Pyridine-imide oligomers†

Xiao Li,^{ab} Chuanlang Zhan,^{*a} Yaobing Wang^{ab} and Jiannian Yao^{*a}

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 9th January 2008, Accepted 27th March 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 21st April 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b800020d

Pyridine-imide oligomers created by incorporating imide and pyridine units alternatively in sequence were successfully synthesized and found to form highly compact and stable helical conformations contributed by intramolecular H-bonds between the imide and both adjacent pyridines, and by the structural characteristics of the imide units.

The α -helix widely occurs in nature and is related to the extensive biological functions of proteins. Foldamers have been created with chemically diverse units from aliphatics to aromatics in the past decades to mimic α -helices in either structure or function.¹ In contrast to the aliphatic homologues,^{2,3} which may tightly pack into highly compact structures such as the α -peptides, most of the reported aromatic oligoamides (AOAs)^{1c,4,5} are still far from high compactness although the AOAs possess advanced structural features such as structural predictability and canonical helical conformations. Considering the rigidity and high coplanarity of the aromatics, there remain challenges to create highly compact helical conformations for AOAs. Reported cases include those generated by adjusting the relative orientations of substituted amine and acid groups from 120° (at pyridine) to 60° (at quinoline⁶ or benzene^{4d}).

As H-bonding functional linkages, amide units have been widely used in constructions of AOAs as the NHCO–aryl rotation may be restricted into a strong preference of *anti-* or *syn*-conformation with H-bonds. Other linkages such as urea^{5c,7} and hydrazide⁸ have also been reported. 2-Ureopyridine may exist as a balance between *cis* and *trans* conformers, which may result in an equilibrium for oligomers between the intermolecularly H-bonded linear dimers and intramolecularly H-bonded helical monomers. Although hydrazide was found to favor a *trans*-conformation, the oligomers seem to adopt linear conformations rather than helical conformations. In contrast, imide groups are rarely utilized as linkages (three reported cases were related to N-substituted imidyl–benzene and –naphthalene based oligomers^{9,10}). Most importantly, how the imide groups act as H-bonding linkages to regulate dynamics, conformations, and structures of the oligomers is as yet unknown.

In this communication, we introduce imide as a functional H-bonding unit incorporated with pyridine together to develop pyridine-imide oligomers with highly compact and stable helical conformations (Fig. 1). The imide-NH was found to Hbond with both adjacent pyridine nitrogen atoms intramolecularly and then produce consecutive bends along the strand. The high compactness is contributed from both intramolecular H-bonds and structural characteristics of the imide itself.

The oligomers were successfully synthesized by refluxing the corresponding primary amide and acid chloride prepared from commercial 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid. In brief, refluxing of 2-ethoxycarbonyl-6-pyridinoyl chloride (1) and 2-ethoxycarbonyl-6-pyridinoyl amide (2) in toluene gave **PIO1**, while **PIO2** was obtained from **2** and 2,6-pyridinoyl dichloride (3). **PIO3** was obtained from the **PIO1**-monoamide and **PIO1**-monoacyl chloride converted from **PIO1** as starting materials (Fig. 2).

¹H NMR studies in CDCl₃ solution (Fig. 3) show the imide protons of **PIO1** are deshielded at 12.96 ppm, much more downfield of values characteristic of intramolecularly non-Hbonded or H-bonded imide protons,¹¹ or H-bonded amide protons of dimeric pyridine–oligoamides.¹² This strongly suggests that the imide-protons intramolecularly H-bond to both adjacent pyridine nitrogen atoms, as further supported by the slight upfield shifting (0.3 ppm) of the imide-protons in d_6 -DMSO. Moreover, it is surprising that the intramolecularly H-bonded imide-protons are remarkably stable to DIEA



Fig. 1 Comparison of (a) α -peptide and (b) pyridine-imide oligomers: alternative three-atom sequences are colored blue and green along the backbone direction (in the inner rim). (c) A helical structure showing intramolecular H-bonds between imide-NH and both adjacent pyridine nitrogen atoms. (d) Chemical structures of pyridine-imide oligomers.

^a Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences (BNLMS), Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, People's Republic of China. E-mail: clzhan@iccas.ac.cr;

jnyao@iccas.ac.cn; Fax: +86-10-82616517; Tel: +86-10-82616517 ^b Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (GUCAS), Beijing, 100049, People's Republic of China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures, characterizations of all new compounds (¹H, ¹³C NMR and MS). CCDC 674807 and 674808. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b800020d



Fig. 2 Synthetic procedure for pyridine–imide oligomers. *Reagents and conditions*: (a) ethanol, H₂SO₄, reflux, 8 h, 94%; (b) NaOH, ethanol, 1,4-dioxane, 70–80%; (c) SOCl₂; (d) NH₃/CH₂Cl₂, RT, 95%; (e) SOCl₂; (f) toluene/reflux, 8 h, 80–95%; (g) toluene/reflux, 8 h, 10–20%; (h) NaOH, 1,4-dioxane, 25–35%; (i) SOCl₂; (j) NH₃/CH₂Cl₂, RT, 90%; (k) toluene/reflux, 8 h, 10–15%.

(diisopropylethyl amine), as revealed by ¹H NMR study on adding DIEA into the **PIO1**/CDCl₃ solution.

With chain length increase from PIO1 to PIO2 to PIO3, both similarities and distinct differences were observed in the ¹H NMR spectra (Fig. 3). PIO1, PIO2 and PIO3 all show sharp signals and no indications of double-helix formation or other types of aggregates, even at temperatures down to 223 K (Fig. 4). This is different from the behavior of pyridine-oligoamides,⁴ but similar to that of quinoline–oligoamides.⁶ On the other hand, the protons of PIO1, PIO2 and PIO3 show distinct shifting in the NMR range, a reflection of helical conformations, for example, the upfield shifting of both ethylprotons of PIO2 or PIO3 and terminal imide protons (appearing at 12.64 ppm) of PIO3, evidenced shielding effects from the pyridines in a helical structure. The helical conformations are further confirmed by NOE experiments, particularly, by the strong NOE contacts between terminal ethyl protons or imideprotons and pyridine-protons for both PIO2 and PIO3 and the contacts between central and terminal imide-protons for PIO3, an obvious reflection of the formation of compact helical conformations (Fig. 5).

All the ¹H NMR data above are consistent with compact helical conformations in solutions. Crystals suitable for the single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained for both **PIO2**¹³ and **PIO3**.¹⁴ The resolved structures agree well with molecular modeling (Gauss MM2) and solution structures. As expected, the imide units show high coplanarity. The imide C–N lengths are nearly identical in the range of 1.368–1.388 Å with the C=O bond lengths ranging from 1.196 to 1.207 Å, indicating high electron delocalization and double-bond char-



Fig. 3 Part of the 400 MHz 1 H NMR spectra of PIO1 (a), PIO2 (b) and PIO3 (c).

acter of the C-N bond. The imide-NH forms into two intramolecular H-bonds with both adjacent pyridine nitrogen atoms, generating consecutive bends along the strand. The bending curvature is also contributed from the structural characteristics of the imide groups, as indicated by the bond angles of $\angle C(\text{imide}) - N(\text{imide}) - C(\text{imide}) (126.25 - 129.48^{\circ})$ and ∠ N(imide)–C(imide)–C(pyridine) (111.55–113.78°). Interestingly, the crystal structures give three surprising findings. The first is that PIO2 crystallises in a chiral space group, in which a crystal cell accommodates four left-handed helices. This suggests that the racemic oligomers undergo spontaneous resolution into the two enantiomers. This feature is very uncommon in helical molecules. The second is that the imide groups in PIO3 have higher coplanarity than in PIO2, as revealed by the torsion angles of O(imide)-C(imide)-N(imide)-C(imide). The third is that PIO3 exhibits a more bent conformation than **PIO2.** For example, the $\angle C(imide) - N(imide) - C(imide)s$ are nearly 0.6-3.2° smaller in PIO2 (126.25 and 127.83°) than in **PIO3** (128.41, 128.99, 129.48°). The $\angle N(\text{imide}) - C(\text{imide}) - C(\text{imide})$ C(pyridine) angles are in the range of $112.54-113.64^{\circ}$ in PIO2, while decrease to 111.55-112.47° (with an exception of 113.78°) among six values in PIO3, although they are



Fig. 4 Partial 600 MHz ¹H NMR spectra of **PIO2** (upper) and **PIO3** (lower) at three different temperatures.



Fig. 5 Solid-state structures of **PIO2** (upper) and **PIO3** (lower): sideview (left) and top-view (right) with the imide units colored in green. The hydrogen atoms except for the imide protons are omitted for clarity.

dependent on either the position in the sequence or the chain length. The above two structural differences between **PIO2** and **PIO3** are likely due to the "structural tunability"—the bond and torsion angles—of the imide unit, which is sensitive to interactions between the stacking units in the helical structures, for example, the *i* imide is shown to stack partially with the pyridine positioning at i + 5 and partially with another imide at i + 4.

As expected, the pyridine-imide oligomers possess compact helical conformations with every five units constituting a helical turn, that is each turn contains about 15 atoms along the backbone (in the inner rim). Considering the coplanarity and rigidity of the constituent units, this corresponding to the highest curvature reached by AOAs. The imide protons all fill the helix hollow and prevent solvent molecules penetrating through it. All imide oxygen atoms position outward the helix. Additionally, the homologous units positioning at *i* and i + 5sites in sequence are arranged in an orderly manner along the helical axis, for example, the imide units at 2 and 7 positions. The helical pitch is 3.4 Å, similar to the pyridine-oligoamides and related to the thickness of one aromatic ring. The relative inclinations of the helix are contributed by both the torsions between the pyridine and the imide units and the imide unit itself. The inclinations can be estimated from the torsion angles of each consecutive four-inner-rim-atoms between the nitrogen atoms of two pyridines attached to one imide unit.

In summary, both solution- and solid-state studies reveal the pyridine-imide oligomers form into remarkably stable and compact helical conformations. On basis of the advanced features—stability and compactness, further study will focus on possible bio-applications and electron/energy transfer properties through the bridged oligomeric strand.

We thank NSFC (Nos. 50221201, 90301010, 20471062, 50573084, 20303024), the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and

the National Research Fund for Fundamental Key Project 973 (2006CB806200, 2007CB936401).

Notes and references

- (a) D. J. Hill, M. J. Mio, R. B. Prince, T. S. Hughes and J. S. Moore, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 3893–4011; (b) R. P. Cheng, S. H. Gellman and W. F. DeGrado, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 3219–3232; (c) I. Huc, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 17–29.
- 2 For recent examples of β, γ, δ-peptides, see: (a) E. A. Porter, X. F. Wang, H. S. Lee, B. Weisblum and S. H. Gellman, *Nature*, 2000, 404, 565–565; (b) J. A. Kritzer, J. D. Lear, M. E. Hodsdon and A. Schepartz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 9468–9469; (c) G. V. M. Sharma, P. Jayaprakash, K. Narsimulu, A. R. Sankar, K. R. Reddy, P. R. Krishna and A. C. Kunwar, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 2944–2947; (d) D. S. Daniels, E. J. Petersson, J. X. Qiu and A. Schepartz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 1532–1533.
- 3 For examples of hybrid peptides, see: (a) G. V. M. Sharma, P. Nagendar, P. Jayaprakash, P. R. Krishna, K. V. S. Ramakrishna and A. C. Kunwar, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 5878–5882; (b) P. G. Vasudev, K. Ananda, S. Chatterjee, S. Aravinda, N. Shamala and P. Balaram, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 4039–4048; (c) S. H. Choi, I. A. Guzei and S. H. Gellman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 13780–13781.
- 4 For examples, see: (a) V. Berl, I. Huc, R. G. Khoury, M. J. Krische and J. M. Lehn, *Nature*, 2000, 407, 720–723; (b) V. Berl, I. Huc, R. G. Khoury and J. M. Lehn, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2001, 7, 2798–2809; (c) V. Berl, I. Huc, R. G. Khoury and J. M. Lehn, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2001, 7, 2810–2820; (d) C. A. Hunter and D. H. Purvis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1992, 31, 792–795.
- For examples see: (a) B. Gong, Chem.-Eur. J., 2001, 7, 4336-4342;
 (b) J. L. Hou, H. P. Yi, X. B. Shao, C. Li, Z. Q. Wu, X. K. Jiang, L. Z. Wu, C. H. Tung and Z. T. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 796-800; (c) R. W. Sinkeldam, F. J. M. Hoeben, M. J. Pouderoijen, I. De Cat, J. Zhang, S. Furukawa, S. De Feyter, J. Vekemans and E. W. Meijer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 16113-16121.
- 6 (a) H. Jiang, J. M. Léger and I. Huc, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 3448–3449; (b) E. R. Gillies, F. Deiss, C. Staedel, J.-M. Schmitter and I. Huc, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 4081–4084.
- 7 (a) N. C. Singha and D. N. Sathyanarayana, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1997, 157–162; (b) P. S. Corbin and S. C. Zimmerman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 3779–3780; (c) P. S. Corbin, S. C. Zimmerman, P. A. Thiessen, N. A. Hawryluk and T. J. Murray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 10475–10488.
- 8 J. Garric, J. M. Léger, A. Grelard, M. Ohkita and I. Huc, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, 44, 1421–1424.
- 9 J. M. Rodriguez and A. D. Hamilton, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8614–8617.
- (a) H. Masu, M. Sakai, K. Kishikawa, M. Yamamoto, K. Yamaguchi and S. Kohmoto, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 1423–1431; (b) L. S. Evans and P. A. Gale, Chem. Commun., 2004, 1286–1287.
- (a) J. Dai, C. S. Day and R. E. Noftle, *Tetrahedron*, 2003, 59, 9389–9397; (b) C. D. Vanderwal and E. N. Jacobsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, 126, 14724–14725; (c) T. Inokuma, Y. Hoashi and Y. Takemoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, 128, 9413–9419.
- 12 C. Dolain, C. L. Zhan, J. M. Léger, L. Daniels and I. Huc, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 2400–2401.
- 13 *Crystal data* for **PIO2**: crystallization solvent/precipitant: DMF/ diethyl ether, orthorhombic, space group $P_{2_12_12_1}$, colorless, a =7.7201(14), b = 8.3506(15), c = 37.025(7) Å, T = 113(1) K, Z =4, GOF = 1.037. The final *R* indices were *R*1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) = 0.0312, wR2 (all data) = 0.0750.
- 14 *Crystal data* for **PIO3**: crystallization solvent/precipitant: DMF/ diethyl ether, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, colorless, a = 11.556(2), b = 12.222(2), c = 12.249(2) Å, $\alpha = 67.75(3)$, $\beta = 82.17(3)$, $\gamma = 88.75(3)$, Z = 2, T = 298 K, GOF = 1.149. The final *R* indices were *R*1 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) = 0.0983, *wR*2 (all data) = 0.2085. The poor quality of this structure is due to weak diffraction intensity and disorder of the terminal ethyl units. However, all atoms relative to the backbone of the helix were accurately located.