

Cobalt Catalysis for Enantioselective Cyclobutanone Construction

Daniel K. Kim, Jan Riedel, Raphael S. Kim, and Vy M. Dong*[®]

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Over the past 40 years, intramolecular hydroacylation has favored five-membered rings, in preference to four membered rings. Herein, we report a catalyst derived from earth-abundant cobalt that enables preparation of cyclobutanones, with excellent regio-, diastereo-, and enantiocontrol, under mild conditions (2 mol % catalyst loading and as low as 50 °C).

etalloenzymes can transform simple olefins into a diverse array of cyclic natural products.¹ For example, an achiral building block such as geranyl pyrophosphate undergoes ring-closing to generate a range of enantiopure terpenoids (e.g., sabinene, limonene, camphene, and pinene) (Figure 1a). Considering Nature's ability to construct various rings via cyclases,² we aim to diversify common building blocks into different cyclic isomers, with high enantioselectivity via synthetic catalysts.³ As an analogue to geranyl pyrophosphate, we designed a simple model, dienyl aldehyde (1), that can be accessed in one step from commercial materials.⁴ When using Rh-catalysis, we can transform this achiral aldehyde into the corresponding cyclopentanone (2), bicycloheptanone (3), or cyclohexenal (4) scaffold, by tuning the ligand scaffold (Figure 1b).³ Herein, we report a cobalt catalyst that enables ring closing to generate the four-membered ring (5) via enantioselective hydroacylation.

Hydroacylation⁵ (the addition of an aldehyde C–H bond across an olefin or alkyne) enables C–C bond formation with excellent atom economy.⁶ Most intramolecular variants provide exclusive access to cyclopentanones in preference to cyclobutanones.⁵ However, there are two exceptions, both of which use substrates bearing a methoxy-directing group under Rh-catalysis.⁷ Fu's method achieves an enantioenriched mixture of four- and five-membered ketones via a parallel kinetic resolution. Aïssa observed a 12% yield of the four-membered ketone when performing a similar parallel kinetic resolution. Rather than relying on a precious metal or a kinetic resolution, we propose using a base-metal (Co) to overturn the usual regioselectivity of hydroacylation to favor the more strained ring.

Both Rh and Co are known to activate aldehyde C–H bonds through oxidative addition to form an acyl-metal-hydride intermediate A (Figure 1c).^{5,8} From this intermediate, olefin insertion results in an equilibrium mixture of the six- (B) and five-membered (C) metallacycles. In general, reductive elimination from B is thermodynamically and kinetically favored to generate the less strained cyclopentanone product.⁹ Moreover, achieving reductive elimination from a fivemembered metallacycle (C) is challenging due to competitive endocyclic β -hydride elimination.¹⁰ By using first-row metals, however, C–C bond forming reductive eliminations from **D** to make small strained rings have been observed (Figure 1d).^{10,11} Most relevant to our study, Bergman characterized a cobaltacycle (E), which upon treatment with stoichiometric FeCl₃, undergoes reductive elimination to form a cyclobutanone (Figure 1d).^{11e} Encouraged by these breakthroughs, we set out to identify the first cobalt-catalyst capable of generating cyclobutanones.

In our initial study, we found that commercially available Co(I)-catalysts, such as $Co(PPh_3)_3Cl$, result in no conversion to the desired cyclobutanone (Figure 2a). However, with $Co(PPh_3)_3Cl$, in the presence of a zinc reductant, we observe a 5% yield and 6:1 regioisomeric ratio (*rr*) of **5a:6a**. We postulate



Figure 1. Inspiration for cobalt-based cyclase mimic.

Received: May 23, 2017

that the reductant transforms the Co(I)-complex into a Co(0)catalyst critical for reactivity. Indeed, using a well-characterized and isolable $Co(PMe_3)_4$ (synthesized from $CoCl_2$, magnesium, and trimethylphosphine) results in a mixture of 5a and 6a in 1:1 rr in 10% yield. Switching to a CoCl₂/reductant system,¹² as a precursor for Co(0), enabled rapid evaluation of a range of chiral phosphine ligands.¹³ Under these conditions, we identified a chiral ligand, (S,S)-2,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)pentane (BDPP), that promotes the formation of 5a in preference to 6a. A catalyst loading of 10 mol % using diethyl zinc as the reducing agent gave promising selectivities (10:1 dr, 10:1 rr). Moreover, by desymmetrization, we access these motifs with 92% ee using this chiral bidentate phosphine ligand. On the basis of ¹H NMR studies, we observe evolution of ethylene and ethane gas when using diethyl zinc. This observation is consistent with formation of a Co(0)-species.¹⁴ The catalyst loading can be lowered to 2 mol % when switching to activated zinc metal as a stronger reducing agent. The use of activated zinc improves reactivity (from 24 to 4 h) and selectivity (from 10:1 dr and rr to >20:1 dr and rr) when using 10 mol % of the catalyst.

Related protocols for Co-hydroacylation have been proposed to occur through Co(0)/Co(II) and Co(I)/Co(III) catalytic cycles.^{8b-e} Although both are feasible, on the basis of our results, we propose this cyclization occurs by initial reduction of Co(II)-chloride to a Co(0)-complex (F), with activated zinc or diethyl zinc (Figure 2b). The Co(0)-catalyst then binds to the



Figure 2. Identifying catalyst for cyclobutanones.

substrate (1) to form complex (G) prior to aldehyde C–H bond activation by oxidative addition. The acyl-Co-hydride intermediate (H) can isomerize by olefin insertion into the metal-hydride bond to forge the five-membered metallacycle (I). From here, reductive elimination forms the C–C bond to construct the strained ring (5).

Under these mild conditions, a variety of α -aryl dienyl aldehydes undergo isomerization to the corresponding cyclobutanones (Table 1). Dienyl aldehydes bearing electron-rich α -aromatic groups (alkyls, ethers, acetals, and alcohols) ring close in good yields and selectivities (76–93% yields, >89% *ee*, >10:1 *dr*, >10:1 *rr*). Substrates with electron-poor α -aromatic groups





^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1** (0.1 mmol), [(S,S)-BDPP]CoCl₂ (2 mol %), zinc (10 mol %), MeCN (0.5 mL), 50 °C, 24 h. ^{*b*}Gram-scale reaction (**1a** 6.40 mmol; [(S,S)-BDPP]CoCl₂ (0.128 mmol, 2 mol %), zinc (0.640 mmol, 10 mol %), MeCN (32 mL, 0.2M), 50 °C, 24 h). ^cRobustness evaluation: Identical conditions above (a) + A [additive] (0.1 mmol, 1 equiv). ^{*d*}Isolated yields of **5**. Diastereo- and regioisomeric ratio determined by GC-FID analysis of the unpurified reaction mixture. Enantioselectivies determined by SFC analysis of the purified ketone.

(F, Cl, and CF₃) also cyclize (91–85% yield, >64% *ee*, >13:1 *dr*, and >9:1 *rr*) albeit with lower enantioselectivities. Heteroaryl thiophene, silylated phenol, and amine substrates are well tolerated (60–92% yield, 82–95% *ee*, >11:1 *dr*, >9:1 *rr*). Of note, cyclobutanone **5a** was generated on gram scale without impact on selectivity.

We imagined that an aryl group bearing a range of functional groups could be tolerated in this transformation. To probe this idea, we performed a functional group compatibility test.¹⁵ We added an equivalent amount of various additives (e.g., pyridine, phenol, amines, etc.) with aldehyde (1a) under otherwise standard conditions.¹⁶ The cyclization to cyclobutanone (5a)occurs smoothly in the presence of heterocycles such as pyridines and indoles. Additives containing polar protic functional groups such as phenols, anilines, and amides as well as other carbonyl-containing additives such as aldehydes, ketones, esters, and amides had little effect on the transformation. The robustness screen provides a general guideline to the selectivities and to the types of functional groups tolerated in our reaction,¹⁵ although selectivities can vary depending on where the functional group is attached. For example, we found that the addition of morpholine additive (A1) yielded 5a in 65% yield and 89% ee. We prepared the analogous morpholine containing substrate (10) and performed the cyclization to provide cyclobutanone (50) in similar yield but slightly lower $ee.^{17}$ In contrast, an aryl-bromide containing additive (A2) and 4-bromophenyldienyl aldehyde (1s) both underwent debromination to form biphenyl and 1a, respectively. Our cyclization proceeds well in the presence of known radical inhibitors such as butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT),¹⁸ 9,10-dihydroanthracene (DHA),¹⁹ and 1,1-diphenylethylene (DPE) (84% yield, 93% ee, >20:1 dr, 7:1 rr, with 94% additive recovered). The use of TEMPO as an additive inhibited reactivity presumably acting as an oxidant as well as a ligand on Co.²⁰

When using deuterium-labeled aldehyde 1a-D, we observe full incorporation of the deuteride into the α -methyl position of the cyclobutanone product 5a-D (Figure 3a). This isotopic labeling study provides results consistent with our proposed mechanism (Figure 2b). When comparing the measured initial rates of two parallel reactions between the protio-aldehyde (1a) and deuterio-aldehyde (1a-D), we observe a primary kinetic isotope effect of 2.7 (KIE = 2.7). When monitoring the reaction with 1a-D as the substrate, no deuterium scrambling in the product or the starting material was observed. The primary KIE alongside a lack of deuterium scrambling likely points to aldehyde C–H bond activation or olefin insertion into the Co– H bond as the turnover-limiting step.

By studying the scope of this cyclization, we found that regioisomeric ratio (*rr*) of **5:6** is influenced by the α -position of the aldehyde (Figure 3b). Higher selectivity for the four-membered versus five-membered ketone is observed with increasing size of the α -substituent (R in Figure 3). The highest selectivity is observed with phenyldienyl aldehyde **1a** (>20:1 *rr*, *A*-value = 3.0 for R = Ph) and the lowest selectivity is observed with dienyl aldehyde **1r** (1:2 *rr*, *A*-value = 0.0 for R = H).²¹ We reason that the increased steric crowding around the metal center promotes formation of the five-membered metallacycle **C** over the six-membered metallacycle **B**.²² This is due to bondangle compression making the five-membered metallacycle **C** more thermodynamically stable and kinetically accessible. Thus, despite ring-strain, reductive elimination to form the fourmembered ring is not turnover-limiting. Therefore, we propose



Figure 3. Reaction evaluation of dienyl aldehyde.

olefin insertion is turnover-limiting and favors formation of the five-membered metallacycle.

The newly formed stereocenters in our cyclobutanone scaffold can be put to use in a number of stereoselective reactions to build different structures (Figure 4). The reduction



Figure 4. Derivatization of cyclobutanone **5a**. (a) DIBAL-H; (b), L-selectride; (c) LiHMDS, Comins' reagent; (d) 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine, sulfuric acid; (e) vinylmagnesium bromide; (f) phenylmagnesium bromide; (g) isopropenylmagnesium bromide; (h) *N*bromosuccinimide; (i) 1,2-dihydrofuran, *n*-BuLi, then silica chromatography.

to the secondary alcohol can be controlled depending on choice of the reductant. DIBAL-H adds from the more sterically hindered face (a), whereas L-selectride adds from the less hindered face (b). This strained ketone can be converted to an enol-triflate suitable for cross-coupling reactions (c). A strained ketimine can be prepared by condensation with 2,4dinitrohydrazine (d). New C–C bonds can be generated in a highly diastereoselective fashion (>20:1) by using vinyl- (e) or phenyl-Grignard (f) addition to generate the tertiary cyclobutanols. Taking advantage of the ring-strain,²³ the cyclobutanones can undergo ring-expansion to enantioenriched cyclopentanones with vicinal all-carbon quaternary centers by addition of isopropenylmagnesium bromide (g) followed by electrophilic bromination (h). Similarly, the addition of a lithiated-dihydrofuran followed by treatment with mild acid results in a one-carbon ring expansion to form a cyclopentanone (i). This spirocyclopentanone was crystalline and a molecular structure was unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallography along with assignment of the absolute stereo-chemistry of the cyclobutanone products 5a-o by analogy. Although not depicted, the unreacted allyl-moiety could also be used as an additional functional handle.

Although cycloadditions are typically used to make fourmembered rings, cyclobutanones bearing α -quaternary carbons are challenging to access, especially with high enantiocontrol.²⁴ Our approach features a Co-catalyst that can isomerize a simple, prochiral dienyl aldehyde into cyclobutanones bearing chiral α -quaternary carbon centers, with excellent diastereo-, regio-, and enantiocontrol. Mechanistic studies suggest a pathway involving a Co(0)/Co(II) cycle that is triggered by C–H bond activation. A switch from a precious metal to an abundant base-metal enables a shift in the construction of strained rings.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b05327.

Experimental procedures and spectral data for all new compounds (PDF)

Crystallographic data (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*dongv@uci.edu ORCID ®

Vy M. Dong: 0000-0002-8099-1048

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding provided by UC Irvine and the National Institutes of Health (GM105938). We are grateful to Eli Lilly for a Grantee Award. We thank Dr. Joseph W. Ziller and Jason R. Jones (UC Irvine) and Dr. Milan Gembicky (UC San Diego) for X-ray crystallographic analysis. We also thank Professor Yang & her laboratory for their assistance in obtaining UV/vis absorption spectra.

REFERENCES

(1) Dewick, P. M. In Medicinal Natural Products: A Biosynthetic Approach; Wiley: West Sussex, U. K., 2002.

(2) (a) Baran, P. S.; Maimone, T. J.; Richter, J. M. Nature 2007, 446, 404. (b) Maimone, T. J.; Baran, P. S. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2007, 3, 396.

(3) (a) Park, J.-W.; Kou, K. G. M.; Kim, D. K.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 4479. (b) Park, J.-W.; Chen, Z.; Dong, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3310.

(4) Jiang, G.; List, B. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 1667.

(5) For a review on hydroacylation, see: Willis, M. C. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 725.

(6) (a) Newhouse, T.; Baran, P. S.; Hoffmann, R. W. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2009**, 38, 3010. (b) Trost, B. M. Science **1991**, 254, 1471.

(7) (a) Yip, S. Y. Y.; Aïssa, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6870.
(b) Tanaka, K.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8078.

(8) (a) Murphy, S. K.; Park, J. W.; Cruz, F. A.; Dong, V. M. Science
2015, 347, 56. (b) Lenges, C. P.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1997, 119, 3165. (c) Yang, J.; Seto, Y. W.; Yoshikai, N. ACS Catal.
2015, 5, 3054. (d) Yang, J.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136,

16748. (e) Vinogradov, M. G.; Tuzikov, A. B.; Nikishin, G. I.;
Shelimov, B. N.; Kazansky, V. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 348, 123.
(9) Hyatt, I. F. D.; Anderson, H. K.; Morehead, A. T.; Sargent, A. L. Organometallics 2008, 27, 135.

(10) For examples of competing β -hydride eliminations from 5membered metallocycles, see: (a) Schmidt, V. A.; Hoyt, J. M.; Margulieux, G. W.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7903. (b) Grubbs, R. H.; Miyashita, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1300. (11) For examples of reductive eliminations from 5-membered metallocycle, see: (a) Hoyt, J. M.; Schmidt, V. A.; Tondreau, A. M.; Chirik, P. J. Science 2015, 349, 960. (b) Binger, P.; Doyle, M. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 162, 195. (c) Murakami, M.; Amii, H.; Ito, Y. Nature 1994, 370, 540. (d) Camasso, N. M.; Sanford, M. S. Science 2015, 347, 1218. (e) Theopold, K. H.; Becker, P. N.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5250. (f) Chao, K. C.; Rayabarapu, D. K.; Wang, C.-C.; Cheng, C.-H. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8804. (g) Hilt, G.; Paul, A.; Treutwein, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1536. (h) Treutwein, J.; Hilt, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6811. (i) Abulimiti, A.; Nishimura, A.; Ohashi, M.; Ogoshi, S. Chem. Lett. 2013, 42, 904. (j) Kumar, R.; Tamai, E.; Ohnishi, A.; Nishimura, A.; Hoshimoto, Y.; Ohashi, M.; Ogoshi, S. Synthesis 2016, 48, 2789. (k) Nishimura, A.; Tamai, E.; Ohashi, M.; Ogoshi, S. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 6613. (1) Ohashi, M.; Ueda, Y.; Ogoshi, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 2435. (m) Hu, J.; Yang, Q.; Yu, L.; Xu, J.; Liu, S.; Huang, C.; Wang, L.; Zhou, Y.; Fan, B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 2294. (n) McNally, A.; Haffemayer, B.; Collins, B. S. L.; Gaunt, M. J. Nature 2014, 510, 129. (o) Willcox, D.; Chappell, B. G. N.; Hogg, K. F.; Calleja, J.; Smalley, A. P.; Gaunt, M. J. Science 2016, 354, 851.

(12) (a) Friedfeld, M. R.; Margulieux, G. W.; Schaefer, B. A.; Chirik, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13178. (b) Friedfeld, M. R.; Shevlin, M.; Hoyt, J. M.; Krska, S. W.; Tudge, M. T.; Chirik, P. J. Science **2013**, 342, 1076.

(13) For a comprehensive list of ligands evaluated, see Supporting Information.

(14) For a proposed mechanism, ¹H NMR, and UV/vis absorption spectra data for the formation of Co(0)-species using diethyl zinc, see Supporting Information.

(15) (a) Collins, K. D.; Glorius, F. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 597.
(b) Collins, K. D.; Rühling, A.; Glorius, F. Nat. Protoc. 2014, 9, 1348.
(c) Collins, K. D.; Glorius, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 619.

(16) For a comprehensive list of functional groups evaluated and reaction outcomes under standard reaction conditions with **1a**, see Supporting Information.

(17) For examples of robustness screens with reactions containing enantioselectivities, see: (a) Schmidt, J.; Choi, J.; Liu, A. T.; Slusarczyk, M.; Fu, G. C. Science **2016**, 354, 1265. (b) Janssen-Müller, D.; Schedler, M.; Fleige, M.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 12492. (c) Yetra, S. R.; Mondal, S.; Mukherjee, S.; Gonnade, R. G.; Biju, A. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2016**, 55, 268.

(18) Ross, L.; Barclay, C.; Vinqvist, M. R. In PATAI'S Chemistry of Functional Groups; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2009.

(19) Bass, K. C. In Organic Syntheses; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2003.

(20) Semproni, S. P.; Milsmann, C.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9211.

(21) Anslyn, E. V.; Dougherty, D. A. *Modern Physical Organic Chemistry*; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2006.

(22) Hartwig, J. F. Organotranisition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding to Catalysis; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2010.

(23) Seiser, T.; Saget, T.; Tran, D. N.; Cramer, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7740.

(24) (a) Xu, Y.; Conner, M. L.; Brown, M. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11918. (b) Du, J.; Skubi, K. L.; Schultz, D. M.; Yoon, T. P. Science 2014, 344, 392. (c) Douglas, C. J.; Overman, L. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 5363. (d) Quasdorf, K. W.; Overman, L. E. Nature 2014, 516, 181.