


RSC Advances



This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: W. Zhong, M. Xie, Y. Li and H. Yan, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/C4RA13017K.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Investigation into the reactivity of 16-electron complexes $\text{Cp}^\# \text{Co}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})$ ($\text{Cp}^\# = \text{Cp}, \text{Cp}^*$) towards methyl diazoacetate and toluenesulphonyl azideWei Zhong,^{a,b} Mingshi Xie,^a Yizhi Li^a and Hong Yan^{*a}⁵ Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX
DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A three-component reaction of 16-electron half-sandwich complex $\text{Cp}^* \text{Co}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})$ (**2**) towards methyl diazoacetate (MDA) and toluenesulphonyl azide (TsN_3) gave di-inserted products $\text{Cp}^* \text{Co}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})(\text{C}-\text{CO}_2\text{Me})(\text{CHCO}_2\text{Me})(\text{NHTs})$ (**3**) and $\text{Cp}^* \text{Co}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})(\text{CHCO}_2\text{Me})(\text{CHCO}_2\text{Me})(\text{N}_3\text{Ts})$ (**4**), whereas MDA and TsN_3 in a mole ratio of 2:1 inserted into the Co–S bond to form a five-membered metallacyclic ring with different coordination model. Its two-component reaction with MDA affording complexes $\text{Cp}^* \text{Co}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_9\text{H}_9)(\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Me})(\text{CHCO}_2\text{Me})$ (**5**), $\text{Cp}^* \text{Co}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})(\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2)$ (**6**) and $\text{Cp}^* \text{Co}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_9\text{H}_9)(\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2)$ (**7**) proved that above three-component reaction is not a simple stepwise reaction. For another 16-electron half-sandwich complex $\text{CpCo}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})$ (**1**), two stepwise reaction routes were designed to achieve incorporation of these three components. Undergoing alkylidene-bridged adduct $\text{CpCo}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})(\text{CHCO}_2\text{Me})$ (**8**) or imido-bridged product $\text{CpCo}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})(\text{NTs})$ (**9**), complex $\text{CpCo}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})(\text{CHCO}_2\text{Me})(\text{NTs})$ (**10**) was isolated as the sole product in moderate yield. In each route, one molecular of MDA and one molecular of TsN_3 insert into the two Co–S bonds of complex **1**, respectively, with the loss of N_2 . All the new complexes were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, IR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and X-ray structural analysis.

Introduction

The interaction between metal complexes and organic small molecules has been studied extensively for the reason that it is the source for developing new catalytic reactions and catalysts.¹ Most of metal-catalyzed reactions undergo direct or indirect interaction between the metal centre and the substrate. In particular, some of these catalytic reactions involve the key step of inserting a M–X (X = C, N, S, O, etc.) bond with unsaturated organic substrates, such as alkenes,² alkynes,³ allenes,⁴ diazo compounds⁵ and azides.⁶ On the other hand, the successful isolation and characterization of some unstable metal-ligand complexes provides evidence for the existence of some intermediates in metal-induced catalytic cycles and help people to understand the catalytic mechanism well.⁷


As useful synthons, diazo compounds^{5,8} and azides⁹ have been widely used in both organic and organometallic synthesis based on their various reactivities. For example, diazo compounds can be readily decomposed by transition-metal complexes to generate Fischer-type metal carbene intermediates, which can subsequently undergo various chemical transformations such as X–H (X = C, O, S, N, etc.) insertion,¹⁰ cyclopropanation¹¹ and ylide formation.¹² Azides can be easily transformed into amines, isocyanates and other functional molecules and have more recently received an increasing interest as valuable and versatile

reagents within the concept of “Click Chemistry”.^{9b,9c,13} Furthermore, reactions of azides towards transition metal complexes afford various products including metal–organo azide complexes,¹⁴ metal–imide complexes,¹⁵ tetraazabutadiene complexes,¹⁶ isocyanate derivatives^{9e,15b} and triazenide complexes.¹⁷

For over 10 years, we are continually working to study the reaction chemistry of unsaturated 16-electron half-sandwich complexes $[\text{CpM}(\text{E}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})]$ (Cp = cyclopentadienyl; M = Co; E = S, Se), $[\text{Cp}^* \text{M}(\text{E}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})]$ (Cp^* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl; M = Co, Rh, Ir; E = S, Se) and $[(p\text{-cymene})\text{M}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})]$ (M = Ru, Os) with organic small molecules.¹⁸ In our previous work, we have reported the reactivity of 16-electron complex $\text{CpCo}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})$ (**1**) with ethyl diazoacetate to afford a series of unpredictable products with Co–B bond formation.^{18k} And very recently, we have systematically investigated the reaction of 16-electron complex **1** with various azides.^{18m} On the basis of these results, we assume that the three-component reactions of such 16-electron species with diazo compounds and azides would lead to various chemical transformations. As part of our intensive studies in this field, herein we report our attempts on the three-component reactions of $\text{Cp}^\# \text{Co}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10})$ ($\text{Cp}^\# = \text{Cp}$, **1**; $\text{Cp}^\# = \text{Cp}^*$, **2**) towards both methyl diazoacetate (MDA) and toluenesulphonyl azide (TsN_3) in one-spot.

Results and discussion


Screening of substrates. Our previous reports suggest that diazo compounds are very reactive towards 16-electron half-sandwich complex **1**,^{18k} while azides are relatively inert which often performed in harsh reaction conditions such as reflux temperature.^{18m} For the purpose of the three-component reaction of 16-electron species with both diazo compounds and azides, suitable substrates, including 16-electron species, diazo compounds and azides, need to be carefully screened. Considering the steric effect of Cp* ligand, which have been proved to decrease the reaction activity of its complexes towards alkynes,^{18r} the 16-electron complex Cp*CoS₂C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (**2**) may act as a good candidate to achieve this goal. Furthermore, we reduce the disparity of reactivity between diazo compounds and azides by choosing a relatively inert diazo compound (methyl diazoacetate, MDA) and a relatively reactive azide (toluenesulfonyl azide, TsN₃) (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Substrates for investigating.

Three-component reaction of complex **2** with MDA and TsN₃.

The three-component reaction has been achieved by mixing 16-electron complex **2**, TsN₃ and MDA sequentially at ambient temperature, which afford products **3** and **4** in the yields of 52% and 22%, respectively, as shown in Scheme 2. It is worthwhile to note that the order of adding TsN₃ and MDA is very important for the formation of products **3** and **4**. TsN₃ should be added before MDA, otherwise many by-products derived from the reaction of complex **2** with MDA can be isolated. It is in agreement with the different reactivity of azides^{18m, 18s} and diazo compounds^{18k} towards 16-electron species.



Scheme 2. Three-component reaction of complex **2** with MDA and TsN₃ at ambient temperature.

Complexes **3** and **4** were characterized by elemental analysis, IR, NMR (¹H, ¹¹B, ¹³C) and mass spectrometry. The most representative signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum of complex **3** are those due to Ts–NH and CO₂Me–CH groups, which appear as two doublets (*J* = 5.0 Hz) at δ = 4.39 and 5.79 ppm. For complex **4**, the signals due to S–CH and N–CH groups also appear as two doublets (*J* = 11.0 Hz) at δ = 4.23 and 4.78 ppm, respectively. The ¹³C NMR spectra show the corresponding signals due to the N–C carbon atoms (δ = 56–59 ppm), and the ones assigned to S–

C carbon atoms (δ = 71–78 ppm).




Figure 1. Molecular structure of complex **3**. Thermal ellipsoids are depicted at 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Co(1)–S(1) = 2.2336(11), Co(1)–S(2) = 2.2454(9), Co(1)–O(1) = 1.969(2), S(1)–C(1) = 1.776(3), S(2)–C(2) = 1.824(3), S(2)–C(15) = 1.713(3), O(1)–C(14) = 1.282(3), C(1)–C(2) = 1.659(4), C(14)–C(15) = 1.384(4), C(15)–C(16) = 1.508(3), C(16)–N(1) = 1.454(3); S(1)–Co(1)–S(2) = 95.19(3), S(1)–Co(1)–O(1) = 94.52(6), S(2)–Co(1)–O(1) = 85.09(6), Co(1)–S(1)–C(1) = 105.71(11), Co(1)–S(2)–C(2) = 104.59(9), Co(1)–S(2)–C(15) = 96.84(10), C(2)–S(2)–C(15) = 107.02(13), Co(1)–O(1)–C(14) = 115.02(18), S(1)–C(1)–C(2) = 117.9(2), S(2)–C(2)–C(1) = 116.61(19), O(1)–C(14)–C(15) = 124.2(2), S(2)–C(15)–C(14) = 114.37(19).





Figure 2. Molecular structure of complex **4**. Thermal ellipsoids are depicted at 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Co(1)–S(1) = 2.2640(8), Co(1)–S(2) = 2.2090(8), Co(1)–N(3) = 1.937(2), S(1)–C(1) = 1.770(3), S(2)–C(2) = 1.800(3), S(2)–C(13) = 1.845(3), N(1)–N(2) = 1.298(3), N(2)–N(3) = 1.288(3), N(3)–C(16) = 1.478(3), C(1)–C(2) = 1.685(4), C(13)–C(16) = 1.520(4); S(1)–Co(1)–S(2) = 93.99(3), S(1)–Co(1)–N(3) = 93.10(6), S(1)–Co(1)–C(3) = 127.83(7), S(2)–Co(1)–N(3) = 84.86(6), Co(1)–S(1)–C(1) = 104.60(9), Co(1)–S(2)–C(2) = 107.28(10), Co(1)–S(2)–C(13) = 101.71(9), C(2)–S(2)–C(13) = 103.37(13), S(3)–N(1)–N(2) = 110.09(17), N(1)–N(2)–N(3) = 114.0(2), Co(1)–N(3)–N(2) = 129.51(17), Co(1)–N(3)–C(16) = 118.63(16), N(2)–N(3)–C(16) = 111.8(2), S(1)–C(1)–C(2) = 117.83(18), S(2)–C(2)–C(1) = 114.44(18), S(2)–C(13)–C(16) = 110.72(18), N(3)–C(16)–C(13) = 108.4(2).

The absolute configurations of complexes **3** and **4** were further confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. ORTEP representations of **3** and **4** with selected bond distances and angles are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively. The molecular structure of **3** reveals that incorporation of one TsN_3 and two MDA ligands happen to construct a five-membered metallacyclic ring Co-O-C-C-S . In this process, both TsN_3 and two MDA ligands lose N_2 and then couple together to form a new "SSO" tridentate ligand. One feature that should be mentioned is that the coupling process makes the complex **3** unsymmetric and the sp^3 centre (C16) becomes a chiral centre, though complex **3** crystallizes in the achiral space group $\text{P2}_1/\text{n}$ as the R enantiomer. The distance of $\text{O}(1)\text{--C}(14)$ (1.282(3) Å) is between that expected for carbon-oxygen single and double bonds. While the distance of $\text{C}(14)\text{--C}(15)$ (1.384(4) Å), which is derived from the same MDA ligand, is also shorter than the usual C–C bond but longer than the normal C=C bond. This fact indicates that O1-C14-C15 is a conjugated ${}^3_3\pi$ system, where similar cases happened in our previous report.^{18m} The distances of $\text{C}(15)\text{--C}(16)$ (1.508(3) Å) and $\text{C}(16)\text{--N}(1)$ (1.454(3) Å) are slight shorter than the normal C–C and C–N single bond, respectively. Different from complex **3**, the azido group of TsN_3 still exists in complex **4**, together with two molecules MDA losing N_2 to construct the other new "SSN" tridentate ligand, which features two chiral carbon atoms (C13 and C16). It is interesting to note that only one of the two possible diastereoisomer is formed. The average distance of N–N bonds of the --N_3 group (1.298(3) Å) is slight longer than the normal one. All other distances are in the expected range.

Two-component reaction of complex 2 with MDA. To insight into the mechanism for the formation of complexes **3** and **4**, two-component reaction of complex **2** with MDA was also investigated. The results showed that the above three-component reaction is a concerted reaction rather than a simple stepwise one. As shown in Scheme 3, the 16-electron complex **2** reacting with MDA led to the formation of complexes **5–7** in the yield of 9%, 53% and 7%, respectively. It has to be noted that complexes **5** and **7** are obtained in low yields. This is due to the generation of mixtures of complexes in the reaction, thus implying that the reaction products were purified by column chromatography, with the concomitant purification loss. It is totally different from the analogous reaction of complex **1** with ethyl diazoacetate (EDA), which gives interesting products featuring stable Co–B bond or selective B–H activation at carborane.^{18k} In this new case, neither B–H activation nor Co–B bond formation happened. Such striking difference is possibly attributed to the steric effect of Cp^* ligand in complex **2**.



Scheme 3. Two-component reaction of complex **2** with MDA at ambient temperature.

Complexes **5–7** have been fully characterized by IR, NMR,

mass spectrometry and elemental analysis. The ${}^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra of these complexes show their most significant doublets due to the diastereotopic protons at the methylene group of the --SCH_2 branch with chemical shift in the range of 3.0–4.5 ppm ($J = 15.0\text{--}17.5$ Hz). And for complexes **5** and **7**, another representative signals of ${}^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra is that due to the B–H–B hydride at the open face of the *nido*- C_2B_9 cluster, which appear as singlet at $\delta = -2.20$ and -2.96 ppm, respectively. These observations are well agreement with their solid states.




Figure 3. Molecular structure of complex **5**. Thermal ellipsoids are depicted at 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ($^\circ$): $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(1) = 2.2093(7)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(2) = 2.1757(8)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--C}(16) = 1.976(3)$, $\text{C}(16)\text{--S}(2) = 1.765(3)$, $\text{C}(16)\text{--C}(17) = 1.468(4)$, $\text{C}(2)\text{--S}(2) = 1.790(3)$, $\text{C}(1)\text{--C}(2) = 1.552(4)$, $\text{C}(1)\text{--S}(1) = 1.797(3)$, $\text{C}(13)\text{--S}(1) = 1.814(3)$, $\text{C}(13)\text{--C}(14) = 1.567(5)$; $\text{S}(1)\text{--Co}(1)\text{--S}(2) = 93.37(3)$, $\text{C}(16)\text{--Co}(1)\text{--S}(2) = 50.04(9)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(2)\text{--C}(16) = 59.09(9)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(2)\text{--C}(2) = 106.44(9)$, $\text{C}(1)\text{--C}(2)\text{--S}(2) = 117.4(2)$, $\text{C}(2)\text{--C}(1)\text{--S}(1) = 116.97(19)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(1)\text{--C}(1) = 105.52(9)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--C}(16)\text{--C}(17) = 125.70(19)$, $\text{S}(2)\text{--C}(16)\text{--C}(17) = 125.0(2)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(1)\text{--C}(13) = 113.23(10)$, $\text{C}(1)\text{--S}(1)\text{--C}(13) = 102.44(13)$, $\text{S}(1)\text{--C}(13)\text{--C}(14) = 108.39(2)$.




Figure 4. Molecular structure of complex **6**. Thermal ellipsoids are depicted at 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ($^\circ$): $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(1) = 2.248(2)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(2) = 2.234(2)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--O}(1) = 1.960(4)$, $\text{S}(1)\text{--C}(1) = 1.775(7)$, $\text{S}(2)\text{--C}(2) = 1.786(7)$, $\text{S}(2)\text{--C}(13) = 1.805(5)$, $\text{O}(1)\text{--C}(14) = 1.278(8)$, $\text{O}(2)\text{--C}(14) = 1.230(7)$, $\text{C}(1)\text{--C}(2) = 1.658(9)$, $\text{C}(13)\text{--C}(14) = 1.512(9)$; $\text{S}(1)\text{--Co}(1)\text{--S}(2) = 93.46(7)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(1)\text{--C}(1) = 105.81(19)$, $\text{S}(1)\text{--Co}(1)\text{--O}(1) = 94.99(12)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(2)\text{--C}(2) = 106.3(2)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--S}(2)\text{--C}(13) = 95.5(2)$, $\text{C}(2)\text{--S}(2)\text{--C}(13) = 103.1(3)$, $\text{Co}(1)\text{--O}(1)\text{--C}(14) = 121.1(4)$, $\text{S}(1)\text{--C}(1)\text{--C}(2) = 117.4(4)$, $\text{S}(2)\text{--Co}(1)\text{--O}(1) = 86.78(13)$, $\text{S}(2)\text{--}$

C(13)–C(14) = 113.0(4), O(1)–C(14)–O(2) = 124.5(6), O(1)–C(14)–C(13) = 117.4(5), O(2)–C(14)–C(13) = 118.1(6).





Figure 5. Molecular structure of complex **7**. Thermal ellipsoids are depicted at 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Co(1)–S(1) = 2.2363(13), Co(1)–S(2) = 2.2530(14), Co(1)–O(1) = 1.901(4), S(1)–C(1) = 1.774(5), S(1)–C(13) = 1.809(5), S(2)–C(2) = 1.761(5), O(1)–C(14) = 1.316(6), O(2)–C(14) = 1.237(6), C(1)–C(2) = 1.591(7), C(13)–C(14) = 1.581(7); S(1)–Co(1)–S(2) = 92.21(5), S(1)–Co(1)–O(1) = 88.44(12), S(2)–Co(1)–O(1) = 90.65(13), Co(1)–S(1)–C(1) = 105.61(15), Co(1)–S(1)–C(13) = 99.24(17), C(1)–S(1)–C(13) = 103.0(2), Co(1)–S(2)–C(2) = 106.48(16), Co(1)–O(1)–C(14) = 121.4(3), S(1)–C(1)–C(2) = 118.6(3), S(2)–C(2)–C(1) = 116.8(3), S(1)–C(13)–C(14) = 109.6(3), O(1)–C(14)–C(13) = 119.5(4).

The molecular structures of complexes **5** and **7** (Figures 3 and 5) confirm that one of the apex BH close to the two carbon atoms of *o*-carborane has been lost, which present a *nido*-C₂B₉ cage instead of a *closo*-C₂B₁₀ unit. This phenomenon has been observed in many previous reports and the basic reaction environment or alcoholic solvent would be responsible for the loss of an apex BH in the *o*-carborane cage.¹⁹ In our cases, the purification process by chromatography using silica may be the reason for such transformation. But in complex **6**, such case did not happen (Figure 4). In fact, reaction of complex **6** with silica for several hours at room temperature led to the formation of complex **7** in good yield. Interestingly, both complexes **6** and **7** present demethylation of the ester branch, which led to a carboxylate to coordinate the cobalt centre. A reasonable explanation for this may imply the presence of tiny amounts of water in the purification or work-up process, which is similar to recently described by Peris and co-workers for Rh^{III} complexes.²⁰

Stepwise reaction of complex 1 with MDA and TsN₃. As we discussed above, the 16-electron complex **1** shown so highly reactive to diazo compounds that three-component reaction of **1** with MDA and TsN₃ could not be achieved. But bearing an alternative approach in mind, the stepwise reaction of **1** with MDA and TsN₃ would aim to incorporate the three moieties into final product.

As shown in Scheme 4, there are two parallel routes to synthesize the target three-component adduct **10**. One is derived from the alkylidene-bridged adduct **8**, which was prepared according to our recent report.^{18k} But in this new case, to get complex **8** with high yield and avoid further reaction with excess

MDA, 1:1 mole ratio of raw material and 5 minutes for reaction time were optimized as reaction conditions. Another route undergoes an imido-bridged adduct **9**, whose formation and characterization has been reported in our previous study.^{18m} Further reaction of complex **8** with TsN₃ at 90 °C or complex **9** with MDA at room temperature led to the formation of product **10** in moderate yield. While previous studies indicated that both alkylidene-bridged adduct and imido-bridged adduct shown comparative reactivity towards small organic molecules,^{18k, 18m} the different reactivity presented here may be due to the nature of TsN₃ and MDA ligands.



Scheme 4. Two routes for stepwise reactions of complex **1** with MDA and TsN₃.




Figure 6. Molecular structure of complex **8**. Thermal ellipsoids are depicted at 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Co(1)–S(1) = 2.265(10), Co(1)–S(2) = 2.174(10), Co(1)–C(8) = 1.970(3), C(8)–S(2) = 1.775(3), C(2)–S(2) = 1.803(3), C(1)–C(2) = 1.653(4), C(1)–S(1) = 1.771(3), C(8)–C(9) = 1.472(5); S(1)–Co(1)–S(2) = 95.15(3), C(8)–Co(1)–S(2) = 50.44(10), Co(1)–S(2)–C(8) = 58.84(11), Co(1)–S(2)–C(2) = 105.36(10), C(1)–C(2)–S(2) = 116.1(2), C(2)–C(1)–S(1) = 117.72(18), Co(1)–S(1)–C(1) = 102.84(10).

Good analytical data were obtained for complexes **8**–**10**, which were further supported by X-ray crystal structure determination (complex **9** was reported elsewhere^{18m}). The ORTEP plots and selected bond parameters of complexes **8** and **10** are shown in Figures 6 and 7. Obviously, similar to other alkylidene-bridged and imido-bridged complexes,^{18k, 18m, 21} MDA insert into one Co–S bond of complex **1** with the loss of N₂ to form a three-membered metallacyclic ring Co1–S2–C8. And in complex **10**,

one TsN_3 and one MDA insert into the two Co–S bonds of complex **1**, respectively, to generate metallacyclic ring Co1–S1–C8 and Co1–S1–C1–C2–S2–N1. It is well-known that²² alkylidene-adducts exist two geometrical isomers based on the position of R in –CHR group with respect to the iridadithiolene ring, namely *endo* and *exo* form, respectively. In our cases, both complexes **8** and **10** assign to *exo* form according to their ^1H NMR spectra. To our surprise, the second insertion reaction did not happen to either Co–C bond in complex **8** or Co–N bond in complex **9**, which have been proved as active sites for further insertion.^{18k, 18m} We speculate that the high stability of complex **10** and the nature of carbene and nitrene derived from MDA and TsN_3 are responsible for such inserted mode.




Figure 7. Molecular structure of complex **10**. Thermal ellipsoids are depicted at 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Co(1)–S(1) = 2.1950(7), Co(1)–N(1) = 1.9661(18), Co(1)–C(8) = 1.970(2), S(1)–C(1) = 1.803(2), S(1)–C(8) = 1.768(2), S(2)–N(1) = 1.6923(18), S(2)–C(2) = 1.787(2), S(3)–N(1) = 1.6324(17), C(1)–C(2) = 1.690(3); S(1)–Co(1)–N(1) = 94.20(5), N(1)–Co(1)–C(8) = 89.89(8), S(1)–Co(1)–C(8) = 49.87(6), Co(1)–S(1)–C(1) = 116.42(7), Co(1)–S(1)–C(8) = 58.44(8), C(1)–S(1)–C(8) = 109.50(10), N(1)–S(2)–C(2) = 100.89(10), S(1)–C(1)–C(2) = 121.77(15), S(2)–C(2)–C(1) = 118.49(15), Co(1)–C(8)–S(1) = 71.69(9), Co(1)–N(1)–S(2) = 112.81(9), Co(1)–N(1)–S(3) = 122.20(10), S(2)–N(1)–S(3) = 113.60(10).

Mechanistic implications. According to our recent report,^{18k} the alkylidene-bridged adduct **IT** may act as a key intermediate in these serial transformations. Due to the steric effect of Cp^* ligand, intermediate **IT** is not stable even at low temperature. The same phenomena happened in the imido-bridged adduct, which features nucleophilic addition with H_2O to break the Co–N bond.^{18s, 23} Any attempt to isolate intermediate **IT** led to failure, but the evidence for its formation could be captured by *in situ* proton NMR experiment. As shown in Figure 8, mixing 1, 2-dichloroethane solution of MDA with complex **2** in the molar ratio of 1:1 in deuterated chloroform for 10 minutes presented three new proton signals with a ratio of 15:3:1 at $\delta = 1.57$, 3.80 and 4.10 ppm ($\delta = 3.73$ ppm is assigned to 1, 2-dichloroethane), which fit the formula of **IT** well. Furthermore, similar to other analogous alkylidene-bridged adducts featuring a characteristic peak of methenyl group,^{18k, 24} the peak at $\delta = 4.10$ ppm appeared while the peak at $\delta = 4.75$ ppm attributed to $-\text{CHN}_2$ of MDA almost disappeared after conducting the reaction for 10 minutes (Figure 9). Based on the intermediate **IT**, the formation of complexes **3–7** can be illustrated in Scheme 5. For complexes **3–**

5, insertion of ligand (MDA or both MDA and TsN_3) together with coupling reaction happened in these transformations. The existence of silica or water resulted in the loss of a BH vertex at carborane and the hydrolysis of an ester branch, which is responsible for the formation of complexes **5–7**.




Figure 8. ^1H NMR spectra of **IT**





Figure 9. Comparison of characteristic peak of MDA and **IT**



Scheme 5. Proposed mechanism for the formation of complexes **3–7**

Conclusions

In summary, a three-component reaction of 16-electron half-sandwich complex towards diazo compound and azide could be achieved by screening of proper reactant. That is complex **2** reacting with MDA and TsN₃, which afforded two novel products **3** and **4** with incorporation of these three components. For the mechanistic studies, two-component reaction of complex **2** and MDA have been also investigated, which gave the formation of complexes **5–7**. The significant disparities between these two reactions proved that the above three-component reaction is not a simple stepwise one. But for the analogous complex **1**, three-component reaction could be only conducted step by step rather than in one-spot. The di-inserted product **10** with MDA and TsN₃ moieties could be derived from alkylidene-bridged adduct **8** or imido-bridged adduct **9**. These diverse transformations presented in this work may be helpful for the design of catalysts in carbene and nitrene chemistries.

Experimental

General procedures. CpCoS₂C₂B₁₀H₁₀,²⁵ Cp*CoS₂C₂B₁₀H₁₀,²⁵ methyl diazoacetate²⁶ and toluenesulphonyl azide²⁷ were prepared by literature procedures. *Note: methyl diazoacetate is so reactive that it is stored as 1, 2-dichloroethane solution at low temperature (2.76 M).* All reactions were carried out under argon by using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents were dried and deoxygenated prior to use. Diethyl ether, tetrahydrofuran, and petroleum ether were refluxed and distilled over sodium/benzophenone under nitrogen. CH₂Cl₂ was distilled over CaH₂ under nitrogen. The NMR measurements were performed on a Bruker DRX 500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts were given with respect to CHCl₃/CDCl₃ (δ ¹H = 7.26 ppm; δ ¹³C = 77.0 ppm) and external Et₂O·BF₃ (δ ¹¹B = 0 ppm). The IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vector 22 spectrophotometer with KBr pellets in the region of 4000–400 cm^{−1}. The C, H and N microanalyses were carried out with an Elementar Vario EL III elemental analyzer. Mass data were determined with the LCQ (ESI-MS, Thermo Finnigan) mass spectrometer.

Synthesis of complexes 3 and 4. The solution of **2** (120.0 mg, 0.30 mmol) in DCM (20 mL) was added with toluenesulphonyl azide (88.7 mg, 0.45 mmol) and methyl diazoacetate (2.76 M in solution of 1, 2-dichloroethane, 41.4 mg in 0.15 mL). The colour changed from dark yellow to blue quickly after mixing, then the colour slowly changed to dark green. The mixture was stirred for 5 hours at ambient temperature. After removing of all solvent under vacuum, the residue was chromatographically separated on silica, and elution with petroleum ether/DCM gave **3** (130.0 mg, 52%) and **4** (55.0 mg, 22%).

3: green solid. m.p. = 153 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.39 (s, 15H, Cp*), 2.45 (s, 3H, Ph–CH₃), 3.59 (s, 3H, O–CH₃), 3.70 (s, 3H, O–CH₃), 4.39 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.0 Hz, N–H), 5.79 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.0 Hz, CH–CO₂Me), 7.35 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.0 Hz, Ph), 7.88 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, Ph), ¹¹B NMR (CDCl₃): δ −6.57 (3B), −5.31 (3B), −2.88 (4B), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 9.39 (Cp*–CH₃), 21.57 (Ph–CH₃), 52.60 (O–CH₃), 53.70 (O–CH₃), 58.11 (N–C), 71.44 (S–C=), 94.99 (Cp*), 95.17 (Carborane), 103.46 (Carborane), 136.19 (Ph), 143.78 (2×Ph), 127.39 (Ph), 129.78 (2×Ph), 170.77 (O=C), 175.28 (O=C). ESI-MS (70 eV): *m/z* 736.17 ([M+MeOH]⁺,

95%). IR (KBr): ν (cm^{−1}) 1744 (C=O), 2582 (B–H). Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₅H₄₀B₁₀CoNO₆S₃: C, 42.06; H, 5.65; N, 1.96. Found: C, 42.16; H, 5.92; N, 2.12.

4: green solid. m.p. = 168 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.54 (s, 15H, Cp*), 2.39 (s, 3H, Ph–CH₃), 3.84 (s, 3H, O–CH₃), 3.94 (s, 3H, O–CH₃), 4.23 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.0 Hz, S–CH), 4.78 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.0 Hz, N–CH), 7.22 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, Ph), 7.64 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, Ph), ¹¹B NMR (CDCl₃): δ −8.96 (4B), −7.06 (3B), −5.18 (2B), −1.18 (1B), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 10.12 (Cp*–CH₃), 21.50 (Ph–CH₃), 53.53 (O–CH₃), 54.35 (O–CH₃), 56.53 (N–C), 77.79 (S–C), 92.94 (Carborane), 98.49 (Cp*), 127.19 (2×Ph), 128.94 (2×Ph), 138.50 (Ph), 142.00 (Ph), 166.30 (O=C), 167.92 (O=C). ESI-MS (70 eV): *m/z* 731.33 (M⁺, 95%). IR (KBr): ν (cm^{−1}) 1745 (C=O), 2588 (B–H). Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₅H₄₀B₁₀CoN₃O₆S₃: C, 40.48; H, 5.43; N, 5.66. Found: C, 40.33; H, 5.59; N, 5.39.

Synthesis of complexes 5–7. The solution of **2** (120.0 mg, 0.30 mmol) in DCM (20 mL) was added with methyl diazoacetate (2.76 M in solution of 1, 2-dichloroethane, 41.4 mg in 0.15 mL). The colour changed from dark yellow to blue quickly after mixing, then the colour slowly changed to dark green. The mixture was stirred for 10 hours at ambient temperature. After removing of all solvent under vacuum, the residue was chromatographically separated on silica, and elution with petroleum ether/DCM gave **5** (15.2 mg, 9%), **6** (85.5 mg, 53%) and **7** (8.1 mg, 5%).

5: red solid. m.p. = 169 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.66 (s, 15H, Cp*), 3.00 (d, 1H, *J* = 16.0 Hz, S–CH₂), 3.36 (s, 1H, S–CH), 3.81 (s, 3H, O–CH₃), 3.83 (s, 3H, O–CH₃), 4.12 (d, 1H, *J* = 16.0 Hz, S–CH₂), −2.20 (s, 1H, B–H–B), ¹¹B NMR (CDCl₃): δ −31.09 (2B), −26.40 (2B), −14.42 (1B), −12.30 (2B), −5.97 (2B), ESI-MS (70 eV): *m/z* 558.25 ([M+Na]⁺, 25%). IR (KBr): ν (cm^{−1}) 1631 (C=O), 2547 (B–H). Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₈H₃₄B₉CoO₄S₂: C, 40.42; H, 6.41. Found: C, 40.30; H, 6.50.

6: green solid. m.p. = 186 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.45 (s, 15H, Cp*), 3.70 (d, 1H, *J* = 17.5 Hz, S–CH₂), 3.82 (d, 1H, *J* = 17.5 Hz, S–CH₂), ¹¹B NMR (CDCl₃): δ −8.44 (1B), −6.80 (2B), −5.78 (1B), −3.60 (4B), −2.22 (2B), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 9.61 (Cp*–CH₃), 29.28 (S–CH₂), 43.51 (S–CH₂), 90.87 (Carborane), 95.77 (Cp*), 97.32 (Carborane), 174.21 (O=C), ESI-MS (70 eV): *m/z* 490.75 ([M+MeOH]⁺, 15%). IR (KBr): ν (cm^{−1}) 1639 (C=O), 2574 (B–H). Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₄H₂₇B₁₀CoO₂S₂·H₂O: C, 35.28; H, 6.13. Found: C, 35.50; H, 6.19.

7: red solid. m.p. = 189 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.56 (s, 15H, Cp*), 3.50 (d, 1H, *J* = 15.0 Hz, S–CH₂), 4.47 (d, 1H, *J* = 15.0 Hz, S–CH₂), −2.96 (s, 1H, B–H–B), ¹¹B NMR (CDCl₃): δ −31.05 (1B), −25.46 (1B), −14.20 (2B), −12.06 (3B), −6.72 (2B), ESI-MS (70 eV): *m/z* 444.92 ([M]⁺, 40%). IR (KBr): ν (cm^{−1}) 1645 (C=O), 2545 (B–H). Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₄H₂₇B₉CoO₂S₂: C, 37.56; H, 6.08. Found: C, 37.70; H, 6.25.

Synthesis of complex 8. The solution of **1** (99.0 mg, 0.30 mmol) in DCM (20 mL) was added with methyl diazoacetate (2.76 M in solution of 1, 2-dichloroethane, 27.6 mg in 0.1 mL) in a molar ratio of about 1:1. The colour changed from red to blue rapidly after mixing, and the mixture was stirred for 5 minutes at ambient temperature. After removing of all solvent under vacuum, the residue was chromatographically separated on silica, and elution with petroleum ether/DCM gave **8** (88.6 mg, 69%).

8: blue solid. m.p. = 158 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.82 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 4.37 (s, 1H, S-CH), 5.02 (s, 5H, Cp), ¹¹B NMR (CDCl₃): δ -7.93 (2B), -6.55 (2B), -4.89 (1B), -2.20 (3B), -1.17 (2B), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 45.03 (S-CH), 52.80 (O-CH₃), 85.01 (Cp), 88.49 (Carborane), 92.81 (Carborane), 175.19 (O=C). ESI-MS (70 eV): *m/z* 392.33 ([M]⁺, 60%). IR (KBr): ν (cm⁻¹) 1697(C=O), 2596 (B-H). Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₀H₁₉B₁₀CoO₂S₂: C, 29.85; H, 4.76. Found: C, 29.99; H, 4.95.

Synthesis of 10. *Method 1.* Toluenesulphonyl azide (59.1 mg, 0.3 mmol) was added to the blue solution of **8** (80.6 mg, 0.2 mmol) in toluene (20 mL). The mixture was heated at 90 °C for 6 h. The colour gradually turned from blue to purple. After removal of the solvent, the residue was chromatographically separated on silica, and elution with petroleum ether/DCM gave **10** (67 mg, 57%).

Method 2. Complex **9** was prepared according to our recent work.^{18m} The solution of **9** (105.4 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DCM (20mL) was added with methyl diazoacetate (2.76 M in solution of 1, 2-dichloroethane, 41.4 mg in 0.15 mL). The mixture was stirred for 6 h at ambient temperature. The colour gradually turned from green to purple. After removal of the solvent, the residue was chromatographically separated on silica, and elution with petroleum ether/DCM gave **10** (69 mg, 59%).

10: purple solid. m.p. = 117 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.43 (s, 3H, Ph-CH₃), 3.91 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 4.05 (s, 1H, S-CH), 5.14 (s, 5H, Cp), 7.29 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, Ph), 7.76 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz, Ph), ¹¹B NMR (CDCl₃): δ -12.25 (1B), -10.27 (1B), -9.01 (2B), -7.84 (1B), -6.07 (1B), -2.96 (1B), 0.87 (1B), 2.81 (2B), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 21.48 (Ph-CH₃), 43.27 (S-CH), 53.03 (O-CH₃), 57.38 (Carborane), 85.17 (Cp), 86.06 (Carborane), 127.84 (2×Ph), 129.32 (2×Ph), 137.69 (Ph), 143.01 (Ph), 175.59 (O=C). ESI-MS (70 eV): *m/z* 573 ([M+H]⁺, 60 %), 595 ([M+Na]⁺, 100%). IR (KBr): ν (cm⁻¹) 1707 (C=O), 2579 (B-H). Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₇H₂₆B₁₀CoNO₄S₃: C, 35.72; H, 4.58; N, 2.45. Found: C, 35.88; H, 4.86; N, 2.59.

X-ray Structure Determinations. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by the slow evaporation of a solution in petroleum ether/dichloromethane. Diffraction data were collected on a Bruker SMART Apex II CCD diffractometer by means of graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα (λ = 0.71073 Å) radiation. During collection of the intensity data, no significant decay was observed. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz polarization effects and empirical absorption by using the SADABS program.²⁸ The structures were solved by direct methods with the SHELXS-97 program²⁹ and were refined on *F*² with SHELXTL (version 6.14).³⁰ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and were refined using a riding model. A summary of crystal data, data collection parameters, and structure refinement details is given in Tables 1 and 2. CCDC 1009704–1009710 for the complexes **3–8** and **10** contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2013CB922101), the Natural Science Foundation of China (21271102 and 21301071).

Notes and references

- ^a State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, China. Tel: +86-25-83686724; E-mail: hyan1965@nju.edu.cn
- ^b College of Biological, Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing, Zhejiang 314001, China. Tel: +86-573-83640303
- (a) J. I. van der Vlugt, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **2012**, 363-375; (b) L. Boisvert and K. I. Goldberg, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2012, **45**, 899-910; (c) J. Zhang and X. Zhou, *C. R. Chim.*, 2010, **13**, 633-650; (d) S. Yao and M. Driess, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2011, **45**, 276-287.
- P. S. Hanley and J. F. Hartwig, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 8510-8525.
- (a) Y. Yamamoto, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 1575-1600; (b) V. P. Ananikov, K. A. Gayduk, N. V. Orlov, I. P. Beletskaya, V. N. Khrustalev and M. Y. Antipin, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 2063-2071.
- T. Bai, S. Ma and G. Jia, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 423-448.
- Q. Xiao, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2013, **46**, 236-247.
- (a) B. M. Gardner and S. T. Liddle, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **2013**, 3753-3770; (b) C.-M. Che, V. K.-Y. Lo, C.-Y. Zhou and J.-S. Huang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 1950-1975.
- (a) E. Poverenov, I. Efremenko, A. I. Frenkel, Y. Ben-David, L. J. W. Shimon, G. Leitus, L. Konstantinovski, J. M. L. Martin and D. Milstein, *Nature*, 2008, **455**, 1093-1096; (b) S. P. Sarish, D. Schaffner, Y. Sun and W. R. Thiel, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 9672-9674; (c) M. Tiberti, E. Papaleo, N. Russo, L. De Gioia and G. Zampella, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 8331-8339; (d) G. Espino, A. Caballero, B. R. Manzano, L. Santos, M. Pérez-Manrique, M. Moreno and F. A. Jalón, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 3087-3100; (e) S.-M. Yiu, W.-L. Man and T.-C. Lau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10821-10827.
- (a) N. R. Candeias and C. A. M. Afonso, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **13**, 763-787; (b) C. Wentrup, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2011, **44**, 393-404.
- (a) H. Tanimoto and K. Kakiuchi, *Nat. Prod. Commun.*, 2013, **8**, 1021-1034; (b) N. V. Sokolova and V. G. Nenajdenko, *Rsc Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 16212-16242; (c) L. Y. Liang and D. Astruc, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 2933-2945; (d) S. Cenini, E. Gallo, A. Caselli, F. Ragaini, S. Fantauzzi and C. Piangiolino, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 1234-1253; (e) S. Cenini and G. La Monica, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1976, **18**, 279-293.
- (a) M. M. Díaz-Requejo and P. J. Pérez, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 3379-3394; (b) H. M. L. Davies and R. E. J. Beckwith, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2861-2904; (c) H. M. L. Davies, X. Dai and M. S. Long, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 2485-2490; (d) Z. Zhang and J. Wang, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 6577-6605.
- (a) F. Brackmann and A. de Meijere, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 4493-4537; (b) M. Lautens, W. Klute and W. Tam, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 49-92; (c) H.-U. Reissig and R. Zimmer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 1151-1196.
- (a) Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 941-953; (b) X. Zhang, Z. Qu, Z. Ma, W. Shi, X. Jin and J. Wang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 5621-5625; (c) M. Ma, L. Peng, C. Li, X. Zhang and J. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 15016-15017.
- J. E. Hein and V. V. Fokin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 1302-1315.
- (a) M. G. Fickes, W. M. Davis and C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 6384-6385; (b) G. Proulx and R. G. Bergman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 6382-6383; (c) M. Barz, E. Herdtweck and W. R. Thiel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 2262-2265; (d) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, D. Baldan, J. Castro and S. Garcia-Fontan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 742-748; (e) Y. Yu, A. R. Sadique, J. M. Smith, T. R. Dugan, R. E. Cowley, W. W. Brennessel, C. J. Flaschenriem, E. Bill, T. R. Cundari and P. L. Holland, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 6624-6638; (f) W. J. Evans, C. A. Traina and J. W. Ziller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 17473-17481; (g) J. J. Curley, R. G. Bergman and T. D. Tilley, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 192-200.
- (a) G. Guillemot, E. Solari, C. Floriani and C. Rizzoli, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 607-615; (b) S. D. Brown, T. A. Betley and J. C. Peters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 322-323.
- (a) R. I. Michelman, R. G. Bergman and R. A. Andersen, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 2741-2751; (b) K. E. Meyer, P. J. Walsh and R. G. Bergman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 974-985; (c) A. F.

- Heyduk, K. J. Blackmore, N. A. Ketterer and J. W. Ziller, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 468-470; (d) G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, A. Bacchi, A. Celebrin, G. Pelizzi and G. Zanardo, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 661-668.
- 17 (a) G. L. Hillhouse and J. E. Bercaw, *Organometallics*, 1982, **1**, 1025-1029; (b) G. L. Hillhouse and B. L. Haymore, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 1876-1885.
- 18 (a) M. Herberhold, H. Yan, W. Milius and B. Wrackmeyer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 3689-3691; (b) M. Herberhold, H. Yan, W. Milius and B. Wrackmeyer, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 3026-3032; (c) M. Herberhold, H. Yan, W. Milius and B. Wrackmeyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2000, **626**, 1627-1633; (d) M. Herberhold, H. Yan, W. Milius and B. Wrackmeyer, *J. Chem. Soc.-Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 1782-1789; (e) B. Wrackmeyer, H. Yan, W. Milius and M. Herberhold, *Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 2001, **50**, 1518-1524; (f) B. H. Xu, D. H. Wu, Y. Z. Li and H. Yan, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 4344-4349; (g) M. Herberhold, H. Yan, W. Milius and B. Wrackmeyer, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 388-395; (h) B. H. Xu, X. Q. Peng, Y. Z. Li and H. Yan, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 9347-9356; (i) B. H. Xu, J. C. Tao, Y. Z. Li, S. H. Li and H. Yan, *Organometallics*, 2008, **27**, 334-340; (j) Y. G. Li, Q. B. Jiang, X. L. Zhang, Y. Z. Li, H. Yan and V. I. Bregadze, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 3911-3917; (k) G. F. Liu, J. R. Hu, J. L. Wen, H. M. Dai, Y. Z. Li and H. Yan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 4187-4194; (l) H.-D. Ye, G.-Y. Ding, M.-S. Xie, Y.-Z. Li and H. Yan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 2306-2313; (m) W. Zhong, Q. Yang, Y. Shang, G. Liu, H. Zhao, Y. Li and H. Yan, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 6658-6668; (n) J. R. Hu, X. Tang, G. F. Liu, Q. B. Jiang, D. D. Duanmu, Y. Z. Li and H. Yan, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2012, **721**, 36-41; (o) R. Zhang, L. Zhu, G. F. Liu, H. M. Dai, Z. Z. Lu, J. B. Zhao and H. Yan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 10341-10344; (p) R. Zhang, L. Zhu, Z. Z. Lu, H. Yan and V. I. Bregadze, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 12054-12063; (q) Z. J. Wang, H. D. Ye, Y. G. Li, Y. Z. Li and H. Yan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11289-11298; (r) H.-D. Ye, G.-Y. Ding, M.-S. Xie, Y.-Z. Li and H. Yan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 2306-2313; (s) W. Zhong, H. Yan and V. Bregadze, *Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 2014, **63**, 953-962.
- 19 (a) D. J. Crowther, N. C. Baenziger and R. F. Jordan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 1455-1457; (b) V. I. Bregadze, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 209-223; (c) D. J. Crowther, D. C. Swenson and R. F. Jordan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 10403-10404; (d) F. Teixidor, R. Benakki, C. Viñas, R. Kivekäs and R. Sillanpää, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 4630-4633; (e) M. G. Davidson, M. A. Fox, T. G. Hibbert, J. A. K. Howard, A. Mackinnon, I. S. Neretin and K. Wade, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 1649-1650; (f) J.-Q. Wang, M. Herberhold and G.-X. Jin, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 3508-3514.
- 20 M. Benítez, E. Mas-Marzá, J. A. Mata and E. Peris, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 10453-10461.
- 21 (a) M. Nomura, T. Yagisawa, C. Takayama, T. Sugiyama, Y. Yokoyama, K. Shimizu, A. Sugimori and M. Kajitani, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2000, **611**, 376-385; (b) M. Nomura, C. Takayama, T. Sugiyama, Y. Yokoyama and M. Kajitani, *Organometallics*, 2004, **23**, 1305-1312.
- 22 M. Nomura, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 2112-2140.
- 23 H. Dou, W. Zhong, L. Yang, T. Wang, H. Yan and Y. Hou, *Biorg. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **20**, 4693-4700.
- 24 M. Sakurada, M. Kajitani, K. Dohki, T. Akiyama and A. Sugimori, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1992, **423**, 141-161.
- 25 D. H. Kim, J. J. Ko, K. Park, S. G. Cho and S. O. Kang, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 2738-2740.
- 26 S. G. Alvarez and M. T. Alvarez, *Synthesis-Stuttgart*, 1997, 413-414.
- 27 L. McElwee-White and D. A. Dougherty, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 3466-3474.
- 28 SADABS, *A Program for Empirical Absorption Correction*, G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen (Germany), **1998**.
- 29 SHELXL-97, *Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*, G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen (Germany), **1997**.
- 30 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **64**, 112-122.

Table 1 Crystallographic data for complexes 3–6

Compound	3	4	5	6
chemical formula	C ₂₅ H ₄₀ B ₁₀ CoNO ₆ S ₃	C ₂₅ H ₄₀ B ₁₀ CoN ₃ O ₆ S ₃	C ₁₈ H ₃₄ B ₉ CoO ₄ S ₂	C ₁₄ H ₂₇ B ₁₀ CoO ₂ S ₂ ·H ₂ O
formula weight	713.79	741.81	534.79	476.52
crystal size (mm)	0.15×0.13×0.12	0.18×0.14×0.11	0.25×0.22×0.18	0.20×0.16×0.12
temperature (K)	296(2)	296(2)	296(2)	296(2)
radiation	Mo-Kα(0.71073 Å)	Mo-Kα(0.71073 Å)	Mo-Kα(0.71073 Å)	Mo-Kα(0.71073 Å)
crystal system	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic	Triclinic	Triclinic
space group	P2(1)/n	Pbca	P-1	P-1
a(Å)	14.9811(14)	15.4506(10)	9.4239(11)	7.504(4)
b(Å)	12.0288(11)	13.6578(9)	9.8236(11)	10.477(5)
c(Å)	19.4267(18)	34.644(2)	15.0407(17)	16.018(8)
α(°)	90.00	90.00	82.461(2)	103.281(8)
β(°)	97.9710(10)	90.00	89.994(2)	96.540(8)
γ(°)	90.00	90.00	73.199(2)	107.695(8)
V(Å ³)	3467.0(6)	7310.7(8)	1320.4(3)	1144.6(10)
Z	4	8	2	2
ρ(calcd) (g/cm ³)	1.368	1.348	1.345	1.383
F(000)	1480	3072	556	492
absorp. coeff. (mm ⁻¹)	0.715	0.683	0.832	0.947
θ range (deg)	1.61 to 25.50	1.18 to 26.00	2.19 to 26.00	2.12 to 25.00
reflns collected	19970 (R _{int} = 0.0502)	41674 (R _{int} = 0.1417)	10038 (R _{int} = 0.0212)	6910 (R _{int} = 0.0528)
indep. reflns	6407	7187	5163	3839
Refns obs. [I > 2σ(I)]	4341	3015	4429	2496
data/restr/paras	6407/1/423	7187/86/449	5163/0/357	3839/0/276
GOF	1.012	0.986	1.036	0.996
R ₁ /wR ₂ [I > 2σ(I)]	0.0473/0.1117	0.0489/0.0736	0.0436/0.1328	0.0658/0.1515
R ₁ /wR ₂ (all data)	0.0770/0.1216	0.1490/0.0820	0.0506/0.1385	0.1132/0.1654
larg peak and hole(e ⁻ Å ⁻³)	0.382/-0.381	0.450/-0.371	0.810/-0.443	0.992/-0.553

Table 2 Crystallographic data for complexes **7**, **8** and **10**

Compound	7	8	10
chemical formula	C ₁₄ H ₂₇ B ₉ CoO ₂ S ₂	C ₁₀ H ₁₉ B ₁₀ CoO ₂ S ₂	C ₁₇ H ₂₆ B ₁₀ CoNO ₄ S ₃
formula weight	447.70	402.40	571.60
crystal size (mm)	0.28×0.24×0.22	0.30×0.23×0.18	0.27×0.24×0.20
temperature (K)	291(2)	296(2)	296(2)
radiation	Mo-Kα(0.71073 Å)	Mo-Kα(0.71073 Å)	Mo-Kα(0.71073 Å)
crystal system	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
space group	P2(1)2(1)2(1)	P2(1)/c	P-1
<i>a</i> (Å)	10.3728(8)	6.5496(8)	7.6763(6)
<i>b</i> (Å)	13.1268(10)	12.0999(15)	10.9331(8)
<i>c</i> (Å)	16.7553(11)	22.472(3)	16.1145(12)
<i>α</i> (°)	90.00	90.00	94.3150(10)
<i>β</i> (°)	90.00	94.648(2)	92.6730(10)
<i>γ</i> (°)	90.00	90.00	95.6580(10)
<i>V</i> (Å ³)	2281.4(3)	1775.1(4)	1340.03(17)
<i>Z</i>	4	4	2
<i>ρ</i> (calc) (g/cm ³)	1.303	1.506	1.417
<i>F</i> (000)	924	816	584
absorp. coeff. (mm ⁻¹)	0.943	1.202	0.900
<i>θ</i> range (deg)	1.97 to 26.00	1.82 to 26.00	1.88 to 26.00
reflns collected	10510 (<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0492)	11610 (<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0863)	7376 (<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0398)
indep. reflns	4482	3461	5174
Refns obs. [<i>I</i> > 2σ(<i>I</i>)]	3394	2561	4087
data/restr/paras	4482/0/258	3461/0/230	5174/0/367
GOF	1.085	0.945	1.038
<i>R</i> ₁ / <i>wR</i> ₂ [<i>I</i> > 2σ(<i>I</i>)]	0.0564/0.1121	0.0511/0.1063	0.0355/0.0808
<i>R</i> ₁ / <i>wR</i> ₂ (all data)	0.0686/0.1153	0.0657/0.1109	0.0447/0.0837
larg peak and hole(e/Å ³)	0.624/−0.381	0.832/−0.580	0.285/−0.234

Published on 31 October 2014. Downloaded by University of Chicago on 01/11/2014 05:17:44.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript