The Synthesis and Testing of α-(Hydroxymethyl)pyrroles as DNA Binding Agents

Derek C. Martyn^A and Andrew D. Abell^{A,B}

^A Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand. ^B Corresponding author. Email: andrew.abell@canterbury.ac.nz

The α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrroles **16a** and **16b** were prepared and shown to be cytotoxic against the P388 cancer cell line. Ethyl 5-hydroxymethyl-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carboxylate **18** was inactive, demonstrating that an α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole group alone is not sufficient for activity. Compound **16b** has been shown to bind to DNA with reasonable affinity.

Manuscript received: 30 July 2004. Final version: 21 September 2004.

Introduction

 α -(Hydroxymethyl)pyrroles of type **1** are an important class of π -excessive heterocycle that are particularly susceptible to nucleophilic substitution due to the intermediacy of the non-aromatic azafulvene **2** (Scheme 1).^[1–6] This inherent reactivity has been utilized by nature in the biosynthesis of (hydroxymethyl)bilane, a key intermediate to vitamin B12 and the like,^[2] and also by medicinal and organic chemists. For example, we recently reported some α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrroles, substituted on nitrogen with an electron-withdrawing group (EWG), as inhibitors of serine proteases, see general structure **4**.^[3]

We postulate that the introduction of an EWG on nitrogen, as in 4, would suppress the formation of the corresponding azafulvenium species 5, and hence render the system more stable to nucleophilic displacement.^[4,5] The reactive pathway (A in Scheme 1) could then be switched on by removing the EWG in a controlled manner. Our idea was to choose an EWG that resembled the P1 residue^[7] of a serine protease substrate, so that the enzyme would accept it as a substrate and catalyze its removal (see step A in Scheme 1).^[3] The thus liberated azafulvene could then inactivate the enzyme by covalent attachment to an active site residue, represented by Nu⁻ in Scheme 1. We also recently reported a related series of α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole-based protease inhibitors that contain a C2 peptide-based acyl group, for example $6^{[4]}$ These compounds mimic an extended peptide conformation that is found in natural substrates of these proteases.^[4,7]

We now report the results of initial work on targeting DNA by appending a bicyclic aromatic substituent, which is known to bind to DNA,^[8] onto an α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole. Compounds of this type have the potential to alkylate DNA and hence act as anticancer agents.^[9] Examples of hydroxymethylpyrrole-based DNA alkylating agents are known and these include **7**,^[10] **8**,^[11] and **9**^[11] (Scheme 2);



however, none of these have the hydroxymethyl substitutent at the optimum α -position for azafulvene formation.^[1] An α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole moiety is, however, found in a series of metabolites, isolated from the Atlantic sponge *Mycale micracanthoxea*, that displays moderate activity against five cancer cell lines.^[12] These compounds possess an acyl side chain, of variable length and unsaturation; for representative examples see **10a**, **10b**, Scheme 2. While these derivatives displayed only moderate activity against the P388 murine leukaemia cell line, they do suggest that an α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole moiety might provide a suitable scaffold for the generation of more potent compounds with



Scheme 2. Hydroxymethylpyrrole-based antitumour agents.

the judicious choice of functionality at C2. We now report the synthesis and in vitro testing, against P388 cancer cells, of compounds in which the aliphatic chain of **10** is replaced by a bicyclic aryl group (naphthalene or quinoline) which is attached to the α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole via an ester linker. Aryl groups of this type are known to facilitate non-covalent binding to DNA.^[8]

Results and Discussion

The synthesis of the substituted α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole 16a is outlined in Scheme 3. The amine 11a was treated with succinic anhydride in refluxing toluene, followed by the addition of sodium acetate in acetic anhydride^[13] to give the succinimide 12a in 86% yield over two steps. The succinimide 12a was then reduced with sodium borohydride in 6:1 propan-2-ol/water^[14] to give **13a** in 71% yield. The alcohol 13a was coupled with the pyrrole acid 14,^[15] in the presence of BOP-Cl,^[16] to give aldehyde 15a, which was finally reduced with lithium borohydride to give 16a in 18% yield over two steps. A heterocyclic ring system known to interact with DNA^[8] was also appended to the α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole moiety in an analogous fashion, using quinolin-8-ylamine 11b as shown in Scheme 3. In particular, 11b was treated with succinic anhydride, followed by sodium acetate/acetic anhydride, to give the succinimide 12b in 79% yield over two steps. Reduction of 12b with sodium borohydride gave the alcohol 13b, which was subsequently coupled to 14 to give 15b. This was then reduced with lithium borohydride to give the desired product 16b. The simple α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole ester 18, required as a control in



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) Succinic anhydride, PhMe, reflux, 15 min; (b) CH_3CO_2Na , Ac_2O , 70–80°C, 4.5 h (12a, 86% over two steps), (12b, 79% over two steps); (c) $NaBH_4$, 6:1 Pr^iOH/H_2O , room temp., 17 h (13a, 71%), 13b; (d) BOP-Cl, Et₃N, CH_2Cl_2 , room temp., 64 h, 15a, 15b. (e) LiBH₄, THF, $-78^{\circ}C$, 1 h, then room temp., 1 h (16a, 18% over two steps from 13a), (16b, 11% over three steps from 12b).



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (*a*) LiBH₄, THF, -78° C, 1 h, then room temp., 1 h (76%).

Table 1. P388 cancer cell line cytotoxicity assay results

Compound	ID ₅₀ [μM]
16a	468
16b	314
18	>739
19	9.4

the assays, was prepared by reduction of the formylpyrrole ester $17^{[15]}$ with lithium borohydride as outlined in Scheme 4.

P388 Cancer Cell Line Cytotoxicity Assay

Compounds **16a**, **16b**, **18**, and **19** were assayed against the P388 cancer cell line,^[17] and the resulting ID_{50} values are given in Table 1. The hydroxymethylpyrrole **18** was assayed to determine if an α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole moiety alone is sufficient for activity. The tricyclic heterocycle, 3,6diaminoacridine (Scheme 5, compound **19**), was assayed as a representative intercalator to compare its cytotoxicity to that of **16a** and **16b**.

From the results in Table 1 it is apparent that both 16a and 16b are cytotoxic, with ID_{50} values of 468 and 314 μ M,



Scheme 5. Assay compounds.

respectively. However, **18** displayed minimal activity, indicating that a hydroxymethylpyrrole moiety alone is not sufficient for cytotoxicity and that an aromatic substituent enhances activity. As might be expected, all compounds proved to be less active than the known and potent intercalator **19**.

Deoxyribonucleic Acid Binding Assay

We next chose to test the ability of the most active compound 16b to bind to DNA since this represents an important component of the proposed mechanism of action of this case of compound. The method reported by Baguley et al.^[18] was used to determine the DNA binding activity of 16b relative to ethidium bromide (Scheme 5, compound 20), by measuring the competition for DNA binding sites. The assay used relies on measurement of the fluorescence emitted by 20 upon binding to DNA. The addition of a second DNA binding agent (16b in this case) results in a reduction in fluorescence, due to displacement of 20 from the genetic material. Using this method, compound 16b was determined to have a 3000-fold lower affinity for poly[dA–dT] (K 4.6 × 10³ M⁻¹) and poly[dG–dC] (K 3.0 × 10³ M⁻¹) than **20** (K 1.10⁷ M⁻¹ for both oligonucleotides). While the binding constant for 16b was considerably lower than for 20, it must be noted that 20 has an extremely high affinity for DNA. A separate assay,^[11] to determine if 16b binds to the genetic material by intercalation, could not be performed owing to the insufficient solubility of 16b in the assay conditions. However, an intercalative binding mode for 16b to DNA is considered unlikely, as generally a tricyclic ring system is considered the 'minimum' for intercalation, although exceptions do exist.[8,19]

The inherent reactivity of hydroxymethylpyrroles has been put to good use by nature in the biosynthesis of vitamin $B12^{[2]}$ and also medicinal chemists to generate inhibitors of serine proteases.^[3-6] This moiety is also found in several natural product-based DNA alkylating agents (see earlier for a discussion), but none of these have the optimum combination of an intercalating substituent and the hydroxymethylpyrrole group at the α -position^[1] (see Scheme 2). In this paper we have presented initial studies towards this goal with the development of a general method for the preparation of α -hydroxymethylpyrroles to which is appended an aromatic group that is known to interact with DNA,^[8] see 16a and 16b. Scope exists to develop the potency of these compounds with optimization of intercalator and the linker chain. Compounds 16a and 16b displayed micromolar cytotoxicity towards cancer P388 cell line, but as expected both were less active than the representative and potent intercalator 19,

where this ring system is known to have a very high affinity for DNA. Importantly, an α -(hydroxymethyl)pyrrole moiety alone is not sufficient for activity, where **18** was effectively inactive. Pyrrole **16b** was shown, by a DNA binding assay, to have moderate affinity for oligonucleotides, but at a lower level than the classical intercalator, ethidium bromide—this result demonstrates that compounds of type **16** are able to bind to DNA.

Experimental

All reactions carried out under an argon atmosphere using oven-dried glassware. Analytical TLC was performed on plastic-backed Merck Kieselgel KG60F254 silica plates, and visualized using short-wave UV light. Flash chromatography was performed using 230-400 mesh Merck Silica Gel 60 under positive pressure. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction collected between 60-70°C. All solvents were purified and dried according to standard procedures and compounds 14 and 17 were synthesized by literature procedures.^[15] All melting points were obtained on an Electrothermal apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu 8201PC series FTIR interfaced with an Intel 486 PC operating Shimadzu's HyperIR software. Spectra were obtained in KBr (diffuse reflectance method). ¹H NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Inova spectrometer, operating at 500 MHz. Coupling constants (J) are quoted in Hz. 13 C NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Unity 300 spectrometer, operating at 75 MHz, with a delay (D1) of 1 s. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) on the δ scale. Two-dimensional NMR experiments, including COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and CIGAR, were obtained on the Varian Inova spectrometer operating at 500 MHz. The HSQC, HMBC, and CIGAR experiments were all obtained with a delay (D1) of 1 s. Electron-impact (EI) mass spectra were detected on a Kratos MS80 RFA mass spectrometer operating at 4000 V (accelerating potential) and 70 eV (ionization energy) using a source temperature of 200-250°C. Electrospray ionization (ES) mass spectra were detected on a micromass LCT TOF mass spectrometer, with a probe voltage of 3200 V, temperature of 150°C, and a source temperature of 80°C.

General Procedure A: Succinimide Formation from Aromatic Amines

A stirred solution of the aromatic amine (1 equiv.) and succinic anhydride (1 equiv.) in dry toluene (approx. 0.25 M), under an argon atmosphere, was heated at reflux for 15 min. After cooling to room temperature, the solid was collected by filtration and washed with cold petroleum ether. The material was dissolved in a mixture of ethyl acetate (60 mL) and 5% aqueous sodium hydroxide (60 mL), the layers were separated, and the organic phase was extracted with 5% aqueous sodium hydroxide (20 mL). The combined aqueous fractions were acidified to pH \sim 1 with concentrated hydrochloric acid, and the resultant solid was allowed to stand for 3 h, after which it was collected by filtration, washed with water (10 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. A mixture of the resulting N-arylsuccinamic acid (1 equiv.) and anhydrous sodium acetate (0.33 equiv.) in dry acetic anhydride (approx. 0.25 M) was heated to 70-80°C for 4.5 h, under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured onto water (60 mL), and extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic fractions were washed with aqueous saturated ammonium chloride (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. Residual solvent was removed from the desired product by Kugelrohr distillation (up to 140°C). See individual experiments for details.

General Procedure B: Succinimide Reduction/Ring Opening with Sodium Borohydride

To a stirred suspension of the succinimide (1 equiv.) in 6:1 propan-2-ol/water (approx. 0.1 M) was added sodium borohydride (2.5 equiv.), and the resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature for 17 h.

The mixture was filtered through Dowex-50W resin and the filtrate evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. See individual experiments for details.

General Procedure C: Couplings Using Bis(2-oxo-3oxazolidinyl)phosphinic Chloride

To a stirred suspension of the formylpyrrole acid $14^{[15]}$ (1 equiv.), alcohol 13 (1.5 equiv.), and bis(2-oxo-3-oxazolidinyl)phosphinic chloride (2 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (approx. 0.07 M), at room temperature under an argon atmosphere, was added dry triethylamine (2 equiv.). The resulting suspension was stirred for 64 h, after which it was diluted with ethyl acetate (20 mL), washed with 10% aqueous sodium bicarbonate (10 mL), saturated aqueous brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. See individual experiments for details.

General Procedure D: Lithium Borohydride Reductions

A stirred solution of the formylpyrrole **15** (1 equiv.), in dry THF (approx. 0.03 M) under an argon atmosphere, was cooled to -78° C (dry ice/acetone). Lithium borohydride (2 equiv.) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred at -78° C for 1 h, then warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 1 h. Water (10 mL) was carefully added to quench the reaction. The solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 mL), the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. See individual experiments for details.

N-(Naphthalen-1-yl)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione 12a

Naphthalen-1-ylamine **11a** (1.00 g, 6.98 mmol) was treated with succinic anhydride (699 mg, 6.98 mmol) followed by anhydrous sodium acetate (191 mg, 2.33 mmol) according to general procedure A to give **12a** (1.36 g, 86% over two steps) as a light pink solid, mp 153–154°C (lit.^[13] 147–149°C). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃, Me₄Si) 2.96–3.09 (4 H, m, CH₂CH₂), 7.33 (1 H, dd, *J* 1.0, 7.3, ArH), 7.53 (4 H, m, ArH), 7.93 (2 H, m, ArH).

N-(Quinolin-8-yl)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione 12b

Quinolin-8-ylamine **11b** (500 mg, 3.47 mmol) was treated with succinic anhydride (347 mg, 3.47 mmol) followed by sodium acetate (95 mg, 1.16 mmol) according to general procedure A to give **12b** (620 mg, 79% over two steps) as a light brown solid, mp 134–136°C. ν_{max} (KBr/cm⁻¹) 1502.4, 1701.1, 1778.2, 2947.0, 3051.2. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃, Me₄Si) 2.97, 3.13 (4 H, 2 m, CH₂CH₂), 7.44 (1 H, dd, *J* 3.9, 8.3, ArH), 7.62 (2 H, m, ArH), 7.93 (1 H, dd, *J* 2.0, 7.8, ArH), 8.20 (1 H, dd, *J* 1.5, 9.8, ArH), 8.87 (1 H, dd, *J* 1.7, 4.2, ArH). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃, Me₄Si) 29.0 (2 C, CH₂CH₂), 122.0, 126.2, 129.3, 129.7, 129.8, 136.6, 150.8 (ArC), 176.9 (2 CO₂). *m/z* (EI) 226.0744 (M⁺, C₁₃H₁₀N₂O₂ requires 226.0742).

4-Hydroxy-N-(naphthalen-1-yl)butanamide 13a

The succinimide **12a** (1.36 g, 6.04 mmol) was treated with sodium borohydride (571 mg, 15.1 mmol) by general procedure B. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate/methanol, 10:1), followed by recrystallization from ethyl acetate, to give **13a** (983 mg, 71%) as a white solid, mp 102–104°C (Found: C 73.2, H 6.7, N 6.1. C₁₄H₁₅NO₂ requires C 73.3, H 6.6, N 6.1%). ν_{max} (KBr/cm⁻¹) 1508.2, 1541.0, 1652.9, 2950.9, 3274.9. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CD₃OD, Me₄Si) 2.00 (2 H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.63 (2 H, t, *J* 7.3, CH₂CO), 3.70 (2 H, t, *J* 6.3, HOCH₂), 7.50 (3 H, m, ArH), 7.57 (1 H, d, *J* 7.3, ArH), 7.77 (1 H, d, *J* 8.3, ArH), 7.89 (1 H, m, ArH), 7.98 (1 H, d, *J* 7.8, ArH). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CD₃OD, Me₄Si) 30.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂), 34.2 (CH₂CO), 62.6 (HOCH₂), 123.7, 124.3, 126.7, 127.4, 127.5, 127.8, 129.6, 130.4, 134.4, 135.9 (ArC), 175.7 (CONH). *m/z* (EI) 229.1102 (M⁺, C₁₄H₁₅NO₂ requires 229.1103).

4-(Naphthalen-1-ylamino)-4-oxobutyl-5-hydroxymethyl-1Hpyrrole-2-carboxylate 16a

Pvrrole acid $14^{[15]}$ (100 mg, 0.72 mmol) was coupled to alcohol 13a(247 mg, 1.08 mmol) using general procedure C. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 2:1) to give 15a (95 mg) as an orange solid, which was not purified further. This material was reduced with lithium borohydride (12 mg, 0.54 mmol) by general procedure D and the crude product purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 5:1, then ethyl acetate), followed by recrystallization from ethyl acetate, to give 16a (46 mg, 18% over two steps) as a white solid, mp 154–156°C (Found: C 68.2, H 5.8, N 7.8. C₂₀H₂₀N₂O₄ requires C 68.2, H 5.7, N 8.0%). $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr/cm⁻¹) 1500.5, 1531.4, 1654.8, 1685.7, 3282.6, 3433.1. $\delta_{\rm H}$ [500 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO, Me₄Si] 2.15 (2 H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.74 (2 H, t, J 7.3, CH₂CO), 4.37 (2 H, t, J 6.3, CO₂CH₂), 4.51 (2 H, d, J 5.4, HOCH₂), 5.15 (1 H, t, J 5.9, HOCH₂), 6.17 (1 H, m, pyrrole H4), 6.86 (1 H, s, pyrrole H3), 7.60 (3 H, m, ArH), 7.76 (1 H, d, J 7.3, ArH), 7.85 (1 H, d, J 8.3, ArH), 8.03 (1 H, m, ArH), 8.14 (1 H, m, ArH), 10.05 (1 H, br s, CONH), 11.73 (1 H, br s, pyrrole NH). δ_C [75 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO, Me₄Si] 24.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂), 32.5 (CH₂CO), 56.1 (HOCH₂), 63.1 (CO₂*C*H₂), 108.2 (pyrrole C4), 115.7 (pyrrole C3), 121.2 (pyrrole C2) 122.0, 122.9, 125.4, 125.8, 126.0, 126.2, 128.0, 128.3, 133.7, 133.9 (ArC), 139.4 (pyrrole C5), 160.7 (CO₂), 171.6 (CONH). m/z (ES) $375.1321 (M^+ + Na, C_{20}H_{20}N_2NaO_4 requires 375.1321).$

4-(Quinolin-8-ylamino)-4-oxobutyl-5-hydroxymethyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate **16b**

The succinimide 12b (496 mg, 2.19 mmol) was reduced with sodium borohydride (207 mg, 5.48 mmol) using general procedure B. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate, then ethyl acetate/methanol, 10:1) to give 13b (335 mg) as a sticky white solid. δ_H (500 MHz, CD₃OD, Me₄Si) 1.99 (2 H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.68 (2 H, t, J7.6, CH₂CO), 3.67 (2 H, t, J6.3, HOCH₂), 7.53 (2 H, m, ArH), 7.61 (1 H, m, ArH), 8.29 (1 H, dd, J 2.0, 8.3, ArH), 8.62 (1 H, d, J 7.8, ArH), 8.86 (1 H, d, J 1.5, 4.4, ArH). Pyrrole acid 14^[15] (135 mg, 0.97 mmol) was coupled to 13b (335 mg, 1.45 mmol) according to general procedure C. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 2:1) to give 15b as an orange solid. This material was reduced with lithium borohydride (19 mg, 0.85 mmol) by general procedure D and the crude material purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 4:1, then ethyl acetate), followed by recrystallization from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, to give 16b (86 mg, 11% over three steps) as a tan solid, mp 118–119°C (Found: C 64.5, H 5.6, N 11.6. C₁₉H₁₉N₃O₄ requires C 64.6, H 5.4, N 11.9%). $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr/cm⁻¹) 1539.1, 1652.9, 1708.8, 2949.0, 3261.4, 3348.2. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃, Me₄Si) 2.25 (2 H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.57 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.71 (2 H, t, J 7.1, CH₂CO), 4.39 (2 H, t, J 6.3, CO₂CH₂), 4.63 (2 H, s, HOCH₂), 6.17 (1 H, t, J 3.2, pyrrole 4H), 6.83 (1 H, dd, J 2.4, 3.4, pyrrole H3), 7.43 (1 H, dd, J 4.4, 8.3, ArH), 7.51 (2 H, m, ArH), 8.14 (1 H, dd, J 1.7, 8.1, ArH), 8.74 (2 H, m, ArH), 9.77, 9.85 (2 H, 2 br s, CONH and pyrrole NH). δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃, Me₄Si) 24.2 (CH₂CH₂CH₂), 33.7 (CH₂CO), 56.7 (HOCH₂), 62.5 (CO₂CH₂), 107.2 (pyrrole C4), 114.9 (pyrrole C3), 116.3, 121.2, 126.8, 127.4, 133.6, 136.4, 137.2, 147.4 (ArC), 121.4 (pyrrole C2), 137.8 (pyrrole C5), 160.5 (CO₂), 170.3 (CONH). m/z (EI) 353.1362 (M⁺, C₁₉H₁₉N₃O₄ requires 353.1376).

Ethyl 5-hydroxymethyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate 18

Formylpyrrole ester $17^{[15]}$ (150 mg, 0.90 mmol) was reduced with lithium borohydride (39 mg, 1.79 mmol) using general procedure D. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1 : 1) to give **18** (115 mg, 76%) as a white solid; mp 76–78°C (Found: C 57.0, H 6.6, N 8.2. C₈H₁₁NO₃ requires C 56.8, H 6.6, N 8.3%). ν_{max} (KBr/cm⁻¹) 1502.4, 1683.7, 2993.3, 3286.5. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃, Me4Si) 1.35 (3 H, t, *J* 7.1, CH₂CH₃), 4.31 (2 H, q, *J* 7.2, CH₂CH₃), 4.69 (2 H, s, HOCH₂), 6.11 (1 H, t, *J* 3.2, pyrrole H4), 6.84 (1 H, dd, *J* 2.4, 3.9, pyrrole H3), 9.51 (1 H, br s, pyrrole NH). $\delta_{\rm C}$ [75 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO, Me4Si] 14.1 (CH₂CH₃), 57.0 (HOCH₂), 59.6

(CH₂CH₃), 108.0 (pyrrole C4), 115.2 (pyrrole C3), 122.4 (pyrrole C2), 138.7 (pyrrole C5), 160.7 (CO₂). *m/z* (EI) 169.0739 (M⁺, C₈H₁₁NO₃ requires 169.0738).

P388 Cancer Cell Line Cytotoxicity Assay

These assays were carried out according to the literature method of Alley et al.,^[17] using stock solutions of **16a** (20 mg mL^{-1} in 3:1 methanol/dimethylformamide), **16b** and **18** (10 mg mL^{-1} in methanol), and **19** (1 mg mL^{-1} in 3:1 methanol/dimethylformamide).

Determination of the Binding Constant of 16b to DNA

Binding constants of $4.6 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for poly[dA–dT] and $3.0 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for poly[dG–dC] were calculated for **16b** and 1.10^7 M^{-1} for ethidium bromide **20** according to the literature method.^[18,19] The end point of the assay was based on 20% displacement of **20** by **16b** due to the low solubility of **16b** in the assay medium.^[20]

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor Bruce Baguley (Auckland Cancer Society Research Centre) for performing the DNA binding assays, and Gill Ellis (Marine Natural Products Group, Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury) for carrying out the P388 cytotoxicity assays. Financial support from Bright Futures Top Achievers Doctoral Scholarship (D.C.M.) and the Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund is also gratefully acknowledged.

References

- (a) R. A. Jones, *Pyrroles in The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds* (Eds. E. C. Taylor, A. Weissberger) **1990**, Vol. 1, p. 587 (Wiley: New York, NY).
 (b) R. A. Jones, G. P. Bean, *The Chemistry of Pyrroles* **1977**, pp. 425–438 (Academic Press: London).
- [2] A. R. Battersby, F. J. Leeper, Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 1261.
- [3] (a) A. D. Abell, B. K. Nabbs, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2001, 9, 621. doi:10.1016/S0968-0896(00)00274-1
 (b) A. D. Abell, J. C. Litten, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 3005. doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(00)79584-0
 (c) A. D. Abell, B. K. Nabbe, L. Chem. See Chem. Commun.

(c) A. D. Abell, B. K. Nabbs, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1998, 8, 919.

[4] D. C. Martyn, A. J. Vernall, B. M. Clark, A. D. Abell, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 2103. doi:10.1039/B302411C

- [5] A. D. Abell, B. K. Nabbs, A. R. Battersby, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8163. doi:10.1021/JO9805731
- [6] A. D. Abell, B. K. Nabbs, A. R. Battersby, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 1741. doi:10.1021/JA973656+
- [7] (a) I. Schechter, A. Berger, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1967, 27, 157.
 (b) Note the use of Schechter-Berger nomenclature—the residues on the N-terminal side of the peptide bond that is cleaved are denoted (in order) P1-Pn, and those on the C-terminus are denoted P1'-Pn'. In turn, the corresponding subsites on the enzyme are denoted Sn-Sn'. L. Hedstrom, Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 4501. doi:10.1021/CR000033X
- [8] (a) H. Zang, K. S. Gates, *Biochemistry* 2000, 39, 14968. doi:10.1021/BI001998D
 (b) M. S. Searle, J. G. Hall, W. A. Denny, L. P. G. Wakelin, *Biochem. J.* 1989, 259, 433.
- [9] J. A. Hartley, in *Molecular Aspects of Anticancer Drug-DNA Interactions* (Eds S. Neidle, M. Waring) **1993**, Vol. 1, p. 1 (CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL).
- [10] W. K. Anderson, P. F. Corey, J. Med. Chem. 1977, 20, 812.
- [11] G. J. Atwell, J.-Y. Fan, K. Tan, W. A. Denny, J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 4744. doi:10.1021/JM9803119
- [12] M. J. Ortega, E. Zubia, J. L. Carballo, J. Salva, *Tetrahedron* 1997, 53, 331. doi:10.1016/S0040-4020(96)00989-1
- [13] J. L. Hubbard, J. M. Carl III, G. D. Anderson, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1992, 29, 720.
- [14] N. Defacqz, R. Touillaux, A. Cordi, J. Marchand-Brynaert, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 2632. doi:10.1039/ B105111N
- [15] (a) D. M. Bailey, R. E. Johnson, N. F. Albertson, Org. Synth. 1971, 51, 100.
 (b) D. M. Wallace, S. H. Leung, M. O. Senge, K. M. Smith, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 7245.
 (c) M. K. A. Khan, K. J. Morgan, D. P. Morrey, Tetrahedron 1966, 22, 2095. doi:10.1016/S0040-4020(01)82129-3
- J. Diago-Meseguer, A. L. Palomo-Coll, J. R. Fernandez-Lizarbe, A. Zugaza-Bilbao, *Synthesis* 1980, 547. doi:10.1055/S-1980-29116
- [17] M. C. Alley, D. A. Scudiero, A. Monks, M. L. Hursey, M. J. Czerwinski, D. L. Fine, B. J. Abbott, J. G. Mayo, et al., *Cancer Res.* **1988**, 48, 589.
- [18] B. C. Baguley, W. A. Denny, G. J. Atwell, B. F. Cain, J. Med. Chem. 1981, 24, 170.
- [19] G. J. Atwell, C. D. Bos, B. C. Baguley, W. A. Denny, J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1048, and references therein.
- [20] B. C. Baguley, personal communication.