

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A DOCH International Edition Market Chemiker CDCh Chemiker Ch

Accepted Article

Title: Selective detection of Cu+ ions in live cells via fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy

Authors: Ramon Vilar, Martin Priessner, Peter A. Summers, Ben Lewis, Magdalena Sastre, Liming Ying, and Marina K. Kuimova

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202109349

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202109349

WILEY-VCH

Selective detection of Cu⁺ ions in live cells via fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy

Martin Priessner,^[a] Peter A. Summers,^[a] Benjamin W. Lewis,^[a] Magdalena Sastre,^[b] Liming Ying,^[c] Marina K.

Kuimova,*^[a] Ramon Vilar*^[a]

- [a] Department of Chemistry
 Imperial College London
 White City Campus
 London W12 0BZ
 E-mail: r.vilar@imperial.ac.uk, m.kuimova@imperial.ac.uk
- [b] Department of Brain Sciences Imperial College London Hammersmith Campus London W12 0NN
- [c] National Heart and Lung Institute Imperial College London Molecular Sciences Research Hub London W12 0BZ.

Abstract: Copper is an essential trace element in living organisms with its levels and localisation being carefully managed by the cellular machinery. However, if misregulated, deficiency or excess of copper ions can lead to several diseases. Therefore, it is important to have reliable methods to detect, monitor and visualise this metal in cells. Herein we report a new optical probe based on BODIPY, which shows a switch-on in its fluorescence intensity upon binding to copper(I), but not in the presence of high concentration of other physiologically relevant metal ions. More interestingly, binding to copper(I) leads to significant changes in the fluorescence lifetime of the new probe, which can be used to visualize copper(I) pools in lysosomes of live cells *via* fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM).

10.1002/anie.202109349

Copper is an essential trace element for living organisms. It can cycle between copper(II) and copper(I), with the latter being the predominant oxidation state present under physiological conditions. In a cellular environment copper ions can coordinate to a range of nitrogen- and sulphur-based ligands.^[11] It participates in single-electron transfer reactions and, therefore, is involved in a wide range of biological processes including respiration, free radical scavenging, iron metabolism, connective tissue biogenesis and synthesis of neuropeptides.^[2–4] It is tightly regulated by a system of intracellular chaperones and transporters (*e.g.* CTR-1, ATOX-1, GSH)^[5] that prevent the accumulation of free copper ions. Cellular copper ions are generally found in two forms: either in a static pool, where the metal is tightly bound to proteins and other macromolecules, or in a labile pool, where it is weakly bound to cellular ligands and, hence, mobile.^[6] Mismanagement of cellular copper pools can result in oxidative stress with the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) through Fenton-type reactions.^[7]

Due to the important biological roles that copper plays, its misregulation can have significant implications for health and disease. Excess copper causes toxicity and compromises the redox homeostatic state of cells. The toxicity of a copper overload becomes particularly clear in Wilson disease, a pathological condition caused by a mutation in the *ATP7B* gene (which encodes a copper-transporting ATPase), causing a build-up of copper in the liver and several other tissues.^[8] On the other hand, Menkes disease is a genetic disorder associated with impaired copper efflux from enterocytes into the blood and inadequate transport of copper to the brain.^[9] Furthermore, copper is believed to play a crucial role in the progression of several neurogenerative diseases such as Parkinson's,^[10] Alzheimer's^[11] and Huntington's^[12] disease. Copper's biological relevance has motivated the development of techniques to measure its cellular levels. These include inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS),^[13] X-ray fluorescence microscopy (XFM)^[14] and nano-secondary ion mass spectrometry (Nano-SIMS),^[15] amongst others. While these techniques have provided important insights into the

biology of copper, they are not capable of measuring and tracking it in living cells. Therefore, there is significant interest in the development of fluorescent probes that can selectively respond to copper ions, enabling its direct visualisation in live cells. Over the last two decades several such fluorescent probes for copper(I) have been reported in the literature.^[16–21] Most of these probes turn-on their fluorescence intensity upon binding to copper(I) *via* a photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process or a related charge transfer (CT) pathway.^[22] However, intensity-based probes have the disadvantage that they are concentration dependent, *i.e.* their signal is strongly dependent on probe uptake to a particular intracellular location.

An attractive alternative to intensity-based probes are fluorophores that change their fluorescence lifetime upon binding to a target analyte. Since this parameter is concentration independent, it can provide a more realistic map of a cellular distribution of a target of interest. Thus, by using fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM), in conjunction with a fluorophore with lifetime-sensitivity to copper(I), it should be possible to detect and image true levels of copper(I), even in the presence of competing species.

FLIM has been previously used to investigate the behaviour of intracellular copper(II).^[23–27] In contrast, to the best of our knowledge there are no previous studies where this approach has been employed to visualize copper(I) in live cells. Herein we report a new fluorescent probe (**FLCS1**, Scheme 1), which switches-on its intensity in the presence of copper(I). Additionally, it displays a distinct fluorescence lifetime change upon copper(I) binding, which can be used to visualize this metal ion in live cells *via* FLIM.



Scheme 1. Synthetic scheme for the preparation of the new BODIPY-based optical probe **FLCS1** (for full reaction scheme, see ESI)

The design of our new probe (**FLCS1** – see Scheme 1) is based on linking the known NS₄ copper(I) receptor pioneered by Chang *et. al.*^[28] with a highly conjugated BODIPY-based fluorophore, which displays good photostability, high quantum yield and a red shifted emission, in the spectral range suitable for cell and tissue imaging.^[29] We expected PET from the electron-rich NS₄ chelating fragment to the BODIPY core to quench its emission in the absence of copper(I).^[30] Fluorescence should switch-on upon copper(I) binding, due to a reduction of the electron-donating ability of the NS₄ receptor towards the BODIPY fluorophore.

FLCS1 was obtained *via* the synthetic pathway shown in Scheme 1. The tetrathia-aldehyde building block **4** and the BODIPY precursor **2** were synthesised following previously reported procedures (see ESI for full reaction scheme and experimental details).^[29] To synthesise the new compound **5**, it was first necessary to deprotect **1** with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to yield **2**, which was immediately reacted with compound **4**. This was followed by solvent exchange (to THF) and addition of DDQ yielding **5**. In the final step, a crude sample of **5** was reacted with DIEA and BF₃·Et₂O to yield **FLCS1** which was purified

10.1002/anie.202109349

by column chromatography and preparative TLC. The new probe was characterised by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and MALDI-TOF (see ESI for spectroscopic data).

With the new BODIPY-based probe in hand, a series of studies were performed to establish its response to copper(I). **FLCS1** displayed characteristic optical features of a BODIPY chromophore with extended conjugation, showing the main absorption band at 646 nm ($\varepsilon = 2.48 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and a broad shoulder at 594 nm ($\varepsilon = 8.07 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), Figure 1a. Addition of copper(I) to a methanolic solution of **FLCS1** leads to a slight bathochromic shift (4 nm) of the λ_{max} in the UV/vis spectrum. Importantly, addition of copper(I) to **FLCS1** switches on its fluorescence (Figure 1b). While a methanolic solution of the probe in the absence of copper(I) is practically non-fluorescent ($\Phi = 0.032$), increasing amounts of copper(I) lead to a clear enhancement of the emission band centred at 660 nm.



Figure 1. Spectroscopic studies of the interaction of copper(I) with **FLCS1** (1 µM) in methanol upon addition of increasing amounts of copper(I), up to 1.6 equivalents. a) UV/vis spectra; b) Fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 610$ nm); inset shows the binding plot recorded at the fluorescence peak maximum, $\lambda_{emis} = 660$ nm. c) Time-resolved fluorescence decays of **FLCS1** ($\lambda_{ex} = 630$ nm, $\lambda_{emis} = 660\pm10$ nm); instrument response function (IRF) is shown in dark red; inset shows calculated intensity-weighted average lifetime vs. Cu⁺/**FLCS1** ratio. d) Response of **FLCS1** (1 µM in methanol) to the addition of different metal ions with bars representing the integrated fluorescence response of the probe. Black bars represent the response to the addition of excess metal ions (2 mM Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Na⁺; 20 µM Co²⁺, Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺, Zn²⁺, K⁺ and Cu²⁺); red bars represent the response to the subsequent addition of Cu⁺ (3 µM) to the corresponding metal ion solutions.

Saturation was reached after the addition of approximately one equivalent of copper(I), at which point the fluorescence intensity increased by *ca*. 20-fold ($\Phi = 0.66$). The one-to-one binding stoichiometry between **FLCS1** and copper(I) was confirmed by the method of continuous variation (*i.e.* a Job plot), which showed a clear maximum at 0.5 mol fraction (see Figure S4a). The K_d between **FLCS1** and copper(I) was determined in a buffered thiourea solution (in methanol) as previously reported (see Figure S4b,c),^[31,32] giving a value of 2.6*10⁻⁹ M (this value cannot be directly compared to the K_d values previously reported for similar copper(I) probes since different solvents were used). To assess the reversibility of the process, the **FLCS1**-Cu⁺ complex was treated with 2 equivalents of PSP-2, which is known to have a very strong binding constant to copper(I).^[33] This led to a decrease of the fluorescence intensity down to the basal level, observed for the free probe. Addition of excess copper(I) to this solution led to a complete restoration of the fluorescence intensity, initially observed for the **FLCS1**-Cu⁺ complex, confirming that the binding event is reversible (see Figure S5).

Interestingly, the fluorescence lifetime of **FLCS1** increased significantly upon binding to copper(I) (see Figure 1c). The time-resolved fluorescence traces were best fitted using a biexponential decay function, with two lifetime components ($\tau_1 = 0.39$ ns and $\tau_2 = 3.08$ ns) extracted from a global fit. The full set of fitted data is presented in the ESI (Figure S6). We assign the short lifetime component ($\tau_1 = 0.39$ ns) to free **FLCS1** and the long lifetime component ($\tau_1 = 3.08$ ns) to copper-bound **FLCS1**, which is supported by the change in fitted amplitudes of the two components upon copper(I) titration (ESI Table S1 and Figure S7).

To determine the selectivity of **FLCS1** for copper(I), we studied the fluorescence response of the probe upon addition of physiologically relevant concentrations of various metal ions usually present in the cell. Pleasingly, no switch-on effect of the fluorescence of **FLCS1** was observed upon addition of 2 mM CaCl₂, NaCl, MgCl₂ and ZnCl₂, nor upon the addition of 20 μ M concentrations of KCl, CoCl₂, FeSO₄, MnBr₂, Ni(OAc)₂, and CuSO₄. A very slight increase was observed upon addition of 20 μ M copper(II), which is consistent with previously published literature on probes with the same NS₄ receptor (see Figure 1d).^[34,35] Having established the excellent *in vitro* copper(I)-sensing capabilities of **FLCS1**, we investigated its cellular properties using neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells. Cells were incubated with a 60 nM solution of **FLCS1** in the presence of 0.2% lipofectamine in DMEM for 24 hours at 37 °C. Lipofectamine was needed for cellular uptake of **FLCS1** since this probe has poor water solubility and hence is not readily cell permeable. As can be seen in Figure 2, under these conditions the probe is taken up by cells and localises throughout the cytoplasm in specific cellular compartments with punctate distribution. After incubation with **FLCS1** cells showed to be fully viable, growing and replicating for over 48 hours (see Figure S8). Co-staining experiments with Lysotracker Green (a well-established dye for staining lysosomes) showed close colocalization of both probes (Figure 2). To confirm that the probe is not sensitive to acidic pH typically found in lysosomes, cells were treated with bafilomycin A1, which stops lysosomal acidification, thus increasing the pH. Pleasingly, confocal microscopy showed that this treatment did not alter the fluorescence intensity coming from **FLCS1** localised in lysosomes suggesting that these changes in pH do not affect the probe (see Figure S11).



Figure 2. Colocalization **FLCS1** with LysoTracker Green in SH-SY5Y cells. Cells were incubated with **FLCS1** 60 nM with 0.2% Lipofectamine for 24 h in DMEM and LysoTracker Green was used at 50 nM concentration in DMEM for 15 minutes before imaging. Images are shown at 63x magnification with zoomed-in sections highlighted by white boxes; the zoomed in sections are shown in the bottom row (a) brightfield image; (b) imaging **FLCS1** ($I_{ex} = 635 \text{ nm}$; $I_{emis} = 645-770 \text{ nm}$); c) imaging LysoTracker Green ($I_{ex} = 500 \text{ nm}$; $I_{emis} = 510-530 \text{ nm}$); d) overlayed images b) and c), showing colocalization of LysoTracker Green with **FLCS1** (scale bare 5 µm).

In recent years, a growing body of evidence has indicated that lysosomes, which are involved in the degradation and recycling of cellular waste and energy metabolism,^[36,37] also play a vital role in the regulation of transition metal homeostasis including copper ions.^[38,39] Our microscopy studies are consistent with this theory and indicate the presence of copper(I) ions in lysosomes, since the fluorescence of **FLCS1** is clearly switched-on in these organelles. However, as discussed above, intensity-based probes are not concentration-independent and, thus, it is not possible to assess based on the intensity alone, whether the high fluorescence observed is indeed due to the presence of large pools of copper(I) in lysosomes, or simply a consequence of high accumulation of the probe in this organelle. To address this, we recorded fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) images of SH-SY5Y live cells incubated with **FLCS1**. Since the cell-free assays discussed above showed that **FLCS1** displays significantly longer fluorescence lifetimes when bound to copper(I) as compared to the metal-free probe (see Figure 1c), FLIM should provide an unambiguous confirmation of the presence of copper(I) in lysosomes.

The FLIM images (see Figure 3a for a representative example) show biexponential time-resolved decays (Figure 3g) of **FLCS1** in cells, which is consistent with the presence of a mixture of bound and unbound probe, as in the cell-free data (see Figure 1c and ESI Figure S6). Fitting the images resulted in cellular distribution of τ_1 centred around 1.1 ns and τ_2 around 2.6 ns (see Figure S9g and i). These values deviate slightly from the observed *in vitro* values for **FLCS1** (copper free $\tau_1 = 0.39$ ns, copper bound $\tau_2 = 3.08$ ns). This difference could be due to the complex intracellular environment, as compared to the cell-free assays (*e.g.* crowding, viscosity, polarity, refractive index). Indeed, we established that *in vitro* the probe is affected by various parameters; for example, adding increasing amounts of water to methanolic solutions of **FLCS1** decreases its fluorescence lifetime; increasing viscosity or decreasing the pH shifts the fluorescence lifetime of the probe to slightly lower values (see Figure S10). It is important to emphasise that none of these changes are large, but together with other factors such as the differences in the refractive index, they are sufficient to explain the small discrepancy in the lifetime values between the *in vitro* and *in cellulo* data.



Figure 3. FLIM imaging of SH-SY5Y cells treated with **FLCS1**. FLIM data recorded before (a, c, d) and after (b, e, f) incubation with 5 μ M Cu-GTSM for 20 min; a, b, c, e show the lifetime maps (τ_{avg}); (d) and (f) show fluorescence intensity images; c, d, e, f show the zoomed in sections highlighted by white squares in (a) and (b); (g) and (h) show typical time-resolved fluorescence decay curves from the regions indicated by white crosses in c and e; fitting parameters (inserts) and residuals (bottom panels) are also shown; (i) amplitude of the longest lifetime component histograms before and after Cu-GTSM incubation; (j) average lifetime and (k) brightfield image of cells with fluorescence image overlayed; (I) statistics of average lifetime change before and after treatment recorded for 12 cells, over 3 independent biological repeats.

The resulting weighted average lifetime ($\tau_{avg} = 2.06$ ns, $\tau_1 = 1.1$ ns, $\tau_2 = 2.6$ ns, $\alpha_1=0.41$, $\alpha_2=0.59$, $\chi^2 = 1.18$; see Figure S9c and compare to cell-free data in Table S1) in lysosomes indicates that the probe is partially bound to copper(I). To confirm further the ability of **FLCS1** to image copper(I) *in cellulo*, we artificially increased the cellular concentration of copper(I) using Cu-GTSM. This is a well-established reagent that upon cellular uptake undergoes a bio-reduction process releasing copper(I) inside a cell.^[40] Comparing the FLIM images of cells before and after treatment, the most suitable fitting model remains a biexponential decay. The fitting (Figure S9a,b) shows significant changes in the amplitudes of the fluorescence lifetimes following the addition of Cu-GTSM, without significant changes to the distribution of the short and long lifetime components (Figure S9). To reduce the uncertainty in the determination of the fitting parameters, the two lifetime components were fixed at $\tau_1 = 0.7$ ns and $\tau_2 = 2.7$ ns for copper(I) treatment analysis. This did not influence the goodness of fit parameter (χ^2) distribution (see Figure S13) but allowed us to clearly visualise the changes of the amplitudes of two lifetimes before and after the treatment (see Figure S12).

Consistent with the release of additional copper(I), an upwards shift in the average fluorescence lifetime (or, in other words, an upshift in the longer component amplitude, Figure S12a,b) of the **FLCS1** probe was observed upon treating SH-SY5Y cells with Cu-GTSM (5 μ M for 20 minutes) (see Figures 3b and 3e). This increase in the amplitude of the longer lifetime component α_2 (see in Figure S12a,b), rather than its lifetime, is consistent with an increase in the fraction of the bound copper(I) seen by FLIM in cells following the treatment. It should be noted that the fluorescence intensity did not undergo significant changes following Cu-GTSM treatment (see Figures 3d and 3f), confirming that FLIM is a superior technique compared to intensity-based imaging, enabling us to monitor small changes in copper(I) abundance in cells. As controls, treatment of cells with DMSO as well as the repeated exposure of the cells cultured in the presence of **FLCS1** to the laser irradiation did not lead to significant changes in fluorescence lifetime compared to cells treated with Cu-GTSM (see Figure S14 and S15).

In conclusion, the new BODIPY-based **FLCS1** probe shows excellent *in vitro* selectivity for copper(I) ions over all other physiologically abundant metal ions. Coordination of copper(I) to **FLCS1** not only leads to a large switch-on of the probe's fluorescence intensity, but also to a significant increase in its fluorescence lifetime. Incubation of **FLCS1** with live SH-SY5Y cells showed that at concentrations used for imaging the probe is non-toxic and largely localised in lysosomes. Our studies are consistent with the direct detection of copper(I) in lysosomes of live cells confirming previous reports indicating that lysosomes have high concentration in live cells *via* FLIM upon treatment with copper(I) releasing agent Cu-GTSM.

Acknowledgements

M.P. is thankful to the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for a studentship as part of the Centre of Doctoral Training Neurotechnology (EP/L016737/1). M. K. K. is thankful to the EPSRC for the Career Acceleration Fellowship (EP/I003983/1). L.Y. to the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2015-345). We acknowledge the financial support from the Excellence Fund for Frontier Research, Imperial College London. Prof Christoph Fahrni is thanked for donating a sample of PSP-2 and for very insightful discussions. Prof Peter Faller and Dr. Paulina Gonzalez are thanked for providing a sample of GTSM.

Keywords: FLIM • Copper(I) • fluorescence • TCSPC • lifetime

References

- [1] R. R. Crichton, J.-L. Pierre, *BioMetals* **2001**, *14*, 99–112.
- J. Camakaris, I. Voskoboinik, J. F. Mercer, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1999, 261, 225–232.
- [3] T. Nevitt, H. Öhrvik, D. J. Thiele, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2012**, *1823*, 1580–1593.
- [4] Y. Wang, V. Hodgkinson, S. Zhu, G. A. Weisman, M. J. Petris, *Adv. Nutr.* **2011**, *2*, 129–137.
- [5] J. A. Cotruvo, A. T. Aron, K. M. Ramos-Torres, C. J. Chang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 4400–4414.
- [6] A. T. Aron, K. M. Ramos-Torres, J. A. Cotruvo, C. J. Chang, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 2434– 2442.
- [7] M. Valko, H. Morris, M. T. D. Cronin, *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2005, *12*, 1161–208.
- [8] J. D. Gitlin, *Gastroenterology* **2003**, *125*, 1868–1877.
- [9] A. Gupta, S. Lutsenko, *Future Med. Chem.* **2009**, *1*, 1125–1142.
- [10] M. Bisaglia, L. Bubacco, *Biomolecules* **2020**, *10*, 195.
- [11] S. Bagheri, R. Squitti, T. Haertlé, M. Siotto, A. A. Saboury, Front. Aging Neurosci. 2018, 9, 446.
- [12] J. H. Fox, J. A. Kama, G. Lieberman, R. Chopra, K. Dorsey, V. Chopra, I. Volitakis, R. A. Cherny, A. I. Bush, S. Hersch, *PLoS One* 2007, *2*, e334.
- [13] C. E. Outten, T. V. O'Halloran, *Science* **2001**, *292*, 2488–2492.
- [14] M. D. De Jonge, S. Vogt, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2010, 20, 606–614.
- [15] A. Hong-Hermesdorf, M. Miethke, S. D. Gallaher, J. Kropat, S. C. Dodani, J. Chan, D. Barupala,
 D. W. Domaille, D. I. Shirasaki, J. A. Loo, P. K. Weber, J. Pett-Ridge, T. L. Stemmler, C. J.
 Chang, S. S. Merchant, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2014, *10*, 1034–1042.
- [16] C. J. Fahrni, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2013, 17, 656–662.
- [17] J. A. Cotruvo, A. T. Aron, K. M. Ramos-Torres, C. J. Chang, *Chem. Soc. Rev. Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 4400, 4400–4414.

- [18] M. T. Morgan, D. Bourassa, S. Harankhedkar, A. M. McCallum, S. A. Zlatic, J. S. Calvo, G. Meloni, V. Faundez, C. J. Fahrni, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2019, *116*, 12167–12172.
- [19] S. Lee, C. Y.-S. Chung, P. Liu, L. Craciun, Y. Nishikawa, K. J. Bruemmer, I. Hamachi, K. Saijo,
 E. W. Miller, C. J. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 14993–15003.
- [20] C. Y.-S. Chung, J. M. Posimo, S. Lee, T. Tsang, J. M. Davis, D. C. Brady, C. J. Chang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2019, 116, 18285–18294.
- [21] X.-Q. Yi, Y.-F. He, Y.-S. Cao, W.-X. Shen, Y.-Y. Lv, ACS Sensors 2019, 4, 856–864.
- [22] T. Ueno, Y. Urano, K. Setsukinai, H. Takakusa, H. Kojima, K. Kikuchi, K. Ohkubo, S.
 Fukuzumi, T. Nagano, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14079–14085.
- [23] J. L. Hickey, J. L. James, C. A. Henderson, K. A. Price, A. I. Mot, G. Buncic, P. J. Crouch, J. M. White, A. R. White, T. A. Smith, P. S. Donnelly, *Inorg. Chem* 2015, *54*, 9556–9567.
- [24] P. A. Waghorn, M. W. Jones, M. B. M. Theobald, R. L. Arrowsmith, S. I. Pascu, S. W. Botchway, S. Faulkner, J. R. Dilworth, in *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, *4*, 1430–1441.
- [25] B. Hötzer, R. Ivanov, P. Bauer, G. Jung, *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2012, 7, 521–523.
- [26] B. Hötzer, R. Ivanov, T. Brumbarova, P. Bauer, G. Jung, *FEBS J.* 2012, 279, 410–419.
- [27] B. J. McCranor, H. Szmacinski, H. H. Zeng, A. K. Stoddard, T. Hurst, C. A. Fierke, J. R. Lakowicz, R. B. Thompson, *Metallomics* 2014, *6*, 1034–1042.
- [28] L. Zeng, E. W. Miller, A. Pralle, E. Y. Isacoff, C. J. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1, 10–11.
- [29] A. Matsui, K. Umezawa, Y. Shindo, T. Fujii, D. Citterio, K. Oka, K. Suzuki, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 10407–10409.
- [30] A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher, T. E. Rice, *Chem. Rev.* 1997, 97, 1515–1566.
- [31] X. Cao, W. Lin, W. Wan, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 6247–6249.
- [32] S. C. Dodani, D. W. Domaille, C. I. Nam, E. W. Miller, L. A. Finney, S. Vogt, C. J. Chang, Proc.

Natl. Acad. Sci. 2011, 108, 5980-5985.

- [33] M. T. Morgan, B. Yang, S. Harankhedkar, A. Nabatilan, D. Bourassa, A. M. McCallum, F. Sun,
 R. Wu, C. R. Forest, C. J. Fahrni, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 9711–9715.
- [34] S. C. Dodani, D. W. Domaille, C. I. Nam, E. W. Miller, L. A. Finney, S. Vogt, C. J. Chang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2011, 108, 5980–5985.
- [35] C. Su Lim, J. Hee Han, C. Woo Kim, M. Young Kang, D. Won Kang, B. Rae Cho, *Chem. Commun* 2011, 47, 7146–7148.
- [36] C. Settembre, A. Fraldi, D. L. Medina, A. Ballabio, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2013, 14, 283–296.
- [37] A. Ballabio, *EMBO Mol. Med.* **2016**, *8*, 73–76.
- [38] E. V. Polishchuk, R. S. Polishchuk, *Metallomics* **2016**, *8*, 853–862.
- [39] C. E. Blaby-Haas, S. S. Merchant, J. Biol. Chem. 2014, 289, 28129–28136.
- [40] P. S. Donnelly, A. Caragounis, T. Du, K. M. Laughton, I. Volitakis, R. A. Cherny, R. A.
 Sharples, A. F. Hill, Q. X. Li, C. L. Masters, K. J. Barnham, A. R. White, *J. Biol. Chem.* 2008, 283, 4568–4577.

Entry for the Table of Contents

Copper is an essential trace element in living organisms and therefore it is important to have reliable methods to visualize it in cells. Herein we report a new fluorescent probe that upon binding to copper(I) (but not any other physiologically relevant metal ions), displays an intensity switch-on as wells as a significant change in its fluorescence lifetime. The later has allowed us to visualize copper(I) in live cells *via* fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy.

