

Boron Cations

Using Ylide Functionalization to Stabilize Boron Cations

Thorsten Scherpf, Kai-Stephan Feichtner, and Viktoria H. Gessner*

Abstract: The metalated ylide $YNa [Y = (Ph_3PCSO_2Tol)^{-}]$ was employed as X,L-donor ligand for the preparation of a series of boron cations. Treatment of the bis-ylide functionalized borane Y_2BH with different trityl salts or $B(C_6F_5)_3$ for hydride abstraction readily results in the formation of the bisylide functionalized boron cation $[Y-B-Y]^+$ (2). The high donor capacity of the ylide ligands allowed the isolation of the cationic species and its characterization in solution as well as in solid state. DFT calculations demonstrate that the cation is efficiently stabilized through electrostatic effects as well as π donation from the ylide ligands, which results in its high stability. Despite the high stability of 2 $[Y-B-Y]^+$ serves as viable source for the preparation of further borenium cations of type $Y_2B^+ \leftarrow LB$ by addition of Lewis bases such as amines and amides. Primary and secondary amines react to tris-(amino)boranes via N–H activation across the B–C bond.

he chemistry of boron compounds is strongly connected with their exceptional Lewis acidity. Hence, their general properties, bonding modes and reactivities are dictated by their tendency to overcome their intrinsic electron deficiency, such as by adduct formation or oligomerization. Cationic boron species are naturally even more reactive due to their higher electron deficiency.^[1] Depending on the coordination number, boron cations are classified into three classes.^[2] While borinium species are highly reactive, two-coordinate compounds, boronium and borenium cations are four- and three-coordinate species, that are additionally stabilized by coordination of neutral donor ligands (L, Figure 1A). Particularly borenium cations have fostered intense research interest over the past five years^[3] due to the discovery of a series of applications in stoichiometric as well as catalytic transformations.^[4] For example, the research groups of Curran, Vedejs, and Ingleson reported on borenium-catalyzed hydroboration^[5] and haloboration^[6] reactions, while the research groups of Stephan and Crudden established hydrogenation reactions proceeding through FLP-type mechanisms (FLP = frustrated Lewis pair^[7]).^[8]

Despite these advances in the application of boron cations, these species-particularly the two- and threecoordinated systems-are still relatively underexplored with only a limited number being isolated.^[9] Their chemistry has

[*]	T. Scherpf, KS. Feichtner, Prof. Dr. V. H. Gessner
	Chair of Inorganic Chemistry II
	Faculty of Chemistry and Biochemistry
	Ruhr University Bochum
	Universitätsstraße 150, 44780 Bochum (Germany)
	E-mail: viktoria.gessner@rub.de
	Homepage: http://www.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/ac2/
	Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s)
<u>—</u>	the outpar(a) of this outide can be found under

the author(s) of this article can be found under: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201611677.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1-6

A. Classes of boron cations R−B−R borinium boronium borenium B. Isolated borinium and borenium cations №Me₂ B, Kuhn, Cowley C. Gabbai Stephan ⊕_Mes Æ Me

E, Bourissou Figure 1. A) Classes of boron cations and B) isolated borenium and borinium cations.

D. Nöth

particularly benefited from the development of sterically demanding substituents and new ligand systems, such as strong (and often bulky or multidentate) N-donor ligands,^[10] N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHC)^[11] or carbodiphosphoranes (e.g A-F, Figure 1 B).^[12] These ligands facilitated their stabilization and thus their isolation.^[13,14] Nevertheless, almost all isolated boron cations rely on the use of amido or aryl groups as anionic substituents at boron. They are capable to efficiently stabilize the cation by minimizing the electron deficiency at boron through additional π -donation. Our group has become interested in the coordination chemistry of vlidic donor ligands. Particularly, metalated ylides with two lone pairs of electrons at the central carbon atom seemed to be ideal ligands for the stabilization of electron-deficient species due to their potential ability to function as carbon-centered oand π -donor ligands.^[15] Herein, we challenged this donor capacity of metalated ylides and their applicability as a novel class of X,L-type ligands for the stabilization of cationic boron species.

For the preparation of an ylide-stabilized boron cation we chose a synthetic strategy via hydride abstraction from the bis(ylide)-functionalized borane 1 (Scheme 1). 1 is conveniently accessible from the metalated ylide YNa [Y=(Ph₃PCSO₂Tol)⁻] and BH₃·THF.^[15] To access the corresponding boron cation a solution of 1 in CHCl3 was treated with one equiv. of a trityl salt with a weakly coordinating anion, $[Ph_3C][BAr_4]$ (Ar = 3,5-Cl₂C₆H₃, 3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃ or C₆F₅; see the Supporting Information for experimental details).^[16] Reaction monitoring showed the consumption of the starting material and formation of one major new product exhibiting a broad signal at $\delta_P = 12.5$ ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectrum (1:

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

for

Wiley Online Library

F, Shoji

Communications



Scheme 1. Preparation of boron cation 2 with different counterions.

 $\delta_{\rm P}$ = 23.8 ppm) and a broad signal at $\delta_{\rm B}$ = 40.3 ppm in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum. ¹H NMR spectroscopy clearly confirmed the formation of Ph₃CH, thus indicating successful formation of boron cation **2**. After 16 h reaction time at 50 °C the conversion to **2** was found to be greater than 80%. Most remarkably, **2** could also be obtained from **1** with the strong Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃.^[17] This suggests that the formed boron cation **2d** is—owing to the donor capacity of the ylide ligands—less electron-deficient than B(C₆F₅)₃ itself.

Despite the high-yielding formation of 2 its isolation revealed to be complicated due to the highly viscous nature of the obtained salts. To obtain 2 as solid material smaller counter-anions were tested to facilitate crystallization. Ion exchange by addition of [Bu₄N]PF₆ to the crude reaction mixture led to 2e as colorless solid and thus allowed its isolation in an overall yield of 61%. 2 exhibits a high thermal stability (also in refluxing toluene) and can be stored for months under inert conditions at room temperature without showing any decomposition reactions. A thermogravimetric analysis of 2e showed no decomposition up to 200 °C (see the Supporting Information). In the molecular structure, the asymmetric unit contains half a molecule which is assembled to **2e** through C_2 -symmetry.^[18] Thereby, the molecule features a disorder of the B-C-SO₂ moiety, which however could be de-convoluted and the structure was refined satisfactorily. No interaction between the PF₆ anion and the boron center is observed in the crystal structure (Figure 2 and the Supporting Information). However, 2e features no two-coordinate boron atom. Instead, the sulfonyl groups of the ylide ligands coordinate to boron, thus leading to a slightly bent C-B-C moiety with a large angle of $144.0(4)^{\circ}$. Due to the disorder and the C_2 symmetry in the molecular structure the sulforyl moiety of both ylide ligands coordinate to the boron center, resulting in an all-planar L-B-L fragment (L = PCS). Figure 2a shows only one possible arrangement. The two C-B1 distances of 1.481(7) and 1.510(9) Å are both shorter than typical single bonds,^[19] thus suggesting a considerable degree of π -donation from the ylide ligands to the boron center. Thereby, the shorter C-B distance corresponds to the exocyclic boron carbon bond (B1-C1B'). The P1-C1 and S1-C1 bond lengths in the ligand backbone are in average longer (e.g. S1-C1(average): 1.685(6) Å) than in the metalated ylide [Ph₃PCSO₂Ph]Na (e.g. S–C1: 1.626(2) Å). This is consistent with a reduced negative charge at C1 and reduced electrostatic interactions within the P-C-S backbone, which is



Figure 2. a) Molecular structure of $2 \cdot PF_6$ (disorder of the CSO₂ moiety not depicted). Hydrogen atoms and the PF₆ anion are omitted for clarity; 50% displacement parameters. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C1B-B' 1.481(7), C1A-B 1.510(9), P-C1A 1.676(8), P-C1B 1.781(8), C1A-S1A 1.669(6), C1B-S1B 1.701(6), S1A-O2A 1.423(4), S1A-O1A 1.592(5), S1B-O1B 1.428(5), S1B-O2B 1.444(4), O1A-B 1.493(6), S1A-C1A-P 124.3(4), S1B-C1B-P 119.4(3); C1A-B1-C1B' 144.0(4), O1A-B-C1A 96.5(4). b) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of **2** at different temperatures. c) Different isomers of **2** in solution.

conform with the π -interaction between the ylide ligand and the boron center.^[15]

NMR spectroscopic studies of 2 revealed that the structure observed in solid-state is also preserved in solution. As such, dichloromethane (DCM) solutions of 2 showedindependent of the counter-anion-only a single broadened peak in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at $\delta_{\rm P} = 12.5$ ppm as well as broadened signals in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra at room temperature. This is consistent with a dynamic behavior and the alternating coordination of one of the two sulfonyl moieties to boron. This fluxional behavior can be followed by VT NMR studies. Already at -30°C the broad ³¹P NMR signal splits into two signals ($\delta_{\rm P} = 8.40$ and 16.0 ppm) due to the now prevented oscillation of the boron atom between the two sulfonyl moieties (anti-2 and anti-2' in Figure 2). Further cooling to -90°C results in a further splitting of the two signals in an approximate 7:3 ratio. This presumably corresponds to the now hindered rotation about the C-S bond and

www.angewandte.org

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

the formation of two isomers with both tolyl groups of the sulfonyl moiety either being located on the same (*syn-2*) or opposite side (*anti-2/2'*) of the YBY plane. In the crystal structure only the *syn*-isomer is found due to the interactions of the aryl groups with the PF₆ anion.

DFT calculations were performed to get insights into the structure and bonding situation in the borenium cation (see the Supporting Information). The calculated geometrical parameters of 2^{Ph} (Ph instead of the tolyl groups at sulfur) match the experimental values very well. The isomer $syn-2^{Ph}$ observed in the crystal structure is clearly energetically favored over a borinium system with a linear C-B-C moiety and no sulfonyl coordination ($\Delta G = 49 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$), but equal in energy with the *anti*-isomer *anti*- 2^{Ph} ($\Delta G =$ -2.7 kJ mol^{-1}).^[20] The oscillation of the C–B–C unit between the two sulfonyl groups showed an activation barrier of only $\Delta G^{\pm} = 97 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, thus being well in line with the NMR studies. The calculated charges derived from the natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis of a hydrogen substituted model system 2^H indicate that electrostatic effects play an important role in the stabilization of the C-B-C linkage (Figure 3). As



Figure 3. a) Calculated Wiberg bond indices (WBI) and NBO charges and b) frontier molecular orbitals of 2^{H} (M062X/6-311 + G(d,p)).

such, high negative charges are observed at the carbon atoms $(q_{\rm C} = -1.26 \text{ and } -1.30)$ and a positive charge of $q_{\rm B} = +0.90$ at boron. The calculated Wiberg bond indices (WBI) of the B-C bonds amount to 0.98 and 1.16, thus suggesting some double bond character within the C-B-C linkage. The WBI of the B-O interaction of 0.73 underlines its importance for the stabilization of the boron cation. The NBO analysis of 2^{H} confirms the highly unsymmetrical bonding situation within the C-B-C linkage, showing a C-B single and a C=B double bond. However, both bonds [for example, the double bond by 69 (σ -bond) and 86% (π -bond)] are strongly polarized towards the carbon end, thus reflecting the high negative charges observed at the ylidic carbon atoms. The molecular orbitals show that the lowest unoccupied orbital (LUMO) is predominantly localized at the boron center. Hence, the boron atom remains-independent of the degree of πdelocalization and coordination of the sulfonyl moiety-the most electrophilic center in the molecule (c.f. $q_{\rm B} = +0.90$). The two highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) illustrate the strongly polarized π -delocalization in the C-

B–C moiety. The HOMO represents the two lone pairs at the carbon atoms, while the HOMO-1 is the bonding π -interaction over the whole C–B–C linkage. Hence, the calculations and experimental data argue for a C–B–C moiety with highly polarized C=B double bonds.

Next, we addressed the reactivity of the boron cation. At first, its Lewis acidity was probed by reaction with different Lewis bases. Dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) quantitatively delivered the corresponding borenium ion 3a, as evidenced by a shift in the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ (12.6 ppm for 2 and 21.0 ppm for 3a) and ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectrum (47.0 ppm for **3a**). Adduct formation was also observed with amides (DMF or Nmethylpyrollidone, NMP), while, no adduct formation was observed with ketones or phosphines (PMe₃, PPh₃). The coordination of NMP and DMF was found to be reversible. As such, evaporation of a DMF solution of 3c at room temperature resulted in the re-formation of 2e and the liberation of DMF. This is confirmed by DFT studies on the adduct formation with different Lewis bases, which yielded an exergonic process for amines, but a slightly endergonic reaction with amides (see the Supporting Information). The obtained borenium salts 3a-c could be isolated by crystallization at low temperature and their composition was unambiguously confirmed by NMR spectroscopy as well as XRD analysis. In all cases, no double coordination of two molecules of the Lewis base to form a boronium ion was observed, even when using an excess of Lewis base.^[21] The structures of 3b and 3c are given in Figure 4, 3a in the Supporting Information. Upon coordination of the Lewis base the intramolecular sulfonyl coordination is broken to provide selective access to borenium ions with two equivalent ylide ligands. Thus and in contrast to 2e, the B-C distances are almost equal and range between 1.51 and 1.54 Å. These bond lengths are slightly longer than the average bond length in 2e, but still in between a typical B-C single and double bond,^[19] thus indicating still present π -interaction between boron and the ylide ligands in 3. Compared to 2e, the C-B-C angles in 3a-c are smaller and the ylide ligands are not coplanarly, but slightly twisted arranged to each other. The C=O bonds in DMF and N-methylpyrrolidone experience a slight lengthening upon coordination to the boron center, thus indicating some degree of Lewis acid activation.

In contrast to the coordination of tertiary amines, 2 shows a totally different behavior towards primary and secondary amines, which however reflects the high polarity of the C-B bond. Treatment of $2b^{[22]}$ with an excess of *p*-nitroaniline results in N-H activation across the B-C bond and cleavage of both ylide substituents from the boron center.^[23] This leads to the formation of tris(amino)borane 4a together with ylide YH and the corresponding phosphonium salt ($[YH_2][BAr^{F_4}]$). 4a is the only product formed in this reaction. Employing a 1:1 ratio of amine and 2b delivered 4a and unreacted borenium cation 2b. The same reactivity of 2b was also observed with aniline or the secondary amines, diethyl amine or pyrrole, while pyrrole directly leads to the formation of the corresponding borate $[B(pyrrole)_4]^-$. Thus, despite the high thermal stability of 2 it still functions as highly reactive boron cation. Besides the coordination of different Lewis bases and the N-H addition across the B-C bond, 2 also acts as fluoride

www.angewandte.org





Figure 4. Reactivity of boron cation **2**. Molecular structures of **3b**, **3c**, **4a**, and **5**. H-atoms, PF_6^- , and solvent molecules omitted for clarity. 50% displacement parameters. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles for **3b**: S1-C1 1.720(2), P1-C1 1.750(2), C1-B 1.512(3), B-O5 1.478(3), B1-C27 1.522(3), S2-C27 1.714(2), P2-C27 1.735(2), N-C53 1.283(3), O5-C53 1.295(2), O5-B-C1 111.7(2), O5-B-C27 116.1(2), C1-B-C27 132.2(2), S1-C1-P1 114.4(1), S2-C27-P2 113.3(1). **5**: S1-C1 1.703(2), P1-C1 1.735(2), F-B 1.387(2), B-C27 1.527(3), B-C1 1.531(3), S2-C27 1.708(2), P2-C27 1.733(2), F-B-C27 112.2(2), F-B-C1 112.2(2), C27-B-C1 135.6(2), S1-C1-P1 115.1(1), S2-C27-P2 113.8(1).

acceptor. Reaction with KF selectively delivered fluoroborane $\mathbf{5}$, while keeping the Y–B–Y moiety intact.

In conclusion, we have shown that metalated ylides can be employed as strong electron-donating X,L-type ligands. Their donor capacity allowed the isolation of a boron cation of type $Y-B^+-Y$ with a remarkable thermal stability due to the efficient stabilization through the ylide substituents. DFT calculations indicate that electrostatic interactions as well as $\pi\text{-}delocalization$ within the C–B–C linkage play the most important role for the stability of the cationic species. Despite this stability the boron cation remains its reactivity as cationic species. As such, it forms Lewis acid base adducts with amines and amides and reacts with primary and secondary amines by N-H addition across the B-C bond. Overall, the facile isolation of ylide-functionalized boron cations demonstrates the unique donor properties of metalated ylides, which should also be beneficial for the stabilization of further electrondeficient compounds.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the DFG, the Boehringer-Ingelheim Foundation (Exploration Grant) and the European Research Council (starting grant number 677749, YlideLigands).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: boron · boron cations · carbanions · Lewis acid base pairs · ylide ligands

- For transition metal substituted boron cations, see: a) S. Aldridge, C. Jones, T. Gans-Eichler, A. Stasch, D. L. Kays, N. D. Coombs, D. J. Willock, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6118; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 6264; b) H. Braunschweig, K. Radacki, A. Schneider, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6473; c) H. Braunschweig, K. Kraft, T. Kupfer, K. Radacki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4931; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 5009; d) H. Braunschweig, K. Radacki, K. Uttinger, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3979; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 4054; e) H. Braunschweig, K. Radacki, D. Rais, D. Scheschkewitz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5651; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 5796.
- [2] a) W. E. Piers, S. C. Bourke, K. D. Conroy, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5016; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 5142; b) P. Koelle, H. Nöth, Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 399.
- [3] a) T. S. De Vries, A. Prokofjevs, E. Vedejs, *Chem. Rev.* 2012, *112*, 4246; b) E. J. Corey, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, *48*, 2100; *Angew. Chem.* 2009, *121*, 2134.
- [4] a) A. Prokofjevs, J. W. Kampf, E. Vedejs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2098; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2146; b) V. Bagutski, A. Del Grosso, J. A. Carrillo, I. A. Cade, M. D. Helm, J. R. Lawson, P. J. Singleton, S. A. Solomon, T. Marcelli, M. J. Ingleson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 474; c) A. Del Grosso, M. D. Helm, S. A. Solomon, D. Caras-Quintero, M. J. Ingleson, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12459; d) A. Del Grosso, P. J. Singleton, C. A. Muryn, M. J. Ingleson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2102; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2150.
- [5] a) J. S. McGough, S. M. Butler, I. A. Cade, M. J. Ingleson, *Chem. Sci.* 2016, 7, 3384; b) A. Prokofjevs, A. Boussonniere, L. Li, H. Bonin, E. Lacôte, D. P. Curran, E. Vedejs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 12281; c) X. Pan, A. Boussonniere, D. P. Curran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 14433.
- [6] a) J. R. Lawson, E. R. Clark, I. A. Cade, S. A. Solomon, M. J. Ingleson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 7518; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 7666.
- [7] For Reviews on FLP chemistry, see: a) D. W. Stephan, G. Erker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6400; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 6498; b) D. W. Stephan, G. Erker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 46; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 50; c) D. W. Stephan, G. Erker, Top. Curr. Chem. 2012, 332, 1.
- [8] a) J. M. Farrell, J. A. Hatnean, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 2012, 134, 15728; b) P. Eisenberger, B. P. Bestvater, E. C. Keske,
 C. M. Crudden, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2467; Angew.
 Chem. 2015, 127, 2497; c) J. M. Farrell, R. T. Posaratnanathan,
 D. W. Stephan, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 2010; d) P. Eisenberger, A. M.
 Bailey, C. M. Crudden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17384.
- [9] For review, see: D. P. Curran, A. Solovyev, M. M. Brahmi, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria, E. Lacote, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10294; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 10476.
- [10] a) C.-W. Chiu, F. P. Gabbaï, Organometallics 2008, 27, 1657; b) C. Bonnier, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, T. S. Sorensen, Chem. Commun. 2008, 4593; c) E. Tsurumaki, S. Hayashi, F. S. Tham, C. A. Reed, A. Osuka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11956; d) J. Chen, R. A. Lalancette, F. Jäkle, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4893; e) N. Kuhn, A. Kuhn, J. Lewandowski, M. Speis, Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 2197; A. H. Cowley, Z. Lu, J. N. Jones, J. A. Moore, J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 2562.

www.angewandte.org

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

- [11] a) T. Matsumoto, F. P. Gabbaï, Organometallics 2009, 28, 4252;
 b) D. McArthur, C. P. Butts, D. M. Lindsay, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6650; c) Y. Wang, G. H. Robinson, Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 12326; d) L. Weber, E. Dobbert, H.-G. Stammler, B. Neumann, R. Boese, D. Bläser, Chem. Ber. 1997, 130, 705;
 e) A. Prokofjevs, J. W. Kampf, A. Solovyev, D. P. Curran, E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15686; f) C.-T. Shen, Y.-H. Liu, S.-M. Peng, C.-W. Chiu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13293; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 13535; g) W. H. Lee, Y.-F. Lin, G.-H. Lee, S.-M. Peng, C.-W. Chiu, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 5937, and Ref. [8a-c].
- [12] a) B. Inés, M. Patil, J. Carreras, R. Goddard, W. Thiel, M. Alcarazo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, *50*, 8400; *Angew. Chem.* 2011, *123*, 8550; b) W.-C. Cheng, C.-Y. Lee, B.-C. Lin, Y.-C. Hsu, J.-S. Shen, C.-P. Hsu, G. P. A. Yap, T.-G. Ong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 914.
- [13] a) M. Devillard, R. Brousses, K. Miqueu, G. Bouhadir, D. Bourissou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5722; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 5814; b) M. Devillard, S. Mallet-Ladeira, G. Bouhadir, D. Bourissou, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 8877.
- [14] a) S. Courtenay, J. Y. Mutus, R. W. Schurko, D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2002, *41*, 498; *Angew. Chem.* 2002, *114*, 516; b) P. Kölle, H. Nöth, *Chem. Ber.* 1986, *119*, 313; c) H. Nöth, B. Rasthofer, *Chem. Ber.* 1986, *119*, 2075; d) P. Kölle, H. Nöth, *Chem. Ber.* 1986, *119*, 3849; e) Y. Shoji, N. Tanaka, K. Mikami, M. Uchiyama, T. Fukushima, *Nat. Chem.* 2014, *6*, 498.
- [15] T. Scherpf, R. Wirth, S. Molitor, K.-S. Feichtner, V. H. Gessner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8542; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 8662.

- [16] a) I. Krossing, I. Raabe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2066; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 2116; b) M. Brookhart, B. Grant, A. F. Volpe, Jr., Organometallics 1992, 11, 3920; c) T. A. Engesser, M. R. Lichtenthaler, M. Schleep, I. Krossing, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 789.
- [17] G. Erker, Dalton Trans. 2005, 1883.
- [18] CCDC 1520039-1520044 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
- [19] P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 12770.
- [20] The linear C–B–C structure is only a minimum on the potential energy surface in case of a twisted arrangement of the two ylide moieties. A further conformer with the PPh₃ moieties *cis* to each other is energetically less stable ($\Delta G = 33$ kJ mol⁻¹).
- [21] I. Ghesner, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, R. McDonald, Chem. Commun. 2005, 2480.
- [22] The PF_6^- anion has to be replaced in these reactions, since competing P–F activation is observed under the reaction conditions of the N–H activation. This side-reaction leads to the formation of PF_5 and fluoroborane **5**.
- [23] For a similar reactivity of B-C bonds, see Ref. [13].

Manuscript received: November 30, 2016 Revised: January 26, 2017 Final Article published:

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1-6

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

GDCh





Communications

Boron Cations

T. Scherpf, K.-S. Feichtner, V. H. Gessner* _____ IIII--IIII

Using Ylide Functionalization to Stabilize Boron Cations



Ylide meets B⁺: Employing a metalated ylide as donor ligand makes possible the isolation of highly stable ylide-functionalized boron cations. π -Delocalization as well as electrostatic interactions within the C-B-C linkage play the most important role for the high stability of the [Y-B-Y]⁺ cation and its Lewis base adducts. Reaction with amines results in N-H activation by addition across the B-C bond.

6 www.angewandte.org

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1-6