



Communication

Subscriber access provided by UB + Fachbibliothek Chemie | (FU-Bibliothekssystem)

## Direct Characterization of a Reactive Lattice-Confined Ru Nitride by Photocrystallography

Anuvab Das, Joseph H Reibenspies, Yu-Sheng Chen, and David C Powers

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b13357 • Publication Date (Web): 14 Feb 2017

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 14, 2017

### Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

# Direct Characterization of a Reactive Lattice-Confined Ru<sub>2</sub> Nitride by Photocrystallography

Anuvab Das,<sup>†</sup> Joseph H. Reibenspies,<sup>†</sup> Yu-Sheng Chen,<sup>§</sup> David C. Powers<sup>†,\*</sup>

<sup>+</sup> Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, United States

<sup>§</sup> ChemMatCARS, the University of Chicago, Argonne, IL 60439, United States

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Reactive metal–ligand (M–L) multiply bonded complexes are ubiquitous intermediates in redox catalysis and have thus been long-standing targets of synthetic chemistry. The intrinsic reactivity of mid-tolate M–L multiply bonded complexes renders these structures challenging to isolate and structurally characterize. While synthetic tuning of the ancillary ligand field can stabilize M–L multiply bonded complexes and result in isolable complexes, these efforts inevitably attenuate the reactivity of the M–L multiple bond. Here, we report the first direct characterization of a reactive Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride intermediate by photocrystallography. Photogeneration of reactive M–L multiple bonds within crystalline matrices supports direct characterization of these critical intermediates without synthetic derivatization.

Metal-oxygen and metal-nitrogen multiply bonded complexes are critical intermediates in both synthetic and biological oxidation catalysis as well as  $O_2$  and  $N_2$ reduction schemes.<sup>1</sup> As such, complexes featuring metal-ligand (M-L) multiple bonds are longstanding targets for chemical synthesis. High-valent early transition metals support strong M-L multiple bonds via efficient overlap of filled ligand-based  $\pi$ -symmetry orbitals with vacant metal-based  $\pi^*$  orbitals. In contrast, M–L multiple bonds of mid-to-late transition metals, which feature higher d-electron counts and thus destabilizing filled  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* interactions, tend to be reactive.<sup>2</sup> The relative reactivity of mid-to-late M-L multiply bonded complexes renders these structures attractive intermediates for catalysis but challenging species to isolate and structurally characterize. Synthetic tuning of the ancillary ligand field and metal coordination geometry has been pursued extensively to stabilize higher d-electron count M–L multiple bonds,<sup>1a,2</sup> however, the design considerations that allow isolation of these structures inevitably attenuate their reactivity.<sup>3</sup> We are interested in directly characterizing reactive M–L multiple bonds without synthetic derivatization. Here, we report direct crystallographic characterization of a reactive Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride intermediate photogenerated within a crystalline matrix.

Reactive metal nitride complexes are potential intermediates in C–H amination and olefin aziridination reactions.<sup>4</sup> Similar to other reactive M–L multiply bonded complexes, metal nitrides that are sufficiently reactive to functionalize C–H bonds are challenging to structurally characterize because facile oxidative decomposition pathways preclude access to the requisite crystalline samples.<sup>5</sup> The challenges inherent in characterization of reactive metal nitrides are illustrated by the chemistry



**Figure 1.** Reactive metal nitrides are challenging to structurally characterize because both intra- (*i.e.* via amination of pendant C–H bonds (**2** to **3**; N–N: *N*,*N*'-bis(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-formamidinate)) or *N*-atom insertion into ancillary M–L bonds (**5** to **6**; R: mesityl)) and intermolecular (*i.e.* nitride dimerization (**8** to **9**; R: *t*-Bu )) decomposition



**Figure 2.** Photocrystallographic characterization of reactive Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride **2**. Photolysis ( $\lambda$  = 365 nm) of a single crystal of Ru<sub>2</sub> azide **1** at 95 K results first in a phase transition (*P21/c* to *C2/c*) to generate **1**<sub>linear</sub>, which is promoted by photo-induced crystal heating, and subsequently in extrusion of N<sub>2</sub> to generate Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride complex **2**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. H- and Cl-atoms are omitted for clarity. Metrics: **1**, Ru1–Ru2, 2.3445(8) Å; Ru1–N1, 2.047(6) Å; Ru1–N1–N2, 152.9(5)°; **1**<sub>linear</sub>, Ru1–Ru2, 2.373(2) Å; Ru1–N1, 2.01(1) Å; Ru1–N1–N2, 165.5(1)°; **2**, Ru1–Ru2, 2.408(3) Å; Ru1–N1, 1.72(2) Å.

of Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride 2, originally reported by Berry and coworkers (Fig. 1a).<sup>6</sup> Photolysis of  $Ru_2$  azide complex  $\mathbf{1}^7$  at low temperature has been proposed to generate Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride **2**,<sup>6</sup> which upon warming functionalizes a proximal ligand-based C-H bond to generate Ru<sub>2</sub> amide 3.8 Other nitride decomposition pathways, such as insertion into ancillary M–L bonds<sup>9</sup> (*i.e.* conversion of Co(IV) nitride 5 to Co(II) imine complex 6,<sup>9a</sup> Fig. 1b) and bimolecular nitride coupling reactions<sup>10</sup> to generate  $N_2$  (*i.e.* conversion of Rh(IV) nitride 8 to N<sub>2</sub>-bridged Rh<sub>2</sub> complex **9**,<sup>10a</sup> Fig. 1c) are common decomposition pathways of reactive metal nitrides that can preclude crystallization. In the absence of crystallographic data, IR, EPR, electronic absorption spectroscopies and EXAFS spectral fitting have been used, in combination with high-level calculations, to assign structures for highly reactive M-L multiply bonded intermediates.<sup>11</sup>

We considered that direct structural characterization of highly reactive M-L multiply bonded intermediates could be achieved if the targeted structures could be generated within a crystalline matrix. For example, if a stable molecular precursor of the intermediate of interest could be converted to the reactive species without disrupting the ordered crystal lattice, X-ray diffraction techniques could be utilized to establish the structures of reactive intermediates. Such an experiment is akin to classical matrix isolation experiments. For example, isolation of FeN,<sup>12</sup> RuN, and OsN<sup>13</sup> in both Ar and N<sub>2</sub> matrices has allowed characterization of these reactive fragments by vibrational spectroscopy, but traditional matrix isolation experiments do not allow the structural characterization available in crystalline samples. Coppens and Ohashi have pioneered photocrystallography, an approach for in situ crystallographic characterization

of photochemically generated structures.<sup>14</sup> Photocrystallography has been applied to the characterization of organic radicals,<sup>15</sup> carbenes,<sup>16</sup> unsaturated metal complexes,<sup>17</sup> metastable linkage isomers,<sup>18</sup> and molecular excited states.<sup>19</sup> Photocrystallographic experiments are challenging to apply to irreversible photoreactions and have not previously been applied to the characterization of reactive intermediates in C–H functionalization.

We targeted characterization of Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride 2 because 1) in comparison to isolable mononuclear Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride complexes,<sup>20</sup> it has been proposed to exhibit an anomalously long Ru-N bond due to the presence of M-M interactions,<sup>6</sup> 2) it is reactive towards C–H bonds at low temperature,<sup>8</sup> and 3) it has been characterized by EXAFS,<sup>6</sup> which allows benchmarking of our photocrystallographic results. Ru<sub>2</sub> azide **1** crystallizes in a  $P2_1/c$  space group and the solid-state absorption spectrum of **1** is well-matched to the solution phase spectrum (Fig. S1). A goniometer-mounted crystal of 1 was irradiated with a 365 nm LED source and reaction progress was monitored in real-time by X-ray diffraction at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) housed at Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) (Fig. 2). Irradiation of 1 leads initially to a phase transition from a  $P2_1/c$  to a C2/c space group. The observed phase transition is accompanied by the linearization of the azide ligand binding mode: the Ru1-N1-N2 bond angle expands from 152.9(5)° to 165.5(1)°. The photo-induced phase transition is not reversible if the light source is removed. Continued irradiation leads to evolution of nitride complex 2, as evidenced by both the contraction of the Ru1-N1 vector (2.047(6) Å to 1.72(2) Å) and the observation of a new electron density peak above the Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride. The electron density peak integrates as 12  $e^{-}$  and was satisfactorily modeled as a

Page 3 of 9

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60



**Figure 3.** The X-band EPR spectra **2** generated in the solid state (powdered crystals, —) and a frozen CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> glass (—) demonstrate that nitride **2** generated in the solid state is spectroscopically indistinguishable from that generated in a frozen glass.

molecule of  $N_2$  (85% occupancy, see Figure S2 for refinement details). The  $N_2$  molecule is located in a bowl-shaped void space that is defined by the aromatic substituents on the formamidinate ligands.

The metrical parameters of **2** determined by photocrystallography (Ru1–Ru2: 2.408(3) Å; Ru1–N1: 1.72(2) Å) are in good agreement with values extracted from EXAFS spectral fitting (Ru1–Ru2: 2.42 Å; Ru1–N1: 1.76 Å).<sup>6</sup> In addition to bond length information, photocrystallographic structure determination provides direct measurement of bond angles, which are challenging to obtain by other experimental methods. Of note is the linearity of the Ru–Ru–N unit. Comparison of the structure of **2** with a refinement in a triclinic unit cell confirms that the metrical parameters are not symmetry enforced (Table S1).

Ru<sub>2</sub> nitride **2** generated by photolysis of a solid-state powdered-crystalline sample of **1** is spectroscopically identical to **2** generated by photolysis of a frozen CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> glass of **1**. As shown in Figure 3, photolysis of **1**, as either a powder or as a frozen glass, results in the evolution of an axial EPR signal characteristic of the  $\delta^*$ ground state of nitride **2**.<sup>6</sup> Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis of the solid-state sample confirmed that the powder used in the EPR experiment exhibits the same crystal phase as the sample utilized for photocrystallographic analysis (Fig. S3).

As described above, photolysis of  $Ru_2$  azide **1** at 95 K leads first to a  $P2_3/c$  to C2/c phase transition that is characterized by linearization of the Ru1–N1–N2 bond angle (**1**<sub>linear</sub>), and subsequently to the evolution of nitride **2**. An identical phase transition is observed when photolysis is carried out at 15 K, but at this temperature we do not observe substantial formation of nitride **2**, even after extended photolysis. Because substantial conversion of **1** to **2** was not observed when photolysis was carried out at 15 K, examination of the structural changes associated with the observed phase transition was pursued with this lower-temperature data set. We



**Figure 4.** Temperature dependence of the Ru1–N1–N2 angle in  $Ru_2$  azide **1**. Linearization of this angle upon photolysis at 15 K is consistent with photochemical heating of the sample.

considered two possibilities to account for the observed linearization of the azide ligand during photolysis. First, local photo-induced sample heating is common during condensed-phase photoreactions,<sup>21</sup> and thus the observed phase transition could be thermally promoted. Second, we considered that the phase transition may be due to evolution of a thermally trapped molecular excited state.<sup>22</sup>

To explore the origin of the observed phase transition, X-ray diffraction data for Ru<sub>2</sub> azide **1** were collected between 15–250 K; metrical parameters and unit cell data as a function of temperature are collected in Tables S2 and S3. The same  $P2_1/c$  to C2/c phase transition observed at early irradiation times is observed between 150 K and 200 K in the VT crystallography experiment. In addition, the Ru(1)–N(1)–N(2) bond angle is highly temperature dependent, displaying a 150.9(4)° bond angle at 15 K and 168.8(6)° bond angle at 250 K (Fig. 4). In contrast, the Ru–Ru distance, which is sensitive to changes in molecular spin state,<sup>23</sup> is invariant with temperature.

The size of thermal ellipsoids of atoms that are not involved in the primary photoreaction can be used as an in situ probe of the effective temperature of crystalline samples.<sup>21</sup> Comparison with the thermal ellipsoids of a crystal photolyzed at 15 K with the thermal ellipsoid obtained from VT crystallography reveals that photoinduced heating renders the effective sample temperature closer to 200 K, which is in good agreement with the measured linearization of the azide ligand (data collected in Fig. S4). Together, these data suggest that the phase transition that precedes nitride evolution is driven by photo-induced crystal heating. Similar analysis indicates that the effective sample temperature during 95 K irradiation is approximately 350 K (Fig. S5; see Supporting Information for additional discussion of sample temperature).

We further confirmed that the phase transition observed crystallographically is not due to a change in electronic structure by photolyzing both solution-phase and powdered samples of **1** in the cavity of an EPR spectrometer maintained at 15 K. No signals were observed other than those attributable to azide **1** and a minor amount of **2** (Fig. S6). After photolysis at 15 K, the sample was warmed to 95 K in the dark and no evolution of the EPR spectrum was observed. Taken with the VT Xray diffraction data, these experiments suggest that photo-induced crystal heating is responsible for the linearization of the azide ligand prior to evolution of nitride **2**.

Attempts to observe conversion of lattice-confined nitride **2** to amido complex **3** were unsuccessful. Consistent with experimentally determined activation parameters,<sup>8</sup> C–H insertion does not proceed at 95 K. Slow warming of crystalline samples of **2** to 200 K led to sample cracking, manifest as diminished diffraction intensity and quality, which precluded observation of C–H functionalization. We speculate that the observed sample cracking may be due to diffusion of lattice-bound N<sub>2</sub> at higher temperature.

The results reported here demonstrate photocrystallography to be a viable approach to directly interrogating the structures of highly reactive M–L multiply bonded intermediates relevant to C-H amination. The success of these experiments, which do not require synthetic stabilization of the reactive fragment of interest, are likely due to a combination of low-temperature photogeneration of the targeted reactive intermediates and lattice confinement of those structures, which restricts motions of reactive intermediates. Experimental definition of metrical parameters is critical to rigorous correlation of structure, reactivity, and electronic structure. We anticipate that photocrystallography will contribute to these efforts and may find application as a tool for the direct structure elucidation of additional reactive intermediates.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information**. Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data, VT X-ray diffraction data (PDF, cif). Crystallographic data deposited in Cambridge Crystal Structure Database (1523480-1523482). The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

- AUTHOR INFORMATION
- Corresponding Author
- \*david.powers@chem.tamu.edu
- Funding Sources

60

- 6 No competing financial interests have been declared.
  - ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Greg Wylie for help with EPR measurements, Marcetta Darensbourg for an EPR finger dewar, François Gabbaï for a Xe lamp, as well as Texas A&M University and the Welch Foundation (A-1907) for financial support. ChemMatCARS Sector 15 is principally supported by the NSF under grant number NSF/CHE-1346572. Use of the APS was supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Saouma, C. T.; Peters, J. C. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *255*, 920– 937. (b) Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 932–948. (c) Que, L., Jr. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 493–500. (d) Meunier, B.; de Visser, S. P.; Shaik, S. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 3947–3980. (e) Nugent, W. A.; Mayer, J. M. Metal Ligand Multiple Bonds, Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1988. (f) Holm, R. H.; Berg, J. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1986**, *19*, 363–370.

(2) (a) Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B. *Struct. Bonding* **2012**, *142*, 17–28. (b) Gunay, A.; Theopold, K. H. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1060–1081. (c) Mayer J. M. *Comm. Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *8*, 125–135.

(3) Rohde, J.-U.; In, J. H.; Lim, M. H.; Brennessel, W. W.; Bukowski, M. R.; Stubna, A.; Munck, E.; Nam, W. Que, L., Jr. *Science* **2003**, *299*, 1037–1039.

(4) (a) Smith, J. M. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 58, 417–470. (b) Berry, J.
F. Comm. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 30, 28–66. (c) Eikey, R. A.; Abu-Omar, M. M. Coord. Chem. 2003, 243, 83–124.

(5) (a) Atienza, C. C. H.; Bowman, A. C.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 16343–16345. (b) Knobloch, D. J.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 30–35. (c) Schöffel, J.; Šušnjar, N.; Nückel, S.; Sieh, D.; Burger, P. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, 4911– 4915. (d)Schlangen, M.; Neugebauer, J.; Reiher, M.; Schröder, D.; López, J. P.; Haryono, M.; Heinemann, F. W.; Grohmann, A.; Schwarz, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 4285–4294.

(6) Pap, J. S.; DeBeer George, S.; Berry, J. F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2008**, *47*, 10102–10105.

(7) Chen, W.-Z.; De Silva, V.; Lin, C.; Abellard, J.; Marcus, D. M.; Ren, T. *J. Cluster Sci.* **2005**, *16*, 151–165.

(8) (a) Long, A. K. M.; Timmer, G. H.; Pap, J. S.; Snyder, J. L.; Yu, R. P.; Berry, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13138–13150. (b) Long, A. K. M.; Yu, R. P.; Timmer, G. H.; Berry, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12228–12230.

(9) (a) Zolnhofer, E. M.; Käß, M.; Khusniyarov, M. M.; Heinemann, F. W.; Maron, L.; van Gastel, M.; Bill, E.; Meyer, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 15072–15078. (b) Buschhorn, D.; Pink, M.; Fan, H.; Caulton, K. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 5129–5135. (c) Ingleson, M. J.; Pink, M; Fan, H.; Caulton, K. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 4262–4276.

(10) (a) Scheibel, M. G.; Wu, Y.; Stückl, A. C.; Krause, L.; Carl, E.; Stalke, D.; deBruin, B.; Schneider, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 17719–17722. (b) Scheibel, M. G.; Askevold, B.; Heinemann, F. W.; Reijerse, E. J.; de Bruin, B.; Schneider, S. *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 552–558. (c) Betley, T. A.; Peters, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 6252–6254.

(11) Rohde, J.-U.; Betley, T. A.; Jackson, T. A.; Saouma, C. T.; Peters, J. C.; Que, L., Jr. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 5720–5726.

(12) Andrews, L. Citra, A.; Chertihin, G. V.; Bare, W. D.; Neurock, M. J. *Phys. Chem. A* **1998**, *102*, 2561–2571.

(13) Citra, A.; Andrews, L. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 1152 -1161.

(14) (a) Kawano, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **2014**, *87*, 577–592. (b) Cole, J. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33*, 501–513.

(15) (a) Kawano, M.; Sano, T.; Abe, J.; Ohashi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 8106–8107. (b) Abe, J.; Sano, T.; Kawano, M.; Ohashi, Y.; Matsushita, M.; Iyoda, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 580–582. 

- (16) (a) Kawano, M.; Hirai, K.; Tomioka, H.; Ohashi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 6904–6908. (b) Kawano, M.; Hirai, K.; Tomioka, H.; Ohashi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 2383–2391.
- 4 (17) Kawano, M.; Kobayashi, Y.; Ozeki, T. Fujita, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 5 , *128*, 6558–6559.
- (18) (a) Carducci, M. D.; Pressprich, M. R.; Coppens, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2669-2678. (b) Kawano, M.; Ishikawa, A.; Morioka, Y.; Tomizawa, H.; Miki, E.; Ohashi, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 2425-2431. (c) Bowes, K. F.; Cole, J. M.; Husheer, S. L. G.; Raithby, P. R.; Savarese, T. L.; Sparkes, H. A.; Teat, S. J.; Warren, J. E. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2448–2450. (d) Warren, M. R.; Brayshaw, S. K.; Johnson, A. L.; Schiffers, S.; Raithby, P. R.; Easun, T. L.; George, M. W.; Warren, J. E.; Teat, S. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5711-5714.

(19) (a) Novozhilova, I. V.; Volkov, A. V.; Coppens, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1079–1087. (b) Ozawa, Y.; Terashima, M.; Mitsumi, M.; Toriumi, K.; Yasuda, N.; Uekusa, H.; Ohashi, Y. *Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *32*, 62–63.

(20) For examples, see: (a) Walstrom, A.; Pink, M.; Yang, X.; Tomaszewski, J.; Baik, M.-H.; Caulton, K. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 5330–5331. (b) Man, W.-L.; Tang, T.-M.; Wong, T.-W.; Lau, T.-C.; Peng, S.-M.; Wong, W.-T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 478–479.

(21) Schmøkel, M. S. Kamiński, R.; Benedict, J. B.; Coppens, P. Acta Cryst. A **2010**, *66*, 632–636.

(22) Svendsen, H.; Overgaard, J.; Chevallier, M.; Collet, E.; Iversen, B. B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2780–2783.

(23) Angaridis, P.; Cotton, F. A.; Murillo, C. A. Villagrán, D.; Wang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, *127*, 5008–5009.









Reactive metal nitride complexes are challenging to structurally characterize because both intra- (*i.e.* via amination of pendant C–H bonds (**2** to **3**; N–N: N,N'-bis(3,5-dichlorophenyl)formamidinate)) or N-atom insertion into ancillary M–L bonds (**5** to **6**; R: mesityl)) and intermolecular (i.e. nitride dimerization (**8** to **9**; R: *t*-Bu )) decomposition pathways can be facile.

143x124mm (300 x 300 DPI)



235x102mm (150 x 150 DPI)



The X-band EPR spectra **2** generated in the solid state (powdered crystals, -) and a frozen CH2Cl2 glass (-) demonstrate that nitride **2** generated in the solid state is spectroscopically indistinguishable from that generated in a frozen glass.

92x83mm (150 x 150 DPI)



(a) Temperature dependence of the Ru1–N1–N2 angle in Ru<sub>2</sub> azide **1**. Linearization of this angle upon photolysis at 15 K is consistent with photochemical heating of the sample. (b) Principle mean square atomic displacements (X (), Y (), and Z ()) obtained by VT crystallography. Atomic displacements obtained during irradiation (gray box) provide an *in situ* probe of crystal temperature during photolysis.

141x173mm (150 x 150 DPI)