

Access to Fully Alkylated Germanes by B(C₆F₅)₃-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogermylation of Alkenes

Sebastian Keess[®] and Martin Oestreich*[®]

Institut für Chemie, Technische Universität Berlin, Strasse des 17. Juni 115, 10623 Berlin, Germany

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Various cyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-yl-substituted germanes are shown to serve as easy-to-handle surrogates of hydrogermanes, including gaseous MeGeH₃ and Me₂GeH₂. The Ge-H functional group is liberated by treatment with catalytic amounts of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and participates in situ in the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed hydrogermylation of alkenes. The range of suitable alkenes is broad, and the overall procedure provides a convenient access to tetraalkyl-substituted germanes at room temperature. Transfer hydrogermylation of internal alkynes works equally well and selectively forms the *trans* or *cis* diastereomer depending on the electronic bias of the C=C bond.

 \mathbf{I} ydrogermylation¹ of alkenes is a prevalent method for the catalytic synthesis of alkyl-substituted germanes.² The addition of hydrogermanes across the C=C bond typically relies on the activation of the Ge-H bond by transition-metal complexes³ or radical initiators such as Et₃B/O₂⁴ and AIBN.^{5,6} Previously reported radical-based hydrogermylation protocols have been limited to triarylgermanes and are therefore not suitable for the preparation of tetraalkyl-substituted germanes.^{4,5} Conversely, transition-metal catalysts such as H₂PtCl₆ have been shown to activate alkyl-substituted hydrogermanes R_nGeH_{4-n} (R = alkyl, n = 1-3) for alkene hydrogermylation.¹ However, these protocols are usually constrained by the need for elevated reaction temperatures and terminal alkenes, i.e., α -olefins (Scheme 1, top).⁷ Also, applications of volatile or even gaseous hydrogermanes such as MeGeH₃ (bp -35 °C) or Me₂GeH₂ (bp 3 °C) in hydrogermylation reactions are rare, as handling of these flammable hydrogermanes is hazardous and inconvenient.⁸

Our group recently demonstrated the potential of adequately substituted cyclohexa-1,4-dienes to serve as easy-to-handle synthetic equivalents of H^-/Si^+ (I, Scheme 1, bottom),⁹ H^-/H^+ ,¹⁰ and H^-/tBu^{+11} when activated by the Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$.¹² As part of this research program, we anticipated that this approach could provide an entry into the mild preparation of fully alkylated germanes. The hydrogermylation of alkenes catalyzed by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ is in fact unprecedented.¹³ By analogy to the ionic transfer hydrosilylation using cyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylsilanes I,^{9,14} we imagined that the related bisallylic germanes II would be equally able to liberate the corresponding hydrogermanes by treatment with catalytic amounts of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (Scheme 1, bottom).

We then prepared cyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylgermanes 1a-1c as surrogates of Et₃GeH, Me₂GeH₂, and MeGeH₃, respectively, from lithiated cyclohexa-1,4-diene and the requisite chlorogermane (Figure 1; see the Supporting Information for details). Attempts to synthesize surrogates of the parent monogermane,







GeH₄, either fully decorated with cyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-yl substituents (as in 1d) or with three cyclohexa-1,4-diene units and one hydride (as in 1e) were unsuccessful.

To demonstrate the feasibility of our proposal, we subjected surrogate **1a** to the typical protocol of the alkene transfer

Received: March 6, 2017



Figure 1. Cyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylgermanes as surrogates of hydrogermanes Et_3GeH , Me_2GeH_2 , and $MeGeH_3$ (left) and GeH_4 (right). CHD = cyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-yl.

hydrosilylation⁹ using 5.0 mol % of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and 1,1diphenylethylene (2) as the model substrate (Scheme 2). Quantitative conversion of 2 and 94% isolated yield of 15 were obtained in 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ after 5 h at a substrate concentration of 1.0 M (see the Supporting Information for a screening of solvents). A practical aspect of this protocol is the convenient removal of the byproducts benzene and unreacted Et₃GeH from the crude reaction mixture under reduced pressure. Encouraged by this result, we subjected differently substituted alkenes 3-12 to this protocol (Scheme 2, top). Electronically modified 1,1-diphenylethylene derivatives 3 and 4 showed the expected reactivity trend, giving full conversion for methoxysubstituted 3 in 3 h, while more electron-deficient 4 required double the amount of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and more of the surrogate to secure quantitative conversion. Styrenes 5 and 6 participated well with an excellent 95% yield of 18 and 19, respectively. Likewise, α -olefin 7 and 1,1-dialkyl-substituted 8 furnished 20 and 21 in near-quantitative yields. Trisubstituted 2-methylindene favored C-Ge bond formation in the sterically more

Scheme 2. Transfer Hydrogermylation of Alkenes and Alkynes Using Et₃GeH Surrogate 1a



^{*a*}10 mol % of B(C_6F_5)₃ and 1.6 equiv of 1a used. 24 h. ^{*b*}Reaction run on a 1.0 mmol scale. ^{(Performed at 90 °C. ^{*d*}2.0 equiv of 1a used. Reaction run on a 0.1 mmol scale.}

hindered position at C2 ($9 \rightarrow 22$); this is in agreement with the regioselectivity previously found in the B(C₆F₅)₃-catalyzed hydrosilylation of 9,^{9b} again emphasizing that a benzylic (secondary) carbocation is favored over a tertiary. An allylic silyl group as in 10 was tolerated, and 1,3-difunctionalized 23 was formed quantitatively. α,β -Unsaturated ester 11 reacted selectively to yield 24 with incorporation of the germyl group in the α -position and no reduction of the carboxyl group.¹⁵ In contrast, chemoselective 1,4-reduction of chalcone occurred to yield the corresponding ketone after hydrolysis ($12 \rightarrow 25$).

We also probed electronically unbiased and biased C=C bonds in the transfer hydrogermylation, as Gevorgyan and coworkers had reported the stereodivergent addition of Et₃GeH across internal alkynes (*trans*-hydrogermylation) and propiolates (*cis*-hydrogermylation) catalyzed by B(C₆F₅)₃.^{13a} Accordingly, **13** and **14** converted diastereoselectively into (*Z*)-**26** and (*E*)-**27**, respectively, under the setup of our transfer hydrogermylation (Scheme 2, bottom).

We also investigated cyclic dienes 28-30 (Scheme 3). When using 0.6 equiv of surrogate 1a, cyclohexa-1,3-diene reacted



^aIsolated as a mixture with *trans*-32 and *trans*-33 in a ratio of 31: (trans-32+*trans*-33) = 53:47.

completely regioselectively to afford the homoallylic germane $(28 \rightarrow 31)$. The same adduct was obtained using cyclohexa-1,4diene $(29 \rightarrow 31)$ along with significant amounts of fully hydrogermylated cyclohexanes *trans*-32 and *trans*-33.¹⁶ These outcomes are particularly noteworthy, as the related H₂PtCl₆catalyzed hydrogermylation of 28 and 29 yields the corresponding vinylic germane due to migration of the unreacted double bond.¹⁷ Interestingly, cyclohexa-1,4-diene (29) was reported to engage in transfer hydrogenation of alkenes under this setup,¹⁰⁶ but we did not observe any reduction. Treatment of either diene with 2.4 equiv of surrogate 1a led to an equimolar mixture of *trans*-1,3- and *trans*-1,4bis(triethylgermyl)cyclohexane (28 or 29 \rightarrow *trans*-32 and *trans*-33).¹⁶ Transfer hydrogermylation of norborna-2,5-diene proceeded with an *exo/endo* ratio of 87:13 (30 \rightarrow 34).

We then continued with 1b (surrogate for Me_2GeH_2) and 1c (surrogate for $MeGeH_3$) in the transfer hydrogermylation of representative alkenes (Scheme 4). 1,1-Diphenylethylene derivatives 3 and 2 required a longer reaction time (72 h) or higher catalyst loading (10 mol %), respectively, to form diadduct 35 and triadduct 36 in good yields. Conversely, styrenes 5 and 6 gave high yields with surrogate 1b or 1c in the

Scheme 4. Transfer Hydrogermylation of Selected Alkenes Using Me₂GeH₂ and MeGeH₃ Surrogates 1b and 1c



^{*a*}72 h. 72% conversion of the substrate determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^{*b*}Obtained as a 1:1 mixture of *meso* and C_2 -symmetric compounds. ^{*c*}10 mol % of the catalyst used. ^{*d*}Obtained as a 3:1 mixture of C_1 - and C_3 -symmetric compounds.

presence of a lower catalyst loading (5.0 mol %). Likewise, terminal alkenes 7 and 8 furnished the diadducts 41 and 43 in excellent yields whereas slightly lower yields were obtained for triadducts 42 and 44. Allylic silane 10 was prone to decomposition in combination with surrogates 1b and 1c, and only diadduct 45 was isolated in satisfactory yield.

We also performed control experiments (1) to distinguish between hydrogermane release from surrogate 1 followed by Ge–H bond activation and direct transfer of the electrofuge (= germylium ion) onto the π -basic substrate as well as (2) to exclude the involvement of radical intermediates.¹⁸ ¹H NMR analysis confirmed for all three surrogates 1 that treatment of 1 with catalytic amounts of B(C₆F₅)₃ in CD₂Cl₂ triggers the liberation of the hydrogermane together with benzene even in the absence of any alkene (see the Supporting Information for details). Further, acyclic diene **46** cleanly afforded **48** under the standard setup, and **47** was not detected (Scheme 5).¹⁹

Scheme 5. Mechanistic Control Experiment to Exclude Radical Intermediates



These observations support the stepwise ionic mechanism described earlier for the related transfer hydrosilylation (Scheme 6).^{9,20} Liberation of the hydrogermane commences with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -triggered hydride abstraction from the bisallylic methylene group of surrogate 1,^{9e} thereby forming ion pair IV consisting of a germanium-stabilized Wheland complex or a benzene-stabilized germylium ion together with borohydride

Scheme 6. Proposed Catalytic Cycles for the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -Mediated Hydrogermane Release (Left) and the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -Catalyzed Alkene Hydrogermylation (Right)



 $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (1 \rightarrow III \rightarrow IV). IV eventually collapses to release the hydrogermane along with stoichiometric benzene (left cycle). Subsequent activation of the hydrogermane by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and transfer of the electrophilic germyl moiety to π basic substrate VI forms ion pair VII (V + VI \rightarrow VII)²¹ that, after hydride transfer from $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$, gives adduct VIII concomitant with regeneration of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (right cycle).²²

We have introduced here three cyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylsubstituted germanes to serve as synthetic equivalents of hydrogermanes. These engage in ionic transfer hydrogermylation reactions of a broad range of differently substituted alkenes (and alkynes) by catalytic treatment with the strong boron Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$. This enables the metal-free synthesis of alkyl-substituted germanes at room temperature.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.7b00672.

General procedures, experimental details, a screening of solvents, time-dependent NMR experiments, characterization data, and NMR spectra for all compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: martin.oestreich@tu-berlin.de. ORCID [©]

Sebastian Keess: 0000-0002-0791-6877

Martin Oestreich: 0000-0002-1487-9218

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Oe 249/11-1). M.O. is indebted to the Einstein Foundation (Berlin) for an endowed professorship. We thank Dr. Sebastian Kemper (TU Berlin) for expert advice with the NMR analyses.

REFERENCES

(1) For a review of hydrogermylation reactions, see: (a) Wolfsberger, W. J. Prakt. Chem./Chem.-Ztg. **1992**, 334, 453–464. For the first example of a hydrogermylation reaction, see: (b) Fischer, A. K.; West, R. C.; Rochow, E. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1954**, 76, 5878.

(2) For an overview of the chemistry and properties of organogermanium compounds, see: (a) Drake, J. E.; Siebert, C.; Wöbke, B. In *Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic and Organometallic Chemistry, Part 4,* 8th ed.; Krüerke, U., Ed.; Springer Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1994.
(b) Lesbre, M.; Mazerolles, P.; Satgé, J. In *The Chemistry of Organometallic Compounds, The Organic Compounds of Germanium*; Seyferth, D., Ed.; Wiley: London, U.K., 1971.

(3) For an early example, see: Satgé, J. Ann. Chim. 1961, 6, 519–573.
(4) For an overview, see: Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2005, 8, 131–142.

(5) For an early example, see: Satgé, J.; Rivière, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1969, 16, 71–82.

(6) The addition of halogen-substituted hydrogermanes to alkenes often takes place even in the absence of any catalyst. However, (over) stoichiometric amounts of organometallic reagents are necessary to arrive at tetraalkylgermanes. See refs 1a and 2.

(7) In fact, only selected alkenes have been shown to participate in the hydrogermylation with trialkylgermanes at room temperature. Examples include: (a) Green, M.; Howard, J. A. K.; Proud, J.; Spencer, J. L.; Stone, F. G. A.; Tsipis, C. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 671–672 (hex-1-ene). (b) Traylor, T. G.; Koermer, G. S. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3651–3657 (allyltrimethylsilane). (c) Trofimov, A.; Rubina, M.; Rubin, M.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8910–8920 (cyclopropenes). (d) Handzlik, J.; Kochel, A.; Szymańska-Buzar, T. Polyhedron 2012, 31, 622–631 (norborna-2,5-diene). (e) Greenhalgh, M. D.; Thomas, S. P. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11230–11232 (styrene). (f) Ahrens, T.; Teltewskoi, M.; Ahrens, M.; Braun, T.; Laubenstein, R. Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 17495–17507 (trifluoromethylethylene).

(8) To the best of our knowledge, there is only one example of the application of Me_2GeH_2 and none for $MeGeH_3$ in hydrogermylation reactions. See: Rice, L. M.; Wheeler, J. W.; Geschickter, C. F. J. *Heterocycl. Chem.* **1974**, *11*, 1041–1047.

(9) For a review of transfer hydrosilylation, see: (a) Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 494–499. (b) Simonneau, A.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11905–11907. (c) Keess, S.; Simonneau, A.; Oestreich, M. Organometallics 2015, 34, 790–799. (d) Simonneau, A.; Oestreich, M. Nat. Chem. 2015, 7, 816–822. For the quantum-chemical analysis of our transfer hydrosilylation, see: (e) Sakata, K.; Fujimoto, H. Organometallics 2015, 34, 236–241.

(10) (a) Chatterjee, I.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1965–1968. (b) Chatterjee, I.; Qu, Z.-W.; Grimme, S.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12158–12162 (including the quantum-chemical analysis of the alkene transfer hydrogenation).

(11) Keess, S.; Oestreich, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2017, DOI: 10.1002/ chem.201604397.

(12) For a recent review of Si–H and H–H bond activation catalyzed by $B(C_6F_5)_3$, see: Oestreich, M.; Hermeke, J.; Mohr, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 2202–2220.

(13) For the related $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed hydrogermylation of alkynes, see: (a) Schwier, T.; Gevorgyan, V. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 5191–5194. For a related hydrogermylation of pentaphenylborole mediated by the Lewis acidic borole, see: (b) Caputo, B. C.; Manning, Z. J.; Barnard, J. H.; Martin, C. D. Polyhedron **2016**, 114, 273–277. For the use of EtAlCl₂ as a Lewis acid in the hydrogermylation of alkenes and alkynes on Ge(100) surfaces, see: (c) Choi, K.; Buriak, J. M. Langmuir **2000**, 16, 7737–7741.

(14) For overviews of catalytic transfer processes in organic synthesis, see: (a) Bhawal, B. N.; Morandi, B. *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2017, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201605325. (b) Bhawal, B. N.; Morandi, B. *ACS Catal.* 2016, *6*, 7528-7535. For the recently developed concept of transfer hydrocyanation, see: (c) Fang, X.; Yu, P.; Morandi, B.

Science 2016, 351, 832–836. (d) Fang, X.; Yu, P.; Prina Cerai, G.; Morandi, B. Chem.–Eur. J. 2016, 22, 15629–15633.

(15) Comparable regioselectivity was observed by Gevorgyan and coworkers in the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed hydrogermylation of propiolates. See ref 13a.

(16) The relative configuration of *trans*-32 was verified by NMR spectroscopy, and we assume the same for *trans*-33, for which unambiguous assignment by NMR spectroscopic analysis was not possible.

(17) Fish, R. H.; Kuivila, M. G. J. Org. Chem. **1966**, 31, 2445–2450. (18) $B(C_6F_5)_3$ has been shown to function as a one-electron oxidant. For the seminal report, see: Harlan, C. J.; Hascall, T.; Fujita, E.; Norton, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 7274–7275.

(19) Gevorgyan and co-workers had also proposed an ionic mechanism for their $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed hydrogermylation using hydrogermanes. See ref 13a.

(20) Conversely, transfer hydrogenation and transfer hydro*-tert*butylation from these cyclohexa-1,4-dienes were shown to proceed by direct transfer of the electrofuge. See refs 10b and 11.

(21) For the addition of a germylium ion to an alkene, see: Lambert, J. B.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, H. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 2729–2736.

(22) For the hydride donor abilities of various main-group hydrides, see: Heiden, Z. M.; Lathem, A. P. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 1818–1827.