Synthesis and Characterization of a Hypoxia-Sensitive MRI Probe

Federico A. Rojas-Quijano,^[a] Gyula Tircsó,^{*[b]} Enikő Tircsóné Benyó,^[b] Zsolt Baranyai,^[b] Huan Tran Hoang,^[b] Ferenc K. Kálmán,^[b] Praveen K. Gulaka,^[c] Vikram D. Kodibagkar,^[c] Silvio Aime,^[d] Zoltán Kovács,^[a] and A. Dean Sherry*^[a, e]

Abstract: Tissue hypoxia occurs in pathologic conditions, such as cancer, ischemic heart disease and stroke when oxygen demand is greater than oxygen supply. An imaging method that can differentiate hypoxic versus normoxic tissue could have an immediate impact on therapy choices. In this work, the gadolinium(III) complex of 1,4,7,10tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) with a 2-nitroimidazole attached to one carboxyl group via an amide linkage was prepared, characterized and tested as a hypoxiasensitive MRI agent. A control com-Gd(DO3A-monobutylamide), plex. was also prepared in order to test whether the nitroimidazole side-chain alters either the water proton T_1 relaxivity or the thermodynamic stability of the complex. The stabilities of these complexes were lower than that of Gd-(DOTA)⁻ as expected for mono-amide derivatives. The water proton T_1 relaxivity (r_1) , bound water residence lifetime $(\tau_{\rm M})$ and rotational correlation time $(\tau_{\rm R})$ of both complexes was determined by relaxivity measurements, variable temperature ¹⁷O NMR spectroscopy and proton nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) studies. resulting parameters The $(r_1 =$ 6.38 mm⁻¹s⁻¹ at 20 MHz, $\tau_{\rm M} = 0.71 \,\mu s$,

Keywords: imaging agents • imaging of hypoxic tissue • ligand design • relaxation properties · stability

 $\tau_{\rm R} = 141 \text{ ps}$) determined for the nitroimidazole derivative closely parallel to those of other Gd(DO3A-monoamide) complexes of similar molecular size. In vitro MR imaging experiments with 9L rat glioma cells maintained under nitrogen (hypoxic) versus oxygen (normoxic) gas showed that both agents enter cells but only the nitroimidazole derivative was trapped in cells maintained under N2 as evidenced by an approximately twofold decrease in T_1 measured for hypoxic cells versus normoxic cells exposed to this agent. These results suggest that the nitroimidazole derivative might serve as a molecular reporter for discriminating hypoxic versus normoxic tissues by MRI.

- [a] Dr. F. A. Rojas-Quijano, Prof. Z. Kovács, Prof. A. D. Sherry Advanced Imaging Research Center University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75390 (USA) Fax: (+1)214-645-2744 E-mail: dean.sherry@utsouthwestern.edu [b] Dr. G. Tircsó, E. Tircsóné Benyó, Dr. Z. Baranyai, H. Tran Hoang,
- Dr. F. K. Kálmán Department of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry University of Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1 Debrecen, H-4010 (Hungary) Fax: (+36) 52-518-660 E-mail: gyula.tircso@science.unideb.hu
- [c] Dr. P. K. Gulaka, Prof. V. D. Kodibagkar Department of Radiology University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75390 (USA)
- [d] Prof. S. Aime Department of Chemistry IFM & Molecular Imaging Center Università degli Studi di Torino Via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino (Italy)
- [e] Prof. A. D. Sherry Chemistry Department, University of Texas at Dallas 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75390 (USA) Fax: (+1)972-883-2925 E-mail: sherry@utdallas.edu
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201200266.

Introduction

Tissue hypoxia usually reflects a locally restricted oxygen supply (ischemia) and/or an abnormal increase in oxygen consumption. In clinical practice, hypoxia is related to a variety of pathological conditions including cancer, ischemic heart disease and stroke. Locally advanced solid tumors often have hypoxic or anoxic regions distributed heterogeneously throughout the tumor as a result of a structurally or functionally abnormal vasculature. Tumor hypoxia is commonly associated with a poor prognosis after radiation and chemotherapy, and hypoxia can influence many aspects of tumor proliferation, such as growth, apoptosis, angiogenesis and metastasis.^[1,2]

In 1955, 2-nitroimidazole was shown to be active against certain anaerobic bacterial infections.^[3] Later it was discovered that 2-nitroimidazole analogues could be used to sensitize hypoxic tumors to ionizing radiation.^[4,5] Further research on the mechanism of action of this compound revealed that it was capable of accumulating in hypoxic tissue due to selective enzyme-mediated reduction of the nitro group under low oxygen conditions.^[6] The diverse bioactivity of nitroimidazoles and related nitroaromatic compounds has the same underlying mechanism; the activities of these compounds depend on the redox potential of the nitro group.^[7]

Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 00, 0-0

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

🕅 WILEY 师

These are not the final page numbers!

The first step of this intracellular process involves the oneelectron reduction of the nitro group to a radical anion, which undergoes further reduction in hypoxic cells but is rapidly oxidized back to the nitro compound under normoxic conditions. The reduction potential of the nitro group in nitroimidazoles is sensitive to its position in the imidazole ring and this correlates with hypoxia sensitivity.^[8] Given the prospect of nitroimidazole as a platform for hypoxia-homing probes, several radiolabeled nitroimidazole derivatives and related compounds have been tested as imaging probes of hypoxic tissue, in vivo.^[9] Most prominent among theses have been the ¹⁸F labeled fluoromisonidazole (¹⁸F-FMISO)^[10] for PET imaging and the 99mTc labeled propylene amineoxime (BMS181321)^[11] for SPECT imaging. Cyclen (1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane)-based nitroimidazole derivatives tagged with ⁶⁷Ga^{III}, ¹⁵³Gd^{III} or ¹⁷⁷Lu^{III} have shown only moderate tumor localization.^[12-14] Although several multifluorinated derivatives of 2-nitroimidazole have been synthesized and used to assess tumor hypoxia by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy, Gd^{III}-based nitroimidazole derivatives for ¹H MRI have not been reported.^[15-17] Although MRI is considerably less sensitive than nuclear medicine for molecular imaging, it does offer the advantage of wide clinical availability, lack of radiation and high resolution anatomical images. For these reasons, we initiated a program to develop gadolinium-based 2nitroimidazole derivatives and to evaluate the potential of such agents for detecting hypoxic cells by MRI.

Results and Discussion

Ligand design and synthesis: DOTA (1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid) was selected as the chelator for the conjugation to 2-nitroimidazole because Gd^{III} complexes with DOTA-like ligands have high thermodynamic stability, are kinetically inert and have favorable relaxation properties.^[18,19] The 2-nitroimidazole vector was selected based on its one-electron reduction potential, known to fall in the range of -330 to -450 mV, which allows for its entrapment and exclusive reduction under hypoxic conditions as it has been previously reported in the literature by many scientists.^[7] Since conjugation of DOTA to a targeting vector via a single carboxyl group is relatively straightforward,^[20] a 2-nitroimidazole moiety with an extended sidechain containing a primary amino group was chosen for conjugation (Scheme 1). This design affords a neutral Gd^{III} complex, which would hopefully help facilitate cellular uptake. It was anticipated that the relatively long linkage between Gd(DO3A-monoamide) unit and the nitroimidazole moiety in 3 would minimize any unwanted interference between the Gd^{III} coordination sphere and the nitroimidazole unit and, at the same time, increase complex lipophilicity. In addition, the linker does not contain groups that can engage in prototropic equilibria at physiological pH and should therefore not affect the redox potential of the nitroimidazole unit.^[8,21] The synthesis of the target ligand is outlined in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1. Synthetic route to ligand 3 (overall yield 28%).

The protected DO3A-monoamide derivative **1** was obtained by alkylating DO3A-tris(*tert*-butyl ester) with methyl chloroacetate and treating the resulting methyl ester with excess diaminobutane (putrescine) following a slight modification of a published procedure (see the Supporting Information).^[22] Nitroimidazoyl hexanoic acid (**2**) was synthesized by alkylation of 2-nitroimidazole with ethyl bromohexanoate followed by the cleavage of the ethyl group in concentrated HCl, as previously reported.^[23] The imidazoyl acid **2** and macrocyclic amine **1** were then coupled by using standard HBTU/HOBt chemistry.^[24,25] The *tert*-butyl protecting groups of the intermediate ester were cleaved by using trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and the crude product was purified by HPLC to give pure **3** (28% yield). Gd(**3**) was obtained in quantitative yield by treatment of **3** with GdCl₃ at pH 6.

Ligand protonation and complex stability constants: The coordination environment (four macrocyclic N-donor atoms, three carboxylate O-donor atoms and one amide O-donor atom) provided by ligand 3 is typical of a DOTA- and DO3A-bioconjugate in which the chelator is attached to the biomolecule through one of the acetate pendant arms of the ligand.^[20] Despite the widespread use of these ligands to chelate Gd³⁺ for MRI and various radioactive lanthanide ions for radiopharmaceutical applications, only a limited number of studies have appeared on the thermodynamic stability, formation and dissociation kinetics of DO3A-monoamides.^[26,27] Here, we report the thermodynamic stabilities of the Ce(3), Gd(3) and Yb(3) and the results of ${}^{1}H$ and ¹⁷O NMR relaxometric studies of Gd(3). The results are also compared to corresponding data for Ce(6), Gd(6) and Yb(6).

Generally, pH potentiometry is considered the gold standard technique for determining the protonation constants of polyaminopolycarboxylate ligands and thermodynamic stability constants of complexes formed with various metal ions. The protonation constants obtained from fitting the pH-potentiometric data for ligands **3** and **6** are presented in Table 1 along with the literature data for DOTA (**4**) and

Table 1. Protonation constants $^{[a]}$ of 3 and some ligands of similar structure, 1 ${\tt M}$ KCl, 25 °C.

log K	3 ^[b]	4	5 ^[e]	6 ^[b]	7 ^[h]
$\log K_1^{\mathrm{H}}$	9.78(2)	12.09; ^[c] 12.6 ^[d]	9.6	10.17(1), 12.22, ^[f] 10.73 ^[g]	9.56
$\log K_2^{\mathrm{H}}$	9.05(3)	9.67; ^[c] 9.70 ^[d]	9.2	$9.02(1), 8.90,^{[f]} 9.05^{[g]}$	5.95
$\log K_3^{\rm H}$	4.53(4)	4.55; ^[c] 4.50 ^[d]	4.4	4.41(1), 4.34, ^[f] 4.53 ^[g]	-
$\log K_4^{H}$	3.17(5)	4.09; ^[c] 4.14 ^[d]	1.7	2.94(1), 2.49, ^[f] 3.32 ^[g]	-
$\log K_5^{H}$	2.19(6)	2.32 ^[d]	-	$1.99(1), 1.47,^{[f]} 2.25^{[g]}$	-
$\log \beta_4$	26.53	30.40; ^[c] 30.94 ^[d]	24.9	26.54, 27.94, ^[f] 27.63 ^[g]	15.51 ^[i]

[a] Standard deviations shown in parentheses; [b] this work, in KCl (1.0 m) and at 25 °C; [c] in KCl (0.1 m);^[32] [d] in Me₄NCl (1.0 m);^[33] [e] in NaCl (0.1 m);^[26] [f] in Me₄NCl (0.1 m);^[30] [g] the ionic strength was not controlled (25 °C);^[34] [h] in KCl (0.1 m);^[35] [i] the value refers to log β_2 , since this ligand has only two protonation constants.

two amide derivatives, DO3A-monopropylamide (5) and DOTA-tetramethylamide (7). The trends found for the protonation constants of these ligands are similar, except for DOTA-tetramethylamide (7; Scheme 2). For DOTA and



Scheme 2. The structures of DOTA (4), a DOTA-tetramethylamide (7) and some DO3A-monoamide derivatives (5, 6 and 8) discussed in this work.

DO3A-monoamide derivatives (3 and 6), the first two protonation constants, which have been traced to protonation at ring nitrogen atoms positioned trans to one another, are relatively high, whereas the remaining $\log K_i^{\rm H}$ values (reflecting protonation of the carboxylates) are much lower. For the monoamide ligands studied here (3 and 6) five protonation steps were observed in the pH range of 2-12. The total basicity of these ligands (expressed as the sum of the protonation constants, $\log \beta_4$) is lower than that of DOTA, reflecting the lower basicity of the macrocyclic N atoms.^[28,29] The protonation constants of 6 as determined previously by pH-potentiometry in 0.1 M Me₄NCl (log $\beta_4 = 27.94$) were found to be somewhat higher than the values measured here in 1.0 M KCl (log $\beta_4 = 26.54$).^[30] This can be attributed to the formation of a weak potassium complex in the presence of $1.0 \,\mathrm{M} \,\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ions (a similar decrease in basicity was observed for DOTA when the protonation constants were measured in the presence of KCl versus Me₄NCl).^[31] Interestingly, the first protonation constant of **3** is slightly lower $(0.4 \log K)$ units) than that of 6, presumably reflecting the elongated side arm on the amide. A similar decrease in basicity was also observed for some alkyl-EDTA derivatives for which

FULL PAPER

an increase in the length of the alkyl substituent had a noticeable effect on the first protonation constant (Table S1 in the Supporting Information).

The formation equilibria between these macrocyclic ligands and the Ln^{III} ions cannot be studied by direct pH potentiometric titration because of slow formation kinetics. Hence, the stability constants were determined by the use of the "out-of-cell" technique with separate samples for each titration point (total of 16 points). The stability constants of some Ln(3) and Ln(6) complexes, assuming formation of only 1:1 complexes, are listed in Table 2. The standard deviations associated with these constants significantly decreased when the formation of

monoprotonated species (LnLH) was also taken into consideration in the calculations. This suggests that protonation of the complexes also occurs under these conditions. The Ce(6) system was an exception, as the inclusion of the protonated species in the equilibrium model for this complex resulted in a significant increase in the standard deviation of fitted parameters.

Table 2. Thermodynamic stability constants and protonation constants of lanthanide complexes of ligands 3-7, 25 °C.

	-					
Ln ^{III}	Eq.	3 ^[a]	4 ^[c]	5 ^[f]	6 ^[a]	7 ^[h]
Ce ^{III}	$\log K_{\text{Ln-L}}$	19.2(0.1)	23.39, 22.86 ^[d]	-	19.26(4)	12.68
	$\log K_{\text{LnL}\cdot\text{H}}^{[b]}$	_	2.5 ^[d]	-	2.65(5)	-
Gd ^{III}	$\log K_{\text{Ln-L}}$	20.93(9)	24.67, 25.3 ^[e]	20.1	21.29(1)	13.54
	$\log K_{\text{LnL-H}}$	2.93(4)	2.3	-	2.57(1)	-
т.,Ш	$\log K_{\text{Ln-L}}$	21.51(9)	25.41, 25.0 ^[e]	-	21.83(3) ^[g]	13.91
Lu	$\log K_{\text{LnL-H}}$	2.44(9)	-	-	2.33(4)	-

[a] This work in KCl (1.0 M); [b] $\log K_{\text{LnL·H}} = [\text{LnHL}]/[\text{LnL}][\text{H}^+]$; [c] refs. [3637]; [d] data correspond to the La^{III} complex; [e] refs. [3637]; [f] in NaCl (0.1 M);^[26] [g] data correspond to the Yb^{III} complex; [h] in KCl (1.0 M).^[35]

As expected, the stabilities of all Ln^{III} complexes increase with decreasing lanthanide ion size, following the Ce^{III} < Gd^{III} < Lu^{III} order (Table 2).^[37] The stabilities of all Ln(3) complexes were similar to the corresponding Ln(6) complexes, as expected for similar DO3A-monoamide structures. This indicates that incorporation of the elongated nitroimidazole-containing pendant arm does little to alter complex stability. However, these complexes are about four orders of magnitude less stable than the corresponding Ln-(DOTA)⁻ complexes due to the substitution of a carboxylate in DOTA for the weaker amide donor atom in 3 and 6. This is also reflected in the total basicity of **3** and **6** compared to DOTA. Further substitution of acetate arms for amides resulted in even lower stabilities as illustrated by the stability of the Ln^{III} complexes of 7. It is worth noting that the stability constant values measured in the presence of KCl represent a lower limit since these calculations assume no significant interaction between the ligands and K^I ions, which is likely to be an invalid assumption. These results indicate that DO3A-monobutylamide (6) serves as a good model for the nitroimidazole ligand, 3, and other similar DOTA- or DO3A-bioconjugate systems.

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.chemeuri.org

Relaxometric studies: MRI contrast agents are typically characterized by a T_1 proton relaxivity (r_{1p}) value. The relaxivity (r_{1p}) of low molecular weight GdL that has rapid water exchange kinetics is dominated by the inner-sphere contribution (r_{1p}^{is}) . The Solomon-Bloembergen-Morgan (SBM) theory of relaxivity predicts that r_{1p}^{is} is dependent on several parameters including the number of inner-sphere water molecules (q), the longitudinal relaxation time of the protons of the water molecule(s) in the inner coordination sphere (T_{1M}^{H}) , the residence time of the inner-sphere water molecule(s) ($\tau_{\rm M}$) and the tumbling rate of the paramagnetic complex in solution ($\tau_{\rm R}$, rotational correlation time).^[38] At clinically relevant fields (1.5-3 T), the relaxivity is largely determined by $\tau_{\rm M}$ and $\tau_{\rm R}$. These constants can be obtained by fitting of variable temperature ¹⁷O NMR ($\tau_{\rm M}$) and ¹H nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) data (τ_R).^[38-40] At 20 MHz, 25 °C and pH 7, the relaxivity (r_{1p}) of Gd(3) and Gd(6) were 6.38(± 0.04) and 5.05(± 0.02) mm⁻¹s⁻¹, respectively. The r_{1p} value of Gd(3) is about 30% higher than that of Gd(6), reflecting its higher molecular weight (larger size) and consequently longer rotational correlation time ($\tau_{\rm R}$). The relaxivity of Gd(6) is nearly the same as that of Gd(8) $(r_{1p} = 5.0 \text{ mm}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}, 25 \text{ °C}, 20 \text{ MHz})$, a Gd-complex of similar molecular weight and size.^[41]

Temperature dependence of relaxivity: The relaxivities of Gd(3) and Gd(6) were also measured at 37 °C (20 MHz) and found to be only slightly lower (5.25(\pm 0.05) and 4.12-(\pm 0.02) mm⁻¹s⁻¹, respectively) than the values measured at 25 °C. The relaxivity of Gd(DOTA)⁻ has a similar temperature dependence at this field, decreasing from 4.7 to

 $3.6 \text{ mm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ as the temperature increased from 25 to 37°C.^[41,42] This behavior is expected for fast inner-sphere water exchange systems. It has been shown that the relaxivity of low-molecular-weight Gdpolyaminopolycarboxylate complexes the bound water lifetimes of which fall into the fast exchange regime $(T_{1M} \gg \tau_M)$ decreases exponentially with increasing temperature due to a decrease of the contribution of both r_{1p}^{is} (the residence time of the bound water molecule decreases) and r_{1p}^{os} (the diffusion rate of the water molecules increases on the surface of the complex). This has been observed for the Gd^{III} complexes of DOTA-like ligands that have two inner-sphere water molecules (q=2; DO3A, PCTA)and consequently fast water exchange rates.^[27,41,42] However, as the bound water lifetime approaches the slow exchange condition $(T_{1M} \approx \tau_M)$, the relaxivity becomes exchange limited and its temperature dependence is less pronounced.^[43,44]

Variable temperature ¹⁷O NMR spectroscopy: The water exchange dynamics in Gd(3) and Gd(6) were studied by variable temperature ¹⁷O NMR measurements. The temperature dependent profiles of the water ¹⁷O NMR transverse relaxation rates for Gd(3) and Gd(6) (Figure 1) are similar to those reported previously for Gd^{III} complexes of other small molecular weight complexes, such as Gd(7).^[41] The maximum in the R_{2p} versus temperature curves for Gd(3) and Gd(6) were observed at about the same temperature as that reported previously for Gd(8) whereas the maximum observed for Gd(DOTA)⁻ is shifted to lower temperatures, reflecting faster water exchange.^[41,42] The residence time $(\tau_{\rm M})$ of the inner-sphere water molecule was determined by fitting these data to Swift-Connick theory.[45,44] The value found here for Gd(3) (0.71 µs; Table 3) is about 50% of that found for Gd(8) (1.3 µs) and about four-times longer than that found for Gd(DOTA)⁻ ($\tau_{\rm M} = 0.23 \,\mu s$ at 298 K).^[38,41] These values are consistent with the empirical observation that substitution of one carboxylate for an amide results in an approximately three- to fourfold decrease in the metal bound water exchange rate in Ln complexes of polyaminopolycarboxylate-type ligands.^[46] The relatively long water residence times suggest that the water exchange in these complexes likely occur through a dissociative mechanism.^[46]

Magnetic field dependence: The magnetic field dependence of the proton relaxation rates (NMRD profiles) was also



Figure 1. Top row: temperature dependence of the transverse water ^{17}O NMR relaxation rates at 14.1 T and pH 7 for 21 and 19 mm solutions of Gd(3) (left) and Gd(6) (right), respectively. Bottom row: NMRD profiles of the 1 mm solutions of Gd(3) (left) and Gd(6) (right) at pH 7. The solid and dashed curves in the lower part represent the inner- and outer-sphere contributions to the overall relaxivity, respectively.

www.chemeurj.org © 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

F These are not the final page numbers!

FULL PAPER

Table 3. Relaxation parameters calculated from the simultaneous fitting of the temperature dependence of the water ¹⁷O transverse relaxation rate and the NMRD profiles of Gd(3) and Gd(6).

	Gd(3)	Gd(6)	Gd(8) ^[a]
$r_{1p}^{298} [mm^{-1}s^{-1}]$	6.38 ± 0.04	5.05 ± 0.02	5.0
<i>q</i>	1	1	1
$\Delta^2 [s^{-2} \times 10^{19}]$	$1.9 \pm 0.1^{[b]}$	$1.0 \pm 0.1^{[b]}$	1.4
$\tau_{\rm v}^{298}$ [ps]	$58 \pm 7^{[b]}$	$34\pm4^{[b]}$	40
$\tau_{\rm R}^{298}$ [ps]	$141\pm3^{[b]}$	$86 \pm 2^{[b]}$	70
$\tau_{\rm M}^{298}$ [µs]	$0.71 \pm 0.09^{[c]}$	$0.66 \pm 0.05^{[c]}$	1.3
$\Delta H_{\rm M}$ [kJ mol ⁻¹]	$28 \pm 4^{[c]}$	$40 \pm 3^{[c]}$	19
$\Delta H_{\rm V} [\rm kJ mol^{-1}]$	$25\pm10^{[c]}$	$28\pm10^{\rm [c]}$	40

[a] Ref. [41]; [b] best-fit parameters obtained from the analysis of the NMRD profile by using the standard value for the average distance between the metal and protons of the inner-sphere water molecule (a = 3.8 Å), and $2.24 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ for the self-diffusion coefficient of water (D);^[43,50] [c] best-fit parameters obtained from the analysis of the temperature dependence of ¹⁷O NMR transverse relaxation rate in 21 and 19 mM solutions of Gd(**3**) and Gd(**6**), respectively, assuming a Gd^{3+_17}O scalar coupling constant of $-3.8 \times 10^6 \text{ rad s}^{-1}$ and a Gd^{III_17}O distance of 2.5 Å.^[44,51]

measured for Gd(**3**) and Gd(**6**) at 25 °C and pH 7 (Figure 1). Among other relaxation parameters, the rotational correlation time ($\tau_{\rm R}$) can be determined from the magnetic field dependence of the longitudinal relaxation rate of the complex.

In a typical NMRD experiment, a field-cycling relaxometer is used to measure the longitudinal relaxation rates of the solvent protons in the presence of the paramagnetic complex over a continuum of magnetic fields that range from 0.01 MHz up to approximately 70 MHz. Normally, τ_{M} is independently determined by variable temperature ¹⁷O NMR spectroscopy, whereas the inner-sphere relaxivity parameters are obtained by fitting the NMRD profiles to the Solomon-Bloembergen-Morgan equations and the outer-sphere parameters are determined by fitting the data to Freed's equations.^[47,48] The solid curves through the experimental data points (Figure 1) represent the best fit calculated values obtained by use of the relaxation parameters summarized in Table 3. The NMRD profile of both complexes show a single dispersion centered near 4 MHz with two plateaus in the low and high magnetic field regions. (The rotational correlation times of the complexes Gd(6)) $(\tau_{\rm R} = 86 \text{ ps})$ and Gd(8) $(\tau_{\rm R} = 70 \text{ ps})$ are similar, whereas the τ_R value of the complex Gd(3) (141 ps) is about twice as high as that of Gd(8).)^[41] Obviously, the long pendant arm in Gd(3) slows molecular rotation in this complex resulting in a higher relaxivity. The inner- and outer-sphere contribution to the r_{1p} relaxivity of Gd(3) and Gd(6) could also be estimated from the fitting of the NMRD profile (Figure 1). At 25 °C and 20 MHz, the inner-sphere relaxivity (r_{1p}^{is}) of Gd(3) (4.0 mm⁻¹s⁻¹) is almost twice as high as that of Gd(6) $(2.4 \text{ mm}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})$ due to its slower tumbling rate. On the other hand, as expected, the contribution of the outer-sphere water molecules to the overall relaxivity (r_{1p}^{os}) is comparable $(2.5 \text{ mm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$ for both complexes. This value is also in good agreement with the r_{1p} value of Gd(7) (r_{1p} = $2.5 \ \text{mm}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}, \ 25 \ \text{^{\circ}C}, \ 20 \ \text{MHz}),$ a complex the water proton longitudinal relaxation rate of which is dominated by the outer-sphere relaxation due to the very slow exchange between the inner-sphere water molecule and the bulk water.^[49]

MRI of cells: Cellular uptake of Gd(3) (or Gd(6) as control) under hypoxic versus normoxic conditions was first examined by using 9L rat glioma cells as an in vitro model. This cell line has been extensively used in the past as a hypoxia model in radiosensitivity studies under low oxygen conditions.^[52-54] In our experiments, hypoxia was induced in 9L cells by exposing a plate of cells to nitrogen gas at a rate of 1 Lmin⁻¹ for 2 h at room temperature prior to the addition of the complex. After this induction phase, the cells were incubated with either 5 mM Gd(3) or Gd(6) for 2 h under a continuous flow of nitrogen gas. The control consisted of the same cells exposed to the same concentration of each agent but by using a constant flow of air rather than nitrogen. In addition, a negative control was prepared in which cells were incubated with PBS buffer alone (no gadolinium). After the incubation period, the samples were washed with PBS, detached from the culture plates with trypsin, centrifuged, and imaged immediately at 4.7 T. The T_1 -weighted images and T_1 maps for three samples: 1) negative control (C), 2) normoxic incubation (N), and 3) hypoxic incubation (H) of each complex are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. In vitro MR imaging of 9L rat glioma cells after exposure to either Gd(3) or Gd(6) (top). The T_1 -weighted images ($T_R=300$ msec; $T_E=10$ msec) and T_1 maps of negative control (C), normoxic (N) and hypoxic (H) cells. Relaxation rates (R_1) for the packed cell layers (bottom); *=p < 0.05 compared to negative control (C); **=p < 0.05 compared to normoxic sample (N). A color version of Figure 2 is included in the Supporting Information.

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

 GaA, Weinheim
 www.chemeurj.org

 These are not the final page numbers!

If the nitroimidazole moiety in Gd(3) undergoes reduction and is trapped in hypoxic cells, then the accumulation of Gd(3) should result in brighter proton images as a result of the shortening of T_1 of the bulk water by the intracellular agent. As seen from the T_1 -weighted images in Figure 2, the hypoxic sample (H) has higher signal intensity when compared to the other two samples, whereas the normoxic and negative control samples are indistinguishable. The T_1 maps also show that cells incubated with Gd(3) under normoxic conditions have a somewhat shorter T_1 than the negative control cells. The average T_1 values for the negative controls, the normoxic and hypoxic incubation samples were $1.6(\pm 0.2), 1.4(\pm 0.1), \text{ and } 0.8(\pm 0.1) \text{ s, respectively. This illus-}$ trates that Gd(3) enters cells by passive diffusion in both cell samples (normoxia and hypoxia) and a small amount of complex likely gets trapped even in normoxic cells. Neverthe the hypoxic cell pellet displays the shortest T_1 of the three samples. Converting the values to relaxation rates $(0.64(\pm 0.09), 0.74(\pm 0.14) \text{ and } 1.31(\pm 0.33) \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively) highlights the differences in R_{1p} .

Subtraction of the R_1 value for the negative control (cells only, no Gd(3)), yields R_{1p} values of 0.10 and 0.67 s⁻¹ for the samples incubated with Gd(3) under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, respectively. Thus, the hypoxic cells accumulate approximately sevenfold more Gd(3) compared to normoxic cells. In comparison, the distribution of T_1 values found for normoxic and hypoxic cells incubated with Gd(6) are significantly different (p < 0.05) from the negative control but not from each other. The R_{1p} values of for the samples are 0.45 and 0.56 s⁻¹ under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, respectively. Although the two complexes (Gd(3) and Gd(6))appear to accumulate to about the same extent in hypoxic cells as the nitroimidazole derivative, only Gd(3) shows hypoxia selectivity. The higher uptake of Gd(6) indicates that its uptake and retention is mediated by an oxygen-independent factor other than just passive diffusion. The higher uptake of Gd(6) could reflect its lower molecular weight and/or higher lipophilicity. Whatever the exact reasons for the nonselective uptake of Gd(6), the important fact is that this complex does not show hypoxia selectivity, whereas Gd(3) exhibits selective hypoxia-mediated retention.

Conclusion

DO3A-monoamide conjugate of 2-nitroimidazole (ligand **3**) was synthesized and its complexes with Gd^{III} and other lanthanide ions were characterized. As expected, the protonation constants of this ligand and stability of the resulting lanthanide ion complexes are quite comparable to published values for similar compounds. The ligand has a total of five protonation steps in the pH range of 2–12; two of these are assigned to the protonation of two macrocyclic nitrogen atoms. The stability of the Ln(**3**) complexes ranges from 19.24 to 21.51 for Ce^{III} to Lu^{III}, about four orders of magnitude lower than that of the corresponding Ln(DOTA)⁻ complexes. This is in accordance with the lower total basicity of

www.chemeurj.org

3 compared to DOTA. The r_{1p} relaxivity, residence time of the metal bound water molecule ($\tau_{\rm M}$) and rotational correlation time ($\tau_{\rm R}$) of Gd(**3**) was determined by relaxivity measurements, variable temperature ¹⁷O NMR spectroscopy and proton nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) studies, and the data ($r_{1p}=6.38 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, $\tau_{\rm M}=0.71 \,\mu\text{s}$, $\tau_{\rm R}=$ 141 ps) are in agreement with those obtained for other Gd^{III} complexes of similar size. In vitro MRI experiments with hypoxic 9L rat glioma cells revealed that Gd(**3**) is selectively trapped in hypoxic cells as evidenced by a nearly twofold contrast enhancement in the images of cells compared to normoxic cells. This suggests that this nitroimidazole conjugate might be suitable for assessment of hypoxia, in vivo. Preliminary MR imaging of tumor bearing rats indicates that Gd(**3**) indeed accumulates largely in hypoxic tissues.^[55]

Experimental Section

Abbreviations: MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; PET: positron emission tomography; SPECT: single photon emission computed tomography; L: ligand; DOTA: 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid; DO3A: 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7-triacetic acid; PCTA: pyclen triacetic acid; NMRD: nuclear magnetic resonance dispersion; ROI: region of interest.

General: Details of all synthesis procedures, characterization of all intermediates, preparation of the Gd^{III} complexes, pH potentiometric titrations of ligands and complexes are reported in the Supporting Information.

Relaxivity and NMRD measurements: The T_1 values were recorded at 20 MHz (0.47 T), 25 °C and 37 °C by using a Stelar Spinmaster spectrometer (Stelar, Italy) and a Maran Ultra relaxometer (Oxford Instruments, UK) at 23 MHz and 37 °C. Longitudinal relaxation times were measured by using the inversion-recovery pulse sequence (180°- τ -90°). The T_1 relaxivities were determined by the linear regression analysis of the water proton relaxation rates in solutions ranging in concentration from 0.2 to 21 mм, HEPES buffer (50 mм), in triplicate. The NMRD profiles were recorded with samples (1 mm) over a continuum of magnetic field strengths from 0.00024 to 0.47 T (corresponding to 0.01–20 MHz proton Larmor Frequency) at 25°C by using a Stelar field-cycling relaxometer under computer control with an absolute uncertainty of 1%. Relaxation data covering the range 0.47 T (20 MHz) to 1.7 T (70 MHz) were collected by using a Stelar Spinmaster spectrometer operating at variable fields. Variable temperature ¹⁷O NMR measurements: ¹⁷O NMR linewidth data were collected by using a Bruker DRX 600 NMR (14.1 T) spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm probe and a Bruker VT-1000 thermocontroller. NMR data were acquired by using a spectral width of 10000 Hz, a 90° pulse (7 µs), an acquisition time of 10 ms, 1000 scans and no sample spinning. Water enriched in ¹⁷O to 2.6% (Yeda, Israel) was used. The observed transverse relaxation rates (R^{O}_{2obs}) were calculated from the signal width at half-height ($\Delta v_{1/2}$): $R^{O}_{2obs} = \pi \Delta v_{1/2}$. The paramagnetic contribution to the water ¹⁷O transverse relaxation rate (R^{O}_{2p}) was calculated from the R^{O}_{2obs} values according to the following equation: R^{O}_{2p} = $R^{O}_{2obs} - R^{O}_{2d}$, where R^{O}_{2d} is the water ¹⁷O transverse relaxation rate in the absence of a GdL complex. The concentrations of Gd(3) and Gd(6) used for ¹⁷O NMR measurements were 21 and 19 mм, respectively.

In vitro cell experiments: Rat 9L glioma cells were grown to confluence in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with fetal bovine serum (10%), L-glutamine (1%) and penicillin/streptomycin (1%). The growth medium was replaced with un-supplemented DMEM (8 mL) prior to the uptake experiment. One plate (100 mm i.d.) of cells was made hypoxic by being exposed to nitrogen gas (1 L min⁻¹) in an enclosed chamber for 2 h at room temperature and two other plates were exposed to air. PBS (1 mL) was then added to one of the two normoxic

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

samples (as negative control), and 1 mL of Gd(**3**) (45 mM) was added to the remaining two samples (one normoxic and one hypoxic) to yield a final concentration of 5 mM. The same procedure was followed to prepare three other samples (control, normoxic and hypoxic) for assessing cell uptake of Gd(**6**). These samples were incubated for 2 h at room temperature (negative control and reference samples in air, hypoxic sample in nitrogen at 1 Lmin⁻¹), rinsed three times with PBS, trypsinized to release the cells, and centrifuged in 15 mL tubes at 2500 rpm (1599 g) for 5 min. Excess buffer was removed from the pellets, and the samples were transferred to 0.2 mL tubes for a final centrifugation at 14500 rpm (14100 g) for 5 min.

MRI imaging of cells: The three sample tubes were positioned in a 2 cm volume coil and imaged at 4.7 T. Images were collected by using a $T_{\rm E}$ of 12 ms and ten different $T_{\rm R}$ values ranging from (0.1–6 s). A $T_{\rm 1}$ map was computed on a voxel-by-voxel basis from a least-squares fitting of the exponential relaxation curves by using Varian software (VNMR 6.1C). With the use of software written in MATLAB, a region of interest (ROI) analysis was performed to extract voxel $T_{\rm 1}$ values for each pellet for statistical comparisons. The voxel $T_{\rm 1}$ data were imported into Origin 6.1 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA) for student t-test analyses.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health (CA-126608, RR-02584, CA-84697, and CA-115531; A.D.S.), the Robert A. Welch Foundation (AT-584; ADS), the Hungarian Scientific Research Found (OTKA K84291 (G.T.), OTKA PD-83253 (K.F.K.)); the TÁMOP 4.2.1./B-09/1/KONV-2010-0007 and TÁMOP-4.2.2./B-10/1-2010-0024 projects implemented through the New Hungary Development Plan, co-financed by the European Social Fund and the European Regional Development Fund and by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship (G.T.) of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. This work was carried out in the frame of the COST CM1006 "EUFEN: European F-Element Network" and COST TD1004 "Theragnostics Imaging and Therapy: An Action to Develop Novel Nanosized Systems for Imaging-Guided Drug Delivery" actions.

- [1] A. Wouters, B. Pauwels, F. Lardon, J. B. Vermorken, *Oncologist* **2007**, *12*, 690-712.
- [2] J. L. Tatum, G. J. Kelloff, R. J. Gillies, J. M. Arbeit, J. M. Brown, K. S. C. Chao, J. D. Chapman, W. C. Eckelman, A. W. Fyles, A. J. Giaccia, R. P. Hill, C. J. Koch, M. C. Krishna, K. A. Krohn, J. S. Lewis, R. P. Mason, G. Melillo, A. R. Padhani, G. Powis, J. G. Rajendran, R. Reba, S. P. Robinson, G. L. Semenza, H. M. Swartz, P. Vaupel, D. Yang, B. Croft, J. Hoffman, G. Liu, H. Stone, D. Sullivan, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **2006**, *82*, 699–757.
- [3] S. Nakamura, Pharm. Bull. 1955, 3, 379-383.
- [4] P. W. Sheldon, J. L. Foster, J. F. Fowler, Br. J. Cancer 1974, 30, 560– 565.
- [5] J. M. Brown, Radiat. Res. 1975, 64, 633-647.
- [6] D. I. Edwards, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1993, 31, 9-20.
- [7] R. J. Knox, R. C. Knight, D. I. Edwards, Br. J. Cancer 1981, 44, 741– 745.
- [8] G. E. Adams, E. D. Clarke, I. R. Flockhart, R. S. Jacobs, D. S. Sehmi, I. J. Stratford, P. Wardman, M. E. Watts, J. Parrick, R. G. Wallace, C. E. Smithen, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol. Relat. Stud. Phys. Chem. Med.* **1979**, 35, 133–150.
- [9] J. S. Rasey, K. A. Krohn, S. Freauff, Radiat. Res. 1982, 91, 542-554.
- [10] J. R. Grierson, J. M. Link, C. A. Mathis, J. S. Rasey, K. A. Krohn, J. Nucl. Med. 1989, 30, 343–350.
- [11] J. R. Ballinger, J. W. Kee, A. M. Rauth, J. Nucl. Med. 1996, 37, 1023-1031.
- [12] T. Das, S. Chakraborty, S. Banerjee, A. Mukherjee, G. Samuel, H. D. Sarma, C. K. K. Nair, V. T. Kagiya, M. Venkatesh, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2004, *12*, 6077–6084.

FULL PAPER

- [13] T. J. Norman, F. C. Smith, D. Parker, A. Harrison, L. Royle, C. A. Walker, *Supramol. Chem.* 1995, 4, 305–308.
- [14] L. Hoigebazar, J. M. Jeong, M. K. Hong, Y. J. Kim, J. Y. Lee, D. Shetty, Y.-S. Lee, D. S. Lee, J.-K. Chung, M. C. Lee, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2011, 19, 2176–2181.
- [15] R. J. Maxwell, P. Workman, J. R. Griffiths, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 1989, 16, 925–929.
- [16] E. O. Aboagye, A. D. Lewis, A. Johnson, P. Workman, M. Tracy, I. M. Huxham, Br. J. Cancer 1995, 72, 312–318.
- [17] B. M. Seddon, G. S. Payne, L. Simmons, R. Ruddle, R. Grimshaw, S. Tan, A. Turner, F. Raynaud, G. Halbert, M. O. Leach, I. Judson, P. Workman, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2003, *9*, 5101–5112.
- [18] S. Aime, S. G. Crich, E. Gianolio, G. B. Giovenzana, L. Tei, E. Terreno, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2006, 250, 1562–1579.
- [19] N. Hylton, J. Clin. Oncol. 2006, 24, 3293-3298.
- [20] L. M. De Léon-Rodríguez, Z. Kovacs, *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2008, 19, 391–402.
- [21] J. M. Brown, P. Workman, Radiat. Res. 1980, 82, 171-190.
- [22] J. P. André, C. F. G. C. Geraldes, J. A. Martins, A. E. Merbach, M. I. M. Prata, A. C. Santos, J. J. P. de Lima, E. Tóth, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, 10, 5804–5816.
- [23] M. P. Hay, W. R. Wilson, J. W. Moselen, B. D. Palmer, W. A. Denny, J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 381–391.
- [24] V. Dourtoglou, B. Gross, V. Lambropoulou, C. Zioudrou, Synthesis 1984, 572–574.
- [25] R. Knorr, A. Trzeciak, W. Bannwarth, D. Gillessen, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, 30, 1927–1930.
- [26] A. D. Sherry, R. D. Brown III, C. F. G. C. Geraldes, S. H. Koenig, K. T. Kuan, M. Spiller, *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, 28, 620–622.
- [27] S. Aime, P. L. Anelli, M. Botta, F. Fedeli, M. Grandi, P. Paoli, F. Uggeri, *Inorg. Chem.* 1992, 31, 2422-3428.
- [28] S. Aime, A. Barge, J. I. Bruce, M. Botta, J. A. K. Howard, J. M. Moloney, D. Parker, A. S. de Sousa, M. Woods, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5762–5771.
- [29] G. O. Lloyd, R. C. Luckay, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E 2005, 61, 03405– 03407.
- [30] V. Kubicek, J. Havlickova, J. Kotek, G. Tircso, P. Hermann, E. Tóth, I. Lukes, *Inorg. Chem.* 2010, 49, 10960–10969.
- [31] A. E. Martell, R. M. Smith, R. J. Motekaitis, *Critically Selected Stability Constants of Metal Complexes Database*, 8th ed., Texas A&M University, College Station, 2004.
- [32] S. Chaves, R. Delgado, J. J. R. Frausto da Silva, *Talanta* 1992, 39, 249–254.
- [33] L. Burai, I. Fabian, R. Kiraly, E. Szilagyi, E. Brucher, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1998, 243–248.
- [34] D. A. Keire, M. Kobayashi, Bioconjugate Chem. 1999, 10, 454-463.
- [35] A. Pasha, G. Tircso, E. T. Benyo, E. Brucher, A. D. Sherry, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 4340–4349.
- [36] K. Kumar, C. A. Chang, L. C. Francesconi, D. D. Dischino, M. F. Malley, J. Z. Gougoutas, M. F. Tweedle, *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 3567–3575.
- [37] W. P. Cacheris, S. K. Nickle, A. D. Sherry, *Inorg. Chem.* 1987, 26, 958–960.
- [38] P. Caravan, J. J. Ellison, T. J. McMurry, R. B. Lauffer, *Chem. Rev.* 1999, 99, 2293–2352.
- [39] R. B. Lauffer, Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 901-927.
- [40] J. I. Bruce, M. P. Lowe, D. Parker, in *The Chemistry of Contrast Agents in Medical Magnetic Resonance Imaging* (Eds.: A. E. Merbach, E. Tóth) Wiley, Chichester, **2001**, pp. 437–460.
- [41] S. G. Geninatti Crich, C. Cabella, A. Barge, S. Belfiore, C. Ghirelli, L. Lattuada, S. Lanzardo, A. Mortillaro, L. Tei, M. Visigalli, G. Forni, S. Aime, J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 4926–4936.
- [42] S. Aime, M. Botta, S. G. Crich, G. Giovenzana, R. Pagliarin, M. Sisti, E. Terreno, Magn. Reson. Chem. 1998, 36, S200–S208.
- [43] S. Aime, M. Botta, M. Fasano, S. Paoletti, E. Terreno, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1997, 3, 1499–1504.
- [44] D. H. Powell, O. M. Ni Dhubhghaill, D. Pubanz, L. Helm, Y. S. Lebedev, W. Schlaepfer, A. E. Merbach, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9333–9346.

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.chemeurj.org

These are not the final page numbers! 77

CHEMISTRY

- [45] T. J. Swift, R. E. Connick, J. Chem. Phys. 1962, 37, 307-320.
- [46] S. Viswanathan, Z. Kovacs, K. N. Green, S. J. Ratnakar, A. D. Sherry, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 2960–3018.
- [47] L. Banci, I. Bertini, C. Luchinat, Nuclear and Electronic Relaxation, VCH, Weinheim, 1991.
- [48] J. P. Korb, M. Ahadi, G. P. Zientara, J. H. Freed, J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 86, 1125–1130.
- [49] S. Aime, A. Barge, M. Botta, D. Parker, A. S. De Sousa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4767–4768.
- [50] E. Tóth, F. Connac, L. Helm, K. Adzamli, A. E. Merbach, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 2017–2021.
- [51] I. Bertini, C. Luchinat, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1996, 150, 1-292.

- [52] Y. Jounaidi, D. J. Waxman, Cancer. Res. 2000, 60, 3761-3769.
- [53] J. P. Kirkpatrick, L. I. Cardenas-Navia, M. W. Dewhirst, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 2004, 59, 822–833.
- [54] E. H. Moriyama, M. Jarvi, M. Niedre, J. D. Mocanu, Y. Moriyama, B. Li, L. Lilge, B. C. Wilson, *Proc. SPIE-Int. Soc. Opt. Eng.* 2007, 6449, 64490F/1-64490F/8.
- [55] V. D. Kodibagkar, P. K. Gulaka, F. Rojas-Quijano, Z. Kovacs, R. P. Mason, A. D. Sherry, in *Proc. Internat. Soc. Magn. Reson. Med.* Montreal, Canada **2011**, p.1672.

Received: January 25, 2012 Published online: ■ ■ , 0000

FULL PAPER



Hunting hypoxic cells: DO3A-monoamide ligand with 2-nitroimidazole moiety was synthesized with an aim to complex the Gd^{III} ion as well as to target and visualize hypoxic cells by using the MRI technique (see figure).

In vitro MRI experiments revealed that the conjugate might be suitable for assessment of hypoxia in vivo as the agent was selectively trapped in hypoxic (9L rat glioma) cells.

Imaging Agents -

F. A. Rojas-Quijano, G. Tircsó,* E. Tircsóné Benyó, Z. Baranyai, H. Tran Hoang, F. K. Kálmán, P. K. Gulaka, V. D. Kodibagkar, S. Aime, Z. Kovács, A. D. Sherry*..... Synthesis and Characterization of

a Hypoxia-Sensitive MRI Probe

