Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 187(1980) 287—296
© Elsevier Sequoia, S.A., Lausanne—Printed in The Netherlands

Are α-Alkoxyalkyl Complexes Special?

13C-H Coupling Constant Evidence.

Jay A. Labinger

Department of Chemistry

University of Notre Dame

Notre Dame, Indiana, 46556 (USA)

(Received October 26th, 1979)

Summary

Complexes of type $L_n \text{MCH}_2 \text{O}_2 \text{CCMe}_3$ exhibit relatively large values of $^1J_{\text{CH}}$ for the α -carbon; however, unexpectedly large values are also found for the methyl analogs, $L_n \text{MCH}_3$. Thus this technique does not provide evidence for any unusual interactions in the former. Cleavage of the ester linkage by MeLi proceeded cleanly only for $L_n \text{M} = \text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3$, leading to $\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3^-$; with $L_n \text{M} = \text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5$ or $\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})_2$ electron transfer processes predominate. Such reactions are also observed for simple metal alkyls such as $\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})_2 \text{CH}_3$.

Introduction

Complexes of the form $L_n^{MCH}_2^{OR}$ have attracted attention for several years, initially as precursors to carbene complexes (1);

$$L_n$$
MCH₂OR + H⁺ \longrightarrow L_n MCH₂⁺ + ROH

and, more recently, as possible key intermediates (when R = H)

in homogeneous CO reduction (2). There has been some speculation that this structure leads to special interactions not common to metal alkyls in general. Notably, the $^1\mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of the α protons is well downfield of that in corresponding organic species R'CH2OR, in contrast to the general tendency of MCH2R to show upfield shifts (3). (Of course, inferences from chemical shifts are always suspect, especially when transition metals are involved.) More recently the crystal structure of CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)-(CH_2O-menthyl) was reported to exhibit short Fe-C_{\alpha} and long C_{\alpha}-O distances (by ca 0.1 and 0.05 A respectively), suggesting some contribution of the carbene-like structure in the ground state (4):

Fe-CH₂-OR
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 Fe⁺=CH₂-OR

Such a contribution would be consistent with the NMR observations.

We have been examining possible general routes for generation of L_nMCH₂OH, and prepared several complexes of the type L_nMCH₂O₂CCMe₃, in hopes that cleavage of the ester linkage followed by protonolysis would yield the desired species. (An attempted preparation of (CO)₅MnCHPhOH by a closely related route has previously been reported (2a)). These complexes show the same ¹H NMR behavior previously noted, and we decided to examine the ¹³C NMR spectra in hopes of shedding additional light on the nature of the bonding. In addition, the reactions of these complexes with methyllithium are described briefly.

Experimental

Manipulations were carried out under inert atmosphere, although the products appear to be air-stable, at least as solids. All reagents used are commercially available, including (CH₃)₃CCO₂CH₂Cl (Fluka), and were used without additional preparation; carbonylmetallate anions were generated from the corresponding dimers (5); methyllithium solutions were standardized

by double titration. Analyses were performed by Midwest Microlab, Indianapolis. Melting points were determined in sealed, evacuated capillaries and are uncorrected.

 13 C NMR spectra were run on approximately 1 M solutions in CDCl $_3$ (at 25.2 MHz, Varian XL-100 spectrometer) without adding Cr(acac) $_3$; under these conditions carbonyl signals were not generally detected. 13 C-H coupling constants were obtained by gating off the broad-band proton decoupling during acquisition (with the exception of CH $_3$ Mn(CO) $_5$, which did not give a good 13 C spectrum at all; 1 J $_{CH}$ was obtained from satellite peaks in the 1 H spectrum).

CpFe(CO)₂CH₂O₂CC(CH₃)₃ (Ia). A solution of 4.5 mmol CpFe(CO)₂Na in 30 ml THF was treated with a slight excess of (CH₃)₃CCO₂CH₂Cl at room temperature, resulting in an immediate color change from orange to yellow-brown and deposition of white solid. After stirring for several minutes, evaporation of solvent, extraction of the residue with 30 ml hexane, filtration, concentration of the filtrate to about \(\frac{1}{4} \) its original volume and cooling to -78° afforded pale yellow crystals: 1.0 g (76%); mp 44-46°. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₆FeO₄: C, 53.45; H, 5.52. Found: C, 53.45; H, 5.46. IR: \(\nu_{CO} \) (Nujol) 2020, 1945, 1749 cm⁻¹. \(\frac{1}{1} \) NMR: 1.10 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃); 4.85 (s, 5 H, Cp); 5.30 \(\hat{\delta} \) (s, 2 H, FeCH₂O). \(\frac{1}{3} \) C NMR: 27.5 (quartet of septets: \(\frac{1}{3} \) C_H = 126.8, \(\frac{3}{3} \) C_H = 4.5 Hz; C(CH₃)₃); 39.2 (m; -C(CH₃)₃); 54.5 (t: \(\frac{1}{3} \) C_H = 153.8 Hz; FeCH₂O); 85.3 (doublet of quintets: \(\frac{1}{3} \) C_H = 179.0, \(\frac{2}{3} \) C_H = \(\frac{3}{3} \) C_H = 6.6 Hz; Cp).

Preparation of the other two compounds was entirely analogous except that alkylation of the anions is slower, so reaction mixtures were stirred overnight before workup.

(CO) 5MnCH₂O₂CC(CH₃)₃ (<u>ib</u>) obtained as off-white microcrystals, mp 66-68°. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₁H₁₁MnO₇: C, 42.60; H, 3.58. Found: C, 42.18; H, 3.68. IR: v_{CO} (Nujol) 2092, 2020, 1965,

1721 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: 1.20 (s, 9 H, $C(CH_3)_3$); 4.75 δ (s, 2 H, $MnCH_2O$). ¹³C NMR: 27.3 (quartet of septets: $^1J_{CH} = 126.5$, $^3J_{CH} = 4.4$ Hz; $C(CH_3)_3$); 39.1 (m; $-C(CH_3)_3$); 54.5 (t: $^1J_{CH} = 152.4$ Hz; $MnCH_2O$).

CpMo(CO)₃CH₂O₂CC(CH₃)₃ (Ig) obtained as yellow plates, mp 67-71°. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{14}H_{16}MoO_5$: C, 46.68; H, 4.48. Found: C, 47.15; H, 4.63. IR: ν_{CO} (Nujol) 2016, 1984 (sh), 1908, 1709 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: 1.04 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃); 5.24 (s, 2 H, MoCH₂O); 5.37 & (s, 5 H, Cp). ¹³C NMR: 27.5 (quartet of septets: $^{1}J_{CH} = 126.9$, $^{3}J_{CH} = 4.4$ Hz; C(CH₃)₃); 39.2 (m, -C(CH₃)₃); 50.9 (t: $^{1}J_{CH} = 155.1$ Hz; MoCH₂O); 92.7 (doublet of quintets: $^{1}J_{CH} = 177.8$, $^{2}J_{CH} = ^{3}J_{CH} = 6.7$ Hz; Cp).

Reactions with methyllithium. Solutions of the appropriate alkyl in ether were cooled to -78° , treated with an equivalent amount of an ether solution of MeLi, and allowed to warm until a color change occurred (for Ia, about -25° ; Ib, about 0° ; Ic, no color change) or to room temperature, then quenched with ethereal HCl or CH₃I. Volatiles were distilled off and examined by NMR and gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (DuPont DP-101 instrument), while the residue was redissolved in benzene or CDCl₃ and its NMR examined.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of complexes $L_n \text{MCH}_2 \text{O}_2 \text{CC} (\text{CH}_3)_3$ was straightforward (6). Key NMR parameters for Ia-c and the corresponding methyl complexes, $L_n \text{MCH}_3$ (IIa-c) are listed in the Table. The upfield shifts observed for metal alkyls are generally considered to reflect the low electronegativity of a metal substituent, although as noted above, significant contributions from other factors such as magnetic anisotropy always make such correlations tenuous. In this context, however, the downfield shifts observed in the ^1H NMR for the α -protons of Ia-c appear striking, especially considering the fact that in a related

main-group metal alkyl, $\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_3$, the α -protons show the typical upfield shift (2.59 δ) (7).

 1 J $_{cu}$ coupling constants have been suggested as better probes of effective hybridization and electronegativity of substituents; a linear correlation between these values and ¹H shifts has been drawn for species without substantial anisotropy contributions In particular, the upfield shift of main group metal alkyls is reflected by 1JCH values smaller than those found for corresponding alkanes (9). Few 1JCH values have been reported for transition metal alkyls, aside from a series of platinum complexes (9). The value of $^2J_{HH}$ has been determined from $^2J_{HD}$ for a number of monodeuterated complexes L_nMCH₂D, including CpFe(CO)₂CH₂D. These values are greater (less negative) than for methane, consistent either with a high effective electronegativity for the metal group or π -donor ability of the latter (10). The second interpretation was preferred, again referring to the upfield chemical shift as an indication that such groups have low electronegativity.

¹J_{CH} for <u>Ia-c</u> are indeed higher than for corresponding organic compounds, consistent with the downfield shift and apparently not inconsistent with resonance contributions of the form suggested by structural data above. However, the ¹J_{CH} values for <u>IIa-c</u> are similarly higher than for alkanes; indeed, the differences are even greater than in the α-alkoxy species. If the data for these compounds is plotted on the ¹J_{CH} vs. chemical shift graph mentioned above (8), compounds <u>IIa-c</u> come out far off the line; <u>Ia-c</u> while still somewhat off, are far closer.

The simplest conclusion to draw is that there is not any unusual interaction in <u>la-c</u> manifested in the NMR: the down-field shifts are consistent with the coupling constants, and it is the <u>methyl</u> compounds whose shifts appear anomalous. This appears to suggest that these transition metal groups are rela-

TABLE. NMR PARAMETERS FOR a-CARBON

	Ηž	qĩ	υž	R¹OCH2R ^a	ĭĩã	q ĩĩ	ΞĩΞ	RCH ₃
l shift (δ, ± .05)	5.30	4.75	5,24	4.0	0.1	-0.1	0.2 1.0	1.0
13c shift (ppm, ± .5)	54.4	54.5	50.9	9	-23.5	-19.3	-21.8	14
¹ _{J_{CH}} (нг, ± .5)	153.8	152.4	155.1	145	135.0	140 (±2)	137.8	125

 $^{\rm a}{\rm Approximate}$ value for typical organic compound, cf. Ref. 12.

tively electronegative, in contrast to main-group metal-centered substituents such as $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{CH_3})_3$. Substituent effects on $^1\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CH}}$ seem to be additive in these systems: values of $^1\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CH}}$ for complexes II and for $\mathrm{CH_3OR}$ predict (11) a value of 153 \pm 4 Hz for complexes I, encompassing the observed value. While these arguments may be oversimplified in that they neglect other possible factors contributing to the magnitude of $^1\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CH}}$, generally the contact term (in which electronegativity effects are manifested) dominates (12). Still, it is possible that other factors might become important for transition metal alkyls; as noted earlier, $^1\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CH}}$ data on such compounds are rather sparse.

These results indicate that there is no need to invoke special interactions of the sort suggested by crystallographic results, in order to account for the NMR shifts. $^1J_{CH}$ coupling constants have been measured for several stable carbene complexes, including $Cp_2Ta(CH_3)$ (CH_2), 132 Hz (13), and $CpFe(CO)_2(CHPh)^+$, 146 Hz (1). These values, especially the latter which should be a fairly good model for the proposed resonance form contributing to Ia, show that substantial contributions of this type would not be inconsistent with the observed coupling constants. On the other hand, the above stable complexes show extreme downfield shifts for the α -protons, which should lead to strong deviations from the $^1J_{CH}$ vs. shift plot if there were important contributions from a carbene-like form. Since the deviations are relatively small (and on the upfield side), NMR data, at least, do not support the existence of these interactions.

The ¹³C shifts of the α -carbons in Ia-c are upfield from corresponding organic species, although by a much smaller amount than observed for methyl complexes IIa-c. Detailed interpretation of ¹³C shifts in transition metal complexes is notoriously difficult (14). Other ¹³C parameters are listed in the Experimental section and appear unexceptional; of some note is the

appearance of double quintets for the Cp carbons in \tilde{L}_a and \tilde{L}_c , indicating that $^2J_{CH}=^3J_{CH}$.

All complexes I react with methyllithium, but not by the same route. Ic requires an excess (> 2 equivalents) of MeLi for complete reaction; otherwise unreacted Ic remains. After neutralization the major organic product detected (NMR and GC-MS) is (CH₃)₃CC(CH₃)₂OH, while CpMo(CO)₃H is the only organometallic product. This implies that the desired ester cleavage does occur as the first step, giving CH₃COC(CH₃)₃ which reacts with additional MeLi much faster than does Ia. The resulting anion, CpMo(CO)₃CH₂O⁻, loses formaldehyde to give CpMo(CO)₃⁻, which is subsequently protonated to give the stable hydride. (That loss of CH₂O occurs here rather than after protonation is shown by quenching instead with CH₃I, leading to virtually quantitative formation of IIc; the alternative would have led to stable (3) CpMo(CO)₃CH₂OCH₃. This behavior parallels that previously reported for (CO)_EMnCHPhO⁻ (2a).

In contrast, addition of one equivalent of MeLi causes complete consumption of Ia or Ib, leading to deeply colored solutions and/or precipitates; NMR spectra suggest the presence of paramagnetic species. These reactions appear to include electron transfer rather than attack on the ester group, a mechanism which hence should not depend on the nature of the alkyl. Indeed, complexes CpFe(CO)₂R (R = CH₃, PhCH₂, η^1 -Cp) show completely similar behavior towards MeLi. It has long been known that, in many cases, the reaction of a transition metal halide (such as CpFe(CO),X) with an alkyllithium or Grignard reagent is a relatively poor preparative route (15), and these observations suggest a reason - excess reagent can consume desired product. It might be noted that CpFe(CO)(PPha)R can be prepared in very good yield by this route (16); we find that CpFe(CO)(PPh3)CH3, in contrast to IIa, is inert to MeLi. Studies aimed at elucidating the nature and mechanism of these reactions are continuing.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported under National Science Foundation grant CHE 77-01585. We thank Mr. Don Schifferl for assistance with NMR studies.

References

- M. Brookhart and G. O. Nelson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977)
 6099, and references cited therein.
- a. J. A. Gladysz, J. C. Selover and C. E. Strouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>100</u> (1978) 6766.
 - b. C. P. Casey, M. A. Andrews and D. R. McAlister, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>101</u> (1979) 3372.
 - c. J. R. Sweet and W. A. G. Graham, J. Organomet. Chem., <u>173</u> (1979) C9.
- M. L. H. Green, M. Ishaq and R. N. Whiteley, J. Chem. Soc.
 (A), (1967) 1508.
- C.-K. Chou, D. L. Miles, R. Bau and T. C. Flood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>100</u> (1978) 7271.
- R. B. King, "Organometallic Syntheses," Academic Press, New York (1965), pp. 145-162.
- After completion of this work, the synthesis of Ib was reported: B. D. Dombek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, (1979) 6466.
- J. M. Bellama and J. B. Davison, Inorg. Chem., <u>14</u> (1975)
 3119.
- R. S. Drago and N. A. Matwiyoff, J. Organomet. Chem., 3
 (1965) 62.
- M. H. Chisholm and S. Godleski; Progr. Inorg. Chem., <u>20</u>
 (1976) 299.
- J. D. Duncan, M. L. H. Green and K. A. McLauchlan, J. Chem.
 Soc. Chem. Commun. (1968) 721.

- J. H. Goldstein, V. S. Watts and L. S. Rattet, Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, Vol. 8, Part 2 (1971), pp. 134-139.
- J. B. Stothers, "Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy," Academic Press, New York (1972), pp. 321-325.
- 13. R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 6577.
- 14. J. Evans and J. R. Norton, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 3042.
- 15. T. S. Piper and G. Wilkinson, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., $\underline{3}$ (1956) 104.
- 16. D. L. Reger and E. C. Culbertson, Syn. React. Inorg. Metal-Org. Chem., 6 (1976) 1.