

HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 82, No. 2, 2011, pp. 1669 - 1674. © The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry  
 Received, 28th June, 2010, Accepted, 2nd August, 2010, Published online, 3rd August, 2010  
 DOI: 10.3987/COM-10-S(E)75


## SYNTHESIS OF $C_3$ AND $C_5$ SYMMETRIC CYCLIC TRIGLYCEROLS

Masahiro Hamada, Ryou Fujiwara, Takao Kishimoto, and Noriyuki Nakajima<sup>1\*</sup>

Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Engineering, Toyama Prefectural University, Imizu, Toyama 939-0398, Japan; E-mail: nori@pu-toyama.ac.jp

**Abstract**—Authentic cyclic triglycerol standards have been efficiently synthesized.  $C_3$  and  $C_5$  symmetric cyclic glycerols were effectively synthesized using intramolecular cyclization conditions and the stereochemistry of their isomers was confirmed.


Polyglycerols are glycerin oligomers that are easily produced and available in bulk quantities through industrial methods.<sup>1,2</sup> They are classified into linear, branched, and cyclic structures. Cyclic polyglycerols have extra secondary alcohols and consist of several ether groups arranged in a crown ether-like ring.<sup>3</sup> Because the oxygen atoms are well situated to coordinate with a cation located inside the ring, cyclic polyglycerols are expected to serve as a phase transfer catalysts. There are a few representative polyglycerol standards in the literature.<sup>4-10</sup> We previously reported the synthesis and fine structure of highly symmetric cyclic polyglycerols (**1-4**) as authentic standards.<sup>11</sup>



**Figure 1.** Structure of cyclic polyglycerols **1-4**


When the mixture of mono-tosylates (**7**) obtained from the readily available tri-glycerol diol (**6**)<sup>12</sup> were treated with NaH in DMF (4 mM) at 80 °C for 48h, 12-membered cyclic benzyl glycerols (**1**) were obtained in 38% yields.<sup>11</sup> By examining the solvent, THF solvent increased reaction yield up to 60%. Two

cyclic triglycerol benzyl ethers (**1-C<sub>3</sub>** and **1-C<sub>s</sub>**) displayed different *R<sub>f</sub>* values at 0.48 and 0.24 by TLC analysis, were isolated with 1/3 ratio; however, the stereochemistry of the triols, was unclear. In this paper, the stereocontrolled synthesis of both diastereomers was performed to confirm the stereochemistry of their isomers.



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of cyclic triglycerols **1-C<sub>3</sub>** and **1-C<sub>s</sub>**

Starting from the (*R*)-solketal (**8**), a monoglycerol unit (**9**) was synthesized in five steps which consisted of methoxymethoxy (MOM) protection, hydrolysis in 80% acetic acid (AcOH), *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) protection, benzyl (Bn) protection and silyl deprotection by tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF). The monoglycerol unit (**9**) was coupled with (*S*)-tosyl glycidyl ether ((*S*)-**10**) to provide diglycerol (**11**) in 71% yield. Acid treatment with 80% AcOH removed the acetonide group in 98% yield and TBDPS protection of the primary alcohol gave alcohol (**13**) in 86% yield. Protection of the secondary hydroxy group with Bn group afforded benzyl ether (**14**) in 89% yield. The silylgroup of **14** was removed using TBAF to give diglycerol unit (**15**) in 96% yield.




**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of the cyclic diglycerol unit

Coupling between **15** and (*S*)- and (*R*)-**10** produced triglycerol units **16** in 66% yield and **23** in 64% yield, which were converted to tri-benzyl ethers (**20** and **27**) in 41% and 50% yields, respectively, in four steps.

Tosylation of the alcohols (**20** and **27**), followed by acidic hydrolysis of the MOM group gave the desired intermediates (**22** and **29**) in 90 and 88% yields, respectively.


The mono-tosylates (**22** and **29**) were treated with NaH (4 eq.) in refluxing THF (4 mM) to afford 12-membered  $C_3$ - and  $C_s$  symmetric benzyl glycerols (**1-C<sub>3</sub>** and **1-C<sub>s</sub>**) in 56% and 55% yields, respectively.<sup>13</sup>

Comparison between the TLC  $R_f$  values of pure  $C_3$  and  $C_s$  forms and their mixtures allowed the compound observed at an  $R_f$  of 0.24 to be identified as the  $C_3$  isomer and the other compound ( $R_f$  = 0.48) as the  $C_s$  form. Hydrogenation of the benzyl group smoothly proceeded to give the desired triols (**5-C<sub>3</sub>** and **5-C<sub>s</sub>**) in 93% and 98% yields, respectively.



**Reagents and Conditions.** (a) (*S*)-**10**, NaH, DMF; (b) 80% AcOH; (c) TBDPSCI, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (d) NaH, BnCl, THF; (e) TBAF, THF; (f) TsCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (g) 1*N* HCl, MeOH; (h) NaH (4 eq), THF (5 mM), reflux, 17h; (i) Pd-C, H<sub>2</sub>, THF/EtOH=1/2.

**Scheme 3.** Synthesis of the  $C_3$ -symmetric cyclic tri-glycerol



**Reagents and Conditions.** (a) (*R*)-**10**, NaH, THF; (b) 80% AcOH; (c) TBDPSCI, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (d) NaH, BnCl, THF; (e) TBAF, THF; (f) TsCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (g) 1*N* HCl, MeOH; (h) NaH (4 eq), THF (5 mM), reflux, 17h; (i) Pd-C, H<sub>2</sub>, THF/EtOH=1/2.

**Scheme 4.** Synthesis of the  $C_s$ -symmetric cyclic triglycerol

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of tri-glycerol **5-C<sub>3</sub>** displayed three simple sets of peaks at 3.81, 3.67 and 3.50 ppm in <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum showed two sets of peaks at 74.0 and 69.2 ppm suggesting that this isomer was highly symmetric. On the other, the **5-C<sub>s</sub>** showed complicated <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.

In conclusion, we established the first synthesis of cyclic polyglycerols as pure compound based on an intramolecular cyclization method. Aiming at the synthesis of optically active polyglycerols, we adopted a synthetic approach based on convergent coupling using glycerol units.

## EXPERIMENTAL

All reagents used were of commercial quality. Anhydrous THF and  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (Kanto Chemical) were used without purification. All air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under an inert gas (nitrogen or argon). Analytical TLC was conducted on precoated TLC plates (silica gel 60F<sub>254</sub>, Merck) and column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60N (70-230 mesh, Kanto Chemical). ATR-IR spectra were measured using a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 spectrometer equipped with a Universal ATR accessory.  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Biospin AVANCE II 400 spectrometer and a JEOL JNM LA-400 spectrometer using TMS or a solvent peaks as an internal standard (chemical shift in ppm). LR-ESI-MS spectra were recorded on an Agilent Technology 1100 LC-MSD spectrometer using MeOH or MeCN solutions in water or 0.5%  $\text{HCO}_2\text{H}$  as effluents. HR-ESI-MS spectra were acquired on a Bruker DARTonics micrOTOFfocus spectrometer. Specific rotation values were measured with a Horiba polarimeter.

### **(2R,6R,10R)-2,6,10-O-Tribenzyl-1-O-(4-toluenesulfonyl)-4,8-dioxaundecane-1,2,6,10,11-pentaol (22).**

To a solution of **21** (16.7 mg, 23.6  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) in MeOH (1 mL) was added conc. HCl (50  $\mu\text{L}$ ) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 29 h. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 1/1) to give **22** (14.1 mg, 21.2  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 90%) as a colorless oil:  $R_f$  = 0.24 (hexane/EtOAc, 1/1);  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{28}$  -2.8 ( $c$  0.50, MeOH); IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3463, 3064, 3032, 2871, 1722, 1599, 1497, 1454, 1359, 1308, 1293, 1210, 1190, 1175, 1095, 1059, 1028, 983, 922, 814, 793, 735, 696, 666;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.69 (2H, d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz), 7.30 - 7.16 (17H, m), 4.62 (1H, d,  $J$  = 12.0 Hz), 4.55 (2H, s), 4.53 (1H, d,  $J$  = 12.0 Hz), 4.18 (2H, s), 4.10 (1H, dd,  $J$  = 4.4 Hz, 10.8 Hz), 3.99 (1H, dd,  $J$  = 5.6 Hz, 10.4 Hz), 3.68 (2H, quintet,  $J$  = 5.2 Hz), 3.59 (4H, quintet,  $J$  = 5.2 Hz), 3.55-3.40 (7H, m), 2.34 (3H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 144.8, 138.4, 138.3, 137.8, 132.9, 129.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.80, 127.75, 127.71, 127.6 (x2), 77.9, 76.9, 76.7, 75.4, 72.4, 72.3, 72.1, 71.63, 71.56, 70.2, 69.5, 62.7, 21.6; LRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) = 687 ( $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ , 100); HRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ): calcd for  $\text{C}_{37}\text{H}_{44}\text{NaO}_9\text{S}$ : 687.2598; found: 687.2576.

### **(2R,6S,10S)-2,6,10-O-Tribenzyl-1-O-(4-toluenesulfonyl)-4,8-dioxaundecane-1,2,6,10,11-pentaol (29).**

Yield: 88% as a colorless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.24 (hexane/EtOAc, 1/1);  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{27}$  -0.3 ( $c$  0.66, MeOH); IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3466, 3064, 3032, 2871, 1731, 1599, 1497, 1455, 1359, 1308, 1210, 1190, 1175, 1094, 1058, 1028, 983, 921,

814, 793, 735, 696, 666;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.69 (2H, dd,  $J$  = 1.6 Hz, 8.0 Hz), 7.33 - 7.14 (17H, m), 4.62 (1H, d,  $J$  = 12.0 Hz), 4.55 (2H, s), 4.53 (1H, d,  $J$  = 11.6 Hz), 4.47 (2H, s), 4.10 (1H, dd,  $J$  = 4.0 Hz, 10.4 Hz), 4.00 (1H, dd,  $J$  = 6.0 Hz, 10.4 Hz), 3.70-3.65 (2H, m), 3.63-3.43 (10H, m), 3.44 (2H, dd,  $J$  = 3.2 Hz, 5.6 Hz), 3.40 (1H, dd,  $J$  = 5.6 Hz, 10.0 Hz), 2.34 (3H, s), 2.10-2.00 (1H, brs, OH);  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 144.8, 138.4, 138.3, 137.8, 132.9, 129.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.80, 127.76, 127.72, 127.70, 127.6, 77.9, 76.9, 76.7, 75.4, 72.4, 72.3, 72.1, 71.6, 71.5, 70.2, 69.4, 62.7, 21.6; LRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) = 687 ( $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ , 100); HRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ): calcd for  $\text{C}_{37}\text{H}_{44}\text{NaO}_9\text{S}$ : 687.2598; found: 687.2615.

**$\text{C}_3$ -symmetric 1,5,9-*O*-Tribenzyl-3,7,11-trioxacyclododecane-1,7,11-triol (1- $\text{C}_3$ ).** To a solution of NaH (60% activity, 24.0 mg, 0.6 mmol) in THF (24 mL) was added dropwise with a syringe pump the THF (6 mL) solution of tri-glycerol mono-tosylate (**22**) (100 mg, 0.15 mmol) at 80°C within 3 h. After additional stirring for 14 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with brine. The aq. solution was extracted with EtOAc and the organic phase was washed with water and brine, and dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ). Filtration, concentration and preparative silica gel TLC purification (hexane/EtOAc, 3/2) to afford **1- $\text{C}_3$**  (41.4 mg, 0.084 mmol, 56%) of as a colorless oil:  $R_f$  = 0.24 (hexane/EtOAc, 3/2); IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2865, 1497, 1454, 1355, 1304, 1273, 1208, 1099, 1028, 986, 948, 910, 836, 733, 695;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.38-7.25 (15H, m), 4.61 (6H, s), 3.74 (6H, dd,  $J$  = 3.6, 9.6 Hz), 3.73 (3H, dd,  $J$  = 3.6, 7.2 Hz), 3.58 (6H, dd,  $J$  = 7.2, 9.6 Hz);  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 138.2, 128.5, 127.8, 127.7, 74.8, 71.7, 71.0; LRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) = 516 (35), 515 ( $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ , 100); HRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ): calcd for  $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{36}\text{NaO}_6$ : 515.2404; found: 515.2421.

**Cs symmetric 1,5,9-*O*-Tribenzyl-3,7,11-trioxacyclododecane-1,7,11-triol (1- $\text{Cs}$ ).** Yield: 55% as a colorless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.48 (hexane/EtOAc, 3/2); IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2865, 1605, 1497, 1454, 1355, 1305, 1263, 1207, 1090, 1073, 1027, 954, 908, 819, 733, 695;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.28-7.17 (15H, m), 4.53 (6H, s), 3.70-3.47 (15H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 138.1, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 74.6, 74.3, 71.7, 70.4, 69.9, 69.6; LRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) = 516 (32), 515 ( $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ , 100); HRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ): calcd for  $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{36}\text{NaO}_6$ : 515.2404; found: 515.2425.

**$\text{C}_3$ -symmetric 1,5,9-Trioxacyclododecane-3,7,11-triol (5- $\text{C}_3$ ).** A solution of **1- $\text{C}_3$**  (43.5 mg, 0.088 mmol) in THF/EtOH (1/2, 6 mL) was hydrogenated over 10% Pd-C (88 mg) for 25 h at rt. Filtration and concentration afforded a pale brown solid, which was purified silica gel column chromatography ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$  = 9/1) to give **5- $\text{C}_3$**  (18.2 mg, 0.082 mmol, 93%) as an oil:  $R_f$  = 0.39 ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$ , 4/1); IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3308, 2868, 1726, 1653, 1460, 1361, 1261, 1138, 1067, 979;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta$  = 3.81 (3H, ddd,  $J$  = 3.5, 7.0, 10.4 Hz), 3.67 (6H, dd,  $J$  = 3.5, 9.7 Hz), 3.50 (6H, dd,  $J$  = 7.0,

9.7 Hz);  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta$  = 74.0, 69.2; LRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) = 245 ( $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ , 100), 223 ( $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ , 6); HRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ): calcd for  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_6$ : 223.1176; found: 223.1193.

**Cs symmetric 1,5,9-trioxacyclododecane-3,7,11-triol (5-Cs).** Yield: 98% as an oil;  $R_f$  = 0.13 ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$ , 4/1); IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3310, 2922, 2866, 1598, 1460, 1361, 1261, 1138, 1072, 979, 948, 837, 785;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta$  = 3.93-3.85 (3H, m), 3.67 (2H, dd,  $J$  = 3.0, 10.0 Hz), 3.69-3.52 (10H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta$  = 73.7, 73.3, 69.0; LRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) = 245 ( $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ , 100), 223 ( $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ , 9); HRMS ( $\text{ESI}^+$ ): calcd for  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_6$ : 223.1176; found: 223.1179.

## REFERENCE AND NOTES

1. V. R. Kaufman and N. Garti, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **59**, 471.
2. R. T. McIntyre, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 1979, **56**, 835.
3. G. W. Gokel, W. M. Leevy, and M. E. Weber, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 2723.
4. H. J. Wright and R. N. Du Puis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1946, **68**, 446.
5. H. Wittcoff, J. R. Roach, and A. E. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1947, **69**, 2655.
6. H. Wittcoff, J. R. Roach, and A. E. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1949, **71**, 2666.
7. J. R. Roach and H. Wittcoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1949, **71**, 3944.
8. R. K. Summerbell and J. R. Stephans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1954, **76**, 731; R. K. Summerbell and J. R. Stephans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1954, **76**, 6401; W. L. Howard, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1959, **24**, 267.
9. M. F. Sebban, P. Vottero, A. Alagui, and C. Dupuy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 1019.
10. S. Cassel, C. Debaig, T. Benvegno, P. Chaimbault, M. Lafosse, D. Plusquellec, and P. Rollin, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, 875.
11. T. Kawagishi, K. Yoshikawa, M. Ubukata, M. Hamada, and N. Nakajima, *Heterocycles*, 2006, **69**, 107.
12. M. Hamada, M. Terayama, K. Kaneko, T. Ooya, T. Kishimoto, and N. Nakajima, *Synthesis*, 2008, 3663.
13. The KH base cyclization reaction also proceeded to give **1-C<sub>3</sub>** and **1-Cs** in 56% and 51% yield, respectively.