## ChemComm





View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 14778

Received 21st August 2014, Accepted 6th October 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4cc06573e

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

## Acid- and base-functionalized core-confined bottlebrush copolymer catalysts for one-pot cascade reactions<sup>†</sup>

Linfeng Xiong, Hui Zhang, Aiqing Zhong, Zidong He and Kun Huang\*

We demonstrate a novel method that enables the formation of coreconfined bottlebrush copolymers (CCBCs) as catalyst supports. Significantly, owing to the site-isolated effect, these CCBC catalysts with the incompatible acidic *para*-toluenesulfonic acid (PTSA) and basic 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) groups can conduct a simple two-step sequential reaction in one vessel.

In the past decade, many investigations have been focused on the development of green chemical processes and synthetic methods due to environmental considerations.<sup>1,2</sup> The capability to carry out multiple reactions in one flask plays an important role in the field of green chemistry because it decreases the number of work-ups and purifications, as well as the volume of the solvent used. These reactions are often called cascade or domino reactions, which represents the development trend of modern organic synthesis due to the simplified process with low cost, less waste and fewer product purification steps. A key problem for the cascade reactions is how to site-isolate catalysts or reagents from each other such that they do not come into contact and poison one another to avoid undesired interactions. To date, several catalyst site-isolation techniques have been developed by using solid supports or sol-gels to encapsulate opposite catalysts.<sup>3-13</sup> More recently, soluble polymers with branched architectures, such as dendritic and hyperbranched polymers, have emerged as attractive nanoscale reactors for the encapsulation and isolation of various catalytic groups within the interior of the polymers.<sup>14-16</sup> In particular, Fréchet et al.<sup>17</sup> reported the use of star polymers to combine the normally incompatible acid and base catalysts for one-pot cascade reactions. Although recent advancements in supramolecular chemistry, nanomaterial synthesis, and catalyst design have significantly improved our ability to construct incompatible multifunctional catalytic systems, developing new and easy methods for preparing site-isolating catalysts that can conduct one-pot sequential reactions still remains a significant challenge.

Molecular bottlebrushes are single graft copolymer molecules with a well-defined cylindrical shape which is a result of the steric hindrance between the polymeric side chains forcing the backbone to adopt a nearly extended conformation.<sup>18-24</sup> Recently, considerable attention has been drawn towards these macromolecules due to their potential use in intramolecular nanoengineering, for example as templates for inorganic nanoparticles or nanowires,<sup>25-31</sup> and for development of materials having novel properties, such as supersoft elastomers, 32,33 photonic crystals,34-38 molecular tensile machines,39 drug-loading vehicles,<sup>40,41</sup> organic nanotubes,<sup>42-44</sup> other carbon nanostructures<sup>45</sup> and water-soluble organo-silica hybrid nanotubes.46 Resembling dendritic, hyperbranched and star polymers, which contain multiple arms joined at a central core, core-shell bottlebrush copolymers are composed of highly branched polymeric side chains emanating from a central backbone. Especially, the unique shape, easily controlled dimensions and versatile synthesis routes of molecular bottlebrushes render them useful in single-molecule manipulation to create site-isolated nano-objects. To date, there have been no reports for the precise introduction of catalytic functional groups into bottlebrush copolymers.

In this communication, we describe a novel method that enables the formation of CCBCs as catalyst supports for one-pot cascade reactions. Owing to the site-isolated effect, these CCBC catalysts with the incompatible acid and base groups can conduct a simple two-step sequential reaction in one vessel.

CCBCs containing acidic PTSA or basic DMAP catalysts confined in their core were successfully synthesized by a "graft-from" approach with the help of the reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization and metathesis crosslinking reaction. The structure of the target bottlebrush copolymer precursor is shown in Scheme 1. First, poly(glycidyl methacrylate) (PGM) backbones with an average of 140 units were prepared by RAFT polymerization mediated by 2-cyanoprop-2-yl-4-cyanodithiobenzoate (CPD). The polymer had an extremely narrow molecular weight distribution ( $M_w/M_n < 1.1$ ). Pendent epoxide

Department of Chemistry, East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200241,

P. R. China. E-mail: khuang@chem.ecnu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and Fig. S1–S6. See DOI: 10.1039/c4cc06573e

Scheme 1 Fabrication of core-confined bottlebrush copolymer supported catalysts.

groups of PGM were then hydrolyzed to provide diols, which served as initiators for S-1-dodecyl-S'- $(\alpha, \alpha'$ -dimethyl- $\alpha''$ -acetic acid)trithiocarbonate (TC) RAFT modification. The successful outcome of the esterification was evident by the appearance of a new signal at 3.28 ppm belonging to the methylene protons adjacent to the trithiocarbonate group (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Based on the area integration analysis of the peak at 3.28 ppm (designated "e" in Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>) and the methyl peak (at around 0.90 ppm) from the main chain and RAFT end groups (designated "a" and "g" in Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>), we can calculate the number of grafted RAFT groups. Upon using an excess of RAFT agent acid chloride, an average of 63% of hydroxy units were successfully converted to RAFT groups. Then, phenyl 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate (PVBS) or 4-N-(4-vinylbenzyl)oxyethyl-N-methylaminopyridine (VEMAP) as catalytic groups with cross-linkable 4-(3-butenyl)styrene (BS) groups were randomly grafted onto the PGM backbone by RAFT polymerization to form acid or base-containing bottlebrush copolymers. In order to improve the solubility and steric hindrance of the final CCBC catalysts, a poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIAAm) shell layer was introduced into the bottlebrush architecture to form a core-shell structural precursor. Finally, the acid-containing bottlebrush copolymers were composed of the poly(PVBS/BS) core block with an average of 23 PVBS and 10 BS units and a PNIAAm shell block with an average of 220 units, confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy analyses. Similarly, the base-containing bottlebrush copolymers contained the poly(VEMAP\BS\St) core block with an average of 10 VEMAP and 4 BS and 4 St units and a PNIAAm shell block with an average of 260 units (Fig. S2 and S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Every molecular bottlebrush contained approximately 4057 acidic groups (or 1764 basic groups) as measured by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the bottlebrush copolymer precursors. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) traces of the acid or base-containing bottlebrush copolymer precursor exhibited monomodal molecular weight distribution traces with PDI = 1.17 and 1.10, respectively (Fig. S4 and S5, ESI<sup>†</sup>), which indicated efficient reinitiation and the formation of well-defined copolymers.

According to a previously reported method,<sup>44</sup> the pendent olefinic groups of the BS units were linked together by the cross-metathesis reaction to produce core-confined architecture in copolymer precursors. For the acid-containing bottlebrush copolymers, the phenylsulfonate esters can be deprotected with KOH solution and then acidified to produce acidic *para*toluenesulfonic acid groups. The shape and size of the synthesized acid- and base-containing CCBCs were also characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 1 and Fig. S6, ESI<sup>†</sup>).





Fig. 1 TEM characterization of acid-containing CCBCs.



Scheme 2 Illustration of core-confined bottlebrush copolymer supported catalysts for one-pot cascade reactions.

 $\label{eq:table_$ 

Entry	Base catalyst <sup>a</sup>	Acid catalyst <sup>a</sup>	Conversion of $A^b$ (%)	Yield of $C^{b}$ (%)
1	M2	M1	100	87
2	DMAP	M1	5	4
3	M2	PTSA	7	5
4	DMAP	PTSA	0	0
5	M3	M1	9	8
6	M2	M4	10	9
7	M3	<b>M</b> 4	6	5

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: 10 mol% acid and base catalysts were used. The reaction mixtures were run for 48 h at 70 °C in DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O (40:1), [*D*] = 300 mM, n(A): n(D) = 1:4. <sup>*b*</sup> Yields are based on GC-MS measurements.

As we expected, clearly distinguishable cylindrical nanorods with a length of 30  $\pm$  6 nm and a diameter of 8  $\pm$  2 nm were observed.

Next, we explored the possibility of our materials as catalyst supports for the one-pot cascade reactions. An acid-catalyzed acetal hydrolysis and the subsequent base-catalyzed Knoevenagel condensation were used as model reactions (Scheme 2). Reactions with either free acid or free base, and with uncrosslinked bottlebrush copolymer catalysts were also performed as control experiments (Table 1).

The results showed complete hydrolysis of the acetal to benzaldehyde and 87% yield of target product C was observed when the reaction was performed with M1 and M2. When the



Scheme 3 Synthesis of the acid-containing uncross-linked bottlebrush copolymers.

sequential reaction is carried out only in the presence of smallmolecule catalysts DMAP or PTSA with M1 or M2, only little hydrolysis of acetal and little yield of target product C were observed. As predicted, these small-molecular catalysts could freely diffuse into the core of the CCBCs and deactivate the acidic or basic groups through acid-base neutralization reactions. The deactivated CCBCs could no longer catalyze the reaction cascade. This acid-base neutralization-induced deactivation was also confirmed by mixing both DMAP and PTSA in the reaction solution (entry 4). Based on the above results, we conclude that CCBCs provide effective site-isolation, preventing mutual deactivation of incompatible core-bound catalytic groups.

The importance of cross-linking in the CCBCs was established by control experiments carried out with acid- or basecontaining uncross-linked bottlebrush copolymers. Because the side chains in the bottlebrush copolymers will be cut off during the process of phenylsulfonate ester deprotection, an alternative procedure was used to prepare the acid-containing uncrosslinked bottlebrush copolymers with a similar composition and architecture as shown in Scheme 3. When the reaction cascade was carried out by using uncross-linked catalysts M3 and M4, only 5% yield of target product C was observed. Again, only 8% or 9% yield of target product C was observed when either of these uncrosslinked catalysts M3 or M4 was used along with the complementary CCBCs M1 or M2, respectively. We reasoned that the uncrosslinked bottlebrush copolymer can also penetrate the corona of the CCBCs with the same deactivation effects as either small-molecular PTSA or DMAP. Thus, the presence of cross-linking in the core layer of bottlebrush copolymers appears to be essential for producing site isolation effects for one-pot cascade reactions.

In summary, we have developed a new system for preparing site-isolated catalysts based on the core-confined bottlebrush copolymers as support structures. By binding incompatible organic acid and base into the core-confined section of CCBCs, these acidic or basic groups can be effectively isolated and can serve as catalysts for a one-pot cascade reaction. We believe that this strategy can be further developed into a general pathway for other incompatible catalyst systems, in which the efficiency of organic synthesis will improve significantly.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 51273066, the Shanghai Pujiang Program grant 13PJ1402300 and the Large Instruments Open Foundation of East China Normal University (No. 2014-15).

## Notes and references

- 1 M. Poliakoff, J. M. Fitzpatrick, T. R. Farren and P. T. Anastas, *Science*, 2002, 297, 807-810.
- 2 B. M. Trost, Science, 1991, 254, 1471-1477.
- 3 L. Veum and U. Hanefeld, Chem. Commun., 2006, 825-831.
- 4 M. E. Davis, Science, 2003, 300, 438-439.
- 5 M. J. Sabater, A. Corma, A. Domenech, V. Fornes and H. Garcia, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1285–1286.
- 6 K. Motokura, N. Fujita, K. Mori, T. Mizugaki, K. Ebitani and K. Kaneda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 9674–9675.
- 7 F. Gelman, J. Blum and D. Avnir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 11999–12000.
- 8 C. W. Jones, K. Tsuji and M. E. Davis, Nature, 1998, 393, 52-54.
- 9 Y. L. Huang, B. G. Trewyn, H. T. Chen and V. S. Y. Lin, *New J. Chem.*, 2008, **32**, 1311–1313.
- 10 E. L. Margelefsky, R. K. Zeidan and M. E. Davis, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1118–1126.
- 11 N. T. S. Phan, C. S. Gill, J. V. Nguyen, Z. J. Zhang and C. W. Jones, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 2209–2212.
- 12 F. Zhang, H. Y. Jiang, X. Y. Li, X. T. Wu and H. X. Li, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 394-401.
- 13 S. L. Poe, M. Kobaslija and D. T. McQuade, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 15586–15587.
- 14 Y. Chi, S. T. Scroggins and J. M. Fréchet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 6322–6323.
- 15 S. Hecht and J. M. Fréchet, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 74-91.
- 16 V. Rodionov, H. Gao, S. Scroggins, D. A. Unruh, A. J. Avestro and J. M. Fréchet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 2570–2572.
- 17 B. Helms, S. J. Guillaudeu, Y. Xie, M. McMurdo, C. J. Hawker and J. M. Fréchet, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6384–6387.
- 18 K. L. Beers, S. G. Gaynor, K. Matyjaszewski, S. S. Sheiko and M. Moller, *Macromolecules*, 1998, 31, 9413–9415.
- 19 H. F. Gao and K. Matyjaszewski, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2009, 34, 317-350.
- 20 H. I. Lee, J. Pietrasik, S. S. Sheiko and K. Matyjaszewski, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2010, **35**, 24–44.
- 21 S. S. Sheiko, S. A. Prokhorova, K. L. Beers, K. Matyjaszewski, I. I. Potemkin, A. R. Khokhlov and M. Moller, *Macromolecules*, 2001, 34, 8354–8360.
- 22 S. S. Sheiko, B. S. Sumerlin and K. Matyjaszewski, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2008, 33, 759–785.
- 23 S. S. Sheiko, F. C. Sun, A. Randall, D. Shirvanyants, M. Rubinstein, H. Lee and K. Matyjaszewski, *Nature*, 2006, 440, 191–194.
- 24 M. F. Zhang and A. H. E. Muller, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2005, 43, 3461–3481.
- 25 R. Djalali, S. Y. Li and M. Schmidt, Macromolecules, 2002, 35, 4282-4288.
- 26 J. Yuan, H. Schmalz, Y. Xu, N. Miyajima, M. Drechsler, M. W. Moeller, F. Schacher and A. H. E. Mueller, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, 20, 947–952.
- 27 J. Y. Yuan, M. Drechsler, Y. Y. Xu, M. F. Zhang and A. H. E. Muller, *Polymer*, 2008, 49, 1547–1554.
- 28 J. Y. Yuan, Y. Y. Xu, A. Walther, S. Bolisetty, M. Schumacher, H. Schmalz, M. Ballauff and A. H. E. Muller, *Nat. Mater.*, 2008, 7, 718–722.
- 29 M. F. Zhang, M. Drechsler and A. H. E. Muller, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, 16, 537–543.
- 30 M. F. Zhang, C. Estournes, W. Bietsch and A. H. E. Muller, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2004, 14, 871–882.
- 31 Y. Y. Xu, J. Y. Yuan, B. Fang, M. Drechsler, M. Mullner, S. Bolisetty, M. Ballauff and A. H. E. Muller, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2010, 20, 4182–4189.
- 32 D. Neugebauer, Y. Zhang, T. Pakula, S. S. Sheiko and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2003, **36**, 6746–6755.
- 33 T. Pakula, Y. Zhang, K. Matyjaszewski, H. I. Lee, H. Boerner, S. H. Qin and G. C. Berry, *Polymer*, 2006, 47, 7198–7206.
- 34 M. B. Runge and N. B. Bowden, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 10551-10560.
- 35 J. Rzayev, Macromolecules, 2009, 42, 2135-2141.
- 36 B. R. Sveinbjornsson, R. A. Weitekamp, G. M. Miyake, Y. Xia, H. A. Atwater and R. H. Grubbs, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2012, 109, 14332–14336.
- 37 Y. Xia, A. J. Boydston, Y. F. Yao, J. A. Kornfield, I. A. Gorodetskaya, H. W. Spiess and R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 2670–2677.
- 38 Y. Xia, B. D. Olsen, J. A. Kornfield and R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 18525–18532.

- 39 I. Park, S. S. Sheiko, A. Nese and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 1805–1807.
- 40 J. A. Johnson, Y. Y. Lu, A. O. Burts, Y. Xia, A. C. Durrell, D. A. Tirrell and R. H. Grubbs, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 10326–10335.
- 41 Y. Yu, J. Zou, L. Yu, W. Jo, Y. K. Li, W. C. Law and C. Cheng, *Macromolecules*, 2011, 44, 4793–4800.
- 42 K. Huang and J. Rzayev, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 16726-16729.
- 43 K. Huang, D. P. Canterbury and J. Rzayev, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 6326–6328.
- 44 K. Huang and J. Rzayev, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6880-6885.
- 45 C. Tang, B. Dufour, T. Kowalewski and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 6199–6205.
- 46 M. Mullner, J. Y. Yuan, S. Weiss, A. Walther, M. Fortsch, M. Drechsler and A. H. E. Muller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 16587–16592.