Fulvalene Structure and Dipolar Character. The X-Ray Crystal Structures of 1-Benzyl-2-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydropyridine, 1-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-4cyclopentadienylidene-1,4-dihydropyridine, and 2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-1-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2dihydroisoquinoline. Relationships between Five- and Six-Ring Bond Lengths, Five-Ring Vicinal Proton Coupling Constants, and Charge Densities

Herman L. Ammon* and George L. Wheeler

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742. Received March 30, 1974

Abstract: The crystal structures of the title compounds have been determined by X-ray diffractometry with Mo K α radiation. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined with full-matrix least-squares techniques with anisotropic temperature factors for C, N, and Cl and isotropic terms for H. Crystal data: 1-benzyl-2-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydropyridine (2a), monoclinic system, A2/a, a = 16.199, b = 7.974, c = 27.349 Å, $\beta = 132.02^{\circ}$, Z = 8, R = 0.050; 1-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-4-cyclopentadienylidene-1,4-dihydropyridine (3a), monoclinic, C2/c, a = 23.410, 9.015, 14.849 Å, $\beta = 113.48^{\circ}$, Z = 8, R = 0.050; 2-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-1-cyclopentadienylidene-1,4-dihydropyridine (3a), monoclinic, C2/c, a = 23.410, 9.015, 14.849 Å, $\beta = 113.48^{\circ}$, Z = 8, R = 0.050; 2-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-1-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydroisoqinoline (4a), monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 12.563, b = 12.426, c = 12.040 Å, $\beta = 118.90^{\circ}$, Z = 4, R = 0.043. The five-ring to six-ring C==C twist angles are 18.6, 2.6, and 31.2°, respectively, in 2a-4a and the interring C==C distances are 1.410, 1.388, and 1.412 Å. About 0.025 Å of the increase from a normal ethylenic value of 1.34 Å can be attributed to the C==C twist in 2a and 4a, and thus an increase in the C==C distances of ca. 0.04 Å over 1.34 Å is due to dipolar resonance contributions. π delocalization in the six rings of 2a and 4a is greater than that in the corresponding lactams, 2-pyridone and 1-isoquinolone. The five-ring bond lengths are correlated with vicinal proton coupling constants and with five-ring charge densities obtained from CNDO/2 calculations. The large dipole moment of the 4-cyclopentadienylidene fulvalene is due to a large dipole separation rather than charge magnitude.

Aromatic hydrocarbons typically have carbon-carbon distances which are between the normal values for single and double bonds. For example, the benzene carbon-carbon distance of 1.397 Å¹ is about half-way between the butadiene C==C and C--C lengths of 1.341 and 1.463 Å.² Although a carbon-carbon distance of 1.39-1.40 Å has long been recognized as a fundamental property of benzenoid systems, bond length criteria have rarely been used as an experimental measure of aromaticity.^{3,4} The reasons for this are undoubtedly associated with the (relative) difficulty of obtaining experimental bond length data from microwave, neutron, X-ray, or electron diffraction measurements. Julg⁵ has developed an aromaticity scale based on the equality of peripheral bond distances,⁶ but most "bond length like" assessments have used calculated bond orders.

The pentafulvenes (1a) have certain advantages for the investigation of bond length aromaticity relationships. These pseudo-aromatics can formally achieve a Hückel 6 π electron configuration by delocalization of the π electrons in the exocyclic C=C into the five ring (1b), and the five-



ring charge can be estimated, for example, from dipole moment data, ¹³C NMR data, and MO calculations. In the five-ring unsubstituted pentafulvenes (i.e., C(2), C(3), C(4), and C(5) are unsubstituted), the contribution of **1b** to the ground state resonance hybrid depends on the ability of the C(6) substituent(s) (R₁, R₂) to stabilize the positive charge developed on C(6). For example, the dipole moments of pentafulvene (1, $R_1 = R_2 = H$) and 6-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)pentafulvene (1, $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = NMe_2$) are 0.42^{7,9} and 4.5 D,¹¹ respectively. Another advantage of the pentafulvenes for bond length delocalization studies is that, together with the cyclopentadienylides and cyclopentadienides, the compounds form a series with a complete spectrum of π electron delocalization, ranging from *ca*. 0% in penta-fulvene itself to 100% in the cyclopentadienide anion.

In this paper, we report the crystal structures of 1-benzyl-2-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydropyridine (2a), 1-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-4-cyclopentadienylidene-1,4-dihydropyridine (3a), and 2-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-1-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline (4a). We have used these data, together with bond length and five-ring charge data from a number of other compounds, to develop relationships between five-ring charge density (e.g., 5) and carbon-carbon lengths in the C=CC=C region of the five ring, and between the five-ring distances and NMR vicinal proton coupling constants.

Results and Discussion

Molecular Conformations. ORTEP-II¹² drawings of 2a-4a are shown in Figures 1-3, and bond lengths and angles are given in Figures 4-6. The individual rings in the three compounds are reasonably planar; the largest deviations from planarity occur in the 1-isoquinolinidyl moiety of 4a. The planarity data are detailed in three tables which are included in the microfilm supplement; the data also are presented, in part, in Figures 1-3. The relatively large angles between the planes of the *N*-benzyl substituents and the planes of their respective dihydropyridine and isoquinoline rings reflect the steric factors operating between these groups, but the magnitudes of the angles, 84.4, 75.7, and 69.3° in **2a**-



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing perpendicular to the dihydropyridine ring of 2a. The numbers give the distances of the atoms from the least-squares plane of the dihydropyridine ring.



4a, bear no apparent relationship to the nature of the nitrogen heterocycle (pyridine or isoquinoline) or to the presence or absence of other substituents.

The angles between the normals to the five and heterocyclic six rings in the three fulvalenes have been analyzed in terms of twist and tilt components of the exocyclic C=C's connecting the rings (Table I). In the case of the 4-cyclopentadienylidenedihydropyridine (3a), the five- to six-ring interactions responsible for the C=C distortion are limited to relatively weak H...H contacts, and the resulting C=C twist is appropriately small at 2.6°. However, in the 2-cyclopentadienylidene derivative (2a), the proximity of the N-benzyl substituent to the double bond and to the five ring produces a substantial increase in these nonbonded interactions and the resulting twist is 18.6°. The direction of the C=C twist places the C(2C)-C(3C) side of the five ring (the side which is closest to the benzylic CH₂) on the same side (below) of the dihydropyridine ring as the benzene ring. This probably means that steric interactions between the benzylic CH_2 and five ring are solely responsible for the



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing perpendicular to the dihydropyridine ring of 3a. The numbers give the distances of the atoms from the least-squares plane of the dihydropyridine ring.



Figure 3. ORTEP drawing perpendicular to the dihydroisoquinoline ring of 4a. The numbers give the distances of the atoms from the least-squares plane of the dihydroisoquinoline ring.

Table I. Exocyclic C==C Twist and Tilt Angles (deg)^a in Pentafulvalenes

	Twist angle	Tilt angle ^b	
2a	18.6	4.5	
3a	2.6	0.8	
4a	31.2	1.9	
8	34.6	4.0	

^a See Experimental Section for the method of calculation. The calculations were based on the planes of the three-atom groups directly connected to the double bond termini. Calculations based on the least-squares planes of the five and six rings connected to the double bonds differed from the table values by no more than 2° . ^b The angle by which the plane at one C==C end is tilted above or below the plane at the other end.



Figure 4. Bond lengths (Å), angles (deg), and esd's (in parentheses) for 2a. The slanted H…H distances are between idealized hydrogen locations.¹³



Figure 5. Bond lengths (Å), angles (deg), and esd's (in parentheses) for 3a. The slanted H---H distances are between idealized hydrogen locations.¹³

C=C twist, since any steric contribution from the benzene ring should make the C=C twist in the opposite direction from that observed. The structural consequences of the benzyl-five-ring interaction can be seen in the exocyclic bond angles at N, C(2), and C(1C), in that the larger "inside" than "outside" angles permit an increase in the distances between the five ring and CH₂. The most dramatic example of these angle differences is found at C(1C), where the in-



Figure 6. Bond lengths (Å), angles (deg), and esd's (in parentheses) for 4a. The slanted H…H distances are between idealized hydrogen atom locations.¹³

side and outside angles are 132.6 and 121.5°, respectively. Benzo annelation of the C(2)-C(3) bond in 2a yields 4a, and the resulting extra steric bulk on the six-ring side of the double bond in 4a produces a twist enhancement to 31.2°. The steric pressures on both sides of the five ring must be fairly equal, since the compound shows no bond angle distortions at C(1) or C(1C).

The significant intramolecular H...H contacts are illustrated in Figures 4-6; distances between idealized hydrogen atom positions¹³ are given as slanted numbers in parentheses. The idealized H...H contacts in the 4-cyclopentadienvlidene fulvalene (3a) of 2.16 and 2.31 Å¹⁴ are only slightly less than the 2.4 Å van der Waals separation.¹⁵ and these distances are consistent with the small 2.6° C=C twist. The shorter contacts of 1.89 and 2.04 Å in 2a, and of 1.99 and 2.14 Å in 4a, are not unexpected in view of the increase in C=C crowding and the resulting twists of 18.6 and 31.2°, respectively. Intermolecular forces arising from crystal packing probably are not responsible for any of the aforementioned structural features, since the majority of the intermolecular distances in the three crystal structures are longer than the appropriate van der Waals distances. The shortest intermolecular contacts are only marginally less than the H.H and H.Cl contacts of 2.4 and 3.95 Å,^{15,16} respectively.

Five-Ring Bond Lengths. Bond distances in the five rings of the three fulvalenes differ substantially from the five-ring distances in simple fulvenes like pentafulvene⁸ and 6,6-dimethylpentafulvene,¹⁷ and from the C=CC=C regions in cyclopentadiene¹⁸ and spiro[2.4]hepta-4,6-diene¹⁹ (Table II). The C=CC=C distances in the cyclopentadienes are close to the butadiene values of 1.341 and 1.463 Å² and they are typical of localized $C(sp^2)=C(sp)^2$ and $C(sp^2) C(sp^2)$ bonds,²⁰ whereas the fulvalene distances of *ca.* 1.36 and 1.42 Å reflect an appreciable degree of five-ring π delocalization. The effects of enhanced π delocalization in the fulvalenes can also be seen in the five-ring C-C bond lengths adjacent to the interring C==C; these distances are 0.02-0.04 Å shorter than normal.

The Five-Ring to Six-Ring C=C Length. The five-ring to six-ring double bond lengths, 1.410 Å in 2a, 1.388 Å in 3a, and 1.412 Å in 4a, are long compared to normal C=C values such as the 1.34 Å length in ethylene²¹ and the 1.347 Å C(1)-C(6) distance in 6,6-dimethylpentafulvalene,¹⁷ indicating that the fulvalene connections contain appreciable amounts of single bond character.²² Whereas, some of the single bond character arises from dipolar resonance forms (e.g., $5 \leftrightarrow 2$), a portion of the lengthening is due to double bond twist and perhaps to stretch produced by the five-ring

	d(C==C)	d(CC)	J(C==C)	J(CC)	Δd^{a}	ΔJ^b	Qc
	1.342	1.469 ^d	5.06	1.94 ^e	0.127	3.12	
\square	1.341	1.460 ^f					
	1.355	1.476 <i>8</i>			0.121		0.025
	1.340	1.462 ^h	5.45	2.40 ⁱ	0.122	3.05	0.130
³ ⁴ ⁵ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	2-3 = 1.353 4-5 = 1.362 Av = 1.358	1.414 <i>i</i>	2-3 = 4.55 4-5 = 4.58 Av = 4.56	2.48 ^k ,1	0.056	2.08	0.282
CHO ^a ^a ^b ^c ^c N(CH ₃) ₂ 18	4-5 = 1.376	1.393 <i>i</i>	4.5	3.1 <i>k</i>	0.017	1.4	
	1.376, 1.393 Av = 1.384	1.401 <i>m</i>	3.84	2.80 ⁱ	0.017	1.04	0.840 ⁿ
	1.386, 1.3899 Av = 1.387		4.0 ^r				
	2-3 = 1.363 4-5 = 1.374 Av = 1.368	1.413	4.51	2.540	0.045	1.97	0.401 <i>P</i>
	1.357, 1.367 Av = 1.362	1.413	4.29	2.29	0.051	2.00	0.346P
$r_{4}^{3} \xrightarrow{q^{2}}_{9} \xrightarrow{N}_{5}$ BzCl ₂ 4a	2-3 = 1.375 4-5 = 1.364 Av = 1.369	1.425	4.33	2.31	0.056	2.02	0.401 <i>P</i>

Table II. Bond Lengths (A) and Vicinal Proton Coupling Constants (Hz) for the C==CC==C Regions of Some Five-Ring Compounds (Five-Ring Charge, e)

 $a \Delta d = d(CC) - d(C = C)$. $b \Delta J = J(C = C) - J(CC)$. ^c Five-ring charge (total charge on five carbons plus four hydrogen atoms) from CNDO/2 calculations. ^d Reference 17. ^e Reference 41. ^f Reference 18. ^g Reference 8. ^h Reference 16. ⁱ Reference 45. ^j Reference 27. ^k A. Mannschreck and U. Kolle, *Chem. Ber.*, **102**, 243 (1969). ^l Crabtree and Bertelli (ref 34) reported $J_{23} = 4.56$, $J_{45} = 4.73$, $J_{34} = 2.52$ Hz. $\Delta J = 2.12$ A is very similar to the 2.08-Hz value obtained from the data of Mannschreck and Kolle. ^m Reference 44. ⁿ For tri-*n*-propylphosphonium cyclopentadienylide: K. Iwata, S. Yoneda, and Z. Yoshida, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **93**, 6745 (1971). ^o From the N-methyl derivative (ref 36). ^p From calculations on the N-methyl derivative. ^q H. L. Ammon and U. Mueller-Westerhoff, Tetrahedron, **30**, 1437 (1974). ^r U. Mueller-Westerhoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **92**, 4849 (1970).

to six-ring steric interactions. Some previous structural work on symmetrical pentafulvalenes has pointed up several examples of long interring C=C distances which may be due to steric effects (Table III). In the case of the bisfluorenylidene 6, the C=C length (C(9)-C(9') = 1.39 Å) and 40° twist have been cited as evidence for diradical character in the compound,²³ and in the octahalopentafulvalenes, 8 and 9, the C=C lengths have been related to the loss or π -bond character accompanying bond twist.^{24,25}

The difference between the 1.365 Å interring C=C distance in octachloropentafulvalene (8, 34.6° C=C twist) and the 1.34 Å distance in planar ethylene is 0.025 Å; similar differences exist between the five-ring to six-ring distances in 2a (18.6° twist) and 3a (2.6° twist), 0.022 Å, and between 4a (31.2° twist) and 3a, 0.024 Å. These differences suggest that 0.02-0.03 Å of the C=C lengthening in 2a, 4a, and 8 is due to a loss of π -bond character accompanying twist. Assuming that 0.02-0.03 Å of the C=C distances in twisted fulvalenes 2a and 4a are due to twist, and that the C=C's are not directly stretched by steric interactions,^{24,25b} then C=C distances of 1.38-1.39 Å reflect the loss of π -bond character accompanying charge transfer

 Table III.
 Interring C==C Lengths and Twist Angles in Symmetrical Pentafulvalenes

R 9 6, R = C 7, R = H	$ \begin{array}{c} $	X X X X X X X X X X X X X = Cl X = Br	
Compd	Length, Å	Twist angle, deg	
6	1.39	40 <i>a</i>	
7	1.39	43 ^b	
8	1.365	36.2c	
0	1 385	38 5đ	

^a Reference 23. ^b N. A. Bailey, personal communication. ^c Reference 24. ^d Reference 25.

from the six to five rings²⁶ in **2a-4a**. The exocyclic C=C bonds in 6-(N,N-dimethylamino)pentafulvene (1.386 Å) and 2-formyl-6-(N,N-dimethylamino)pentafulvene (1.388 Å)²⁷ are two examples of long bonds caused by electronic

	$\int_{0}^{5} \frac{4}{1 N^{-2}} O$	$\substack{N\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $		$\int_{7}^{6} \underbrace{\bigcup_{8}^{5} \int_{6a}^{4a}}_{O} \frac{4}{N^{2}}_{R}$	
	10a	lla	2a	12a	4a
$ \begin{array}{c} R \\ Structure ref \\ N(1)-C(2)^c \ or \ C(1)-N(2)^d \\ C(2)-C(3) \ or \ C(1)-C(8a) \\ C(3)-C(4) \ or \ C(4a)-C(8a) \\ C(4)-C(5) \ or \ C(4)-C(4a) \\ C(5)-C(6) \ or \ C(3)-C(4) \\ N(1)-C(6) \ or \ N(2)-C(3) \\ C(4a)-C(5) \\ C(5)-C(6) \\ C(6)-C(7) \\ C(7)-C(8) \\ C(8)-C(8a) \\ \end{array} $	2,6-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃ CH ₂ <i>a</i> 1.400 1.457 1.349 1.389 1.336 1.374	2,6-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃ CH ₂ <i>a</i> 1.358 1.406 1.377 1.385 1.380 1.375	C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ This paper 1.384 1.432 1.351 1.402 1.338 1.384	2,6-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃ CH ₂ b 1.390 1.466 1.413 1.427 1.334 1.383 1.403 1.370 1.382 1.379 1.391	2,6-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃ CH ₂ This paper 1.384 1.457 1.424 1.423 1.337 1.392 1.407 1.370 1.397 1.377 1.399

^a Reference 29a. ^b Reference 29b. ^c Pyridinoid numbering. ^d Isoquinolinoid numbering.

 Table V.
 CNDO/2 Positive Charge Distribution in Three

 Pyridinoid Compounds
 Pyridinoid Compounds



^{*a*} Total six-ring charge including methyl group. ^{*b*} Total charge minus charge on C(2). ^{*c*} Percentage of total charge not on C(2).

reorganization and not by steric stretching. In both of these compounds, the dimethylamino groups and their respective five rings are coplanar, maximizing the dimethylamino to five-ring resonance interaction.

Delocalization in the Six Rings. We have performed CNDO/2 calculations on 1-methyl-2-pyridone, 2-methyl-1-isoquinolone, and 1-methyl-2-ethoxypyridinium cation, and the N-methyl fulvalene derivatives 2b-4b, to obtain information on the atomic charge densities. The pyridone, isoquinolone, and pyridinium cation were included in the calculations to permit six-ring charge and delocalization comparisons with the fulvalenes. The atomic coordinates used to specify the geometries of the key molecular fragments were taken from X-ray crystallographic data, but in all cases the original N substituents of benzyl or 2,6-dichlorobenzyl were replaced by methyl.²⁸ The six-ring bond length data for the original compounds are given in Table IV; the CNDO/2 charge data for the N-methyl models are summarized in Tables V and VI.

Bond lengths in the six rings of the 2-pyridone $(10a)^{29}$ and the 2-cyclopentadienylidenyl fulvalene (2a) are similar, but differences of approximately 0.02 Å are found in the ring bonds at C(2), the point of ring substitution by =O in 10a and =C₅H₄ in 2a. These distances are shorter in the fulvalene, reflecting an increase of double bond character in N(1)-C(2) and C(2)-C(3), and suggesting that π delocalization is larger in the fulvalene. However, the extent of delocalization in both of these compounds is small compared to the pyridinium cation (11a). We have used Julg's basic method⁵ to calculate aromaticity indices from the peripheral bond lengths in the six rings in 2a, 10a, and 11a. With respect to pyridine with 100% delocalization and cyclohexatriene with 0% delocalization, the percentages of delocalization are 32% in the pyridone (10a), 49% in the fulvalene (2a), and 87% in the ethoxypyridinium cation (11a).³⁰

Since the overall charge separation in the fulvalene and pyridone is about the same (0.38-0.40 e; Table V), the enhanced π delocalization in the six ring of the fulvalene compared to the pyridone is probably related to the relative magnitudes of positive charge on C(2). The large -0.377charge on oxygen in 10b produces a correspondingly large positive charge (0.324) on C(2) which amounts to 90.8% of the total six-ring charge in the pyridone; hence only 9.2% of the charge is delocalized. In the fulvalene, the charge on C(1C) of the five ring is only -0.109 and the opposite charge on C(2) is 0.195; hence 51.5% of the fulvalene's sixring charge is delocalized to other atoms. Thus we can conclude that six-ring π delocalization is larger in the fulvalene than in the pyridone, despite the fact that the overall magnitudes of charge separation (40-43% of the delocalization in the pyridinium cation 11b) are approximately equal in the two systems.³¹

Crews, Kintner, and Padgett³² have recently reported nmr coupling constants for unsaturated, six-ring, nitrogencontaining heterocycles, similar to 2. They used the six-ring coupling constant ratio, J_{45}/J_{34} , to suggest that the amount of six-ring delocalization in 2b was ca. 35% of the (complete) delocalization in pyridine. The 35% figure is in remarkably good agreement with our 40% estimate from six-ring charge densities. Interestingly, their six-ring vicinal coupling constants, $J_{34} = 9.27$, $J_{45} = 6.61$, and $J_{56} = 6.74$ Hz, bear little apparent relation to the corresponding carbon-carbon bond distances, $d_{34} = 1.351$, $d_{45} = 1.402$, and $d_{56} = 1.338$ Å, from our X-ray study of 2a. Compared to J_{45} and J_{56} , the disproportionately large 9.27-Hz value for J_{34} may be due to steric interactions between H(3) and the five ring which could significantly increase the C(2)-C(3)-H(3) angle, reducing the H(3)-H(4) distance and altering the C(3) hybridization from the ideal $sp^{2,33}$

Although bond length differences (Table IV) between the isoquinolinoid rings in 1-isoquinolone 12a and fulvalene 4a are smaller than those observed in the pyridinoid system, the general patterns of positive charge distribution in 12b and 4b (Table VI) are virtually identical to those in 10b and 2b. For example, the O and C(1) charges in 12b are -0.366and 0.333, whereas the C(1C) and C(1) charges in 4b are -0.112 and 0.194. Again, six-ring π delocalization appears

 Table VI.
 CNDO/2 Positive Charge Distribution in Two

 Isoquinolinoid Compounds
 Compounds



^{*a*} Total charge on the ten isoquinolinoid atoms including the methyl group. ^{*b*} Total charge minus charge on C(1). ^{*c*} Percentage of total charge not on C(1).

to be greater in the fulvalene (4b) than in the lactam (12b), despite similar total charge separation.

Charge Separation in the Cyclopentadienylidenedihydropyridines 2 and 3. Bond lengths in the five rings of 2a and 3a reflect the delocalization of substantial negative charge, and we have used CNDO/2 calculations on the N-methyl derivatives, 2b and 3b,35 to access the relative amounts of charge separation in the compounds. The data presented in Table VII show that the large dipole moment of 3 compared to 2 is caused by a relatively large dipole separation in the compound and not by a large difference in the charge magnitudes between the fulvalenes. The distance (d) between the positions of the positive and negative charge centers in 3 is 0.7 Å (30%) larger than in 2, while the overall charge magnitudes (q, from $\mu = qd$) are approximately equal at 0.593 e in 2 and 0.570 e in 3. However, the total charge delocalized in the five ring of 2, 0.401 e, is 16% greater than the corresponding quantity in 3.36

We have also carried out CNDO/2 calculations on models of **2b** and **3b** with identical five-ring and six-ring geometries, to examine the effect of molecular geometry on charge separation. Bond lengths from the five and six rings of **2a** and **3a** were averaged to obtain a set of five- and sixring bond lengths for the model calculations; the model five-ring geometries were identical; the six-ring geometries were slightly different to account for the position of cyclopentadienylidene substitution; $C(sp^2)$ -H and $C(sp^3)$ -H distances of 1.07 and 1.09 Å, respectively, were used throughout. The five-ring charge in the **3b** model was -0.372, the charge was -0.401 in the planar model of **2b** and -0.420 in a **2b** model with a 20° twist in the five-ring to six-ring bond.

Five-Ring Bond Lengths, NMR Vicinal Coupling Constants and Charge. Karplus³⁹ first showed that the magnitudes of the NMR coupling constants for vicinal protons could be correlated with a number of properties of the H-C-C-H fragment.40 In the absence of variations in C-C dihedral and H-C-C angles which would effect the H---H separation, and neglecting electronic and other factors which can influence coupling constants, the vicinal proton coupling constant (J_{vic}) should be inversely proportional to the H.H distance which, in turn, can be directly related to the C-C distance. $J_{\rm vic}$, therefore, can be used to estimate C-C equality or inequality, and hence can serve as a (in)direct measure of π -bond delocalization in conjugated systems to the same extent that C-C distances are related to π delocalization. In the five-ring system, for example, the 3.5-Hz average of $J_{C=C} = 5.06$ Hz and $J_{C=C} = 1.94$ Hz from cyclopentadiene (Table II),⁴¹ a molecule with minimal π delocalization, is identical to the $J_{\rm vic}$ from the extensively delocalized 1,2-dicarbomethoxycyclopentadienide anion.⁴²

The majority of the previous work on $J_{\text{vic}}-\pi$ delocalization correlations has used computed π bond orders as the



Figure 7. d (Å) vs. J (Hz) plot for eight fulvenes plus cyclopentadiene. The letters refer to specific C-C and C=C bonds in the compounds (see Table V). The equation of the line is d = 1.502 - 0.031J.

Table VII. CNDO/2 Data for the 2- and 4-Cyclopentadienylidenedihydropyridines, 2a and 3a

		Cal	cd µ, D	Dinole			
Compd	Exptl µ, D	Total	Charge density ^a	separa- tion, ^b Å	Dipole Charge	Charge in five ring ^c	
2a 3a	5.2d 8.9e	7.3 <i>f</i> 9.3	6.5 8.1	2.278 2.973	0.593 0.570	0.401 0.346	

^a Evaluated from the atomic charges. ^b Evaluated from the average positions of the + and – atomic charges. These positions were individually computed using $\sum r_i |q_i| / \sum |q_i|$, where r_i is a vector from an arbitrary origin to charge q_i , which gives the location of the charge $\sum |q_i|$ relative to the arbitrary origin. ^c Total charge on the five C and four H atoms. ^d Reference 38. ^e For the N-benzyl derivative, 3c (ref 38). ^f The possibility that different amounts of C==C twist in the N-methyl and N-benzyl derivatives of 2 might have a significant dipole moment effect was investigated with a CNDO/2 calculation on a planar 2b (i.e., C==C twist of θ°). The total five-ring charge in the planar model was only 0.02 e less than the 18.6° twisted model; calculated $\mu = 6.9$ D.

measure of the delocalization parameter. Studies relating $J_{\rm vic}$ to both bond orders and bond lengths have been reported for six rings,43 whereas work on this kind of relationship for five rings has been limited to bond orders.^{44,45} The $J_{\rm vic}$ -bond order correlations, which require careful and consistent paramaterization of the bond order calculations, have been successful, although the more fundamental relationship is the $J_{\rm vic}$ -bond length one.⁴⁶ We have plotted the C = C - C = C bond length and vicinal coupling constant data from the five rings of cyclopentadiene, a phosphonium cyclopentadienylide, and seven fulvenes in Figure 7 (data in Table II). A least-squares line through all 18 points has the equation d(A) = 1.502 - 0.031J(Hz); the standard error of the population is 0.041 Å and the correlation coefficient is 0.926. Points b and d, which are for the single carboncarbon bonds in cyclopentadiene and 6,6-dimethylpentafulvene, show the largest deviations from the line, and elimination of these data from the least-squares fit gives a 16-point equation of d (Å) = 1.475 - 0.025J (Hz); the standard error and correlation coefficient are 0.007 Å and 0.970, respectively. The *d*-axis intercepts (at J = 0 Hz) of the two lines are without significance since it seems highly unlikely that $J_{\rm vic}$ would be zero for a 1.47-1.50 Å bond. That is, the d vs. J curve should terminate in the region of ca. d = 1.47Å and J = 2.0 Hz.

Although the linear fits are reasonably good, some of the scatter is due to inconsistencies in the average values of



Figure 8. Δd (Å) vs. ΔJ (Hz) plot for seven fulvenes. The equation of the line is $\Delta d = -0.059 + 0.058 \Delta J$.

 $J_{C=C}$ and $J_{C=C}$, and of $d_{C=C}$ and $d_{C=C}$, for different compounds. For example, the J averages in 6,6-dimethylpentafulvene and triphenylphosphonium cyclopentadienylide are 3.9 and 3.3 Hz, respectively, while their d averages are considerably closer at 1.40 and 1.39 Å. In an attempt to avoid the problems caused by variations in the J's, we replotted the data for eight compounds as $\Delta J = J_{C=C} - J_{C-C}$ vs. $\Delta d = d_{C-C} - d_{C=C}$. The equation of the leastsquares line illustrated in Figure 8 is Δd (Å) = -0.059 + 0.058 ΔJ (Hz); the standard error and correlation coefficient are 0.0009 Å and 0.980, respectively.

Assuming that the Δd vs. ΔJ line can be linearly extrapolated to $\Delta d = 0.0$ Å, a minimum value of 1.02 Hz is indicated for ΔJ . Although we know of no other five-ring Δd - ΔJ data with which this minimum can be compared, ΔJ data are available for a number of highly delocalized fivering compounds, and these data all suggest that the minimum ΔJ is close to 1 Hz. The compounds and their ΔJ 's are: triphenylarsonium cyclopentadienylide, 0.75 Hz;47 dimethylsulfonium cyclopentadienylide, 0.93 Hz,47 1.1 Hz;48 and trimethylammonium cyclopentadienylide, 0.88 Hz.45 Although bond length data are not presently available for these compounds, calculated π -bond orders⁴⁷ suggest that π -delocalization should decrease from the arsonium to the sulfonium to the triphenylphosphonium cyclopentadienylide. The increase in π -bond order differences from the As to S to P ylides parallels the increase in ΔJ 's.

In the pentafulvenes, an increase in five-ring π delocalization is accompanied by an increase in the total five-ring negative charge density, e.g., localized canonical form $2 \leftrightarrow$ delocalized canonical form 5. Therefore, an increase in pentafulvene π delocalization should cause increases in both the five-ring bond length equality and charge density.

We have correlated the total five-ring charge (Q), evaluated from CNDO/2 calculations, with the π -delocalization Δd parameter, for six pentafulvenes plus the cyclopentadienide anion. The Q and Δd data are listed in Table II and plotted in Figure 9. The curve shown in Figure 9, derived by a least-squares fit with a three-term polynomial, has the equation $Q = 1.033 - 16.237\Delta d + 65.210\Delta d^2$. The point labeled 14 (for 6,6-dimethylpentafulvene) in the figure was omitted from the least-squares fit because inclusion of the point produced an unrealistic Q minimum in the curve at approximately $\Delta d = 0.10$ Hz.⁴⁹ The root-mean-square deviation of the seven Q's from their calculated values is 0.046.



Figure 9. Q (five-ring charge) vs. Δd plot for seven fulvenes plus cyclopentadienide. The equation of the line, which was calculated without the point for compound 14, is $Q = 1.033 - 16.237\Delta d + 65.210\Delta d^2$.

C=C Rotational Barriers. The correspondence between the C-N distances of 1.331 Å in 6-(N,N-dimethylamino)pentafulvene (15) and 1.309 Å in 2-formyl-6-(N,N-dimethylamino)pentafulvene and the NMR measured ΔG^{\dagger} 's for C-N rotation of 13.5 and 17.9 kcal mol⁻¹ (18),⁵⁰ respectively, has previously been noted.²⁷ The C=C rotational barrier is the energy difference between the (planar) ground state and a dipolar, perpendicular transition state. Steric effects, which can raise the energy of the planar ground state, and electronic effects, which can stabilize charge separation in the transition state, are thought to be the main factors determining the height of the C=C barrier.⁵⁰ For example, the 19.1 kcal mol⁻¹ E_a for C=C rotation in 1-methyl-2-cyclopentadienylidene-2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyrrole (19)³⁴ is smaller than the 21.9 kcal mol⁻¹ bar-



rier³⁴ in 15, presumably because of additional steric forces in 19 which increase the energy of the ground state by preventing the CH₃NCCH₂ segment and five ring from being completely coplanar.^{50,51} In the case of the dihydropyridinylfulvalene (2b), the small 11.4 kcal mol⁻¹ barrier³⁴ is undoubtedly associated with better electronic stabilization of the dipolar transition state, and with an increase in the ground state energy due to steric interactions. The electronic factor is exemplified by the computed five-ring, groundstate charge densities, e.g. -0.28 in 15 and -0.40 in 2b, since the same effects that enhance ground-state polarity should also stabilize the dipolar transition state. The ground-state steric effect is exemplified in 2a by the energy raising 18.6° C=C twist and the larger "inside" angles at N, C(2), and C(1C).

Experimental Section

Melting points are uncorrected. Ultraviolet-visible spectra were recorded on a Cary 15 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded as either CCl₄ or HCCl₃ solutions on a Beckman IR8 spectrometer. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (60 MHz) were recorded on a Hitachi Perkin-Elmer R-20A spectrometer with TMS as an internal standard. Elemental analyses were performed by Dr. Franz Kasler of this Department.

1-Benzyl-2-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydropyridine (2a) was prepared⁵² from 1-benzyl-2-bromopyridinium chloride and sodium

Table VIII. Crystal and Intensity Measurement Data

	1-Benzyl-2-cyclopenta- dienylidene-1,2-dihydro- pyridine	1-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)- 4-cyclopentadienylidene- 1,4-dihydropyridine	2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)- 1-cyclopentadienylidene- 1,2-dihydroisoquinoline
Molecular formula	$C_{17}H_{15}N$	C ₁₇ H ₁₃ NCl ₂	C ₂₁ H ₁₅ NCl ₂
Crystallization solvent	Benzene	DMF	Chloroform
Crystal size	Red block, $0.33 \times 0.44 \times 0.27 \text{ mm}$	Purple needle, $0.25 \times 0.30 \times 0.40 \text{ mm}$	Purple plate, $0.2 \times 0.3 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}$
Space group	A2/a	C2/c	$P2_1/n$
Unit cell parameters (esd)	a = 16.199 (4)	a = 23.410(3)	a = 12.5631 (8)
	b = 7.974 (2)	b = 9.0153 (5)	b = 12.426(2)
	c = 27.349 (6) Å	c = 14.849 (1) Å	c = 12.040 (1) Å
	$\beta = 132.02 (2)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 113.48 (1)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 118.90 (1)^{\circ}$
Average $2\theta_0 - 2\theta_c$, deg	0.007	0.002	0.002
Ζ	8	8	4
<i>V</i> , Å ³	2624	2874	1645
Neutral bouyancy in aq KI soln, g cm ⁻³			
Pexnti	1.189	1.400	1.422
ρx-ray	1.181	1.396	1.421
R.1. vector parallel to diffractometer ϕ axis	[0,0,1]	[7, -1, -2]	[0,8,0]
2θ scan	2.2° at 0.5° min ⁻¹	1.4° at 1.0° min -1	2.0° at 1.0° min -1
Time per background, sec	40	20	40
Max 2θ , deg (sin θ/λ)	55 (0.6497)	55 (0.6497)	55 (0.6497)
Instability factor	8.69×10^{-5}	6.40×10^{-5}	4.46×10^{-5}
Total number of unique data (data 3σ above background)	3042 (2328)	3514 (2125)	3788 (2875)

cyclopentadienide. Recrystallization from benzene gave red, block-shaped crystals, mp $141-142^{\circ}$ (lit⁵² $141-142^{\circ}$).

1-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-4-cyclopentadienylidene-1,4-dihydropyridine (3a) was synthesized⁵³ from <math>1-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)pyridinium bromide and cyclopentadiene in ethanolic sodium ethoxide. Recrystallization from DMF gave red-purple prisms, mp 199-200° (lit.⁵³ 199-200°).

2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-1-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline (4a) was prepared in a similar manner to Meerwein's⁵⁴ synthesis of 1-methyl-2-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydroquinoline. A solution of 3.8 g (0.01 mol) of 2-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-1,1diethoxy-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline in 20 ml of absolute EtOH was combined under N₂ with 2.5 ml (0.03 mol) of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene. The mixture was refluxed for 2 hr, and then cooled to 0°, precipitating the product as purple iridescent flakes. Recrystallization from DMF gave 2.6 g (74%) of purple prisms: mp 150-151°; uv (95% EtOH) λ_{max} (ϵ) 2860 (5440), 3060 sh (3,300), 4730 nm (10,350); uv (10⁻⁴ M acidic 95% EtOH) λ_{max} (ϵ) 3480 nm (4150); NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.50 (m, 7 H, phenyl and H5-H8), 6.85 (d, 1 H, J = 7 Hz, H3), 6.60 (m, 5 H, 5 ring and H4), 5.95 (s, 2 H, CH₂).

2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-1,1-diethoxy-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline was prepared in a similar manner to Meerwein's⁵⁴ synthesis of 1methyl-2,2-diethoxy-1,2-dihydroquinoline. 2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-1-ethoxyisoquinolinium fluoroborate (2.4 g, 0.006 mol) was combined under N_2 with 20 ml of 0.5 M ethanolic sodium ethoxide solution, and the mixture was stirred for 4 hr at room temperature. The resulting solution, which now contained some precipitated sodium fluoroborate, was evaporated leaving a white yellow solid. The bulk of the material was extracted into dry benzene and the insoluble inorganic salt was separated by filtration. Evaporation of the solvent left a yellowish solid which was recrystallized from petroleum ether (bp 30-60°): yield 1.8 g (80%); mp 154-155°; uv (absolute EtOH) λ_{max} (ϵ) 2780 sh (4790), 2850 (5260), 3030 inf (4150), 3520 nm (2,300); ir (CCl₄) 1640 (s), 1290 (s), 1065 cm⁻¹ (s, broad); NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.3 (m, 7 H, phenyl and H5-H8), 6.23 (d, 1 H, J = 8 Hz, H3), 5.22 (d, 1 H, J = 8 Hz, H4), 4.90 (s, 1 H, J = 10 Hz, H4)2 H, benzyl CH₂), 3.20 (2 overlapping q, 4 H, O-CH₂-CH₃), 1.10 $(t, 6 H, J = 7 Hz, O-CH_2-CH_3).$

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}O_2NCl_2$: C, 63.50; H, 5.59; N, 3.70. Found: C, 63.52; H, 5.67; N, 3.57.

2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl-1-ethoxyisoquinolinium Fluoroborate. Triethyloxonium fluoroborate was prepared according to the general directions of Meerwein⁵⁵ from freshly distilled boron trifluoride etherate, epichlorohydrin, and dry ether (distilled from LAH). With the use of a drybox, 5.7 g (0.03 mol) of the alkylating agent was weighed and dissolved in 20 ml of dry dichloromethane (distilled from LAH). A solution of 9.1 g (0.03 mol) of 2-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-1-isoquinolone in an additional 20 ml of dry dichloro-

methane was placed under N₂ in a three-neck flask fitted with a condenser, magnetic stirrer, and N₂ inlet. The solution of the alkylating agent was quickly pipeted into the reaction flask, and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Evaporation of the solvent left a yellow solid which was recrystallized from nitrobenzene-ethyl ether: yield 11.3 g (90%) of white powder; mp 150-151°; uv (absolute EtOH) λ_{max} (ϵ) 2480 (7300), 2780 (9600), 2870 (9500), 3150 (3750), 3240 (4400), 3370 nm (3000); ir (CHCl₃) 1070 cm⁻¹ (vs, broad, C-O-C); NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 8.3 (m, 1 H, isoquinoline), 7.6 (m, 6 H, isoquinoline and phenyl), 7.0 (d, 1 H, J = 7 Hz, H3), 6.6 (d, 1 H, J = 7 Hz; H4), 5.4 (s, 2 H, benzyl CH₂), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7 Hz, O-CH₂-CH₃), 1.3 (t, 3 H, J = 7 Hz, O-CH₂-CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₆NOCl₂BF₄: C, 51.47; H, 3.84; N, 3.33. Found: C, 51.70; H, 3.94; N, 3.18.

2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-1-isoquinolone. A solution of 110 g (0.030 mol) of 2-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)isoquinolinium bromide in 500 ml of water was treated simultaneously with solutions of 113 g (2.0 mol) of potassium hydroxide and 210 g of potassium ferricyanide. Three recrystallizations from cyclohexane with Norite yielded 14.7 g (16%) of colorless product: mp 143-145°; uv (95% EtOH) λ_{max} (ϵ) 2200 sh (30,100), 2480 (7400), 2780 (10,000), 2870 (9800), 3140 sh (4000), 3240 (4600), 3370 nm sh (3100); ir (CCl₄) 1660 (vs), 1620 (s), 1430 cm⁻¹ (s); NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.6 (m, 7 H, phenyl and H5-H8), 6.90 (d, 1 H, J = 8 Hz, H3), 6.50 (d, 1 H, J = 8 Hz, H4), 5.65 (s, 2 H, CH₂).

2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)isoquinolinium Bromide. A solution of 13 g (0.1 mol) of isoquinoline and 18 g (0.08 mol) of 2,6-dichlorobenzyl bromide in 50 ml of acetone was refluxed for 5 hr. The white salt which precipitated during reflux was filtered, washed with petroleum ether (bp 60-100°), and recrystallized twice from 95% ethanol: 22 g (75%): mp 224.5-225°; uv (95% EtOH) λ_{max} (ϵ) 2340 (57,500), 2700 (4100), 2770 (4400), 3380 nm (4000); NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 10.15 (s, 1 H, H1), 8.3 (m, 6 H, H3-H8), 7.60 (s, 3 H, phenyl), 6.28 (s, 2 H CH₂).

General X-Ray Diffraction Experimental. The X-ray crystallographic techniques used here have been previously detailed.⁵⁶ Intensity data were measured on a computer-controlled Picker FACS-I diffractometer using monochromatic Mo radiation and the 2θ - θ scan method. Crystal and intensity measurement data are listed in Table VIII.

(a) Structure Solution by Direct Methods. For 2a, an E map computed with 279 terms (138+, 141-) revealed the 18 C and N peaks plus a single spurious peak centered in the pyridine ring. For 3a, an E map computed with 502 terms (266+, 236-) revealed the 20 C, N, and Cl atoms. For 4a, an E map using 915 terms (453+, 462-) revealed the 24 C, N, and Cl positions. All H atoms were located from difference maps.

(b) Structure refinement was done by full-matrix least-squares

Table IX.Fractional Atomic Coordinates, Thermal Parameters (\mathbb{A}^2), and Esd's (in Parentheses) for 1-Benzyl-2-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydropyridine.

Atom	X	Y	Ζ	$U ext{ or } U_{11}$	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U12	U ₁₃	U23
N	0.4006 (1)	0.0485 (2)	0.11106 (7)	0.0378 (8)	0.052 (1)	0.049 (1)	0.0048 (8)	0.0294 (8)	0.0108 (8)
C(2)	0.3129(1)	0.0322 (2)	0.10862 (8)	0.0339 (9)	0.049(1)	0.037 (1)	0.0082 (9)	0.0231 (8)	0.0119 (9)
C(3)	0.2967 (2)	0.1739 (3)	0.1336(1)	0.051 (1)	0.051(1)	0.066 (1)	0.003 (1)	0.040(1)	0.001 (1)
C(4)	0.3619 (2)	0.3117 (3)	0.1579(1)	0.069 (2)	0.047(1)	0.089 (2)	0.003 (1)	0.050(1)	-0.001(1)
C(5)	0.4506 (2)	0.3199 (3)	0.1600(1)	0.070(2)	0.051 (1)	0.100 (2)	-0.010(1)	0.053 (2)	0.002(1)
C(6)	0.4672 (2)	0.1899 (3)	0.1365 (1)	0.052(1)	0.061 (2)	0.081 (2)	-0.004 (1)	0.044 (1)	0.013 (1)
C(7)	0.4308 (1)	-0.0850(3)	0.08798 (9)	0.040(1)	0.072 (1)	0.040(1)	0.009(1)	0.0286 (9)	0.010(1)
C(1C)	0.2432 (1)	-0.1092(2)	0.08484 (8)	0.0327 (9)	0.051(1)	0.033 (1)	0.0025 (9)	0.0210 (8)	0.0051 (9)
C(2C)	0.2569 (2)	-0.2824 (3)	0.07620 (9)	0.041 (1)	0.053 (1)	0.043 (1)	0.002(1)	0.0245 (9)	0.002(1)
C(3C)	0.1651 (2)	-0.3695 (3)	0.0555(1)	0.054 (1)	0.055(1)	0.048 (1)	-0.007 (1)	0.027 (1)	0.000 (1)
C(4C)	0.0915 (2)	-0.2573(3)	0.0497 (1)	0.040(1)	0.084 (2)	0.043 (1)	-0.011(1)	0.025 (1)	0.002(1)
C(5C)	0.1375 (1)	-0.1010 (3)	0.06794 (9)	0.035(1)	0.065(1)	0.041 (1)	0.001(1)	0.0250 (9)	0.001 (1)
C(1P)	0.5228 (1)	-0.1988 (2)	0.14341 (8)	0.0298 (9)	0.054 (1)	0.035 (1)	-0.0013 (8)	0.0217 (8)	0.0040 (9)
C(2P)	0.5671 (2)	-0.3171 (3)	0.12896 (9)	0.045 (1)	0.068 (1)	0.044 (1)	0.002 (1)	0.033 (1)	0.001 (1)
C(3P)	0.6540 (2)	-0.4200 (3)	0.1783 (1)	0.052 (1)	0.062 (1)	0.064 (1)	0.010(1)	0.042 (1)	0.005 (1)
C(4P)	0.6970 (1)	-0.4060 (3)	0.2419 (1)	0.039 (1)	0.0 6 0 (1)	0.053 (1)	0.005 (1)	0.026 (1)	0.013 (1)
C(5P)	0.6526 (2)	-0.2912 (3)	0.25611 (9)	0.049 (1)	0.065 (1)	0.034 (1)	-0.004 (1)	0.022 (1)	0.006 (1)
C(6P)	0.5653 (1)	-0.1886 (3)	0.20704 (9)	0.045 (1)	0.058 (1)	0.038 (1)	0.003 (1)	0.0271 (9)	0.002 (1)
H(3)	0.235 (1)	0.167 (2)	0.1325 (8)	0.063 (5)					
H(4)	0.347 (2)	0.406 (3)	0.176 (1)	0.095 (7)					
H(5)	0.491 (2)	0.414 (3)	0.171 (1)	0.116 (8)					
H(6)	0.528 (1)	0.186 (2)	0.1371 (9)	0.073 (6)					
H(7A)	0.458 (1)	-0.026 (2)	0.0654 (9)	0.073 (6)					
H(7B)	0.359 (1)	-0.152 (2)	0.0514 (8)	0.058 (5)					
H(2C)	0.318 (1)	-0.340 (2)	0.0847 (8)	0.058 (5)					
H(3C)	0.155 (1)	-0.497 (3)	0.0442 (9)	0.076 (6)					
H(4C)	0.020 (2)	-0.292 (3)	0.036 (1)	0.095 (7)					
H(5C)	0.102 (1)	0.001 (2)	0.0643 (8)	0.064 (6)					
H(2P)	0.538 (1)	-0.328 (2)	0.0844 (9)	0.070 (6)					
H(3P)	0.682(1)	-0.506(2)	0.1680 (9)	0.074 (6)					
H(4P)	0.762 (2)	-0.484(2)	0.2769 (9)	0.075 (6)					
H(5P)	0.680(1)	-0.286(2)	0.2997 (9)	0.068 (6)					
H(6P)	0.535 (1)	-0.108 (2)	0.2171 (8)	0.061 (5)				<u> </u>	·

Table X. Fractional Atomic Coordinates, Thermal Parameters (\mathbb{A}^2) , and Esd's (in parentheses) for 1-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-4-cyclopentadienylidene-1,4-dihydropyridine

	X	Y	Z	$U ext{ or } U_{11}$	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₃
C(2)	1.1647 (1)	0.2955 (3)	0.4018 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.057 (2)	0.061 (2)	-0.006 (2)	0.016 (2)	-0.004 (2)
C(3)	1.2031 (1)	0.2901 (3)	0.3540 (2)	0.052(2)	0.054 (2)	0.052 (2)	-0.001(2)	0.016(2)	-0.010(1)
C(4)	1.2522(1)	0.3950 (3)	0.3720(2)	0.043 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.008 (1)	0.010(1)	0.004 (1)
C(5)	1.2575 (1)	0.4997 (3)	0.4470(2)	0.047 (2)	0.057 (2)	0.061 (2)	-0.006(1)	0.018 (2)	-0.008(2)
C(6)	1.2177(1)	0.5005 (3)	0.4931 (2)	0.054 (2)	0.061(2)	0.054 (2)	-0.002(2)	0.016 (2)	-0.012(2)
C(7)	1.1254 (1)	0.4036 (3)	0.5188(2)	0.051(2)	0.076 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.003(2)	0.002(1)	0.004 (2)
C(1C)	1.2910(1)	0.3915 (3)	0.3216 (2)	0.045 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.052(2)	0.006 (1)	0.016(1)	0.004 (1)
C(2C)	1.2861 (1)	0.2903 (3)	0.2434(2)	0.054 (2)	0.066 (2)	0.056 (2)	0.004 (2)	0.020 (2)	-0.003(2)
C(3C)	1.3327 (1)	0.3214 (4)	0.2138 (2)	0.068(2)	0.072 (2)	0.063 (2)	0.020(2)	0.030 (2)	0.003 (2)
C(4C)	1.3685(1)	0.4403 (3)	0.2705 (3)	0.060(2)	0.067 (2)	0.094 (3)	0.013 (2)	0.042 (2)	0.021 (2)
C(5C)	1.3438 (1)	0.4844 (3)	0.3355 (2)	0.060 (2)	0.054 (2)	0.073 (2)	0.003 (2)	0.027 (2)	0.000 (2)
C(1P)	1.0602(1)	0.4376 (3)	0.4461 (2)	0.049 (2)	0.059 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.022 (1)	0.004 (1)
C(2P)	1.0395 (1)	0.5833 (3)	0.4154 (2)	0.062 (2)	0.053 (2)	0.054 (2)	-0.004 (2)	0.036 (2)	-0.004 (1)
C(3P)	0.9794 (1)	0.6153 (3)	0.3502 (2)	0.071 (2)	0.064 (2)	0.057 (2)	0.022 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.017 (2)
C(4P)	0.9378 (1)	0.5020 (4)	0.3121 (2)	0.057 (2)	0.088 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.011 (2)	0.019 (2)	0.006 (2)
C(5P)	0.9553 (1)	0.3591 (4)	0.3390 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.077 (2)	0.054 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.018 (2)	-0.003 (2)
C(6P)	1.0154 (1)	0.3285 (3)	0.4050 (2)	0.062 (2)	0.055 (2)	0.055 (2)	0.004 (2)	0.030 (2)	0.007 (1)
Ν	1.1701 (1)	0.4018 (3)	0.4704 (2)	0.047 (1)	0.063 (2)	0.044 (1)	0.002 (1)	0.015 (1)	-0.002(1)
Cl(2P)	1.09125 (4)	0.72878 (9)	0.46185 (6)	0.0872 (6)	0.0646 (5)	0.1138 (7)	-0.0137 (5)	0.0602 (6)	-0.0140 (5)
Cl(6P)	1.03300 (4)	0.14282 (9)	0.43787 (9)	0.0830 (6)	0.0593 (5)	0.1195 (8)	0.0000 (5)	0.0389 (6)	0.0198 (5)
H(2)	1.131 (1)	0.223 (3)	0.390 (2)	0.077 (8)					
H(3)	1.1964 (9)	0.212 (2)	0.307 (1)	0.046 (6)					
H(5)	1.2923 (9)	0.566 (2)	0.470 (1)	0.058 (7)					
H(6)	1.2202 (9)	0.564 (2)	0.543 (1)	0.057 (7)					
H(7A)	1.130(1)	0.299 (3)	0.560 (1)	0.070 (7)					
H(7B)	1.139 (1)	0.472 (3)	0.573 (1)	0.065 (7)					
H(2C)	1.2550 (9)	0.216 (2)	0.215 (1)	0.058 (7)					
H(3C)	1.3411 (9)	0.270 (2)	0.168 (1)	0.052 (6)					
H(4C)	1.402 (1)	0.480 (3)	0.259 (2)	0.072 (8)					
H(5C)	1.3603 (9)	0.561 (2)	0.384 (1)	0.060 (7)					
H(3P)	0.971 (1)	0.714 (2)	0.333 (2)	0.079 (8)					
H(4P)	0.897 (1)	0.529 (3)	0.264 (2)	0.089 (9)					
H(5P)	0.928 (1)	0.288 (3)	0.318 (2)	0.074 (8)					

Journal of the American Chemical Society / 97:9 / April 30, 1975

Table XI. Fractional Atomic Coordinates, Thermal Parameters (A^2) , and Esd's (in Parentheses) for 2-(2',6'-Dichlorobenzyl)-1-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline

Atom	X	<u>Y</u>	Z	U or U.,			<i>U</i> .,	U,,	Un
<u> </u>	0.2245 (2)	0 4225 (2)	0.1469 (3)	0.022 (1)	0.022 (1)	0.020(1)	0.002(1)	0.016 (1)	0.002 (1)
C(1)	0.2245(2) 0.2124(2)	0.4223(2)	0.1408(2) 0.2100(2)	0.033(1)	0.032(1)	0.030(1)	0.002(1)	0.010(1)	0.003(1)
C(3)	0.2134(2) 0.2344(2)	0.5155(2)	0.3190(2)	0.039(2)	0.037(1)	0.039(1)	-0.003(1)	0.030(1)	-0.004(1)
C(4)	0.3244(2) 0.2951(2)	0.5360(2)	0.3044(2) 0.2027(2)	0.061(2)	0.033(1)	0.037(1)	-0.007(1)	0.020(1)	-0.000(1)
C(4A)	0.5551(2) 0.5118(2)	0.3303(2)	0.3037(2) 0.3487(2)	0.042(1)	0.035(1)	0.031(1)	-0.001(1)	0.012(1)	0.003(1)
C(5)	0.5110(2) 0.5736(2)	0.5609(2)	0.3707(2)	0.044(2)	0.040(2)	0.043(2)	-0.009(1)	0.009(1)	-0.002(1)
C(0)	0.5750(2) 0.5193(2)	0.5082(2) 0.5143(2)	0.2820(3) 0.1657(2)	0.039(2)	0.002(2)	0.009(2)	-0.014(1)	0.015(1)	0.002(2)
C(8)	0.3175(2) 0.4055(2)	0.3143(2) 0.4698(2)	0.1037(2) 0.1214(2)	0.041(1)	0.071(2)	0.000(2)	-0.010(1)	0.020(1)	-0.002(1)
C(8A)	0.4033(2) 0.3423(2)	0.4739(2)	0.1214(2) 0.1903(2)	0.033(1)	0.033(2)	0.040(1)	-0.003(1)	0.020(1)	-0.002(1)
C(0)	0.0425(2)	0.4709(2) 0.3802(2)	0.1903(2) 0.1971(2)	0.032(1)	0.055(2)	0.034(1)	-0.007(1)	0.012(1)	-0.005(1)
cúc	0.1693 (2)	0.3560(2)	0.1371(2)	0.035(1)	0.038(1)	0.026(1)	-0.005(1)	0.025(1)	0.000(1)
C(2C)	0.0416(2)	0.3451(2)	-0.0500(2)	0.036(1)	0.049(2)	0.030(1)	-0.008(1)	0.017(1)	-0.001(1)
C(3C)	0.0287(2)	0.2745(2)	-0.1436(2)	0.052(2)	0.059(2)	0.028(1)	-0.018(1)	0.018(1)	-0.005(1)
C(4C)	0.1463(2)	0.2368(2)	-0.1153(2)	0.070(2)	0.048(2)	0.038(1)	-0.006(1)	0.034(1)	-0.007(1)
$\tilde{C}(5C)$	0.2312(2)	0.2863(2)	-0.0076(2)	0.045 (1)	0.045(2)	0.032(1)	0.000(1)	0.023(1)	-0.001(1)
C(1P)	0.0541(2)	0.3575(2)	0.3182(2)	0.037 (1)	0.043(1)	0.035(1)	-0.007(1)	0.021(1)	-0.004(1)
C(2P)	0.1397 (2)	0.2931 (2)	0.4144(2)	0.038 (1)	0.044 (1)	0.046 (1)	-0.007(1)	0.019(1)	-0.007(1)
C(3P)	0.1348 (2)	0.2667 (2)	0.5237 (2)	0.059 (2)	0.057(2)	0.042(1)	-0.012(1)	0.020(1)	0.006 (1)
C(4P)	0.0391 (3)	0.3067 (3)	0.5372(3)	0.077(2)	0.076 (2)	0.045 (2)	-0.023(2)	0.037(2)	0.004 (2)
C(5P)	-0.0484(2)	0.3701(2)	0.4456 (2)	0.057(2)	0.072(2)	0.055(2)	-0.010(2)	0.041(1)	-0.003(2)
C(6P)	-0.0407 (2)	0.3951 (2)	0.3371(2)	0.042 (1)	0.049 (2)	0.040(1)	-0.005(1)	0.023(1)	-0.002 (1)
N	0.1671 (2)	0.4431 (1)	0.2180(2)	0.037 (1)	0.038 (1)	0.033 (1)	-0.0042 (9)	0.0209 (9)	-0.0062 (9)
Cl(2P)	0.26173 (6)	0.24302 (5)	0.39928 (7)	0.0464 (4)	0.0516 (4)	0.0689 (4)	0.0032 (3)	0.0228 (3)	-0.0079 (4)
Cl(6P)	-0.15199 (6)	0.47778 (6)	0.22505 (7)	0.0504 (4)	0.0799 (5)	0.0657 (4)	0.0151 (4)	0.0318 (4)	0.0075 (4)
H(3)	0.151 (2)	0.530(2)	0.352 (2)	0.045 (6)					
H(4)	0.358 (2)	0.606 (2)	0.441 (2)	0.064 (7)					
H(5)	0.544 (2)	0.622 (2)	0.433 (2)	0.063 (7)					
H(6)	0.660 (2)	0.604 (2)	0.321 (2)	0.10(1)					
H(7)	0.564 (2)	0.507 (2)	0.114 (2)	0.060 (7)					
H(8)	0.368 (2)	0.435 (2)	0.036 (2)	0.047 (6)					
H(9A)	0.059 (2)	0.312 (2)	0.154 (2)	0.053 (6)					
H(9B)	-0.018(2)	0.421 (2)	0.133 (2)	0.045 (6)					
H(2C)	-0.019 (2)	0.386 (1)	-0.046 (2)	0.032 (5)					
H(3C)	-0.047 (2)	0.252 (2)	-0.219 (2)	0.061 (7)					
H(4C)	0.167 (2)	0.178 (2)	-0.156 (2)	0.061 (7)					
H(5C)	0.322 (2)	0.272 (1)	0.039 (2)	0.044 (6)					
H(3P)	0.201 (2)	0.224 (2)	0.589 (2)	0.067 (7)					
H(4P)	0.036 (2)	0.283 (2)	0.612 (2)	0.070 (7)					
H(5P)	-0.125(2)	0.401 (2)	0.448 (2)	0.066 (7)					

minimizing the function $\Sigma w(F_o - F_c)^2$, where $w = (1/\sigma (F))^2$. Anisotropic temperature factors were employed for the C, N, and Cl atoms, and are reported here in the form $T = \exp[-2\pi^2 (U_{11}h^2a^{*2} + \ldots + 2U_{23}klb^*c^*)]$; isotropic terms were used for the H atoms. The F_c 's were corrected for isotropic secondary extinction (r^*) .⁵⁷ The data which were less than $3\sigma (I_o)$ above background were included in the calculations only if $I_c > 3\sigma(I_o)$. The atomic coordinates are reported in Tables IX-XI.

The final agreement factors $(R = \Sigma | F_o - F_c| / \Sigma F_o$ and wtd $R = [\Sigma w (F_o - F_c)^2 / \Sigma w F_o^2]^{1/2}$) are 0.050 and 0.048 for **2a**, 0.050 and 0.036 for **3a**, and 0.043 and 0.035 for **4a**.

(c) f Curves. Scattering factors for C, N, and Cl were generated from the analytical expressions of Cromer and Mann;⁵⁸ the Stewart, Davidson, and Simpson⁵⁹ data were used for H.

(d) Computer Programs. PDP-81: P. G. Lenhert's⁶⁰ disk control system for the Picker FACS-I diffractometer, UNIVAC 1108: crystallographic programs;⁶¹ ORTEP-II¹² for crystal structure illustrations; CNINDO (QCPE No. 141) for the CNDO/2 calculations;⁶² LAOCN3 for NMR calculations.⁶³

NMR Spectra (100 MHz). The A_2B_2 multiplets in 1-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-4-cyclopentadienylidene-1,4-dihydropyridine (3a) and 2-(2',6'-dichlorobenzyl)-1-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline (4a) were recorded at 30° on a Varian HA-100 spectrometer using a 100-cycle sweep, 250-sec sweep time, and 5 × 1000 spectrum amplitude, and with TMS as an internal standard. 3a was run in saturated DMSO-d₆, whereas 4a was run in saturated CDCl₃. The five-ring multiplet in 4a overlapped the isoquinoline ring H(3) doublet, but fortunately only the lower field half of the symmetrical A_2B_2 pattern was affected.

The coupling constants and chemical shifts of the five-ring protons were obtained by least-squares techniques using the program LAOCN3. Initial values for the coupling constants were taken from the published data³⁴ for 1-methyl-2-cyclopentadienylidene-1,2dihydropyridine (**2b**). The maximum and average differences between the experimental and calculated line frequencies were 0.28 and 0.08 Hz for 3a and 0.28 and 0.10 Hz for 4a.

Calculation of Double Bond Twist and Tilt. The C==C twist (α) and tilt (β) angles were obtained taking, for example, the six-ring normal as a reference vector, and determining the rotation angles (α and β) required to make the vector parallel to the five-ring normal. Let V_1 be the six-ring normal and S a vector from the six ring to the five ring (parallel to the C==C). Then calculate $V_2 = S \times V_1$, normalize V_2 , and calculate $V_3 = V_1 \times V_2$. Thus V_3 is approximately parallel to S, and V_2 is approximately perpendicular to S and coplanar with the six ring. The relationship between the five-ring normal (F) and six-ring normal is

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{a} \\ F_{b} \\ F_{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & -\sin \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} \times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{1a} \\ V_{1b} \\ V_{1c} \end{pmatrix}$$

The C==C twist angle (α) represents a right-handed rotation about V_3 , and the tilt angle (β) represents a right-handed rotation about V_2 . If F is transformed to the V system ($T_a = F \cdot V_1$, $T_b = F \cdot V_2$, $T_c = F \cdot V_3$) then $\alpha = \sin^{-1} - T_b$, $\beta = \cos^{-1} (T_a/\cos \alpha)$ and $\beta = \sin^{-1} (T_c/\cos \alpha)$.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for financial support of this work, and to the Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, for support in the form of a grant of computer time.

Supplementary Material Available. A listing of structure factor

Ammon, Wheeler / Fulvalene Structures and Dipolar Character

amplitudes will appear following these pages in the microfilm edition of this volume of the journal. Photocopies of the supplementary material from this paper only or microfiche (105 \times 148 mm, 24× reduction, negatives) containing all of the supplementary material for the papers in this issue may be obtained from the Journals Department, American Chemical Society, 1155 16th St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Remit check or money order for \$4.50 for photocopy or \$2.50 for microfiche, referring to code number JACS-75-2326.

References and Notes

- (1) A. Langseth and B. P. Stoicheff, Can. J. Phys., 34, 350 (1956).
- (2) K. Kuchitsu, T. Fukuyama, and Y. Morino, J. Mol. Struct., 1, 463 (1968).
 (3) A. J. Jones, Rev. Pure Appl. Chem., 18, 253 (1968).
 (4) E. D. Bergmann and B. Pullman, Ed., "Aromàticity, Pseudoaromaticity and the distribution of the second s
- and Antiaromaticity'', Jerusalem Academic Press, Jerusalem, 1971. (5) A. Julg and P. Francois, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, **7**, 249 (1967); A. Julg, ref 4, pp 383–385.
- (6) The bond distances in highly aromatic compounds can be quite different. For example, the distances in naphthalene are C(1)-C(2) = 1.361.
- C(2)-C(3) = 1.421, C(1)-C(8a) = 1.425, and C(4a)-C(8a) = 1.410 Å: D. W. J. Cruickshank, Acta Crystallogr., 10, 504 (1957).
- Gas phase measurement by microwave spectroscopy (ref 8). P. A. Baron, R. D. Brown, F. R. Burden, P. J. Domaille, and J. E. Kent, J. Mol. Struct., **43**, 401 (1972). (8)
- The solution μ of 6,6-dimethylpentafulvene (1, R₁ = R₂ = Me) is 1.48 D (9) (ref 10).
- G. W. Wheland and D. E. Mann, J. Chem. Phys., 17, 264 (1949).
 Solution data: K. Hafner, K. H. Vopel, G. Ploss, and G. Konig, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 661, 52 (1963).
- (12) C. K. Johnson, ORTEP-II: A Fortran Thermal Ellipsoid Plot Program for Crystal Structure Illustrations, ORNL-3794, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn
- (13) X-Ray crystallographic determinations of hydrogen atom positions are Across crystallographic determinations of hydrogen atom positions are often in error by as much as 0.1 Å. The idealized hydrogen locations were computed assuming an ideal sp² geometry for the ring C atoms with C(sp²)-H = 1.07 Å, and ideal sp³ geometry for the benzylic C atoms with C(sp³)-H = 1.09 Å.
- (14) The difference between the two H···H distances in the seemingly symmetrical 3 arises from differences in the exocyclic bond angles at C(4) and C(1C), which causes the five-member ring to lean slightly to one side of the C==C
- (15) From a hydrogen atom van der Waals radius of 1.2 Å: L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond", Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y., 1960, p 260. W. H. Baur, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 28, 1456 (1972), has suggested a new value of 1.0 Å for the quantity.
- (16) From a 1.75 Å CI van der Waals radius: A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem., 68, 441 (1964).
- (17) J. F. Chiang and S. H. Bauer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 261 (1970)
- (18) L. H. Scharpen and V. W. Laurie, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **43**, 2765 (1965).
 (19) J. F. Chiang and C. F. Wilcox, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 2885 (1973).
 (20) For comparison, the ethylene C=C distance is 1.337 Å (ref 21), and the C(sp²)-C(sp²) single bond length is 1.485 Å: M. J. S. Dewar and H. N.
- Schmeising, Tetrahedron, 11, 96 (1960).
 L. S. Bartell, E. A. Roth, C. D. Hollowell, K. S. Kuchitsu, and J. E. Young, J. Chem. Phys., 42, 2683 (1965). (21)
- (22) The $2p\pi$ - $2p\pi$ overlap integrals (S) for C-C bonds of 1.34 and 1.517 Å are respectively 0.270 and 0.200 (from the tables of R. S. Mulliken, C A. Rieke, D. Orloff, and H. Orloff, J. Chem. Phys., 17, 1248 (1949)). These lengths correspond to a normal double bond, and to a sp^2-sp^2 single bond in the absence of conjugation effects (B. Bak and L. Han-sen-Nygaard, *ibid.*, **33**, 418 (1960)). S = 0.240 for a 1.41 Å untwisted double bond, and 0.226 for a 1.41 Å bond with a 20° twist ($S_{20^\circ} = S_{0^\circ}$ cos 20°). Assuming that there is a linear relationship between S and double bond obsorbater the percentages of a bond obsorbatic in a place. double bond character, the percentages of π -bond character in a planar and twisted 1.41 Å bond are 57 and 37%, respectively. A normal sp²-sp² single bond with a partial π bond as in butadiene (C-C = 1.47 Å, S = 0.217) has 24% double bond character.
 (23) N. A. Bailey and S. E. Hull, *Chem. Commun.*, 960 (1971).
 (24) H. L. Ammon, G. L. Wheeler, and I. Agranat, *Tetrahedron*, **29**, 2695

- (1973).
 (25) (a) H. L. Ammon, L. Fallon, R. West, and V. N. M. Rao, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 30, 2407 (1974). (b) Although the C==C in 9 appears to be slightly stretched, the difference between C==C lengths in 8 and 9 is not statistically significant.
- (26) The $2p\pi$ - $2p\pi$ overlap integral for a 1.385 Å untwisted C=C is 0.251. This value corresponds to a π bond with 73% double bond character (27% single bond character).
- (27) H. L. Ammon, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 30, 1731 (1974).
- (28) The methyl substitution was necessary in many of the cases to keep the number of basis set orbitals below the CNDO/2 program's 80 orbital maximum. The conformations of the methyl groups were identical to the respective benzylic CH2's. A test of the validity of these substitutions was made with CNDO/2 calculations on 1-methyl-2-pyridone and 1-ben-zyl-2-pyridone. The dipole moments, charge distributions, and covalent

bond orders from the two calculations agreed within 2 % . The structural parameters for the pyridone, isoquinolone, and pyridinium cation were taken from ref 29. Since X-ray crystallographic distances for hydrogen to heavier atom bonds are often smaller than the usual values, we checked the validity of using X-ray determined hydrogen atom locations, relative to a more ideal set of positions, with two different calculations on 6-(N,N-dimethylamino)pentafulvene. One calculation used the X-ray coordinates without modification, whereas a second calculation used a set of hydrogen coordinates adjusted to make C(sp²)-H = 1.07 Å and $C(sp^3)-\dot{H}$ = 1.09 Å. The dipole moments for the original and modified structures were 5.13 and 5.23 D, respectively, and the total five-ring

- structures were 5.13 and 5.23 D, respectively, and the total five-ring charges were -0.282 and -0.292.
 (29) (a) G. L. Wheeler and H. L. Ammon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 30, 680 (1974); (b) H. L. Ammon and G. L. Wheeler, *ibid.*, 30, 1146 (1974).
 (30) These percentages were obtained from the aromaticity indices A calculated with the Julg equation (ref 5) which was slightly modified (ref 29a) for use with nitrogen heterocycles. The A's were 1.00 for pyridine (100% delocalization), 0.95 for 11a, 0.79 for 2a, 0.72 for 10a, and 0.59 for cyclobeartiane (0% delocalization) for cyclohexatriene (0% delocalization).
- (31) A similar effect has been recently reported for diphenylcyclopropenone and 2,3-diphenyl-4,4-dicyanotriafulvalene: H. L. Ammon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 7093 (1973).
- (32) P. Crews, R. R. Kintner, and H. C. Padgett, J. Org. Chem., 38, 4391 (1973).
- (33) The discrepancy between the magnitudes of J₃₄, J₅₆ and d₃₄, d₅₆ could arise from misassignment of the six-ring C==CC==C portion of the NMR spectrum of **2b** (i.e., assignments for H(3) and H(6) and for H(4) and H(5) could be reversed). No data or arguments were presented by either Crews, Kinter, and Padgett (ref 32), or by Crabtree and Bertelli (ref 34), who originally reported the NMR data for 2b, to support the published assignment. However, the close agreement between the 2b data and that reported (ref. 32) for other six-ring nitrogen heterocycles, plus the possible H(3)---five-ring steric effects discussed in the text, support their assignment.
- J. H. Crabtree and D. J. Bertelli, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 5384 (1967).
- (35) The atomic coordinates from the crystallographic study of 3a were used to specify the geometries of the five and six rings, and the N-benzyl roups were replaced by methyls.
- (36) Hall, Hardisson, and Jackman (ref 37) concluded that π -electron delocalization was larger in 2b than 3b based on NMR chemical shifts and calculated diamagnetic anisotropies
- (37) G. G. Hall, A. Hardisson, and L. M. Jackman, Tetrahedron, Suppl., 2, 101 (1963).
- (38) W. D. Kumler, J. Org. Chem., 28, 1731 (1963).
 (39) M. Karplus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 2870 (1963).
- (40) The various factors are summarized in ref 32.
 (41) J. B. Lambert, L. T. Durham, P. Lepoutere, and J. D. Roberts, *J. Am.* Chem. Soc., 87, 3896 (1965).
- (42) A. S. Kende, P. T. Izzo, and P. T. MacGregor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 3359 (1966).
- (43) N. Jonathan, S. Gordon, and B. P. Dailey, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 36, 2443 (1962); H. Gunther, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2967 (1967); M. A. Cooper and S. L. Manatt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91 6325 (1969).
- 44) M. L. Heffernan and A. L. Jones, Aust. J. Chem., 19, 1813 (1966). (45) W. B. Smith, W. H. Watson, and S. Chiranjeevi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89,
- 1438 (1967).
- (46) A. J. Jones, *Rev. Pure Appl. Chem.*, **18**, 253 (1968).
 (47) E. E. Ernstbrunner and D. Lloyd, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 1332 (1971)
- (48) Z. Yoshida, S. Yoneda, and M. Hazama, *Chem. Commun.*, 716 (1971). (49) The CNDO/2 dipole moment for **14** was 2.17 D (structural model from electron diffraction, ref 16); experimental 1.48 D (ref 10).
- (50) A. P. Downing, W. D. Ollis, and I. O. Sutherland, J. Chem. Soc. B, 111 (1969)
- (51) The C(2)CH₂ substituent (C(3)) in **19** compared to the C(6)H in **15**, should produce a small decrease in the C==C barrier in **19** by way of transition state stabilizatio
- (52) J. A. Berson, E. M. Evleth, and Z. Hamlet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 2887 (1965).
- (53) F. Krohnke, K. Ellegast, and E. Bertram, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 600, 176 (1956).
- (54) H. Meerwein, W. Florian, N. Schon, and G. Stopp, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 641, 1 (1961).
 (55) H. Meerwein, *Org. Syn.*, 46, 113 (1966).
 (56) H. L. Ammon, G. L. Wheeler, and P. H. Watts, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 95, 100 (1970).
- 6158 (1973).
- (57) A. C. Larson, "Crystallographic Computing", F. R. Ahmed, S. R. Hall, and C. P. Huber, Ed., Munksgaard, Copenhagen, Denmark, 1970, p 291.
 (58) D. T. Cromer and J. B. Mann, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 24, 321 (1968).
 (59) R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys., 42, (59) Rev. (2007).
- 3175 (1965). (60) P. G. Lenhert and D. Henry, Abstracts of the ACA Meeting, Spring 1970, New Orleans, La., p 71
- (61) "The X-ray System of Crystallographic Programs", J. M. Stewart, G. J. Kruger, H. L. Ammon, C. Dickinson, and S. R. Hall, Ed., Technical Re-port 192, Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, 1972.
- (62) J. A. Pople and D. L. Beveridge, "Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory", McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y., 1970.
 (63) A. A. Bothner-By, "Computer Programs for Chemistry", Vol 1, D. F. DeTar, Ed., W. A. Benjamin, New York, N.Y., 1968, p 10.