.